

It was previously proven that  $\text{rank } A = \text{rank } A^T$ . Note that this is the same as saying the dimension of the column space of  $A$  equals the dimension of the row space of  $A$ .

1). Let  $A \in M_n$ :

a). Prove that if  $A$  has a  $k \times k$  submatrix  $B$  such that  $\det(B) \neq 0$  then  $\text{rank}(A) \geq k$

Assume  $A$  has a  $k \times k$  submatrix  $B$  such that  $\det(B) \neq 0$ . By the IVT,  $B$  is invertible and so its  $k$  columns are linearly independent. This means that the dimension of the column space of  $B$  is  $k$ , which is also the dimension of the row space of  $B$ . Now expand the  $k \times 1$  column vectors of  $B$  into the original  $n \times 1$  column vectors of  $A$  and construct a new  $n \times k$  matrix  $C$  consisting of these  $k$  column vectors from  $A$ . The dimension of the row space of  $C$  is unchanged, and so the dimension of the column space of  $C$  is also unchanged, meaning that the  $k$  columns of  $C$  are still linearly independent and so  $\text{rank } C = k$ . Finally, extend  $C$  back to the full matrix  $A$ .  $A$  has at least  $k$  linearly independent column vectors (from  $C$ ).

Therefore,  $\text{rank}(A) \geq k$ .

b). Let  $n \geq 2$ . Prove that:

$$\text{rank}(\text{adj}(A)) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{rank}(A) = n \\ 1, & \text{rank}(A) = n - 1 \\ 0, & \text{rank}(A) \leq n - 2 \end{cases}$$

By previously-proved theorem:

$$A(\text{adj}(A)) = (\det(A))I$$

Now, consider the three cases:

Case 1:  $\text{rank}(A) = n$

$A$  has  $n$  linearly independent columns and so, by the IVT,  $A$  is invertible and:

$$\text{adj}(A) = (\det(A))A^{-1}$$

But, since  $A$  is invertible,  $\det(A) \neq 0$  and we have:

$$(\det(A))A^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\det(A)} A \right) = I$$

Thus  $(\det(A))A^{-1}$  is invertible, meaning that  $\text{adj}(A)$  is also invertible. So the  $n$  columns of  $\text{adj}(A)$  are linearly independent.

Therefore  $\text{rank}(\text{adj}(A)) = n$

Case 2:  $\text{rank}(A) = n - 1$

The  $n$  columns of  $A$  form a linearly dependent set, and by the IVT,  $A$  is not invertible and so  $\det(A) = 0$ . Thus we have:

$$A(\text{adj}(A)) = 0$$

But from the definition of matrix multiplication, the columns of  $\text{adj}(A)$  are a subset of the null space of  $A$ , which has dimension  $n - (n - 1) = 1$ . And so the dimension of the column space of  $\text{adj } A$  is either 0 or 1.

Since  $\text{rank}(A) = n - 1$ , there exists an  $n - 1$  subset of the columns of  $A$  that form a linearly independent set. AWLOG that removing the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column results in such a linearly independent set. Construct a new  $n \times (n - 1)$  matrix  $B$  from the  $n - 1$  linearly independent columns of  $A$ . Note that the dimension of the column space of  $B$  is still  $n - 1$ , and so the dimension of the row space of  $B$  is also  $n - 1$ . Thus, there exists an  $n - 1$  subset of the rows of  $B$  that also forms a linearly independent set. AWLOG that removing the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $B$  forms such a linearly independent set. Construct a new  $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$  matrix  $C$  by dropping the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $B$ . Now, the  $n - 1$  rows of  $C$  are linearly independent and so the dimension of the row space of  $C$  is  $n - 1$ , and thus the dimension of the column space of  $C$  must also be  $n - 1$ . This means that the  $n - 1$  columns of  $C$  are linearly independent and thus  $\det(C) \neq 0$ . But  $C = A_{ij}$ , and thus  $A$  has at least one non-zero minor.

Therefore,  $\text{adj}(A)$  is not the zero matrix and  $\text{rank}(\text{adj}(A)) = 1$ .

