

Minnesota July 25, 2020

## Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. [How to use this report](#).


Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data](#).

### Retail & recreation

# -21%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

### Grocery & pharmacy

# -3%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

### Parks

# +113%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

## Transit stations

-31%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

## Workplaces

-9%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of work.

## Residential

+4%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places of residence.

# Aitkin County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*

**+54%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-2%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Anoka County


## Retail & recreation

**-17%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+142%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations

**-20%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+4%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Becker County


## Retail & recreation

**+27%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+42%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Beltrami County

## Retail & recreation

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+21%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+113%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+10%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Benton County

## Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-8% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



# Big Stone County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-34% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Blue Earth County


## Retail & recreation

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-15%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



# Brown County

## Retail & recreation

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Carlton County


## Retail & recreation

**+18%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+31%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Carver County

## Retail & recreation

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+49%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cass County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Chippewa County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Chisago County

## Retail & recreation

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+35%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



# Clay County

## Retail & recreation

**-15%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Clearwater County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-34%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Cottonwood County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Crow Wing County

## Retail & recreation

**+11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy


**+30%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+2%** compared to baseline



# Dakota County

## Retail & recreation

**-19%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+70%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+5%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Dodge County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Douglas County

## Retail & recreation

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+18%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Faribault County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-2% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Fillmore County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Freeborn County


## Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+12% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+39% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-13% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



# Goodhue County

## Retail & recreation

-9% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-13% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+7% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Grant County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Hennepin County

## Retail & recreation

**-33%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+56%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations

**-46%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+6%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Houston County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Hubbard County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

+4% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Isanti County


## Retail & recreation

-10% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-7% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



# Itasca County

## Retail & recreation

+3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+27% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-3% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Jackson County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Kanabec County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Kandiyohi County


## Retail & recreation

-11% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


+46% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-4% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



# Koochiching County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lac qui Parle County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-15% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Lake County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-16% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Le Sueur County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



# Lincoln County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lyon County

## Retail & recreation

-21% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-5% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Mahnomen County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-40% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Marshall County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Martin County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# McLeod County


## Retail & recreation

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+45%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



# Meeker County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Mille Lacs County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+6%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+4%** compared to baseline



# Morrison County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Mower County

## Retail & recreation

**-15%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+11%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



# Murray County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Nicollet County

## Retail & recreation\*

-26% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-11% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-9% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+8% compared to baseline



# Nobles County

## Retail & recreation\*

-10% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

+21% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Norman County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Olmsted County

## Retail & recreation

**-28%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+14%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+166%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-14%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+3%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Otter Tail County


## Retail & recreation

**+29%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+65%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+6%** compared to baseline



# Pennington County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-20%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Pine County

## Retail & recreation

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+30%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



# Pipestone County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Polk County

## Retail & recreation\*

-14% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-10% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+5% compared to baseline



# Pope County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Ramsey County


## Retail & recreation

**-34%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+29%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations

**-18%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+5%** compared to baseline



# Red Lake County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Redwood County

## Retail & recreation\*

-4% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-6% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Renville County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-9% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Rice County

## Retail & recreation

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Rock County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Roseau County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-27%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Scott County


## Retail & recreation

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+168%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+4%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Sherburne County

## Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-3% compared to baseline



## Residential

+3% compared to baseline



# Sibley County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-1% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# St. Louis County

## Retail & recreation

**-6%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+11%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+194%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-12%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+4%** compared to baseline



# Stearns County

## Retail & recreation

**-22%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+39%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+7%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+3%** compared to baseline




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Steele County

## Retail & recreation

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+32%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations

**-65%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Stevens County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Swift County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Todd County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wabasha County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-3% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Wadena County

## Retail & recreation\*

+2% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-27% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Waseca County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-3% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Washington County


## Retail & recreation

-20% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-14% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+156% compared to baseline




## Transit stations\*

+18% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-7% compared to baseline



## Residential

+5% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Watonwan County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-10% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Wilkin County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Winona County


## Retail & recreation

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+33%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+4%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



# Wright County

## Retail & recreation

**-14%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+11%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+115%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-4%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+3%** compared to baseline




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Yellow Medicine County


Retail & recreation\*




Grocery & pharmacy\*



Parks\*



Transit stations\*




Workplaces\*

-21% compared to baseline



Residential\*



## About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2–3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

We continue to improve our reports as places close and reopen. We updated the way we calculate changes for *Groceries & pharmacy*, *Retail & recreation*, *Transit stations*, and *Parks* categories. For regions published before May 2020, the data may contain a consistent shift either up or down that starts between April 11–18, 2020.

## Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

## Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit [Mobility Reports Help](#).

To get the latest report, visit [google.com/covid19/mobility](https://google.com/covid19/mobility)