Math101 Homework 1

Jeff Carney

January 23, 2017

1

Let p(n) be the statement: if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ functions $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ from the reals to the reals which are one-to-one and onto, then the composition $f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ ... \circ f_1$ is one-to-one and onto.

Base Case: $n_0 = 2$

 $p(n_0) = \text{if } 2 \text{ functions } f_1, f_2 \text{ from the reals to the reals which are one-to-one and onto, then the composition } f_2 \circ f_1 \text{ is one-to-one and onto.}$

Let f_1, f_2 be any two one-to-one, onto functions from the reals to the reals

Onto:

```
Let x \in R
f_2 is onto so \exists y \in R s.t. f_2(y) = x
f_1 is onto so \exists z \in R s.t. f_1(z) = y
f_2(f_1(z)) = f_2(y) = x, \ \forall x \in R
Therefore, f_2 \circ f_1 is onto \checkmark
\underbrace{\text{One-to-One:}}
Let x, y \in R and assume f_2(f_1(x)) = f_2(f_1(y))
f_2 is one-to-one so f_1(x) = f_1(y)
f_1 is one-to-one so x = y

Thus for any x, y \in R if f_2(f_1(x)) = f_2(f_1(y)), then x = y
Therefore, f_2 \circ f_1 is one-to-one \checkmark
```

Inductive Step:

Suppose p(n) is true for some n

WTS: \forall sets of $n+1 \in N$ functions $f_1, f_2, ..., f_{n+1}$ from reals to reals which are one-to-one and onto, then $f_{n+1} \circ f_n \circ ... \circ f_1$ is one-to-one and onto

Let $f_1, f_2, ..., f_{n+1}$ be any functions from reals to reals which are one-to-one and onto.

$$f_{n+1} \circ f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_1 = f_{n+1} \circ (f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_1)$$

 $(f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_1)$ is one-to-one and onto by our inductive hypothesis. Thus $f_n n + 1 \circ (f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_1)$ is the composition of two one-to-one and onto functions. Therefore, by our base case $f_n n + 1 \circ (f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_1)$ is one-to-one and onto.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Let $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ be any invertible functions from reals to reals and let $f_1^{-1}, f_2^{-1}, ..., f_n^{-1}$ be there respective inverses. And let $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$f_{1} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-1} \circ f_{n} \circ f_{n}^{-1} \circ f_{n-1}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{1}^{-1}(x)$$

$$= (f_{1} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-1}) \circ f_{n} \circ f_{n}^{-1}(f_{n-1}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{1}^{-1}(x))$$

$$= (f_{1} \circ \dots \circ f_{n-2}) \circ f_{n-1} \circ f_{n-1}^{-1}(f_{n-2}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{1}^{-1}(x))$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= f_{1} \circ f_{1}^{-1}(x)$$

$$= r$$

Therefore, the inverse of the function $f_1\circ\ldots\circ f_{n-1}\circ f_n$ is the function $f_n^{-1}\circ f_n^{-1}\circ\ldots\circ f_1^{-1}\circ\ldots\circ f_1^{-1}$

3

We are given $A \subseteq R$

Let $p(n) = \text{if } B_1, ..., B_n \subseteq R \text{ then } A \cap (B_1 \cup ... \cup B_n) = (A \cap B_1) \cup ... \cup (A \cap B_n)$

Base Case: $n_0 = 2$ Let $B_1, B_2 \subseteq R$

> WTS: $A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2) = (A \cap B_1) \cup (A \cap B_1)$ To show this we will show that $A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2) \subseteq (A \cap B_1) \cup (A \cap B_1)$ and $A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2) \supseteq (A \cap B_1) \cup (A \cap B_1)$

 $A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2) \supseteq (A \cap B_1) \cup (A \cap B_1)$:

```
Let x \in (A \cap B_1) \cup (A \cap B_1)
                                           (A \cap B_1) \subseteq B_1 and (A \cap B_2) \subseteq B_2 \Rightarrow x \in (B_1 \cup B_2)
                                           (A \cap B_1) \subseteq A and (A \cap B_2) \subseteq A \Rightarrow x \in A
                                                             Thus, x \in A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2)
                            A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2) \subseteq (A \cap B_1) \cup (A \cap B_1):
                                           Let x \in A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2), so x \in A, x \in (B_1 \cup B_2).
                                           Either x \in B_1 or x \in B_2
                                           If x \in B_1 \Rightarrow x \in (A \cup B_1)
                                           If x \in B_2 \Rightarrow x \in (A \cup B_2)
                                           So, x \in (A \cup B_1) or x \in (A \cup B_2)
                                           x \in (A \cup B_1) \cup (A \cup B_2)
Inductive Step:
          Suppose p(n) is true for some n
          Let B_1, ..., B_{n+1} \subseteq R
          WTS: A \cap (B_1 \cup ... \cup B_{n+1}) = (A \cap B_1) \cup ... \cup (A \cap B_{n+1})
          By the inductive hypothesis: (A \cap B_1) \cup ... \cup (A \cap B_n) = A \cap (B_1 \cup ... \cup B_n).
          Thus, (A \cap B_1) \cup ... \cup (A \cap B_n) \cup (A \cap B_{n+1}) = ((A \cap (B_1 \cup ... \cup B_n)) \cup (A \cap B_n) \cup (A \cap B_n)
          (A \cap B_{n+1})
          =A\cap (B_1\cup ...\cup B_{n+1}) by our base case
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 4
Let p(n) = a set of n real numbers has a smallest element
Base Case: n_0 = 2
          Let \{a,b\} \subseteq R. By definition of a set a \neq b.
                                           \Rightarrow a is the smallest element in the set
```

 \Rightarrow b is the smallest element in the set

Case 2: a > b

So there must be a smallest element in a set of two real numbers

/

Inductive Step:

Suppose p(n) is true for some n.

WTS: a set of n+1 real numbers has a smallest element

Let $A = x_1, ..., x_{n+1} \subseteq R$. Let $x_a \in x_1, ..., x_n \subseteq A$ s.t. $1 \le a \le n$ where x_a is the smallest element in the set $x_1, ..., x_n$. Note that x_a exists by the inductive hypothesis.

In the set A, there are two possible cases:

Case 1: $x_a < x_{n+1} \Rightarrow x_a$ is the smallest element in A

Case 1: $x_a > x_{n+1} \Rightarrow x_{n+1}$ is the smallest element in A

In either case there is a smallest element.

5

Claim: The product of any finite number of odd numbers is odd. Let p(n) = product of n odd numbers is odd

Base Case: $n_0 = 2$

 $p(n_0) = \text{product of 2 odd numbers is odd}$

Let l, m be any odd numbers $\therefore \exists k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. l = 2k + 1 and m = 2j + 1

$$l \cdot m = (2k+1)(2l+1)$$

= $4kj + 2k + 2j + 1$

$$=2(2kj+k+j)+1$$

(2kj + k + j) is an integer so, by the definition of an odd number, $l \cdot m$ is odd. \checkmark

Inductive Step:

Suppose p(n) is true for some n

Let $m_1, m_2, ..., m_{n+1}$ be odd numbers.

 $m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot m_n$ is an odd number by the inductive hypothesis.

Thus, $(m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot ... \cdot m_n) \cdot m_{n+1}$ is a product of two odd numbers, which, by our base case, is odd.