

COS511 HW5

Pranjit Kalita

April 22, 2017

Ex. 1

Part a

In T rounds of a fair coin toss, if we have $|N_h - N_t| = k$, no. of combinations = $C(T, (T+k)/2)$

$$E(|N_h - N_t|) = \sum_{k=0}^T 2 * k * C(T, (T+k)/2) / (2^T)$$

$$\Rightarrow E(|N_h - N_t|) = 1/(2^{T-1}) * \sum_{k=0}^T k * C(T, (T+k)/2)$$

$$\Rightarrow E(|N_h - N_t|) = 1/(2^{T-1}) * \sum_{k=0}^T k * T! / ((T+k)/2)! ((T-k)/2)!$$

Now, $k = (T+k)/2 - (T-k)/2$

Substituting above,

$$\Rightarrow E(|N_h - N_t|) = 1/(2^{T-1}) * \sum_{k=0}^T ((T+k)/2) * T! / ((T+k)/2)! ((T-k)/2)! - ((T-k)/2) * T! / ((T+k)/2)! ((T-k)/2)!$$

Simplifying the above equation (too tedious to show calculation on LaTeX)

$$\Rightarrow E(|N_h - N_t|) = 1/(2^{T-1}) * \sum_{k=0}^T T! / (((T+k)/2) - 1)! ((T-k)/2)! - T! / (((T-k)/2) - 1)! ((T+k)/2)!$$

$$\Rightarrow E(|N_h - N_t|) = T/(2^{T-1}) * \sum_{k=0}^T (T-1)! / (((T+k)/2) - 1)! ((T-k)/2)! - (T-1)! / (((T-k)/2) - 1)! ((T+k)/2)!$$

$$\Rightarrow E(|N_h - N_t|) = T/(2^{T-1}) * \sum_{k=0}^T C((T-1), ((T+k)/2) - 1) - C((T-1), ((T-k)/2) - 1)$$

$$\text{Canceling out terms, } \Rightarrow E(|N_h - N_t|) = T/(2^{T-1}) * [C((T-1), (T/2 - 1)) - C((T-1), T)]$$

$$\Rightarrow E(|N_h - N_t|) = T/(2^{T-1}) * C((T-1), (T/2 - 1)) \text{ (since second term is 0)}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(|N_h - N_t|) = T/(2^{T-1}) * T! / ((T/2)!)^2$$

By using Sterling's Approximation,

$$\Rightarrow E(|N_h - N_t|) = (2/\pi) * \sqrt{2\pi T}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(|N_h - N_t|) = \Theta(\sqrt{T})$$

Part b

Since at each toss of the coin, the learner incurs a loss of 0 or 1, and there are T total coin tosses, hence the expected loss of the learner

$$= \frac{T}{2}.$$

Now, let X_t be a random variable, with $X_t = \{0, 1\}$ depicting the

incurred loss by the learner at each coin toss $t \in \{1, T\}$.

Thus, if one expert learner has X_t , the other has $(1 - X_t)$ at each coin toss $t \in \{1, T\}$.

Thus, for the learner, the loss will be of the form - $\{X_t, (1 - X_t)\}$, $X_t = \{0, 1\}$, $t \in \{1, T\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Minimum expected loss of expert} &= E[\min\{\sum_{i=1}^T X_t, \sum_{i=1}^T (1 - X_t)\}] \\
&= E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^T X_t + \sum_{i=1}^T (1 - X_t)}{2} - \frac{|\sum_{i=1}^T X_t - \sum_{i=1}^T (1 - X_t)|}{2}\right] \\
&= \frac{E}{2}[(\sum_{i=1}^T X_t + \sum_{i=1}^T (1 - X_t))] - \frac{E}{2}[|\sum_{i=1}^T X_t - \sum_{i=1}^T (1 - X_t)|] \\
&= \frac{T}{2} - \frac{E}{2}[|\sum_{i=1}^T X_t - \sum_{i=1}^T (1 - X_t)|]
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the second term can be related to **Part a** if $\sum_{i=1}^T X_t = N_h$, $\sum_{i=1}^T (1 - X_t) = N_t$, which then becomes similar to $E[-N_h - N_t]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Thus, Minimum expected loss of expert} &= \frac{T}{2} - \frac{\Theta\sqrt{T}}{2} \\
&= \frac{T}{2} - \Theta\sqrt{T}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the expected regret matches the upper bound for hedge $\Theta\sqrt{T}$.

Ex. 6

Let B be the outer algorithm, and A be the inner algorithm. We see that each iteration of A, for a value of m, runs:

$2^{m+1} - 2^m = 2^m$ times.

Thus, $Regret = O(\alpha\sqrt{T}) = O(\alpha\sqrt{2^m})$

Now, for finding B's regret, we will have to sum over all A's as:

$$Regret_B = \sum_{m=1}^{\log T} O(\alpha\sqrt{2^m})$$

$\Rightarrow Regret_B = \sqrt{2} * \alpha * (\sqrt{2}^{\log T} - 1) / (\sqrt{2} - 1)$ (using the equation for sum of a geometric series)

$$\Rightarrow Regret_B = \sqrt{2} * \alpha * (\sqrt{T} - 1) / (\sqrt{2} - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow Regret_B = O(\sqrt{2} * \alpha\sqrt{T} / (\sqrt{2} - 1))$$

Thus, B has regret at most $\sqrt{2} * \alpha\sqrt{T} / (\sqrt{2} - 1)$.

