

Bases

Definition: Basis

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T}$. To say that \mathcal{B} is a *basis* for \mathcal{T} means that:

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{T}, \exists \mathcal{B}_U \subset \mathcal{B}, U = \bigcup \mathcal{B}_U$$

The elements of \mathcal{B} are called *basis elements* or *basic open sets*.

Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T}$. \mathcal{B} is a basis for \mathcal{T} iff:

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{T}, \forall p \in U, \exists V \in \mathcal{B}, p \in V \subset U$$

Proof.

\Rightarrow Assume that \mathcal{B} is a basis for \mathcal{T} .

Assume $U \in \mathcal{T}$. This means that there exists $\mathcal{B}_U \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that $U = \bigcup \mathcal{B}_U$. Now, assume that $p \in U$. Thus, $p \in \bigcup \mathcal{B}_U$ and therefore there exists some $V \in \mathcal{B}_U$ such that $p \in V \subset U$.

\Leftarrow Assume $\forall U \in \mathcal{T}, \forall p \in U, \exists V \in \mathcal{B}, p \in V \subset U$

Assume that $U \in \mathcal{T}$. For each $p \in U$, choose a set $V_p \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $p \in V_p \subset U$. Thus $U = \bigcup_{p \in U} V_p$ and so every $U \in \mathcal{T}$ is generated by \mathcal{B} . Therefore \mathcal{B} is a basis for \mathcal{T} . ■

Example

Let \mathcal{T} be the standard topology on \mathbb{R} . Show that the following are bases for \mathcal{T} :

1. $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

All the (a, b) are open and hence $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}$. So assume $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and assume $p \in U$. Since U is open, there exists an open ball $B(p, \epsilon) \subset U$. Since there exists an infinite number of rationals between any two reals, select two rationals $a \in (p - \epsilon, p)$ and $b \in (p, p + \epsilon)$. Thus $(a, b) \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $p \in (a, b) \subset U$. Therefore, by the previous theorem, \mathcal{B}_1 is a basis for \mathcal{T} .

2. $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{(a, b) \cup (c, d) \subset \mathbb{R} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } a < b < c < d\}$

All the $(a, b) \cup (c, d)$ are unions of open sets, so they are open as well and hence $\mathcal{B}_2 \subset \mathcal{T}$. So assume $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and assume $p \in U$. Since U is open, there exists an open ball $B(p, \epsilon) \subset U$. Since there exists an infinite number of irrationals between any two real numbers, select

four irrationals as follows:

$$a \in (p - \epsilon, p)$$

$$b \in (p, p + \epsilon)$$

$$c \in (b, p + \epsilon)$$

$$d \in (c, p + \epsilon)$$

Thus $a < b < c < d$ and so $(a, b) \cup (c, d) \in \mathcal{B}_2$. Furthermore, $p \in (a, b) \cup (c, d) \subset U$. Therefore, by the previous theorem, \mathcal{B}_2 is a basis for \mathcal{T} .

Theorem

Let X be a set and let $\mathcal{B} \subset 2^X$. \mathcal{B} is a basis for some topology \mathcal{T} on X iff:

1. $\forall p \in X, \exists V_p \in \mathcal{B}, p \in V_p$
2. $\forall U, V \in \mathcal{B}, \forall p \in U \cap V, \exists W \in \mathcal{B}, p \in W \subset U \cap V$

Proof.

\Rightarrow Assume \mathcal{B} is a basis for some topology \mathcal{T} on X .

Since $X \in \mathcal{T}$, (1) must hold by the previous theorem. So assume $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$. If $U \cap V = \emptyset$ then (2) is vacuously true, so assume that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and assume $p \in U \cap V$. Since $U, V \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T}$, both U and V are open and hence $U \cap V$ is open. So there exists some $U_p \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $p \in U_p \subset U \cap V$. But U_p is generated by \mathcal{B} , and so there must exist some $W \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $p \in W \subset U \cap V$. Therefore (2) holds as well.

\Leftarrow Assume that properties (1) and (2) hold.

WTS: $\mathcal{T} = \{U \subset 2^X \mid \exists \mathcal{B}_U \subset \mathcal{B}, U = \bigcup \mathcal{B}_U\}$ is a topology on X .

First, consider \emptyset . Since $\emptyset \subset \mathcal{B}$ and \emptyset is the union of no sets, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$.

Next, consider X . By (1), X is generated by \mathcal{B} and hence $X \in \mathcal{T}$.

Next, assume $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ and let U be generated by $\mathcal{B}_U \subset \mathcal{B}$ and let V be generated by $\mathcal{B}_V \subset \mathcal{B}$, specifically:

$$U = \bigcup \mathcal{B}_U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} B_\alpha$$

$$V = \bigcup \mathcal{B}_V = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$$

Then:

$$U \cap V = \bigcup \mathcal{B}_U \cap \bigcup \mathcal{B}_V = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} B_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A, \lambda \in \Lambda} (B_\alpha \cap B_\lambda)$$

But by (2), each of the $B_\alpha \cap B_\lambda$ is generated by some subset of \mathcal{B} , and hence $U \cap V$ is generated by some subset of \mathcal{B} . Therefore $U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}$.

Finally, assume that $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \subset \mathcal{T}$ and let $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$. But each U_α is generated by some subset of \mathcal{B} and hence U is generated by some subset of \mathcal{B} . Therefore $U \in \mathcal{T}$.

Therefore \mathcal{T} is a topology on X . ■

Theorem: Lower Limit Topology

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{[a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$. \mathcal{B} is a basis for a topology on \mathbb{R} called the *lower limit topology* and denoted by \mathbb{R}_{LL} . It is also known as the *Sorgenfrey line*, denoted by \mathbb{R}_{bad}^1 .

