



# Fully Differentiable Ray Tracing via Discontinuity Smoothing for Radio Network Optimization


Jérome Eertmans - March 18th 2024





























One solution: differentiability.

# Sionna RT: Differentiable Ray Tracing for Radio Propagation Modeling

Jakob Hoydis, Fayçal Aït Aoudia, Sebastian Cammerer, Merlin Nimier-David, Nikolaus Binder, Guillermo Marcus, and Alexander Keller

Abstract—Sionna<sup>TM</sup> is a GPU-accelerated open-source library for link-level simulations based on TensorFlow. Since release v0.14 it integrates a differentiable ray tracer (RT) for the simulation of radio wave propagation. This unique feature allows for the computation of gradients of the channel impulse response and other related quantities with respect to many system and environment parameters, such as material properties, antenna patterns, array geometries, as well as transmitter and receiver orientations and positions. In this paper, we outline the key components of Sionna RT and showcase example applications such as learning radio materials and optimizing transmitter orientations by gradient descent. While classic ray tracing is a crucial tool for 6G research topics like reconfigurable intelligent surfaces, integrated sensing and communications, as well as user localization, differentiable ray tracing is a key enabler for many novel and exciting research directions, for example, digital twins.



Fig. 1: One of Sionna RT's example scenes. Data from [25].





(a) Before optimization





(b) After optimization


Fig. 5: Gradient-based optimization of the orientation of a transmitter (see the inset) with respect to the average received power within a small region of the scene (orange ring).



















LOS + reflection

Challenge: coverage vs order and types.




LOS + reflection + diffraction

Challenge: coverage vs order and types.



LOS + reflection + scattering


Challenge: coverage vs order and types.



LOS + reflection + scattering

Challenge: coverage vs order and types.

- Zero-gradient and discontinuity issues;
- Smoothing technique;
- Optimization example.



$$\theta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} s(x; \alpha) = \theta(x)$$

[C1] 
$$\lim_{x\to-\infty} s(x;\alpha) = 0$$
 and  $\lim_{x\to+\infty} s(x;\alpha) = 1$ ;

[C2]  $s(\cdot; \alpha)$  is monotonically increasing;

[C3] 
$$s(0; \alpha) = \frac{1}{2};$$

[C4] and 
$$s(x; \alpha) - s(0; \alpha) = s(0; \alpha) - s(-x; \alpha)$$
.

$$s(x;\alpha) = s(\alpha x). \tag{1}$$

The sigmoid is defined with a real-valued exponential


$$sigmoid(x; \alpha) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha x}},$$
(2)


and the hard sigmoid is the piecewise linear function defined by


hard sigmoid
$$(x; \alpha) = \frac{\text{relu6}(\alpha x + 3)}{6}$$
, (3)


where


$$relu6(x) = \min(\max(0, x), 6). \tag{4}$$














$$\vec{E}(x,y) = \sum_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{S}} V(\mathcal{P}) (\bar{C}(\mathcal{P}) \cdot \vec{E}(\mathcal{P}_1))$$


$$P(x,y) \approx \sum_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{S}} P_{\mathcal{P}}(x,y)$$


(incoherently added)












- Convergence success rate x 1.5 ~ 2;
- Success rate w/respect to no approx.: 92% to 98%.



#### Future

- Trade-off of smoothing vs many minimizations;
- Where to apply smoothing;
- Physical model behind smoothing(e.g., diffraction);
- 3D scenes at city-scales (DiffeRT).







jeertmans/DiffeRT2d



jeertmans/DiffeRT

Thanks for listening!