COS511 HW4

Pranjit Kalita

April 22, 2017

Ex. 1

 $\mathbf{2}$

Let us assume that there exists y_1 and y_2 which are both projections of \mathbf{x} onto a convex set \mathbf{K} .

Also, $y1 \neq y2$

 $y_1 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{y} \in K} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$

 $y_1 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{y} \in K} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$

We will try to arrive at a contradiction with our premise, and by using the following algebraic identity -

$$1/2 * (||u + w||^2) = ||u||^2 + ||v||^2 - 1/2 * ||u - v||^2$$

Let,
$$u = \mathbf{x} - y_1$$
, $w = \mathbf{x} - y_2$

$$\Rightarrow 1/2 * (||2\mathbf{x} - (y_1 + y_2)||)^2 = ||\mathbf{x} - y_1||^2 + ||\mathbf{x} - y_1||^2 - 1/2 * ||y_2 - y_1||^2$$

$$\Rightarrow 1/4 * (||2\mathbf{x} - (y_1 + y_2)||)^2 = 1/2 * ||\mathbf{x} - y_1||^2 + 1/2 * ||\mathbf{x} - y_2||^2 - 1/4 *$$

$$\Rightarrow 1/4 * (||2\mathbf{x} - (y_1 + y_2)||)^2 = 1/2 * ||\mathbf{x} - y_1||^2 + 1/2 * ||\mathbf{x} - y_2||^2 - 1/4$$

 $||y_2 - y_1||^2$

$$\Rightarrow ||((2\mathbf{x} - (y_1 + y_2))/2||^2 < 1/2 * ||\mathbf{x} - y_1||^2 + 1/2 * ||\mathbf{x} - y_2||^2$$

$$\Rightarrow (||\mathbf{x} - (y_1 + y_2)/2||)^2 < 1/2 * ||\mathbf{x} - y_1||^2 + 1/2 * ||\mathbf{x} - y_2||^2$$

$$\Rightarrow (||\mathbf{x} - (y_1 + y_2)/2||)^2 < 1/2 * ||\mathbf{x} - y_1||^2 + 1/2 * ||\mathbf{x} - y_2||^2$$

But, since $y_1, y_2 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{v} \in K} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$

$$||\mathbf{x} - y_1|| = ||\mathbf{x} - y_2||$$

$$\Rightarrow ||\mathbf{x} - (y_1 + y_2)/2||^2 < ||\mathbf{x} - y_1||^2$$

Now, since $y_1, y_2 \in K$, and K is a convex set, $0.5y_1 + 0.5y_2 \in K$ ($\lambda = 0.5$ in this case acc. to definition of convex set)

But, according to our premise, y_1 and y_2 were projections, but we found $0.5y_1 + 0.5y_2 \in K$ which is not equal to $y_1 \text{ or } y_2$, whose value

 $||\mathbf{x} - (0.5y_1 + 0.5y_2)|| < ||x - y_1||$, thereby meaning that y_1 and y_2 both being the minimum value (thereby the projections) is being contradicted.

Therefore we arrive at a contradiction with the premise that both y_1 and y_2 were projections at the same time.

Thus, there can be only one projection of \mathbf{x} onto a convex set K. Q.E.D

Given a convex set K, and a function $\pi_K(\mathbf{y}) = argmin_{\mathbf{x} \in K} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$ To prove : $\forall \mathbf{z} \in K, ||\mathbf{z} - \pi_K(\mathbf{y})|| < ||\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}||$

Proof: This is a fairly trivial proof. For our proof, we will make use

of the definition of $\pi_K(\mathbf{y}) = argmin_{\mathbf{x} \in K} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||.$

 $||\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}||, where \mathbf{x} \in K, \mathbf{x} = \pi_K(\mathbf{y})$ is one side of the triangle formed by the vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$, where $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x} \in K, \mathbf{y} \notin K$.

We know that $||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}||$ will be the shortest side if we join the sides of the triangle formed by the vectors \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} , \mathbf{z} , where \mathbf{z} , $\mathbf{x} \in K$, $\mathbf{y} \notin K$.

. Now, if we were to visualize the above triangle, the angle joining $||\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}||$ and $||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}||$ is obtuse.

By opposing angle property, the side opposite that obtuse angle $||\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}||$ will be the largest in the traingle.

Therefore, $||\mathbf{z} - \pi_K(\mathbf{y})|| < ||\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}||$. Q.E.D

Ex. 3 - Consulted Book 4, Example 16.1 (similar question and reasoning)

To prove: The following homogeneous polynomial kernel is indeed a kernel $k(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = (\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)})^d$

Proof: We have to show that

 $\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)},\mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = \langle \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}), \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(2)}) \rangle$, where ϕ is a mapping from the original sample space to a higher dimensional space.

We have -
$$\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = (\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}).....(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)})$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (x_{j}^{(1)}, x_{j}^{(2)}).....\sum_{j=0}^{n} (x_{j}^{(1)}, x_{j}^{(2)}), \text{ where } \mathbf{x}_{0}^{(1)} = x_{0}^{(2)} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = \sum_{J \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n\}^{k}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{J_{i}}^{(1)} x_{J_{i}}^{(2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = \sum_{J \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n\}^{k}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{J_{i}}^{(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{J_{i}}^{(2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = \langle \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}), \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(2)}) \rangle$$

where $\mathbf{J} \in \{0,1,...,n\}^k$, $\phi: R^n - > R^{(n+1)^k}, \phi(\mathbf{x}_j^{(1)}) = \prod_{i=1}^k x_{J_i}^{(1)}$ is each of the elements of the (k+1) dimensional ϕ vector.