Case 3:  $\text{rank}(A) \leq n - 2$

Since the dimension of the column space of  $A$  is  $n - 2$ , any  $n - 1$  subset of the columns of  $A$  forms a linearly dependent set. Discard the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $A$  and form a new  $n \times (n - 1)$  matrix  $B$  from the remaining columns of  $A$ . Since the dimension of the column space of  $B$  is still  $n - 2$ , the dimension of the row space must also be  $n - 2$ . Thus, any  $n - 1$  subset of the rows of  $B$  form a linearly dependent set. Discard the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $B$  and form a new  $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$  matrix  $C$  from the remaining rows of  $B$ . Since the dimension of the row space of  $C$  is still  $n - 2$ , the rank of the column space of  $C$  is also  $n - 2$  and thus the  $n - 1$  columns of  $C$  are linearly dependent and  $\det(C) = 0$ . But  $C = A_{ij}$ , and thus  $A$  has no non-zero minors.

Therefore,  $\text{rank}(\text{adj}(A)) = 0$

2). Given a real  $n$ -vector  $\vec{a}^T = [a_1 \ a_2 \ \dots \ a_n]$  with  $n \geq 2$ , defined the  $n \times n$  matrix:

$$M(\vec{a}) = [a_i - a_j]$$

a). Find a necessary and sufficient condition on the vector  $\vec{a}$  such that  $M(\vec{a}) = 0$ .

Assume  $M(\vec{a}) = 0$ . By selecting any row, say the  $i^{th}$  row, of  $M(\vec{a})$ , we get a system of  $n$  equations:

$$a_i - a_j = 0$$

for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Thus, for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $a_i = a_j$ , and thus by transitivity, all of the components of  $\vec{a}$  must be equal.

Likewise, by selecting any column, say the  $j^{th}$  column, of  $M(\vec{a})$ , we get a system of  $n$  equations:

$$a_i - a_j = 0$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus, for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $a_i = a_j$ , and thus by transitivity, all of the components of  $\vec{a}$  must be equal.

Clearly, if all of the components of  $\vec{a}$  equal, then the difference between any two components will always be 0.

$$\therefore M(\vec{a}) = 0 \iff \vec{a} = [a \ a \ \dots \ a] \text{ where } a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

b). Write  $M(\vec{a}) = AB$  for some  $n \times 2$  matrix  $A$  and some  $2 \times n$  matrix  $B$ .

$$\text{Let } A = [\vec{a} \ 1] = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ a_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_n & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\vec{a}^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ -a_1 & -a_2 & \dots & -a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[AB]_{ij} = a_{i1}b_{1j} + a_{i2}b_{2j} = a_i \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot (-a_j) = a_i - a_j$$

c). Compute  $\text{Sp}(M(\vec{a}))$  in terms of  $\vec{a}$ .

By a previously proven theorem:  $p_{AB}(t) = t^{n-2}p_{BA}(t)$ , and so:

$$BA = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ -a_1 & -a_2 & \dots & -a_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ a_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_n & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum a_k & n \\ -\sum (a_k^2) & -\sum a_k \end{bmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial of  $BA$  is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{BA}(t) &= (t - \sum a_k)(t + \sum a_k) + n \sum (a_k^2) \\ &= t^2 - (\sum a_k)^2 + n \sum (a_k^2) \\ &= t^2 - \left( (\sum a_k)^2 - n \sum (a_k^2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &= \sqrt{(\sum a_k)^2 - n \sum (a_k^2)} \\ \lambda_2 &= -\sqrt{(\sum a_k)^2 - n \sum (a_k^2)} \\ \lambda_3 - \lambda_n &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Sp}(M(\vec{a})) = \left\{ 0^{(n-2)}, \pm \sqrt{(\sum a_k)^2 - n \sum (a_k^2)} \right\}$$

3). Let  $A \in M_n$

a). Prove that  $AA^*$  and  $A^*A$  are unitary similar.

