

## 0.1 Introduction

We have an infinite slab with height  $z = h$  and index  $n_0$  cladded with index  $n_1 < n_0$ . A wave with frequency  $f = c/\lambda$  propagates in the  $x$  direction and encounters a perturbation—a notch cut into the infinite slab defined in the  $x$ - $z$  plane and constant for all  $y$  (approximately). This notch is defined by a width  $w$ , a depth  $e$ , and sidewall angle  $\theta$ . The behavior of the wave and the notch is a function of all variables, but in general  $h$ ,  $n_0$ ,  $n_1$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $e$ , and  $\theta$  are dependent upon our goal, material, and process, and thus can be considered ‘fixed’ variables that we have no control over. We do, however, have control over  $w$ , along with the position of the notch  $x$ , and we will use this to optimize the properties of our sequence of notches: our grating coupler.

## 0.2 Single Notch

The behavior of a single notch is defined by four quantities, as a function of  $w$ :


- the transmission of the notch  $T = t^2$ ,
- the reflection of the notch  $R = r^2$ ,
- the downward scatter from the notch  $S_d = s_d^2$ , and
- the upward scatter from the notch  $S_u = s_u^2$ .

The uppercase and lowercase variables represent power and amplitude, respectively. We measure power in experiment and simulation, but to fully understand the interference properties of a grating, we must consider the amplitude of our wave. If we have a wave propagating in the forward direction with amplitude  $a_i$  incident on a notch with width  $w_i$ ,

- the forward propagating amplitude will be  $b_i = ta_i$ ,
- the backward propagating amplitude will be  $b'_i = ra_i$ ,
- the amplitude scattered upward will be  $c_i = s_u a_i$ , and
- the amplitude scattered downward will be  $d_i = s_d a_i$ .

Now let's consider that we also have a backward propagating wave  $a'_i$  incident on the notch. In this case,

- the forward propagating amplitude will be  $b_i = ta_i + r^* a'_i$ ,
- the backward propagating amplitude will be  $b'_i = ra_i + t^* a'_i$ ,
- the amplitude scattered upward will be  $s_i = s_u(a_i + a'_i)$ , and
- the amplitude scattered downward will be  $s'_i = s_d(a_i + a'_i)$ .



Notice that this can be represented by a matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_i \\ b'_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/t & r^*/t \\ r/t & \frac{|t|^2 + |r|^2}{t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_i \\ a'_i \end{bmatrix} = S(w_i) \begin{bmatrix} b_i \\ a'_i \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $b_i$  and  $a'_i$  are the forward- and backward- propagating amplitudes to the right of the notch and  $a_i$  and  $b'_i$  are that of the left of the notch<sup>1</sup>. As a rule of thumb in this notation,  $a$ s are incident and  $b$ s are outgoing while primes are backward propagating and nonprimes are forward propagating.

<sup>1</sup>The proof is left as an exercise for the reader... :)

### 0.3 Multiple Notches

Now let us consider multiple notches. Suppose that the  $i$ th and  $(i + 1)$ th notches are separated by a distance  $L_i$ . Then, the amplitudes will obey the equation

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i+1} &= \varphi(L_i)b_i, \\ a'_i &= \varphi(L_i)b'_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi(L_i) = \exp(2\pi i n_{eff} L_i / \lambda)$  is the phase evolution in the slab for the distance  $L_i$  and  $n_{eff}$  is effective index of the slab (we assume propagation loss is negligible and  $n_{eff}$  is real). That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_i \\ a'_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi^*(L_i) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(L_i) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{i+1} \\ b'_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} = \phi(L_i) \begin{bmatrix} a_{i+1} \\ b'_{i+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

We want to see how this system behaves as a whole. Suppose the only light entering the system is incident and forward propagating on the 1st notch with amplitude  $a_1$ . No other light enters the system—specifically backward propagating through the last,  $N$ th notch. That is  $a'_N = 0$ . If we normalize to the amplitude transmitted through the entire grating, i.e.  $b_N = 1$ , then we can backward-propagate to find all of the amplitudes everywhere. For instance, the amplitudes at the  $m$ th notch with  $m < N$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} b_m \\ a'_m \end{bmatrix} &= \phi(L_m) \left[ \prod_{i=m+1}^{N-1} S(w_i) \phi(L_i) \right] S(w_N) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} a_m \\ b'_m \end{bmatrix} &= \left[ \prod_{i=m}^{N-1} S(w_i) \phi(L_i) \right] S(w_N) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We also can determine the upward scattering amplitudes (normalized to the input  $a_1$ ) at each notch

$$s_m = s_u(w_m)(a_m + a'_m)/a_1.$$

Lastly, note that each notch  $m$  is centered at

$$x_m = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} L_i + w_i \right] + w_m/2$$

and has a ‘domain’ of length

$$l_m = w_m + (L_{m-1} + L_m)/2$$

where  $L_0 = L_1$  and  $L_N = L_{N-1}$  and  $x_0 = -l_1/2$ .