 ϕ contains monomials up to degree k, and a halfspace over the range of ϕ yields a polynomial predictor of degree k over the sample space, which can then be used as an embedded linear halfspace predictor. Q.E.D.

Ex. 2 - Proof similar to textbook 4 (lemmas and theorems referenced are from Book 4).

From Equation 14.2, we have

$$f(\bar{w}) - f(w^*) = 1/T * \sum_{t=1}^{T} (f(w^{(t)}) - f(w^*)), \text{ where } \mathbf{w}^* = agrmin_{w \in K} f(w)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{v1:T}(\mathbf{f}(\bar{w}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{w}^*)) = \mathbf{E}_{v1:T}(1/T * \sum_{t=1}^{T} (f(w^{(t)}) - f(w^*)))$$

Acc. to Lemma 14.1

$$1/T * \sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle \leq DG/\sqrt{T}$$
, where $n = D/G\sqrt{T}$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{v_{1:T}} (1/T * \sum_{t=1}^{T} < w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t >) \le E(DG/\sqrt{T})$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{v_{t,T}} (1/T * \sum_{t=1}^{T} < w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t >) \leq DG/\sqrt{T}$$

Acc. to be limit 14.1
$$1/T * \sum_{t=1}^{T} < w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t > \leq DG/\sqrt{T}, \text{ where } \eta = D/G\sqrt{T}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{v_{1:T}}(1/T * \sum_{t=1}^{T} < w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t >) \leq E(DG/\sqrt{T})$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{v_{1:T}}(1/T * \sum_{t=1}^{T} < w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t >) \leq DG/\sqrt{T}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{1/T} * \mathbf{E}_{v_{1:T}}(\sum_{t=1}^{T} < w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t >) \leq DG/\sqrt{T} \text{ (by linearity of expectation)}$$

Thus, we have to show that -

E_{v1:T}
$$(1/T * \sum_{t=1}^{T} (f(w^{(t)}) - f(w^*))) \le 1/T * E_{v1:T}(\sum_{t=1}^{T} < w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t >)$$

By applying law of total expectations: $E_{\alpha}[g(\alpha)] = E_{\beta}E_{\alpha}[g(\alpha)|\beta]$

$$E_{v_{1:T}}(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle) = E_{v_{1:t-1}} E_{v_{1:t}}[\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle | v_{1:t-1}]$$

Now,
$$E_{v_{1:T}}(\sum_{t=1}^{T} < w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t >) = E_{v_{1:t-1}} < w^{(t)} - w^*, E_{v_t}[v_t | v_{1:t-1}]$$

Since the value of $w^{(t)}$ depends on $v_{1:t-1}$ and in SGD, at each step $E_{v_t}[v_t|w_t] \in \partial f(w^{(t)}),$

We have

$$E_{v_t}[v_t|v_{1:t-1}] \in \partial f(w^{(t)})$$

Now, using Equation 14.3 of textbook and using convexity of f,

$$E_{v_{1:t-1}} < w^{(t)} - w^*, E_{v_t}[v_t|v_{1:t-1}] > \ge E_{v_{1:t-1}}[f(w^{(t)}) - f(w^*)]$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{v_{1:T}} < w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t > \ge E_{v_{1:T}}[f(w^{(t)}) - f(w^*)]$$

Which is the proof we needed.

Therefore,
$$E[f(w^{(t)}) - f(w^*)] \leq DG/\sqrt{T}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{w}^{(t)}) \leq f(w^*) + DG/\sqrt{T}$$

Q.E.D

Ex. 4

We will begin this problem by observing that Δ has the same number of μ functions comprising it as F (since it is a class of distributions over [r]).

Next, we see that $\mu(i)$ and f(i) have 1-1 correspondence, since μ is used to find distributions over each feature function f.

Now, let us find $|F| = |\Delta|$.

Since F is the class of all monomials of degree d over $X = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Thus, $any \mathbf{f} \in F$, $X^d = x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2} ... x_n^{d_n}$, where $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = d$

Thus, in order to find the number of functions in F, we can think of the above as placing d integer's partitions into (n-1) spots, which zeroes allows.

We can see that the actual value of the total no of functions (r) = C((n-1+d), n-1)

Thus, $r = |F| = |\Delta| = C((n-1+d), n-1)$

Further simplifying, $|\Delta| = (n+d-1)(n+d-2)(n+d-3...(n+d-d)/d! \le n^d \Rightarrow |\Delta| \le n^d$

 Δ is infinite. However, the convex hull of $\Delta = \mu$ is finite (acc. to definition of convex hull, given the problem's specifications $\sum_{i=1}^r \mu(i) = 1$, $\mu(i) > 0$, $\mu(x) = \sum \mu(i) f(i)$, $f \in F$).

Therefore, now we can use Corollary 12.2 from lecture notes:

 $R_m(\Delta) \le \sqrt{2log(n^d)/m}$

 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{R}_m(\Delta) \le \sqrt{2dlog(n)/m}$

Now, l is L-Lipschitz loss function acc. to the problem, therefore using the Lemma 12.4 in notes, we have

 $R_m(l \circ \Delta) \le L * R_m(\Delta)$

 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{R}_m(l \circ \Delta) \le L * \sqrt{2dlog(n)/m}$

 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{R}_m(l \circ \Delta) = O(L * \sqrt{2dlog(n)/m})$

Thus, sample complexity is of the required order. Q.E.D.