Mice Supreme Jourt U. S. r г ുழூjae.law.yale.edu

OCT 23 1935

No. 401.

CHARLES ELAUNE GROFLEY

OLKEK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1935.

United States of America, Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM M. BUTLER, ET AL., RECEIVERS OF HOOSAC MILLS CORPORATION.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the First Circuit.

ANSWER OF RESPONDENTS TO MOTION TO ADVANCE.

Edward R. Hale,
Bennett Sanderson,
Edmund M. Toland,
Counsel for the Receivers
of Hoosac Mills Corporation, Respondents.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1935.

No. 401.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM M. BUTLER, ET AL., RECEIVERS OF HOOSAC MILLS CORPORATION.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the First Circuit.

ANSWER OF RESPONDENTS TO MOTION TO ADVANCE.

Now come the respondents by their attorneys and for answer to the motion to advance filed on behalf of the United States by the Solicitor General, requesting the Court to set the cause for hearing on November twentieth or as soon thereafter as agreeable to the Court, respectfully represent to the Court that while counsel for the respondents are equally cognizant of the importance of the questions involved and the public interest in an early determination of the matters herein, nevertheless, by reason of the importance of the questions involved, the reasonable time necessary for preparation of briefs and arguments, as well as other engagements of counsel for the respondents, they respectfully state to the Court that they consent to the motion to advance but cannot agree to the date requested by the Government, and respectfully request the Court that by reason of the foregoing the cause be advanced for hearing on or about the ninth of December, 1935, as may be agreeable to the Court.

Edward R. Hale,
Bennett Sanderson,
Edmund M. Toland,
Counsel for the Receivers
of Hoosac Mills Corporation, Respondents.