## MATH 231B, FALL 2017 HOMEWORK 2 SOLUTIONS

- 1. (Sec. 3.8, ex. 4) (a) Let f(x) = 1, for all  $a \le x \le b$ . Then  $||f||^2 = \langle f, f \rangle = 0$  even though  $f \ne \mathbf{0}$ , so  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is not an inner product.
  - (b) It is easy to see that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  satisfies axioms (a)-(c) for inner products. To show that (d) is also satisfied, assume  $\langle f, f \rangle = 0$ . Then

$$\int_a^b \left| f'(x) \right|^2 dx = 0,$$

so since f' is continuous, it follows that f' = 0. Thus f is constant and since f(a) = 0, it follows that f(x) = 0, for all x. Therefore, (d) holds and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product.

However, the space is not Hilbert. Denote by F the space of all functions in  $C^1[-1,1]$  such that f(0) = 0 and endow F with the inner product as above. (It doesn't make a difference that we are stipulating that f(0) = 0 instead of f(-1) = 0.) We will show that there exists a non-convergent Cauchy sequence in F. The idea is to construct a sequence  $(f_n)$  in F such that  $f'_n$  converges in the  $L^2$  sense to a discontinuous bounded function (such as the sign function) whose integral is then not differentiable at every point. We will therefore begin by constructing a sequence of derivatives of  $f_n$ 's, which we denote by  $g_n$ .

Define  $g_n: [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g_n(t) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } -1 \le t \le -\frac{1}{n} \\ nt & \text{if } -\frac{1}{n} \le t \le \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, each  $g_n$  is continuous and if we denote by g the sign function on [-1,1], then it is not hard to verify that

$$||g_n - g||_{L^2}^2 = \frac{2}{3n} \to 0,$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , so  $g_n \to g$  in the  $L^2$  sense.

Now define  $f_n: [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_n(x) = \int_0^x g_n(t) dt.$$

Then  $f_n(0) = 0$  and  $f'_n = g_n$ , so  $f_n \in F$ , for all n.

Set also

$$f(x) = \int_0^x g(t) dt.$$

It is not hard to check that f(x) = |x| and that f'(x) = g(x), for all  $x \neq 0$ . We claim that  $(f_n)$  is Cauchy in F (i.e., with respect to the norm in F). Indeed,

$$||f_n - f_m||_F = ||f'_n - f'_m||_{L^2}$$

$$= ||g_n - g_m||_{L^2}$$

$$\leq ||g_n - g||_{L^2} + ||g_m - g||_{L^2}$$

$$\to 0,$$

1

as  $m, n \to \infty$ . This proves that  $(f_n)$  is Cauchy.

However, it is not hard to check that  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ , for all  $-1 \le x \le 1$  and clearly  $f \notin F$ . This proves that F is not complete, hence not Hilbert.

2. (Sec. 3.8, ex. 10) We have:

$$||x + y||^2 - ||x - y||^2 = ||x||^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + ||y||^2 - (||x||^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + ||y||^2)$$
$$= 4\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle.$$

Similarly:

$$||x + iy||^2 - ||x - iy||^2 = ||x||^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, iy\rangle + ||y||^2 - (||x||^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, iy\rangle + ||y||^2)$$

$$= 4\operatorname{Re}\langle x, iy\rangle$$

$$= -4\operatorname{Re}(i\langle x, y\rangle)$$

$$= 4\operatorname{Im}\langle x, y\rangle,$$

where we used the fact that Re(iz) = -Im(z), for all complex numbers z. Therefore,

$$||x+y||^2 - ||x-y||^2 + i(||x+iy||^2 - ||x-iy||^2) = 4\operatorname{Re}\langle x,y\rangle + 4i\langle x,y\rangle = 4\langle x,y\rangle.$$

3. (Sec. 3.8, ex. 11) We will use the following fact: if f is a real-valued function on a set S satisfying  $f(x) \leq M$ , for all  $x \in S$  and  $f(x_0) = M$ , for some  $x_0 \in S$ , then  $\sup_S f = M$ . (In fact,  $\max_S f = M$ .)

Observe that the statement of the exercise is trivially true for x = 0. So assume  $x \neq 0$ . Then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \le ||x|| ||y|| = ||x||,$$

for all  $y \in E$  with y = 1. Taking y = x/||x||, we obtain

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| = \left| \langle x, \frac{x}{\|x\|} \rangle \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{\langle x, x \rangle}{\|x\|} \right|$$

$$= \frac{\|x\|^2}{\|x\|}$$

$$= \|x\|,$$

which by the observation above implies that

$$\sup_{\|y\|=1} |\langle x, y \rangle| = \|x\|. \quad \Box$$

**Remark.** This exercise is saying that if  $f_x$  is the linear functional on E defined by  $f_x(y) = \langle x, y \rangle$ , then  $||f_x|| = ||x||$ .