## Math 101 HW 26

## Jeff Carney

## April 10, 2017

## 19.4

 $\mathbf{Q}$ : (a) Prove that if f is uniformly continuous on a bounded set S, then f is a bounded function on S. Hint: Assume not. Use Theorems 11.5 (BW) and 19.4 (If f is uniformly continuous on a set S and  $(s_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in S, then  $(f(s_n))$  is a Cauchy sequence).

(b) Use (a) to give yet another proof that  $\frac{1}{x^2}$  is not uniformly continuous on (0, 1).

(a) Let f be uniformly continuous on a bounded set S. Suppose f is not a bounded function on S. Then  $\exists \{x_n\}$  in S s.t.  $f(x_n) \to \infty$ . S is bounded and so  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, by Bolzano-Wieierstrass, there is a convergent subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{x_{n_k}\}$ . Since  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converges, it is Cauchy. Since  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  is Cauchy and f is uniformly continuous,  $f(x_{n_k})$  is Cauchy  $\Rightarrow f(x_{n_k})$  is bounded. But  $\lim f(x_{n_k}) = \lim f(x_n) = \infty \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$  because we assumed  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converges and since f is continuous  $\lim f(x_{n_k}) = \lim x_{n_k} \neq \infty$ .  $\therefore$  if f is uniformly continuous on a bounded set S, then f is a bounded function on S.

(b) Let  $\{x_n\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ . Then  $f(x_n) = \{n\}$  but  $\{n\}$  is not bounded on  $(0,1) \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ . And so  $\frac{1}{x^2}$  is not uniformly continuous on (0,1).

1

 $\mathbf{Q}: \text{Let } f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ be continuous. Suppose that for every } \alpha > 0 \text{ there}$ is an M>0 such that if  $|x|\geq M$ , then  $|f(x)|<\alpha$ . Prove that f is uniformly continuous.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha = \varepsilon/2$ . WTS  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $|x - y| < \delta$  then  $|f(x)-f(y)|<\varepsilon$ . We know  $\exists M>0$  s.t. if  $|x|\geq M$ , then  $|f(x)|<\varepsilon/2$ . Let  $f_m$  be the function f with its domain restricted to the interval to [-M, M]. We know  $f_m$  is uniformly continuous. Therefore  $\exists \delta_1 > 0$  s.t. if  $x, y \in [-M, M]$ and  $|x-y| < \delta_1$ ,  $|f(x)-f(y)| < \varepsilon/2$ . We have that f is continuous at  $M \Rightarrow$  $\exists \delta_2 > 0 \text{ s.t. if } |x - M| < \delta_2, \text{ then } |f(x) - f(M)| < \varepsilon/2. \text{ Since } f \text{ is continuous}$ at  $-M \exists \delta_3 > 0$  s.t. if  $|x+M| < \delta_3$ , then  $|f(x)-f(-M)| < \varepsilon/2$ . Let  $x,y \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.  $|x-y| < \delta$  There are 3 cases:

 $x, y \in [-M, M]$ :

Since  $|x| \geq M$  and  $|y| \geq M$  we have  $|f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$  and  $|f(y)| < \varepsilon/2$ . By the triangle inequality  $|f(x) - f(y)| \ge |f(x)| + |f(y)| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$ 

 $\underline{x, y \in [-M, M]}$ : Since  $|x - y| < \delta < \delta_1$  we have  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon$ .

WLOG  $x \in [-M, M]$  and  $y \notin [-M, M]$ :

Either  $-M \in [y, x]$  or  $M \in [x, y]$ .

 $-M \in [y,x]$ :

Then 
$$|x + M|$$
,  $|y + M| \le |x - y| < \delta \le \delta_3$ . Thus  $|f(x) - f(y)| \le |f(x) - f(-M)| + |f(-M) - f(y)| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$ .

 $M \in [x,y]$ :

Then 
$$|x - M|, |y - M| \le |x - y| < \delta \le \delta_2$$
. Thus  $|f(x) - f(y)| \le |f(x) - f(M)| + |f(M) - f(y)| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$ .

 $\therefore$  f is uniformly continuous.

**Q**: Let f and g be continuous functions on the interval [a,b]. Suppose that for all  $x \in [a,b]$ , f(x) < g(x). Prove that there is an  $\alpha < 1$  such that for all  $x \in [a,b]$ ,  $f(x) < \alpha g(x)$ 

Assume  $\forall \alpha < 1, \exists x \in [a,b] \text{ s.t. } f(x) \geq g(x)$ . We know  $1 - \frac{1}{n} \forall n$ , so  $\forall n \exists x_n \in [a,b] \text{ s.t. } f(x_n) \geq (1-\frac{1}{n})g(x)$ . By Bolzano-Wieierstrass,  $\exists l \in [a,b]$  and  $\{x_{n_k}\} \text{ s.t. } x_{n_k} \to l$ . Since g and f are continuous  $g(x_{n_k}) \to g(l)$  and  $f(x_{n_k}) \to f(l)$ . Since  $\forall n, f(x_n) \geq (1-\frac{1}{n})g(x_n)$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} ((1-\frac{1}{n})g(x_n))$ . By the multiplication theorem  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ((1-\frac{1}{n})g(x_{n_k})) = f(l)$ . So  $f(l) \geq g(l)$  but  $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$  because  $l \in [a,b]$  and  $\forall x \in [a,b]$ , f(x) < g(x).

3