Now, we want to evaluate the performance of the grating. For best results, the scattered amplitude will be in the shape of a gaussian

$$E(x) = \exp(-x^2/W^2)$$

where

$$W = \frac{\lambda}{\pi \text{NA}}$$

is the waist of gaussian beam with wavelength  $\lambda$  and numerical aperture  $\text{NA} = n_0 \sin \Theta$  where  $\Theta$  is the divergence angle of the beam. For early simulations, we will match to an objective with  $\text{NA} = .2$ . We can approximate the overlap between our arrayed scatterers and the ideal gaussian via


$$\gamma = \int s(x) E(x - X) dx \sim \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{s_i}{l_i} E(x_i - X) l_i \right|^2 = \left| \sum_{i=1}^N s_i E(x_i - X) \right|^2$$

where  $X$  is the ‘center’ of the scattered power which maximizes  $\gamma$ . We want to maximize  $\gamma$  while minimizing the reflected power  $|b'_1/a_1|^2$ , the transmitted power  $|1/a_1|^2$ , and downward scatter (computed similarly to upward). We do this by optimizing  $L_i$  and  $w_i$  via simulated annealing.

## 0.4 Accounting for Higher Order Modes

With sufficiently large slab height  $h$ , the first order (odd) slab mode may be bound along with the fundamental (even) mode. Diagram of mode profiles? A slab can satisfy this while still preventing first order waveguide modes from being bound (with sufficiently small waveguide width). However, it is difficult to prevent non-fundamental waveguide modes when the second order mode is bound in the slab, so we will not consider the case where the second order mode is also bound.

With a partially etched notch, we do not preserve symmetry across the center of the waveguide. Thus, coupling between the fundamental slab mode and the first order slab mode is allowed and may be significant. To account for this, we must consider the coupling between the forward and backward, incident and outgoing, fundamental and first order modes. First, we name the eight modes in consideration with the same notation as before, with  $c_i$  and  $d_i$  being the incident and outgoing first order modes.



Now let us consider the coupling between modes. Instead of simply an  $r$  value for reflection, we now have four values  $r_{jk}$  where  $j$  is the incident mode and  $k$  is the outgoing mode:  $j, k \in \{0, 1\} \cong \{\text{Fundamental, First Order}\}$ . For instance,  $r_{10}$  is the reflection of incident first order amplitude into the fundamental mode. Similarly, we define  $t_{jk}$  as the four values for transmission. Thus, we have four equations:

- $b_i = t_{00}a_i + t_{10}c_i + r_{00}^*a'_i + r_{10}^*c'_i$
- $b'_i = t_{00}^*a'_i + t_{10}^*c'_i + r_{00}a_i + r_{10}c_i$
- $d_i = t_{01}a_i + t_{11}c_i + r_{01}^*a'_i + r_{11}^*c'_i$
- $d'_i = t_{01}^*a'_i + t_{11}^*c'_i + r_{01}a_i + r_{11}c_i$

Naturally, we can put this into matrix form with algebra<sup>2</sup>:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_i \\ b'_i \\ c_i \\ d'_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{t_{11}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} & \frac{t_{10}r_{01}^*-t_{11}r_{00}^*}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} & \frac{-t_{10}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} & \frac{t_{10}r_{11}^*-t_{11}r_{10}^*}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} \\ \frac{t_{11}r_{00}-t_{01}r_{10}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} & t_{00}^*+r_{00} \frac{t_{10}r_{01}^*-t_{11}r_{00}^*}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} + r_{10} \frac{t_{01}r_{00}-t_{00}r_{01}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} & \frac{t_{00}r_{01}-t_{10}r_{00}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} & t_{10}^*+r_{00} \frac{t_{10}r_{11}^*-t_{11}r_{10}^*}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} + r_{10} \frac{t_{01}r_{10}-t_{00}r_{11}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} \\ \frac{-t_{01}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} & \frac{t_{01}r_{00}-t_{00}r_{01}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} & \frac{t_{00}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} & \frac{t_{01}r_{10}-t_{00}r_{11}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} \\ \frac{t_{11}r_{01}-t_{01}r_{11}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} & t_{01}^*+r_{01} \frac{t_{10}r_{01}^*-t_{11}r_{00}^*}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} + r_{11} \frac{t_{01}r_{00}-t_{00}r_{01}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} & \frac{t_{00}r_{11}-t_{10}r_{01}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} & t_{11}^*+r_{01} \frac{t_{10}r_{11}^*-t_{11}r_{10}^*}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} + r_{11} \frac{t_{01}r_{10}-t_{00}r_{11}}{t_{00}t_{11}-t_{01}t_{10}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_i \\ a'_i \\ d_i \\ c'_i \end{bmatrix}$$

<sup>2</sup>which is again left as an exercise for the reader :)