

1.1.6

Construct the addition table for $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$$

\oplus	(0, 0)	(0, 1)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)		$*$	e	a	b	c	
(0, 0)	(0, 0)	(0, 1)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)	\Rightarrow	e	e	a	b	c	$\Rightarrow K_4$
(0, 1)	(0, 1)	(0, 0)	(1, 1)	(1, 0)		a	a	e	c	b	
(1, 0)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)	(0, 0)	(0, 1)		b	b	c	e	a	
(1, 1)	(1, 1)	(1, 0)	(0, 1)	(0, 0)		c	c	b	a	e	

1.1.8

Let \sim be a relation on $\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$ defined by: $a \sim b \iff a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$

a) Prove: \sim is a congruence relation.

First, prove that \sim is an equivalence relation.

R: Assume $a \in \mathbb{Q}$

$$a - a = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\therefore a \sim a$$

S: Assume $a \sim b$

$$a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$-(a - b) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$b - a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\therefore b \sim a$$

T: Assume $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$

$$a - b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } b - c \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(a - b) + (b - c) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$a - c \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\therefore a \sim c$$

$\therefore \sim$ is an equivalence relation.

Now show that \sim is a congruence relation.

Assume $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$
 Assume $a_1 \sim a_2$ and $b_1 \sim b_2$
 $a_1 - a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b_1 - b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $(a_1 + b_1) - (a_2 + b_2) = (a_1 - a_2) + (b_1 - b_2) \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $a_1 + b_1 \sim a_2 + b_2$
 $\therefore \sim$ is a congruence relation.

b) Prove: \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is an infinite abelian group.

$\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$ is an abelian group (and thus a monoid)
 From part (a), \sim is a congruence relation on $\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$
 \therefore by Theorem 1.5, G/Z is an abelian group under the binary operation $\bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$.

To show that G/Z is infinite, start by noting that if $a \sim b$ and $a \neq b$ then $|a - b| \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.
 Thus, given $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, the closest related values are $a + 1$ and $a - 1$.

Assume $a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and consider the interval $(a_0, a_0 + 1)$.
 a_0 is related to nothing else in this interval.

Claim: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a unique equivalence class representative in $(a_0, a_0 + 1)$.

Proof by induction on n (the number of steps)

Base: $n = 1$

By the density of \mathbb{Q} there exists a_1 not yet selected in $(a_0, a_0 + 1)$.
 $|a_0 - a_1| < 1$, so $a_0 \approx a_1$ and thus $\bar{a}_0 \neq \bar{a}_1$.

Assume that n unique representatives have been selected in $(a_0, a_0 + 1)$ by selecting $a_k \in (a_0, a_{k-1})$.

Consider the $(n + 1)$ step.

By the density of \mathbb{Q} there exists $a_{n+1} \in (a_0, a_n)$ not yet selected.

$|a_{n+1} - a_k| < 1, 1 \leq k \leq n$

So $a_{n+1} \approx a_k$ and thus $\bar{a}_{n+1} \neq \bar{a}_k$.

Let A be the set of unique equivalence class representatives selected in this fashion. Since A has a one-to-one correspondence with \mathbb{N} , A is an infinite set. But since $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is also infinite.

1.1.9

Let p be a prime number.

a) Let $R_p = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid (p, b) = 1 \right\}$. Prove $\langle R_p, + \rangle$ is an abelian group.

$R_p \subset \mathbb{Q}$

Assume $r = \frac{a}{b} \in R_p$

$\frac{a}{b}$ may not be in lowest form, so there is a possible issue with R_p being well-formed.

Let $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a'}{b'}$, where $\frac{a'}{b'}$ is in lowest form.

Let $d = (a, b)$

$$b' = \frac{b}{d}$$

$$b = b'd$$

$b' \mid b$, so $(b, b') = b'$

$$(p, b) = 1$$

$$(p, b, b') = ((p, b), b') = (1, b') = 1$$

$$(p, b, b') = (p, (b, b')) = (p, b')$$

$$(p, b') = 1$$

$\therefore \frac{a'}{b'} \in R_p$ and R_p is well-defined.

