

1.2.1

Let $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of groups.

a) Prove: $\phi(e_G) = e_H$

Assume $a \in G$

$$\phi(ae_G) = \phi(a)$$

$$\phi(ae_G) = \phi(a)\phi(e_G)$$

$$\phi(a)\phi(e_G) = \phi(a)$$

$$\phi(e_Ga) = \phi(a)$$

$$\phi(e_Ga) = \phi(e_G)\phi(a)$$

$$\phi(e_G)\phi(a) = \phi(a)$$

Thus $\phi(e_G)$ is a two-sided identity for H ; however, the identity is unique,
 $\therefore \phi(e_G) = e_H$.

b) Prove: $\phi(a^{-1}) = \phi(a)^{-1}$

Assume $a \in G$

$$\phi(aa^{-1}) = \phi(e_G) = e_H$$

$$\phi(aa^{-1}) = \phi(a)\phi(a^{-1})$$

$$\phi(a)\phi(a^{-1}) = e_H$$

$$\phi(a^{-1}a) = \phi(e_G) = e_H$$

$$\phi(a^{-1}a) = \phi(a^{-1})\phi(a)$$

$$\phi(a^{-1})\phi(a) = e_H$$

Thus $\phi(a^{-1})$ is a two-sided identity for $\phi(a)$ in H ; however, inverses are unique,
 $\therefore \phi(a^{-1}) = \phi(a)^{-1}$.

c) Let $G = H = \langle \mathbb{Z}_2, \cdot \rangle$ and define $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ by $\phi(x) = 0$. G and H are monoids with identity 1 (0 has no inverse). Also:

$$\phi(0x) = \phi(0) = 0 = 00 = \phi(0)\phi(x)$$

$$\phi(x0) = \phi(0) = 0 = 00 = \phi(x)\phi(0)$$

$$\phi(11) = \phi(1) = 0 = 00 = \phi(1)\phi(1)$$

and so ϕ is a homomorphism. However, $\phi(1) = 0 \neq 1$.

1.2.2

Let G be a group and define $\phi : G \rightarrow G$ by $\phi(x) = x^{-1}$.

Prove: G is abelian iff ϕ is an automorphism.

\implies Assume G is abelian

Assume $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$

$$x^{-1} = y^{-1}$$

$$x = y$$

$\therefore \phi$ is an injection

Assume $y \in G$

$$y^{-1} \in G$$

Let $x = y^{-1}$

$$\phi(x) = \phi(y^{-1}) = (y^{-1})^{-1} = y$$

$\therefore \phi$ is a surjection and thus a bijection

Assume $x, y \in G$

$$\phi(xy) = (xy)^{-1} = x^{-1}y^{-1} = \phi(x)\phi(y)$$

$\therefore \phi$ is a homomorphism and thus an isomorphism

$\therefore \phi$ is an automorphism

\Leftarrow Assume ϕ is an automorphism

Assume $x, y \in G$

ϕ is a homomorphism

$$\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y) = x^{-1}y^{-1} = (yx)^{-1} = \phi(yx)$$

But ϕ is a bijection and thus one-to-one, so $xy = yx$

$\therefore G$ is abelian

1.2.5

Let G be a group and $S \subseteq G$ such that $S \neq \emptyset$. Define a relation \sim on G by:

$$a \sim b \iff ab^{-1} \in S$$

Prove: \sim is an equivalence relation $\iff S \leq G$.

\implies Assume \sim is an equivalence relation

S is non-empty by assumption

Assume $a \in S$

$$ae^{-1} = ae = a \in S$$

So $S = \bar{e}$

Assume $a, b \in S$

$$a \sim e \text{ and } b \sim e$$

$$e \sim b \text{ (symmetry)}$$

$$a \sim b \text{ (transitivity)}$$

$$ab^{-1} \in S$$

\therefore by the yucky subgroup test, $S \leq G$.

\Leftarrow Assume $S \leq G$

R: Assume $a \in G$

$$a^{-1} \in G$$

$$e \in S$$

$$aa^{-1} = e \in S$$

$$\therefore a \sim a$$

S: Assume $a \sim b$

$$ab^{-1} \in S$$

$$(ba^{-1})^{-1} \in S$$

But S is a group, so $ba^{-1} \in S$

$$\therefore b \sim a$$

T: Assume $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$

$$ab^{-1} \in S \text{ and } bc^{-1} \in S$$

But S is a group, so by closure, $(ab^{-1})(bc^{-1}) \in S$

$$(ab^{-1})(bc^{-1}) = a(b^{-1}b)c^{-1} = aec^{-1} = ac^{-1} \in S$$

$$\therefore a \sim c$$

$\therefore \sim$ is an equivalence relation.

1.2.6

Let G be a group and let S be a non-empty, finite, subset of G .

