

1.2.5

- (a) If  $x \in (A \cap B)^c$  then  $x \notin A \cap B$ . Thus, either  $x \notin A \Rightarrow x \in A^c$  or  $x \notin B \Rightarrow x \in B^c$ . It follows that  $x \in A^c \cup B^c$  so,  $(A \cap B)^c \subseteq A^c \cup B^c$ .
- (b) If  $x \in A^c \cup B^c$  then either  $x \in A^c$  or  $x \in B^c$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $x \in A^c$ , which implies that  $x \notin A \Rightarrow x \notin A \cap B \Rightarrow x \in (A \cap B)^c$ , so  $A^c \cup B^c \subseteq (A \cap B)^c$ . Since both sets are subsets of each other, we conclude that  $(A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c$ .
- (c) *Proof.* In order to show that  $(A \cup B)^c \subseteq A^c \cap B^c$  consider  $x \in (A \cup B)^c$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \in (A \cup B)^c &\Rightarrow x \notin A \cup B \\ &\Rightarrow x \notin A \wedge x \notin B \\ &\Rightarrow x \in A^c \wedge x \in B^c \\ &\Rightarrow x \in A^c \cap B^c. \end{aligned}$$

And so  $(A \cup B)^c \subseteq A^c \cap B^c$ .

Now, consider  $x \in A^c \cap B^c$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A^c \cap B^c &\Rightarrow x \in A^c \wedge x \in B^c \\ &\Rightarrow x \notin A \wedge x \notin B \\ &\Rightarrow x \notin A \cup B \\ &\Rightarrow x \in (A \cup B)^c. \end{aligned}$$

And so  $A^c \cap B^c \subseteq (A \cup B)^c$  thus  $(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$ . □

1.2.9

- (a) If we have  $A = [0, 4]$  and  $B = [-1, 1]$  then,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(A) &= [0, 2] \\ f^{-1}(B) &= [0, 1] \\ f^{-1}(A \cup B) &= [0, 2] = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B) \\ f^{-1}(A \cap B) &= [0, 1] = f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B). \end{aligned}$$

So, for  $f$ ,  $f^{-1}(A \cup B) = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$  and  $f^{-1}(A \cap B) = f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B)$ .

- (b) *Proof.* Consider  $x \in g^{-1}(A \cap B)$ . Then  $x \in \{a \mid g(a) \in A \cap B\}$ . So,

$$g(x) \in A \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) \in B$$

which implies that

$$x \in g^{-1}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad x \in g^{-1}(B).$$

Thus,  $x \in g^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(B)$  and  $g^{-1}(A \cap B) \subseteq g^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(B)$ .

Now, if  $x \in g^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(B)$  then  $x \in \{a \mid g(a) \in A \wedge g(a) \in B\}$ , so

$$g(x) \in A \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) \in B.$$

It follows that  $g(x) \in A \cap B$ , so  $x \in g^{-1}(A \cap B)$ . Thus,  $g^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(B) \subseteq g^{-1}(A \cap B)$ , and so,  $g^{-1}(A \cap B) = g^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(B)$ .

Now, we consider  $x \in g^{-1}(A \cup B)$ . By definition,

$$x \in \{a \mid g(a) \in A \cup B\},$$

therefore, either  $g(x) \in A$  or  $g(x) \in B$ . It follows that  $x \in g^{-1}(A)$  or  $x \in g^{-1}(B)$ , so  $x \in g^{-1}(A) \cup g^{-1}(B)$ . Thus,  $g^{-1}(A \cup B) \subseteq g^{-1}(A) \cup g^{-1}(B)$ .

For  $x \in g^{-1}(A) \cup g^{-1}(B)$ , we can see that

$$x \in \{a \mid g(a) \in A \vee g(a) \in B\}.$$

Regardless if  $g(x) \in A$  or  $g(x) \in B$ ,  $g(x) \in A \cup B$  since it must be in at least one of  $A$  or  $B$ . It follows that  $x \in g^{-1}(A \cup B)$ , so  $g^{-1}(A) \cup g^{-1}(B) = g^{-1}(A \cup B)$   $\square$

**1.2.10**

- (a) Consider the reverse implication that if  $a < b + \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$  then  $a < b$ . Take  $a = b$  and notice that  $a < b + \epsilon$  but  $a \not< b$ . Thus because the backwards direction doesn't work, the entire statement is false.
- (b) Apply the same reasoning as above with  $a = b$ . Then  $a < b + \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$  but  $a \not< b$ . Thus, this statement is false.
- (c) This statement is true. The forwards direction is trivial as if  $a \leq b$  then  $a < b + \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . We show the backwards direction by contradiction. That is, suppose that  $a < b + \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and that  $a > b$ . Now choose  $\epsilon = \frac{a-b}{2}$  which is greater than 0 since  $a > b$ . But,

$$b + \epsilon = b + \frac{a-b}{2} = \frac{a+b}{2} < a.$$

This is a contradiction since  $a < b + \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Thus our supposition must be false and  $a \leq b$ .

