Practical Machine Learning

Jeff Grady 9/6/2017

Overview

For the Practical Machine Learning course project, here we build a machine learning system to judge how well a participant executed a particular weightlifting exercise based on data collected from movement sensors.

The data for this project come from this source: http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har. They have been very generous in allowing their data to be used for this kind of assignment.

Exploratory Data Analysis, Cleaning

```
library(parallel)
library(doParallel)
library(caret)
```

First, we download the training and testing data sets. Once downloaded, we parse and load them into memory.

```
training_filename <- "pml-training.csv"
testing_filename <- "pml-testing.csv"
download.file("https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-training.csv", destfile = training.csv", destfile = training.csv", destfile = testing.training <- read.csv(training_filename)
testing <- read.csv(testing_filename)</pre>
```

In examining the data, for example, with the whatis() function from the YaleToolkit library, we find that many variables have substantial missing data:

> whatis(training)

	variable.name	type	missing	distinct.values	precision
1	Х	numeric	0	19622	1e+00
2	user_name	pure factor	0	6	NA
18	max_roll_belt	numeric	19216	195	1e-01
19	max picth belt	numeric	19216	22	1e+00

We see here that for max_roll_belt, max_picth_belt, and several others, they're all missing 19216 values out of 19622 total observations. For other variables such as kurtosis_roll_arm were interpreted as factors when read from csv, and thus they have missing values, but as empty strings ("") instead of NA:

```
> sum(training$kurtosis_roll_arm == "")
[1] 19216
```

Our first task is to write a function to remove these variables with substantial missing data. This function cleanData() takes a data.frame as an argument, makes a copy of it, removes:

- $\bullet\,$ Columns 1-7, which were not related to accelerometer or other movement data
- Any column where more than half of the values are ${\tt NA}$
- Any column where more than half of the values are empty string (``")

... and then returns the resulting data.frame.

```
cleanData <- function(myData){</pre>
    # trim columns that aren't accelerometer data
    output <- myData[,-c(1:7)]</pre>
    # stores column indexes for removal
    exclude <- c()
    totalRows <- nrow(output)</pre>
    # subtract 1, because the last column is 'classe'
    numCol <- ncol(output) - 1</pre>
    for (i in 1:numCol) {
        numNA <- sum(is.na(output[,i]))</pre>
        numBlank <- sum(output[,i] == "")</pre>
        # If the field has more than half of its data missing, exclude it.
        if ((numNA > (totalRows * 0.5)) |
             (numBlank > (totalRows * 0.5))) {
             exclude <- c(exclude, i)
        }
    }
    output <- output[,-exclude]</pre>
    output
```

Here we set up parallel processing to make our model training take less time to compute:

```
cluster <- makeCluster(detectCores() - 1) # convention to leave 1 core for OS
registerDoParallel(cluster)</pre>
```

Here, we run cleanData() and we set up 10-fold cross-validation.

Training

Now we train using a random forest with our cross-validation parameters.

And, we train using **boosted regression** and with our cross-validation parameters.

Finally, we train using linear discriminant analysis and with our cross-validation parameters.

Cross-Validation

Note that we had the train() function do 10-fold cross-validation for us when we passed in fitControl with parameters of method = "cv" and number = 10.

Model Accuracy and Error Estimation

Let's calculate our accuracy for each of the models. We'll take the mean of all the k-folds:

Now, we have:

- modRFAccuracy: 99.51%
 modGBMAccuracy: 96.36%
 modLDAAccuracy: 70.12%
- We can use the binomial theorem to calculate the probabilities our combined models:

$$\binom{3}{2}*totalAccuracy^2*(1-totalAccuracy)^1 + \binom{3}{3}*totalAccuracy^3*(1-totalAccuracy)^0 + \binom{3}{3}*totalAccuracy^3*(1-totalAccuracy)^0 + \binom{3}{3}*totalAccuracy^3*(1-totalAccuracy)^0 + \binom{3}{3}*totalAccuracy^3*(1-totalAccuracy)^0 + \binom{3}{3}*totalAccuracy^3*(1-totalAccuracy)^0 + \binom{3}{3}*totalAccuracy^0 +$$

Predictions

Now that we're finished computing our models, let's use them to predict classe for our testing data.

```
myAnswersRF <- predict(modRF, testing)</pre>
myAnswersGBM <- predict(modGBM, testing)
myAnswersLDA <- predict(modLDA, testing)</pre>
myAnswers <- c()
for (i in 1:nrow(testing)) {
   if ((myAnswersRF[i] == myAnswersGBM[i]) ||
       (myAnswersRF[i] == myAnswersLDA[i])) {
       myAnswers <- c(myAnswers, myAnswersRF[i])</pre>
       next
   } else if (myAnswersGBM[i] == myAnswersLDA[i]) {
       myAnswers <- c(myAnswers, myAnswersGBM[i])
       next
   myAnswers <- c(myAnswers, myAnswersRF[i])</pre>
 correctAnswers <- c(2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 5, 4, 2, 1, 1,
                   2, 3, 2, 1, 5, 5, 1, 2, 2, 2)
numCorrect <- sum(correctAnswers == myAnswers)</pre>
numTotal <- nrow(testing)</pre>
```

Predicting the results of the test data with our model, numCorrect is 20 out of 20 test cases, or 100%.

```
stopCluster(cluster)
registerDoSEQ()
```