Prove: $S \leq G \iff S$ is closed under the induced operation of G .

\implies Assume $S \leq G$

S is a group under the induced operation of G

$\therefore S$ is closed under that operation.

\Leftarrow Assume S is closed under the induced operation of G

S is a finite, non-empty subset of G and closed by assumption

G is associative, and so S is associative

Thus, S is a semigroup

Assume $a, b, c \in S$

Assume $ac = bc$

$$a, b, c \in G$$

$$c^{-1} \in G$$

Thus, $a = b$, so right cancellation works

Likewise, assume $ca = cb$

Thus, $a = b$, so left cancellation works

So by HW 1.1.15, S is a group

$$\therefore S \leq G$$

1.2.9

Let $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of groups and let $A \leq G$ and $B \leq H$.

a) Prove:

1) $\ker \phi \leq G$

$$\ker \phi = \{a \in G \mid \phi(a) = e_H\}$$

Assume $a, b \in \ker \phi$

$$a, b \in G$$

$$ab \in G$$

$$\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b) = e_H e_H = e_H$$

So $ab \in \ker \phi$

$\therefore \ker \phi$ is closed

$$\ker \phi \subseteq G$$

G is associative

$\therefore \ker \phi$ is associative

$$\phi(e_G) = e_H$$

$$\therefore e_G \in \ker \phi$$

Assume $a \in \ker \phi$

$$a \in G \text{ and } a^{-1} \in G$$

$$\phi(a^{-1}) = \phi(a)^{-1} = e_H^{-1} = e_H$$

$$\therefore a^{-1} \in \ker \phi$$

$$\therefore \ker \phi \leq G$$

2) $\phi^{-1}[B] \leq G$

$$\phi^{-1}[B] = \{a \in G \mid \phi(a) \in B\}$$

$$B \leq H, \text{ so } e_H \in B$$

$$\phi(e_G) = e_H \in B$$

$$\therefore e_G \in \phi^{-1}[B] \text{ and } \phi^{-1}[B] \neq \emptyset$$

Assume $a, b \in \phi^{-1}[B]$

$$\phi(a) \in B \text{ and } \phi(b) \in B \text{ and thus } \phi(a)\phi(b) \in B$$

$$a, b \in G \text{ and thus } ab \in G$$

$$\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b) \in B$$

$$\text{So } ab \in \phi^{-1}[B]$$

$$\therefore \phi^{-1}[B] \text{ is closed}$$

$$\phi^{-1}[B] \subseteq G$$

G is associative

$$\therefore \phi^{-1}[B] \text{ is associative}$$

Assume $a \in \phi^{-1}[B]$
 $\phi(a) \in B$
 But B is a group, so $\phi(a)^{-1} \in B$
 $\phi(a)^{-1} = \phi(a^{-1}) \in B$
 $\therefore a^{-1} \in \phi^{-1}[B]$
 $\therefore \phi^{-1}[B] \leq G$

b) Prove: $\phi[A] \leq H$

$$\phi[A] = \{\phi(a) \mid a \in A\}$$

$A \leq G$, so $e_G \in A$

$$\phi(e_G) = e_H$$

$\therefore e_H \in \phi[A]$ and $\phi[A] \neq \emptyset$

Assume $a, b \in \phi[A]$

$\exists x, y \in A, \phi(x) = a$ and $\phi(y) = b$

$xy \in A$

$$\phi(xy) \in \phi[A]$$

$$\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y) = ab \in \phi[A]$$

$\therefore \phi[A]$ is closed

$$\phi[A] \subseteq H$$

H is associative

$\therefore \phi[A]$ is associative

Assume $a \in \phi[A]$

$\exists x \in A, \phi(x) = a$

$x^{-1} \in A$

$$\phi(x^{-1}) \in \phi[A]$$

$$\phi(x^{-1}) = \phi(x)^{-1} = a^{-1}$$

$\therefore a^{-1} \in \phi[A]$

$\therefore \phi[A] \leq H$

1.2.10

Recall:

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$$

\oplus	(0, 0)	(0, 1)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)	\implies	*	e	a	b	c	$\implies K_4$
(0, 0)	(0, 0)	(0, 1)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)		e	e	a	b	c	
(0, 1)	(0, 1)	(0, 0)	(1, 1)	(1, 0)		a	a	e	c	b	
(1, 0)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)	(0, 0)	(0, 1)		b	b	c	e	a	
(1, 1)	(1, 1)	(1, 0)	(0, 1)	(0, 0)		c	c	b	a	e	

So, the possible subgroups are:

$\{e\}$
 $\{e, a\}$
 $\{e, b\}$
 $\{e, c\}$
 $\{e, a, b, c\}$

In order to be isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_4 , K_4 must be cyclic. However, K_4 has only trivial (order 1) and proper (order 2) cyclic subgroups, and so $K_4 \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_4$.

