

Divisibility

Division of integers is problematic because it involves an apparent jump to rational numbers. In order to avoid this, division of integers is defined in terms of multiplication:

Definition

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0$. To say that n *divides* m , denoted $n \mid m$, means:

$$\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, m = kn$$

The integer n is called a *divisor* or *factor* of m and m is called a *multiple* of n .

Theorem

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a \mid b \implies a \leq b$$

Proof

Assume $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

Assume $a \mid b$

$$\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, b = ka$$

ABC: $a > b$

$$a > ka$$

CONTRADICTION!

$$\therefore a \leq b$$

Theorem

Divisibility is transitive:

$$\forall, a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a \mid b \text{ and } b \mid c \implies a \mid c$$

Proof

Assume $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$

Assume $a \mid b$ and $b \mid c$

$$\exists h, k, b = ha \text{ and } c = kb$$

$$c = k(ha) = (kh)a$$

But, by closure, $kh \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\therefore a \mid c$$

Theorem

$\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, c \mid a \text{ and } c \mid b \implies \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, c \mid (ma + nb)$

Proof

Assume $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$

Assume $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$

$\exists h, k \in \mathbb{Z}, a = hc$ and $b = kc$

Assume $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$ma = m(hc) = (mh)c$

$nb = n(kc) = (nk)c$

$ma + nb = (mh)c + (nk)c = (mh + nk)c$

But, by closure, $mh + nk \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\therefore c \mid ma + nb$