Assume $r, s \in R_p$

$$\exists a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, r = \frac{a_1}{b_1}, b_1 \neq 0, (p, b_1) = 1$$

$$\exists a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, s = \frac{a_2}{b_2}, b_2 \neq 0, (p, b_2) = 1$$

$$r + s = \frac{a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1}{b_1 b_2} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$b_1 \neq 0$ and $b_2 \neq 0$ so $b_1 b_2 \neq 0$

$p \nmid b_1$ and $p \nmid b_2$ so $p \nmid b_1 b_2$

But p is prime and thus has no divisors other than p and 1, so $(p, b_1 b_2) = 1$

$\therefore r + s \in R_p$ and $\langle R_p, + \rangle$ is closed.

$\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$ is associative, so $\langle R_p, + \rangle$ is associative.

$$(p, 1) = 1, \text{ so } \frac{0}{1} \in R_p$$

Assume $r = \frac{a}{b} \in R_p$

$$\frac{0}{1} + r = \frac{0}{1} + \frac{a}{b} = \frac{0b+1a}{1b} = \frac{a}{b} = r$$

$$r + \frac{0}{1} = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{0}{1} = \frac{1a+0b}{1b} = \frac{a}{b} = r$$

$\therefore \frac{0}{1}$ is a two-sided identity for R_p .

Assume $r = \frac{a}{b} \in R_p$

$$-r = -\frac{a}{b} = \frac{(-a)}{b} \in R_p$$

$$-r + r = -\frac{a}{b} + \frac{a}{b} = 0 = \frac{0}{1}$$

$$r + (-r) = \frac{a}{b} - \frac{a}{b} = 0 = \frac{0}{1}$$

$\therefore -r$ is a two-sided inverse for r .

$\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$ is commutative, so $\langle R_p, + \rangle$ is commutative.

$\therefore \langle R_p, + \rangle$ is an abelian group.

b) Let $R^p = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid b = p^n, n \geq 0 \right\}$ Prove $\langle R^p, + \rangle$ is an abelian group.

$$R^p \subset \mathbb{Q}$$

Once again, there are well-formed worries.

Assume $r = \frac{a}{b} \in R^p$.

If $\frac{a}{b}$ is not in lowest form then $\exists \frac{a'}{b'} \in R^p$ in lowest form such that $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a'}{b'}$.

Assume $d = (a, b)$

$$a' = \frac{a}{d} \text{ and } b' = \frac{b}{d}$$

But all the factors of b are non-negative powers of p ,

so d must also be a non-negative power of p .

Since $d \leq b$, b' must also be a non-negative power of p .

$\therefore R^p$ is well-defined.

Assume $r, s \in R_p$

$\exists a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, r = \frac{a_1}{b_1}, b_1 = p^{k_1}, k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\} \exists a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, s = \frac{a_2}{b_2}, b_2 = p^{k_2}, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$

$r + s = \frac{a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1}{b_1 b_2} \in \mathbb{Q}$

$a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$b_1 \neq 0$ and $b_2 \neq 0$ so $b_1 b_2 \neq 0$

$b_1 b_2 = p^{k_1} p^{k_2} = p^{k_1 + k_2}$

But $k_1 + k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$

$\therefore r + s \in R^p$ and $\langle R^p, + \rangle$ is closed.

$\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$ is associative, so $\langle R^p, + \rangle$ is associative.

$p^0 = 1$, so $\frac{0}{1} \in R^p$

Assume $r = \frac{a}{b} \in R_p$

$\frac{0}{1} + r = \frac{0}{1} + \frac{a}{b} = \frac{0b + 1a}{1b} = \frac{a}{b} = r$

$r + \frac{0}{1} = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{0}{1} = \frac{1a + 0b}{1b} = \frac{a}{b} = r$

$\therefore \frac{0}{1}$ is a two-sided identity for R_p .