Let  $A = UDV$  be the SVD for  $A$ , where  $U, V$  are unitary and  $D$  is diagonal containing the singular values of  $A$ .

$$A^* = (UDV)^* = V^*D^*U^*$$

$$AA^* = (UDV)(V^*D^*U^*) = UDD^*U^*$$

But  $D$  and  $D^*$  are both diagonal, so  $DD^* = D^*D$  and:

$$AA^* = UD^*DU^*$$

Similarly,  $A^*A = (V^*D^*U^*)(UDV) = V^*D^*DV$  and so  $D^*D = VA^*AV^*$

Now, plugging the second equation into the first:

$$AA^* = U(VA^*AV^*)U^* = (UV)A^*A(V^*U^*) = (UV)A^*A(UV)^*$$

But the product of unitary matrices is also unitary, so  $UV$  is unitary

Therefore  $AA^*$  is unitary similar to  $A^*A$ .

b). Use (a) to prove that  $\text{rank}(AA^* - \lambda I) = \text{rank}(A^*A - \lambda I)$  for any  $\lambda$ .

It was previously proven that the ranks of similar matrices are equal, so it suffices to show that  $AA^* - \lambda I$  and  $A^*A - \lambda I$  are similar.

Since  $AA^*$  and  $A^*A$  are unitary similar, there exists unitary  $U$  such that  $AA^* = UA^*AU^*$ , and so:

$$AA^* - \lambda I = UA^*AU^* - \lambda I = UA^*AU^* - \lambda UU^* = U(A^*A - \lambda I)U^*$$

and thus  $AA^* - \lambda I$  is unitary similar to  $A^*A - \lambda I$

But unitary similar matrices are similar.

$$\therefore \text{rank}(AA^* - \lambda I) = \text{rank}(A^*A - \lambda I)$$

4). Let  $A, B \in M_3$

a). List all possible Jordan matrices (up to permutation) of  $A$  if  $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda, \mu\}$ .

$$J_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix} \quad J_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix} \quad J_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix} \quad J_{24} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix}$$

b). List all possible Jordan matrices (up to permutation) of  $A$  if  $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda\}$ .

$$J_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad J_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad J_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

c). Let  $A, B \in M_3$  such that  $g_A(\lambda) = g_B(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma(A) = \sigma(B)$ . Prove:  $A \sim B$ .

There are three cases that need to be investigated:

- i.  $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda\}$  and thus  $a_A(\lambda) = 3$  ( $J_{11}, J_{12}, J_{13}$ )
- ii.  $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda, \mu\}$  with  $a_A(\lambda) = 1$  and  $a_A(\mu) = 2$  ( $J_{21}, J_{22}$ )
- iii.  $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda, \mu\}$  with  $a_A(\lambda) = 2$  and  $a_A(\mu) = 1$  ( $J_{23}, J_{24}$ )

The goal is to show for each case that  $J_A = J_B$ , from which we can thus conclude that  $A \sim B$ .

Start with case 1. Since  $g_A(\lambda) = \dim \text{Null}(A - \lambda I)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= \text{rank}(A - \lambda I)^0 = \text{rank}(I_3) = 3 \\ r_1 &= \text{rank}(A - \lambda I) = 3 - g_A(\lambda) \\ r_2 &= \text{rank}(A - \lambda I)^2 = ? \\ r_3 &= n - a_A(\lambda) = 3 - 3 = 0 \\ r_4 &= n - a_A(\lambda) = 3 - 3 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $J_A \sim A$ , we can compute the cases for  $r_2$  from the possible Jordan forms:

$$\begin{aligned} (J_{11} - \lambda I)^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ (J_{12} - \lambda I)^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ (J_{13} - \lambda I)^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

And so:

$$\begin{aligned}r_0 &= 3 \\r_1 &= 3 - g_A(\lambda) \\r_2 &= 0 \text{ or } 1 \\r_3 &= 0 \\r_4 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Assume  $g_A(\lambda) = 1$ , and so  $r_1 = 2$ :

$$b_1 = r_0 - 2r_1 + r_2 = 3 - 2(2) + r_2 = -1 + r_2$$

This forces  $r_2 = 1$  and so:

$$\begin{aligned}b_1 &= 0 \\b_2 &= r_1 - 2r_2 + r_3 = 2 - 2(1) + 0 = 0 \\b_3 &= r_2 - 2r_3 + r_4 = 1 - 2(0) + 0 = 1\end{aligned}$$

