

The arXiv Data Set

1 The Data

The used data comes from a free Kaggle source, containing information about scientific papers uploaded to the arXiv. For each paper the following relevant metadata is included in the file 'arxiv-metadata.json':

- "id", unique identifier for a given paper containing information about the upload year and month
- "authors"
- "title"
- "abstract"
- "categories", tags in the arXiv system
- "authors_parsed", author names with any additional information removed

The total number of instances is $N_{\text{tot}} = 1796911$, containing all arXiv submissions since 2007.

Our objective is to determine the category of the submission from its title. In order to do so we clean the data in the following way. Since the features are severely limited, distinguishing between all possible 175 arXiv tags is infeasible. Thus, we check whether any given submission falls into the categories

- cs
- math
- physics

where each submission can be in multiple classes or none of them, if it is in any of the other arXiv categories (e.g. econ). We represent these labels with three booleans $y \in \{0, 1\}^3$.

The feature is given by the title of the submission. One way to efficiently encode this text data is the universal sentence encoder. This pretrained model converts the text data into a feature vector x in 512 dimensions of unit norm. The main idea of this encoder is that the scalar product of two "similar" sentences is large, whereas the scalar product of sentences with opposite meaning is small, i.e. negative.

We can make use of the large dataset and check how the number of training examples improves the models. The measurements of choice is the area under the ROC-curve. In principle we could use the full data to train our model. However, we will only use a fraction of the data. Starting with an integer k , the sizes of the training and cross validation sets are given by

$$N_{\text{train}} = 0.8 \frac{N_{\text{tot}}}{k}, \quad N_{\text{cv}} = 0.2 \frac{N_{\text{tot}}}{k}. \quad (1)$$

We can use different models and compare them using different values for k . To do so we will plot the area under the ROC-curve for both the training- and cv- (or test-) data.




Figure 1: Train and test AUC-score for three different dense NN classifiers

2 The models

The first model we train is a neural network. Since all three labels can be populated we train three independent classifiers. These neural networks consist of

1. The input layer with 512 nodes
2. A hidden dense layers with 128 nodes and a relu activation
3. The output layer with a single node and sigmoid activation

As optimiser and loss we use "Adam" and the binary cross entropy, respectively. We experimentally find that $n_{\text{epochs}} = 5$ yields optimal results and we use a default batch size of 32.

The second model is an XGBoost decision tree model, using a maximal depth of six, a learning rate of 0.3 and a binary logistic objective. The model is boosted for ten rounds. The results for the two models can be found in figures 1 and 2, respectively. In the discussion, let us focus on the classifier for the 'physics' label, which is plotted in figure 3.

We see that the neural network has a better result for all values of k , especially for the test data. As expected, the AUC for the test data increases slightly for more training data. However, the increase is rather minor. For the NN it is in fair parallel to the AUC for the training data. However, for the XGBoost model, the training measure decreases as the amount of training data increases. We can explain this easily by the decision trees no longer being able to overfit the training instances.




Figure 2: Train and test AUC-score for three different XGBoost classifiers




Figure 3: Train and test AUC-score for two different models for the 'physics' classifier