A Data-Distribution and Successive Spline Points based discretization approach for evolving gene regulatory networks from scRNA-Seq time-series data using Cartesian Genetic Programming

José Eduardo H. da Silva Patrick C. de Carvalho José J. Camata Itamar L. de Oliveira Heder S. Bernardino

Supplementary Material

This supplementary material presents additional details and extra tabular results when solving the proposed benchmark problems for assessing the performance of the proposal.

1 Curated Problems

Tables 1 and 2 present the tabular results when solving the curated problems considering the AUPRC and AUROC of the median values. The values are presented considering the worst, first quantile (Q1), mean, median, third quantile (Q3), best, and standard deviation (STD) values.

Table 1: Values of AUPRC for the median case. The best results are in boldface.

	Brop.	Alg.	Worst	Q1	Mean	Median	Q3	Best	Std.
0% dropout		CGP	0.2038	0.2153	0.2304	0.2293	0.2459	0.2584	0.0179
	GSD	CGP-DSSPD	0.2157	0.2351	0.2502	0.2548	0.2584	0.2763	0.0190
	HSC	CGP	0.2003	0.2212	0.2550	0.2528	0.2840	0.3253	0.0380
obo		CGP-DSSPD	0.1956	0.2122	0.2309	0.2303	0.2486	0.2678	0.0235
$d\mathbf{r}$	mCAD	CGP	0.5297	0.5692	0.5863	0.5913	0.6032	0.6287	0.0281
%		CGP-DSSPD	0.5948	0.6440	0.7055	0.7186	0.7341	0.8460	0.0731
\cup	13C	CGP	0.2284	0.2630	0.2739	0.2724	0.2929	0.3124	0.0242
		CGP-DSSPD	0.2261	0.2579	0.2751	0.2665	0.2884	0.3552	0.0328
- 12	CED	CGP	0.1908	0.2088	0.2255	0.2276	0.2425	0.2573	0.0202
		CGP-DSSPD	0.2151	0.2292	0.2536	0.2519	0.2673	0.3140	0.0309
ou	HSC	CGP	0.2047	0.2579	0.2688	0.2747	0.2929	0.3063	0.0316
do		CGP-DSSPD	0.2129	0.2152	0.2341	0.2237	0.2439	0.2777	0.0230
ф	mCAD	CGP	0.5385	0.5947	0.6119	0.6201	0.6331	0.6535	0.0327
50% dropout		CGP-DSSPD	0.5945	0.6426	0.6528	0.6516	0.6633	0.7116	0.0292
	43C	CGP	0.2494	0.2698	0.2925	0.2819	0.3064	0.3709	0.0372
		CGP-DSSPD	0.2147	0.2502	0.2706	0.2637	0.2789	0.3468	0.0356
70% dropout	GSD	CGP	0.1931	0.2061	0.2180	0.2177	0.2239	0.2515	0.0172
		CGP-DSSPD	0.1851	0.2298	0.2398	0.2389	0.2573	0.2766	0.0242
	HS^{C}	CGP	0.2230	0.2390	0.2604	0.2441	0.2735	0.3246	0.0339
		CGP-DSSPD	0.1951	0.2197	0.2428	0.2499	0.2633	0.2874	0.0287
	mCAD	CGP	0.5386	0.6011	0.6539	0.6446	0.7004	0.7946	0.0796
%0.	morr	CGP-DSSPD	0.5614	0.6146	0.6660	0.6635	0.7239	0.7475	0.0634
7	VSC	CGP	0.2463	0.2526	0.2817	0.2832	0.3041	0.3286	0.0286
		CGP-DSSPD	0.2315	0.2518	0.2931	0.2859	0.3157	0.4109	0.0502

Table 2: Values of AUROC for the median case. The best results are in boldface.

