

Additional Considerations

When porting your code, consider the following points:

- The following assumption is no longer valid:

```
#ifdef _WIN32 // Win32 code
...
#else        // Win16 code
...
#endif
```

However, the 64-bit compiler defines `_WIN32` for backward compatibility.

- The following assumption is no longer valid:

```
#ifdef _WIN16 // Win16 code
...
#else        // Win32 code
...
#endif
```

In this case, the else clause can represent `_WIN32` or `_WIN64`.

- Be careful with data-type alignment. The **TYPE_ALIGNMENT** macro returns the alignment requirements of a data type. For example: `TYPE_ALIGNMENT(KFLOATING_SAVE) == 4` on x86, 8 on Intel Itanium processor `TYPE_ALIGNMENT(UCHAR) == 1` everywhere
As an example, kernel code that currently looks like this:

```
ProbeForRead( UserBuffer, UserBufferLength, sizeof(ULONG) );
```

should probably be changed to:

```
ProbeForRead( UserBuffer, UserBufferLength, TYPE_ALIGNMENT(IOCTL_STRUC) );
```

Automatic fixes of kernel-mode alignment exceptions are disabled for Intel Itanium systems.

- Be careful with NOT operations. Consider the following:

```
UINT_PTR a;  
ULONG b;  
a = a & ~(b - 1);
```

The problem is that $\sim(b-1)$ produces "0x0000 0000 xxxx xxxx" and not "0xFFFF FFFF xxxx xxxx". The compiler will not detect this. To fix this, change the code as follows:

```
a = a & ~((UINT_PTR)b - 1);
```

- Be careful performing unsigned and signed operations. Consider the following:

```
LONG a;  
ULONG b;  
LONG c;  
  
a = -10;  
b = 2;  
c = a / b;
```

The result is unexpectedly large. The rule is that if either operand is unsigned, the result is unsigned. In the preceding example, *a* is converted to an unsigned value, divided by *b*, and the result stored in *c*. The conversion involves no numeric manipulation.

As another example, consider the following:

```
ULONG x;  
LONG y;
```

```
LONG *pVar1;  
LONG *pVar2;  
  
pVar2 = pVar1 + y * (x - 1);
```

The problem arises because `x` is unsigned, which makes the entire expression unsigned. This works fine unless `y` is negative. In this case, `y` is converted to an unsigned value, the expression is evaluated using 32-bit precision, scaled, and added to `pVar1`. A 32-bit unsigned negative number becomes a large 64-bit positive number, which gives the wrong result. To fix this problem, declare `x` as a signed value or explicitly typecast it to **LONG** in the expression.

- Be careful when making piecemeal size allocations. For example:

```
struct xx {  
    DWORD NumberOfPointers;  
    PVOID Pointers[100];  
};
```

The following code is wrong because the compiler will pad the structure with an additional 4 bytes to make the 8-byte alignment:

```
malloc(sizeof(DWORD) + 100*sizeof(PVOID));
```

The following code is correct:

```
malloc(sizeof(struct xx, Pointers) + 100*sizeof(PVOID));
```

- Do not pass `(HANDLE)0xFFFFFFFF` to functions such as [CreateFileMapping](#). Instead, use **INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE**.
- Use the proper format specifiers when printing a string. Use `%p` to print pointers in hexadecimal. This is the best choice for printing pointers. Microsoft Visual C++ supports `%l` to print polymorphic data. Visual C++ also supports `%I64` to print values that are 64 bits.