Q.E.D.

Ex. 4

Part c

To show: $\|\cdot\|_1^* = \|\cdot\|_\infty$

We know, $\|y\|_1^* = \max_{\sum_i |x_i| \leq 1} x^T \cdot y = \sum_i x_i y_i$

Now, if we select \mathbf{x} such that if the i^{th} element corresponding to the max. magnitude of y_i is +1 (if $y_i > 0$) or -1 ($y_i < 0$), and 0 otherwise, then we will get $\|y\|_\infty$ on the RHS.

To show: $\|\cdot\|_\infty^* = \|\cdot\|_1$

We know, $\|y\|_\infty^* = \max_{\max_i \{|x_i|\} \leq 1} x^T \cdot y$

Now, if we select \mathbf{x} such that $x_i = \text{sign}(y_i)$, we will essentially get on the RHS, $\sum_i |y_i| = \|y\|_1$.

To show: $\|\cdot\|_2^* = \|\cdot\|_2$

We know, $\|y\|_2^* = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq 1} x^T \cdot y$

Now, to maximize the dot product, if $\mathbf{x} = \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_2}$, on the RHS we get $\|y\|_2$.

Part b

To show: $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{y}\|^*$

We know, $\|\mathbf{y}\|^* = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1} x^T \cdot \mathbf{y}$

$\Rightarrow \|\mathbf{y}\|^* = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1} x^T \cdot \mathbf{y} \geq \frac{x^T \cdot \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}$ (trivial since it is the max)

$\Rightarrow \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|^* \geq x^T \cdot \mathbf{y}$ (multiplying $\|\mathbf{x}\|$ to above)

This is the generalized Cauchy-Schwartz Identity.

Part a

To show: $\|y\|_A^* = \|y\|_{A^{-1}}^*$, where A is a p.s.d, i.e., $A \succ 0$.

Since $A \succ 0$, $A^{1/2}$ and $A^{-1/2}$ exist and are both p.s.d.

$\|y\|_A^* = \max_{x^T A x \leq 1} x^T y$

$\Rightarrow \|y\|_A^* = \max_x \frac{x^T y}{\sqrt{x^T A x}}$

$\Rightarrow \|y\|_A^* = \max_x \frac{(A^{-1/2} x)^T y}{\sqrt{x^T A^{-1/2} A A^{-1/2} x}}$ ($A^{-1/2}$ is symmetric, invertible)

$\Rightarrow \|y\|_A^* = \max_x \frac{x^T A^{-1/2} y}{\|x\|_2}$

Now, if $x = A^{-1/2} y$,

$\Rightarrow \|y\|_A^* = \frac{\|A^{-1/2} y\|_2^2}{\|A^{-1/2} y\|_2}$

$\Rightarrow \|y\|_A^* = \|A^{-1/2} y\|_2$

$\Rightarrow \|y\|_A^* = \sqrt{(A^{-1/2} y)^T (A^{-1/2} y)}$

$\Rightarrow \|y\|_A^* = \sqrt{y^T A^{-1} y}$

$$\Rightarrow ||y||_A^* = ||y||_{A^{-1}}^*$$

Q.E.D

Ex. 2

Part a A/Q, $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \succ \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T \succ 0$$

To show : f is α -*expconcave* over K

$$\text{Let } g(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\alpha f(\mathbf{x})}$$

Now, g has to be concave. $\Rightarrow \nabla^2 g(\mathbf{x}) \preceq 0$. (To prove)

$$\text{Now, } g(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\alpha f(\mathbf{x})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla g(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\alpha f(\mathbf{x})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 g(\mathbf{x}) = -\alpha \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\alpha f(\mathbf{x})} + \alpha^2 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T e^{-\alpha f(\mathbf{x})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 g(\mathbf{x}) = -\alpha e^{-\alpha f(\mathbf{x})} (\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T)$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 g(\mathbf{x}) \preceq 0 \text{ (since } \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T \succ 0)$$

Q.E.D.

Part b To show : A strongly convex function is also exp-concave.

Let $f(\mathbf{x})$ let a strongly convex function.

By definition of strongly convex,

$$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \succeq \alpha I \succeq \alpha y y^T$$

$$\text{Now, seeing from Part a, if } y = \frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x})}{\beta}, y^T = \frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T}{\beta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \succeq \alpha \frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T}{\beta^2}$$

From **Part a**, under this scenario, we know that $f(\mathbf{x})$ is $\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$ -expconcave.

Q.E.D.

To show : An exp-concave function is not always strongly convex.

$$\text{Let } f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{-\log(y^T \mathbf{x})}{\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow g(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\alpha f(\mathbf{x})} = y^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla g(\mathbf{x})^2 = 0$$

Since $\nabla g(\mathbf{x})^2 \preceq 0$, hence g is concave. Therefore, $f(\mathbf{x})$ is an α -*expconcave* function.

But, for $f(\mathbf{x})$ to be strongly convex, $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \succeq \alpha I \succeq \alpha y y^T$

$$\text{Now, } \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{-y^T \mathbf{x}}{\alpha y^T \mathbf{x}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{y^T}{\alpha y^T x^2}$$

Now, $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \succeq \alpha I$ is not true for this $f(\mathbf{x})$.

Therefore, $f(\mathbf{x})$ is not strongly convex for α -*expconcave function* $f(\mathbf{x})$.

Q.E.D.