	Prob.	Alg.	Worst	Q1	Mean	Median	Q3	Best	Std.
		CGP	0.4807	0.4971	0.5118	0.5173	0.5242	0.5472	0.0193
	CSD	CGP-DSSPD	0.4780	0.5009	0.5185	0.5267	0.5367	0.5450	0.0220
0% dropout	HS^C	CGP	0.4235	0.4823	0.5265	0.5272	0.5759	0.6193	0.0589
obo		CGP-DSSPD	0.4146	0.4647	0.4943	0.4918	0.5378	0.5524	0.0441
$d\mathbf{r}$	mCAD	CGP	0.2857	0.3338	0.3764	0.3846	0.4052	0.4670	0.0552
%		CGP-DSSPD	0.4505	0.4890	0.5473	0.5632	0.5783	0.6758	0.0639
	13C	CGP	0.4041	0.4923	0.5038	0.5020	0.5419	0.5675	0.0472
		CGP-DSSPD	0.4602	0.4872	0.5095	0.5016	0.5205	0.6033	0.0379
	GSD	CGP	0.4520	0.4861	0.5069	0.5086	0.5393	0.5450	0.0323
دد		CGP-DSSPD	0.4737	0.5078	0.5223	0.5267	0.5409	0.5560	0.0232
on	HS^C	CGP	0.4290	0.5425	0.549	0.5583	0.5837	0.6154	0.0519
.op		CGP-DSSPD	0.4556	0.4812	0.4935	0.4874	0.5088	0.5396	0.0242
д	mCAD	CGP	0.3242	0.3901	0.4346	0.4505	0.4821	0.4890	0.0559
50% dropout		CGP-DSSPD	0.3956	0.4505	0.4681	0.4698	0.4725	0.5604	0.0390
	49C	CGP	0.4650	0.5030	0.5320	0.5297	0.5472	0.6480	0.0511
		CGP-DSSPD	0.4114	0.4618	0.4833	0.4911	0.5055	0.5374	0.0373
	,cD	CGP	0.4380	0.4727	0.4910	0.4924	0.4984	0.5459	0.0296
70% dropout	GSD	CGP-DSSPD	0.4370	0.5055	0.5127	0.5212	0.5258	0.5511	0.0305
	HS^C	CGP	0.4792	0.4944	0.5288	0.4990	0.5615	0.6364	0.0494
		CGP-DSSPD	0.4336	0.4727	0.5054	0.4927	0.5406	0.5820	0.0459
	mCAD	CGP	0.2857	0.4272	0.4890	0.4780	0.5659	0.7363	0.1303
%0		CGP-DSSPD	0.4341	0.4560	0.5137	0.5247	0.5673	0.5934	0.0582
7	49C	CGP	0.4447	0.4671	0.5090	0.5195	0.5358	0.5813	0.0448
		CGP-DSSPD	0.4398	0.4998	0.5250	0.5415	0.5488	0.5813	0.0418

2 Parameter Sensitivity Analysis

Table 3 presents the tabular results of the parameter sensitivity analysis considering the median values. The first column is the area under (AU) the precision-recall curve (PRC) and the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC), respectively. Negative values indicate that the parameter generated worse results than the reference (0.02).

Table 3: Parameter sensitivity analysis for the median case. The values are the relative difference between several values for μ_{var} . The reference is $\mu_{var} = 0.02$.

	0% dropout				50% dropout				70% dropout				
AU	μ_{var}	GSD	HSC	mCAD	VSC	GSD	HSC	mCAD	VSC	GSD	HSC	mCAD	VSC
PRC	$0.005 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.05$	2.59% -1.45% -3.73%	1.48% $0.22%$ $-3.13%$	0.31% $2.16%$ $0.31%$	-3.83% -2.63% 15.95%	2.58% 1.15% -1.51%	23.47% 8.85% 7.47%	6.48% $3.62%$ $7.00%$	-2.43% $5.50%$ $17.18%$	1.05% $0.88%$ $-0.59%$	9.24% 4.16% -5.04%	6.63% $6.30%$ $-4.46%$	-7.14% -14.24% -8.08%
ROC	0.005 0.01 0.05	0.66% -0.85% 0.25%	-0.14% 1.61% -1.10%	-0.50% 0.48% -0.50%	-4.70% -4.86% -1.30%	0.74% 1.12% -1.77%	9.44% 5.40% 5.50%	18.11% 16.37% 17.54%	0.41% 0.16% 0.81%	-0.61% -0.56% -0.36%	8.83% 10.96% -1.44%	4.73% 4.73% -0.51%	-10.06% -14.35% -8.57%