

# Commutate Conditions

## Definition: Simultaneously Triangularizable

Let  $A, B \in M_n$ . To say that  $A$  and  $B$  are simultaneously triangularizable means there exists unitary matrix  $U$  such that:

$$U^*AU = T_A \text{ and } U^*BU = T_B$$

where  $T_A, T_B \in UT(n)$ .

## Theorem

Let  $A, B \in M_n$ :

$AB = BA \implies A$  and  $B$  are simultaneously triangularizable.

## Definition

Let  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ :

$$S - T = \{s - t \mid s \in S \text{ and } t \in T\}$$

## Theorem

Let  $A, B \in M_n$ :

$$AB = BA \implies \sigma(A - B) \subseteq \sigma(A) - \sigma(B)$$

## Example

Thus,  $\sigma(A - B) \not\subseteq \sigma(A) - \sigma(B) \implies AB \neq BA$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma(A) = \{1, 2\} \text{ and } \sigma(B) = 0, 1$$

$$\sigma(A) - \sigma(B) = \{1 - 0, 1 - 1, 2 - 0, 2 - 1\} = \{0, 1, 1, 2\} = \{0, 1, 2\}$$

$$A - B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$p_{A-B}(t) = \det \begin{bmatrix} t-2 & 1 \\ -2 & t \end{bmatrix} = t(t-2) + 2 = t^2 - 2t + 2$$

$$\sigma(A - B) = \{1 \pm 2i\} \not\subseteq \{0, 1, 2\} = \sigma(A) - \sigma(B)$$

So  $A$  and  $B$  do not commute:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$AB \neq BA$$

### Proof

Assume  $AB = BA$

$A$  and  $B$  are simultaneously triangularizable

Let  $U$  be the necessary unitary matrix

$$U^*(A - B)U = U^*AU - U^*BU = T_A - T_B$$

So  $\sigma(A - B)$  is from the diagonal entries of  $T_A - T_B$ , which is a subset of all possible differences, represented by  $\sigma(A) - \sigma(B)$

$$\therefore \sigma(A - B) \subseteq \sigma(A) - \sigma(B)$$

### **Theorem**

Let  $A, B \in M_n$ :

$AB = BA \implies A$  and  $B$  have a common eigenvector.

### Proof

Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  with eigenvector  $\vec{x}$

$$A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$$

Consider  $B\vec{x}$

Case 1:  $B\vec{x} = 0$

$$\vec{x} \in \text{Eig}_B(0)$$

Therefore  $\vec{x}$  is a common eigenvector.

Case 2:  $B\vec{x} \neq 0$

$$A(B\vec{x}) = BA\vec{x} = B(\lambda\vec{x}) = \lambda(B\vec{x})$$

So  $B\vec{x} \in \text{Eig}_A(\lambda)$

Thus  $\{B\vec{x} \mid \vec{x} \in \text{Eig}_A(\lambda)\} \subseteq \text{Eig}_A(\lambda)$

Let  $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_r\}$  be a basis for  $\text{Eig}_A(\lambda)$

Extend the set to a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^n : \{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_r, \vec{x}_{r+1}, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$

AWLOG that this is an orthonormal basis (otherwise use Gram-Schmidt)

Let  $U = [\vec{x}_1 \ \cdots \ \vec{x}_r \ \vec{x}_{r+1} \ \cdots \ \vec{x}_n]$ , thus a unitary matrix

$$BU = [B\vec{x}_1 \ \cdots \ B\vec{x}_r \ B\vec{x}_{r+1} \ \cdots \ B\vec{x}_n]$$

But note that:

$$B\vec{x}_k \in \begin{cases} \text{Eig}_A(\lambda) & 1 \leq k \leq r \\ \mathbb{C}^n & r+1 \leq k \leq n \end{cases}$$

and so:

$$\begin{aligned}
 BU &= \begin{bmatrix} \vec{x}_1 & \cdots & \vec{x}_r & \vec{x}_{r+1} & \cdots & \vec{x}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1,1} & k_{1,2} & \cdots & k_{1,r} & k_{1,r+1} & \cdots & k_{1,n} \\ k_{2,1} & k_{2,2} & \cdots & k_{2,r} & k_{2,r+1} & \cdots & k_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ k_{r,1} & k_{r,2} & \cdots & k_{r,r} & k_{r,r+1} & \cdots & k_{r,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & k_{r+1,r+1} & \cdots & k_{r+1,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & k_{n,r+1} & \cdots & k_{n,n} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= U \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ 0 & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{11}, C_{12} \in M_r$  and  $C_{22} \in M_{n-(r+1)}$

Select an eigenvector  $\vec{z}$  of  $C_{11}$  with respect to eigenvalue  $\mu$

$$C_{11}\vec{z} = \mu\vec{z}$$

Consider  $U \begin{bmatrix} \vec{z} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$U \begin{bmatrix} \vec{z} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is a linear combination of  $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_r\}$  and is thus in  $\text{Eig}_A(\lambda)$

But also:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B \left( U \begin{bmatrix} \vec{z} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) &= U \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ 0 & C_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{z} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= U \begin{bmatrix} C_{11}\vec{z} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= U \begin{bmatrix} \mu\vec{z} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \mu \left( U \begin{bmatrix} \vec{z} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $U \begin{bmatrix} \vec{z} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Eig}_B(\mu)$