And thus  $J_A = J_B = J_{13}$

Assume  $g_A(\lambda) = 2$ , and so  $r_1 = 1$ :

$$b_2 = r_1 - 2r_2 + r_3 = 1 - 2r_2 + 0 = 1 - 2r_2$$

This forces  $r_2 = 0$  and so:

$$\begin{aligned}b_1 &= r_0 - 2r_1 + r_2 = 3 - 2(1) + 0 = 1 \\b_2 &= 1 \\b_3 &= r_2 - 2r_3 + r_4 = 0 - 2(0) + 0 = 0\end{aligned}$$

And thus  $J_A = J_B = J_{12}$

Assume  $g_A(\lambda) = 3$ , and so  $r_1 = 0$ :

$$b_1 = r_0 - 2r_1 + r_2 = 3 - 2(0) + r_2 = 3 + r_2$$

This forces  $r_2 = 0$  and so:

$$\begin{aligned}b_1 &= 3 \\b_2 &= r_1 - 2r_2 + r_3 = 0 - 2(0) + 0 = 0 \\b_3 &= r_2 - 2r_3 + r_4 = 0 - 2(0) + 0 = 0\end{aligned}$$

And thus  $J_A = J_B = J_{11}$

So in all of these cases,  $J_A = J_B$  and therefore  $A \sim B$ .

Next, consider case 2. Since  $a_A(\lambda) = 1$ , the only possible indices for  $\lambda$  are:

$$\begin{aligned}b_1 &= 1 \\b_2 &= 0 \\b_3 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

So we only need to check  $\mu$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
r_0 &= \text{rank}(A - \mu I)^0 = \text{rank}(I_3) = 3 \\
r_1 &= 3 - g_A(\mu) \\
r_2 &= ? \\
r_3 &= n - a_A(\mu) = 3 - 2 = 1 \\
r_4 &= n - a_A(\mu) = 3 - 2 = 1
\end{aligned}$$

Once again, we need to calculate  $r_2$  for the possible cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
(J_{21} - \mu I)^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - \mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} (\lambda - \mu)^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
(J_{22} - \mu I)^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - \mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} (\lambda - \mu)^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

And so in both cases  $r_2 = 1$ . Also note that since  $a_A(\mu) = 2$  and  $g_A(\mu) \leq a_A(\mu)$ , this forces  $g_A(\mu) = 0$  or  $1$ . We now have:

$$\begin{aligned}
r_0 &= 3 \\
r_1 &= 3 - g_A(\mu) \\
r_2 &= 1 \\
r_3 &= 1 \\
r_4 &= 1
\end{aligned}$$

Assume  $g_A(\mu) = 1$  and so  $r_1 = 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &= r_0 - 2r_1 + r_2 = 3 - 2(2) + 1 = 0 \\
b_2 &= r_1 - 2r_2 + r_3 = 2 - 2(1) + 1 = 1 \\
b_3 &= r_2 - 2r_3 + r_4 = 1 - 2(1) + 1 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

And thus  $J_A = J_B = J_{22}$

Assume  $g_A(\mu) = 2$  and so  $r_1 = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &= r_0 - 2r_1 + r_2 = 3 - 2(1) + 1 = 2 \\
b_2 &= r_1 - 2r_2 + r_3 = 1 - 2(1) + 1 = 0 \\
b_3 &= r_2 - 2r_3 + r_4 = 1 - 2(1) + 1 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

And thus  $J_A = J_B = J_{21}$

So in all of these cases,  $J_A = J_B$  and therefore  $A \sim B$ .

Next consider case 3. By symmetry, this is the same as case 2, except  $J_A = J_B = J_{23}$  or  $J_{24}$



In summary, each choice of  $g_A(\lambda)$  forces a particular Jordan matrix, and since  $g_A(\lambda) = g_B(\lambda)$ , the resulting Jordan matrices will be the same.

Therefore  $A \sim B$ .