Assume $r = \frac{a}{b} \in R_p$

$-r = -\frac{a}{b} = \frac{(-a)}{b} \in R_p$

$-r + r = -\frac{a}{b} + \frac{a}{b} = 0 = \frac{0}{1}$

$r + (-r) = \frac{a}{b} - \frac{a}{b} = 0 = \frac{0}{1}$

$\therefore -r$ is a two-sided inverse for r .

$\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$ is commutative, so $\langle R^p, + \rangle$ is commutative.

$\therefore \langle R^p, + \rangle$ is an abelian group.

1.1.12

Let G be a group such that $\forall a, b \in G, \exists r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, bab^{-1} = a^r$.

Prove: $\forall a, b \in G, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, b^n ab^{-n} = a^{r^n}$

Proof by induction on n

Base: $n = 1$

$$b^1 ab^{-1} = bab^{-1} = a^r = a^{r^1}$$

Assume $\forall a, b \in G, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, b^n ab^{-n} = a^{r^n}$

Consider $(n + 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b^{n+1}ab^{-(n+1)} &= b(b^nab^{-n})b^{-1} \\
 &= b(a^{r^n})b^{-1} \\
 &= b(b^{-1}ba)^{r^n}b^{-1} \\
 &= (bb^{-1})(bab^{-1})^{r^n} \\
 &= e(a^r)^{r^n} \\
 &= (a^r)^{r^n} \\
 &= a^{rr^n} \\
 &= a^{r^{(n+1)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

1.1.13

Let G be a group. Prove: $(\forall a \in G, a^2 = e) \implies G$ is abelian.

Assume $\forall a \in G, a^2 = e$

Assume $a, b \in G$

$ab \in G$

$(ab)^2 = e$

$(ab)(ab) = e$

$a(ab)(ab)b = aeb$

$(aa)(ba)(bb) = ab$

$ebae = ab$

$ba = ab$

$\therefore G$ is abelian.

1.1.15

Let G be a semigroup such that the left and right cancellation rules hold.

a) Prove: G is finite $\implies G$ is a group.

Assume G is finite

Let $|G| = n$

Assume $a \in G$

By closure of the binary operation, $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a^m \in G$

Let $S = \{a^m \mid 1 \leq m \leq n + 1\}$

$S \subseteq G$, but $|S| = n + 1 > n = |G|$

Thus, S must have duplicates

Assume $a^j = a^k, j < k$

Case 1: $j = 1$

$$a = a^k = a^{k-1+1} = a^{k-j+1}$$

Case 2: $j > 1$

G is associative, and thus so is S

$$aa^{j-1} = a^{k-j+1}a^{j-1}$$

So, by right cancellation, $a = a^{k-j+1}$

Thus, combining the two cases, $a = a^{k-j+1} \in S$ and also in G

G is a semigroup and is thus associative.

Now, assume $b \in G$

$$ba = ba^{k-j+1} = ba^{k-j}a$$

By right cancellation, $b = ba^{k-j}$

$$ab = a^{k-j+1}b = aa^{k-j}b$$

By left cancellation, $b = a^{k-j}b$

$\therefore a^{k-j}$ is a two-sided identity for G .

Remember that a was selected as any arbitrary element in G

Since $e \in G$, $e = a^0$ is defined

$$a = a^{k-j+1}$$

$$ea = a^{k-j-1}aa$$

By right cancellation, $e = a^{k-j-1}a$

$$ae = aad^{k-j-1}$$

By left cancellation, $e = aa^{k-j-1}$

$\therefore a^{k-j-1}$ is a two-sided inverse for a .

$\therefore G$ is a group.

- b) If G is infinite, then the implication does not hold. As a counter-example, consider $\langle \mathbb{Z}^+, + \rangle$. It is a semigroup and the cancellation rules hold; however, there is no identity or inverses and thus it is not a group.