Proof. Assume that $p \in \mathbb{R}$. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B(p, \epsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $a = p - \epsilon$ and $b = p + \epsilon$. Thus $p \in [a, b) \in \mathcal{B}$.

Now, assume that $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $U = [a, b)$ and $V = [c, d)$:

Case 1: $b \leq c$ or $a \geq d$.

The $U \cap V = \emptyset$ and property (2) holds vacuously.

Case 2: $U \subset V$ or $V \subset U$.

AWLOG that $U \subset V$. Then $U \cap V = U$ and so property (2) holds trivially.

Case 3: Otherwise.

AWLOG that $a < c$. Then $U \cap V = [c, b) \in \mathcal{B}$ and so property (2) holds.

Therefore \mathbb{R}_{LL} is a topology on \mathbb{R} . ■

Theorem

On \mathbb{R} , $\mathcal{T}_{std} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{LL}$.

Proof. Consider the basis $B = \{(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for T_{std} and assume $(a, b) \in B$. Then:

$$(a, b) = \bigcup_{x \in (a, b)} [x, b)$$

Thus everything in T_{std} is generated by B , which is generated by \mathcal{T}_{LL} . Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_{std} \subset \mathcal{T}_{LL}$. However, $[a, b)$ is not open in T_{std} . Therefore $\mathcal{T}_{std} \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{LL}$. ■

Definition: Finer

Let X be a set and let \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' be two topologies on X . To say that \mathcal{T}' is *finer* than \mathcal{T} means that $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}'$. Also, T is *coarser*. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{T} \neq \mathcal{T}'$ then the terms *strictly finer* or *coarser* are used.

Example

Give an example of two topologies on \mathbb{R} such that neither is finer than the other, that is, the two topologies are not comparable.

Consider the standard and cocountable topologies on \mathbb{R} . $(0, 1)$ is open in the standard topology; however, $\mathbb{R} - (0, 1)$ is uncountable and hence $(0, 1)$ is not in the cocountable topology. Likewise, $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ is open in the cocountable topology (since \mathbb{Q} is countable); however, since there are an infinite number of rationals between any two irrationals, it is impossible to draw an open ball around any irrational that is a subset of the irrationals and so $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ is not in the standard topology. Therefore the two topologies are not comparable.

Theorem: Double-headed Snake

Let $X = \mathbb{R}_{+00} = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup 0', 0''$ where $0', 0'' \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{R}^+$ and $0' \neq 0''$, and let:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(a, b), \{0'\} \cup (0, c), \{0''\} \cup (0, d) \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$$

\mathcal{B} forms a basis for a topology \mathcal{T} on X called the *double-headed snake* topology.

Proof. Assume that $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+00}$:

Case 1: $x = 0'$

$$x \in \{0'\} \cup (0, c) \in \mathcal{B}$$

Case 2: $x = 0''$

$$x \in \{0''\} \cup (0, d) \in \mathcal{B}$$

Case 3: $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$x \in \left(\frac{x}{2}, x + 1\right) \in \mathcal{B}$$

Therefore property (1) holds.

Next, assume that $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$. If $U \cap V = \emptyset$ or $U \subset V$ or $V \subset U$ then done, so assume otherwise:

Case 1: $U = (a, b)$ and $V = (c, d)$

AWLOG that $a \leq c$. Then $U \cap V = (c, d) \in \mathcal{B}$.

Case 2: $U = (a, b)$ and $V = \{0'\} \cup (0, c)$ or $V = \{0''\} \cup (0, c)$

$$U \cap V = (a, \min\{b, c\}) \in \mathcal{B}$$

Case 3: $U = \{0'\} \cup (0, c)$ or $V = \{0''\} \cup (0, d)$

$$U \cap V = (\min\{c, d\}, \max\{c, d\}) \in \mathcal{B}$$

Therefore property (2) holds.

Therefore the double-headed snake is a topology on \mathbb{R}_{00+} . ■

Theorem

Let \mathcal{T} be the double-headed snake topology on \mathbb{R}_{00+} :

1. Every point in \mathbb{R}_{00+} is a closed set.
2. It is impossible to find disjoint open sets U and V such that $0' \in U$ and $0'' \in V$.

Proof.

1. Assume $x \in \mathbb{R}_{00+}$ and consider $\{x\}$. Let $A = \mathbb{R}_{00+} - \{x\}$:

Case 1: $x = 0'$

$$A = (\{0''\} \cup (0, 2)) \cup \bigcup_{1 < b \in \mathbb{R}^+} (1, b) \in \mathcal{T}$$

Case 2: $x = 0''$

$$A = (\{0'\} \cup (0, 2)) \cup \bigcup_{1 < b \in \mathbb{R}^+} (1, b) \in \mathcal{T}$$

Case 3: $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$A = (\{0'\} \cup (0, x)) \cup (\{0''\} \cup (0, x)) \cup \bigcup_{x < b \in \mathbb{R}^+} (x, b) \in \mathcal{T}$$

Therefore A is open and thus $\{x\}$ is closed.

2. WTS: $\forall U, V \in \mathcal{T}, (0' \in U \text{ and } 0'' \in V \implies U \cap V \neq \emptyset)$

Assume $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ and assume $0' \in U$ and $0'' \in V$. This means that $\{0'\} \cup (0, c) \subset U$ and $\{0''\} \cup (0, d) \subset V$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Since U and V are generated by these and possibly other basis elements, it must be the case that:

$$(\{0'\} \cup (0, c)) \cap (\{0''\} \cup (0, d)) = (0, \min\{c, d\}) \subset U \cap V$$

But $(0, \min\{c, d\}) \neq \emptyset$.

Therefore $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

■