

# Sufficient Conditions for Differentiability

The Cauchy-Riemann equations holding is a necessary condition for differentiability; however, it is not sufficient.

## Example

Let:

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^3-y^3}{x^2+y^2} + i \frac{x^3+y^3}{x^2+y^2}, & z \neq 0 \\ 0, & z = 0 \end{cases}$$

Is it differentiable at  $z = 0$ ?

$$u_x(0,0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x,0) - u(0,0)}{x - 0} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x - 0}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} 1 = 1$$

$$v_y(0,0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(0,y) - u(0,0)}{0 - y} = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{y - 0}{y} = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{y}{y} = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} 1 = 1$$

$$v_x(0,0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x,0) - v(0,0)}{x - 0} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x - 0}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} 1 = 1$$

$$u_y(0,0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(0,y) - u(0,0)}{0 - y} = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{-y - 0}{y} = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{-y}{y} = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} -1 = -1$$

$$u_x = v_y \text{ and } v_x = -u_y$$

$$f'(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z - 0} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z) - 0}{z} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z)}{z}$$

$(x,0) \rightarrow (0,0)$ :

$$f'(z) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x + ix}{x - 0} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x + ix}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} 1 + i = 1 + i$$

$(0,y) \rightarrow (0,0)$ :

$$f'(z) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{-y + iy}{y - 0} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{-y + iy}{y} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} -1 + i = -1 + i$$

Thus CR holds; however  $f'(z)$  DNE.

## Theorem

Let  $f(z) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y)$  be defined in some domain  $D$ :

$u_x, u_y, v_x, v_y$  exist, are continuous, and satisfy CR in  $D \implies f$  is differentiable in  $D$ .

### Proof

Assume  $u_x, u_y, v_x, v_y$  exist, are continuous, and satisfy CR in  $D$

Assume  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0 \in D$

There exists some  $N_\epsilon(z_0) \in D$  where the assumptions hold

Let  $\Delta z = \Delta x + i\Delta y$  where  $0 < |\Delta z| < \epsilon$

Since the first order partials exist:

$$du = u_x dx + u_y dy \text{ and } dv = v_x dx + v_y dy$$

Since the first order partials are continuous:

$$\Delta u = u_x \Delta x + u_y \Delta y + \epsilon_1 \Delta x + \epsilon_2 \Delta y \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta v = v_x \Delta x + v_y \Delta y + \epsilon_3 \Delta x + \epsilon_4 \Delta y$$

where the epsilons go to 0 as  $(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow (0, 0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w &= \Delta u + i\Delta v \\ &= u_x \Delta x + u_y \Delta y + \epsilon_1 \Delta x + \epsilon_2 \Delta y + i(v_x \Delta x + v_y \Delta y + \epsilon_3 \Delta x + \epsilon_4 \Delta y) \end{aligned}$$

Because CR holds, let  $u_y = -v_x$  and  $v_y = u_x$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w &= u_x \Delta x - v_x \Delta y + \epsilon_1 \Delta x + \epsilon_2 \Delta y + i(v_x \Delta x + u_x \Delta y + \epsilon_3 \Delta x + \epsilon_4 \Delta y) \\ &= u_x (\Delta x + i\Delta y) + v_x (i\Delta x - \Delta y) + (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3) \Delta x + (\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_4) \Delta y \\ &= u_x (\Delta x + i\Delta y) + i v_x (\Delta x + i\Delta y) + (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3) \Delta x + (\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_4) \Delta y \\ &= u_x (\Delta z) + i v_x (\Delta z) + (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3) \Delta x + (\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_4) \Delta y \\ \frac{\Delta w}{\Delta z} &= u_x + i v_x + (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3) \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta z} + (\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_4) \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta z} \end{aligned}$$

But  $|\Delta x| \leq |\Delta z|$  and  $|\Delta y| \leq |\Delta z|$  and so:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_3) \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta z} \right| &\leq |\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_3| \leq |\epsilon_1| + |\epsilon_3| \\ \left| (\epsilon_2 + i\epsilon_4) \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta z} \right| &\leq |\epsilon_2 + i\epsilon_4| \leq |\epsilon_2| + |\epsilon_4| \end{aligned}$$

and therefore:

$$f'(z) = \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta w}{\Delta z} = u_x + i v_x + 0 + 0 = u_x + i v_x = f_x$$

and:

$$f'(z) = v_y - i u_y = -i(u_y + i v_y) = -i f_y$$

### Theorem

$$f'(z) \text{ exists} \implies f_{\bar{z}} = 0$$

### Proof

Assume  $f'(z)$  exists

$$\begin{aligned}f_{\bar{z}} &= f_x x_{\bar{z}} + f_y y_{\bar{z}} \\f_x &= u_x + i v_x \\f_y &= u_y + i v_y \\x_{\bar{z}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left( \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \\y_{\bar{z}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left( \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i} \right) = -\frac{1}{2i} = \frac{1}{2}i \\f_{\bar{z}} &= \frac{1}{2}(u_x + i v_x) + \frac{1}{2}i(u_y + i v_y) \\&= \frac{1}{2}(u_x + i v_x) + \frac{1}{2}(-v_y + i u_y) \\&= \frac{1}{2}(u_x - v_y) + i \frac{1}{2}(v_x + u_y) \\&= \frac{1}{2}(0) + i \frac{1}{2}(0) \\&= 0 + i0 \\&= 0\end{aligned}$$

### Example

$$f(z) = z + \bar{z} = 2x$$

We already know that this is only differentiable at  $z = 0$ . Otherwise:

$$f_{\bar{z}} = 1 \neq 0$$

$u = 2x$  and  $v = 0$ , so the first-order partials exist and are continuous; however, CR only holds at  $z = 0$ .