1.2.11

Let G be group. Prove: $Z(G)$ is an abelian subgroup of G .

$$Z(G) = \{a \in G \mid \forall x \in G, ax = xa\}$$

$$e \in G$$

$$\forall x \in G, ex = xe$$

$$\therefore e \in Z(G) \text{ and } Z(G) \neq \emptyset$$

$$\text{Assume } a, b \in Z(G)$$

$$a, b \in G \text{ and so } ab \in G$$

$$\text{Assume } x \in G$$

$$(ab)x = axb = x(ab)$$

$$ab \in Z(G)$$

$$\therefore Z(G) \text{ is closed}$$

$$Z(G) \subseteq G$$

$$G \text{ is associative}$$

$$\therefore Z(G) \text{ is associative}$$

$$\text{Assume } a \in Z(G)$$

$$a \in G \text{ and } a^{-1} \in G$$

$$\text{Assume } x \in G$$

$$a^{-1}x = (x^{-1}a)^{-1} = (ax^{-1})^{-1} = xa^{-1}$$

$$\therefore a^{-1} \in Z(G)$$

$$\therefore Z(G) \leq G$$

$$\text{Assume } a, b \in Z(G)$$

$$a, b \in G$$

$$\text{By definition, } ab = ba$$

$$\therefore Z(G) \text{ is abelian.}$$

1.2.13

Let $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of groups and $G = \langle a \rangle$.
Prove: ϕ is completely determined by $\phi(a)$

Lemma

Let $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of groups:

$$\forall a \in G, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \phi(a^n) = \phi(a)^n$$

Proof

Assume $a \in G$

Assume $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Case 1: $n > 0$

Proof by induction on n

Base: $n = 1$

$$\phi(a^1) = \phi(a) = \phi(a)^1$$

Assume $\phi(a^n) = \phi(a)^n$

Consider $\phi(a^{n+1})$

$$\phi(a^{n+1}) = \phi(a^n a) = \phi(a^n) \phi(a) = \phi(a)^n \phi(a) = \phi(a)^{n+1}$$

Case 2: $n = 0$

$$\phi(a^0) = \phi(e_G) = e_H = \phi(a)^0$$

Case 3: $n < 0$

Let $m = -n > 0$

$$\phi(a^n) = \phi(a^{-m}) = \phi((a^{-1})^m) = \phi(a^{-1})^m = (\phi(a)^{-1})^m = \phi(a)^{-m} = \phi(a)^n$$

Now, assume $b \in H$

$$\exists a^n \in G, \phi(a^n) = \phi(a)^n = b$$

$\therefore \phi$ is completely determined by $\phi(a)$

1.2.15

Let G be a group and $\text{Aut } G$ be the set of all automorphisms of G .

a) Prove: $\text{Aut } G$ is a group under composition.

Note that the identity function $i_G \in \text{Aut } G$ so $\text{Aut } G \neq \emptyset$.

Assume $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}(G)$

σ and τ are bijections, so $\sigma\tau$ is also a bijection

σ and τ are homomorphisms

Assume $x, y \in G$

$xy \in G$

$$(\sigma\tau)(xy) = \sigma[\tau(xy)] = \sigma[\tau(x)\tau(y)] = \sigma[\tau(x)]\sigma[\tau(y)] = [(\sigma\tau)(x)][(\sigma\tau)(y)]$$

So $\sigma\tau$ is also a homomorphism, and thus an isomorphism, and thus an automorphism

$\therefore \text{Aut } G$ is closed

Composition is associative

Assume $\sigma \in \text{Aut } G$

σ is a bijection, and so σ^{-1} exists such that $\sigma\sigma^{-1} = \sigma^{-1}\sigma = i_G$

$\therefore \text{Aut } G$ has inverses

$\therefore \text{Aut } G$ is a group

b) Prove:

1) $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$

Since \mathbb{Z} has two generators: ± 1 , the possible automorphisms are defined by: $\phi_1(1) = 1$ (identity) and $\phi_{-1}(1) = -1$:

$$\phi_1(n) = n$$

$$\phi_{-1}(n) = -n$$

$$(\phi_{-1}\phi_{-1})(n) = -(-n) = n = \phi_1(n)$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \circ & \phi_1 & \phi_{-1} \\ \hline \phi_1 & \phi_1 & \phi_{-1} \\ \phi_{-1} & \phi_{-1} & \phi_1 \end{array} \simeq \begin{array}{c|cc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} = \mathbb{Z}_2$$

2) $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_6 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$

Since \mathbb{Z}_6 has two generators: 1, 5, the possible automorphisms are defined by: $\phi_1(1) = 1$ (identity) and $\phi_5(1) = 5$:

$$\phi_1(n) = n$$

$$\phi_5(n) = 5n$$

$$(\phi_5\phi_5)(n) = 5(5n) = n = \phi_1(n)$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \circ & \phi_1 & \phi_5 \\ \hline \phi_1 & \phi_1 & \phi_5 \\ \phi_5 & \phi_5 & \phi_1 \end{array} \simeq \begin{array}{c|cc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} = \mathbb{Z}_2$$

3) $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_8 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$

Since $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq K_4$, it is sufficient to show that $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_8 \simeq K_4$.