**1.2.13**

- (a) *Proof.* Consider the proposition

$$P(n) := (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n)^c = A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap \dots \cap A_n^c$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will prove  $P(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  using induction. The base case  $P(1)$  is trivial as  $(A_1)^c = A_1^c$ . We now assume  $P(k)$  for some  $n = k$  and must show that  $P(k+1)$  is true. That is, we must show that

$$(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k \cup A_{k+1})^c = A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap \dots \cap A_k^c \cap A_{k+1}^c.$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k \cup A_{k+1})^c &= ((A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k) \cup A_{k+1})^c \\ &= (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k)^c \cap A_{k+1}^c \\ &= (A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap \dots \cap A_k^c) \cap A_{k+1}^c \\ &= A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap \dots \cap A_k^c \cap A_{k+1}^c \end{aligned}$$

Which was to be shown.  $\square$

- (b) Consider the collection of sets  $B_i$  such that

$$B_i = \left(0, \frac{1}{i}\right).$$

Then for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i \neq \emptyset$$

since, for example,  $\frac{1}{2n} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i$ . However,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \emptyset$$

since as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{i} \rightarrow 0$ .

- (c) *Proof.* Consider  $x \in (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)^c$ . Then  $x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ . The only way this is possible is if  $x \notin A_i$  for all  $i$ . Then,  $x \in A_i^c$ , so

$$x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \Rightarrow \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right)^c \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c.$$

Taking  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c$ , it follows that  $x \in A_i^c$  so  $x \notin A_i$  for all  $i$ . Thus

$$x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \Rightarrow x \in \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right)^c \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c \subseteq \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right)^c.$$

Thus,  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c$  □

### 1.3.9

- (a) *Proof.* Since  $\sup A < \sup B$  there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sup A < x < \sup B$ . Since  $x < \sup B$ ,  $x$  is not an upper bound for  $B$ . This implies the existence of a  $b \in B$  such that  $x < b$ . Combining inequalities we see that  $\sup A < x < b$  and therefore  $b$  is an upper bound for  $A$  since it is greater than  $\sup A$ . □
- (b) Consider the sets  $A = B = (0, 1)$ . Then  $\sup A \leq \sup B = 1$ , but there does not exist  $b \in B$  such that  $b > a$  for any  $a \in A$ .

### 1.3.10

- (a) *Proof.* Consider a fixed  $b \in B$ . Then  $b > a$  for all  $a \in A$ , by definition of  $A$  and  $B$ , so  $A$  is bounded above by  $b$ . It follows from the Axiom of Completeness that  $\sup A = s$  must exist since  $A$  is nonempty and bounded. If  $s \in A$  then  $s = \sup A = \max A$  since

$$s \geq a \quad \forall a \in A$$

by definition of supremum. As well,  $s \in A$  implies  $s \leq b$  for all  $b \in B$  and thus the Cut Property is satisfied. If  $s \in B$  then  $s \geq a$  for all  $a \in A$  by definition of  $A$  and  $B$ , but  $s \leq b$  for any  $b \in B$  since any  $b \in B$  is an upper bound of  $A$  is must be greater than or equal to the supremum. Therefore, the Cut Property is also satisfied if  $s \in B$ . □

- (b) *Proof.* Let  $A = \{x \mid x \leq e \text{ for some } e \in E\}$ . Then  $E \subset A$  since every element  $e \in E$  is less than or equal to some  $e \in E$ , namely itself. We now define  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus A$ . Thus  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $A \cup B = \mathbb{R}$ . By the Cut Property, there exists  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s \geq a$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $s \leq b$  for all  $b \in B$ . Then  $s = \sup E$  since  $s \geq a$  for all  $a \in A$ , which includes every element of  $E$  and if some  $x < s$  then  $x \notin B$  so  $x \leq e$  which means that  $x$  is not a upper bound of  $E$ . So,  $s = \sup E$ . □

- (c) Consider the two sets

$$A = (-\infty, 0) \cup \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 0 \leq x^2 \leq 2\}$$

$$B = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x > 0 \wedge x^2 > 2\}.$$

Then,  $A \cup B = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  but there does not exist  $s \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $a \leq s$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $s \leq b$  for all  $b \in B$  since  $\sup A = \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus, the Cut Property does not hold for  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

---

<sup>0</sup>LaTeX code for this document can be found on github [here](#)