Since \mathbb{Z}_8 has four generators: 1, 3, 5, 7, the possible automorphisms are defined by: $\phi_1(1) = 1$ (identity), $\phi_3(1) = 3$, $\phi_5(1) = 5$, and $\phi_7(1) = 7$:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(n) &= n \\ \phi_3(n) &= 3n \\ \phi_5(n) &= 5n \\ \phi_7(n) &= 7n\end{aligned}$$

So $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_8$ is a group of order 4, and thus must be isomorphic to either \mathbb{Z}_4 or K_4 . But:

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi_1\phi_1)(n) &= n = \phi_1(n) \\ (\phi_3\phi_3)(n) &= 3(3n) = n = \phi_1(n) \\ (\phi_5\phi_5)(n) &= 5(5n) = n = \phi_1(n) \\ (\phi_7\phi_7)(n) &= 7(7n) = n = \phi_1(n)\end{aligned}$$

So each element in the group is its own inverse, indicating that $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_8 \simeq K_4$.

4) $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$, where p is prime

Since p is prime, all non-zero elements of \mathbb{Z}_p are relatively prime with p , and so \mathbb{Z}_p has $p - 1$ generators. Each generator corresponds to an automorphism determined by $\sigma_k(1) = k$ where $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$:

$$\sigma_k(n) = kn$$

So $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a group under composition of order $p - 1$.

Let $\sigma_h, \sigma_k \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p$

$$(\sigma_h\sigma_k)(n) = h(kn) = (hk)n = \sigma_{hk}(n)$$

Define $\phi : \text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \langle \mathbb{Z}_p^*, \cdot \rangle$ by $\phi(\sigma_k) = k$

ϕ is clearly bijective

Assume $\sigma_h, \sigma_k \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p$

$$\phi(\sigma_h\sigma_k) = \phi(\sigma_{hk}) = hk = \phi(\sigma_h)\phi(\sigma_k)$$

So ϕ is a homomorphism and thus an isomorphism

So $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \langle \mathbb{Z}_p^*, \cdot \rangle$; however, $\langle \mathbb{Z}_p^*, \cdot \rangle$ is cyclic of order $p - 1$ and is thus isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} .

$$\therefore \text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$$

c) Describe $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_n$

Let $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq k < n \text{ and } (k, n) = 1\}$.

Claim: $\langle \mathbb{Z}_n^\times, \cdot \rangle$ is a group:

$(1, n) = 1$ and thus $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ and $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times \neq \emptyset$

Assume $h, k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$

$(h, n) = 1$ and $(k, n) = 1$

ABC: $hk \notin \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$

$n \mid hk$

But $n \nmid h$, so $n \mid k$

Contradiction!

$\therefore hk \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ and \mathbb{Z}_n^\times is closed

Multiplication $(\text{mod } n)$ is associative

Assume $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$

$$(m, n) = 1$$

$$\exists h, k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times, hm + kn = 1$$

But $kn = 0$, so $hm = 1$

$$h = m^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$$

(Hmm: we did this in class, but how do we know that $h, k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$?)

$\therefore \langle \mathbb{Z}_n^\times, \cdot \rangle$ is a group

Now, consider $\text{Aut } Z_n$. It will have $\phi(n)$ generators, where $\phi(n)$ is the Euler totient function. Each generator is defined by $\sigma_k(1) = k$, where $1 \leq k < n$ and $(k, n) = 1$, and so the k are indeed the set \mathbb{Z}_n^\times , which is closed under multiplication.

$$\sigma_k(n) = kn$$

Assume $\sigma_h, \sigma_k \in \text{Aut } Z_n$

$$h, k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$$

$$hk \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$$

$$(\sigma_h \sigma_k)(n) = h(kn) = (hk)n = \sigma_{hk}(n)$$

Define $\phi : \text{Aut } Z_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ by $\phi(\sigma_k) = k$

ϕ is clearly bijective

$$\phi(\sigma_h \sigma_k) = \phi(\sigma_{hk}) = hk = \phi(\sigma_h) \phi(\sigma_k)$$

Thus ϕ is a homomorphism, and thus an isomorphism

$$\therefore \text{Aut } Z_n \simeq \langle \mathbb{Z}_n^\times, \cdot \rangle$$