## 1 A RESULT OF HARISH CHANDRA

Let G be a connected real reductive group. We let  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $G_r$  be the open set of regular semisimple elements of G. Suppose H is a Cartan subgroup of  $G_r$  and set  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(H) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and  $H_r = H \cap G_r$ . Define

$$\Delta(h) = (\det(\mathrm{Ad}(h)^{-1} - 1)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \quad (h \in H).$$

Note that  $\Delta(h) \neq 0$  if and only if  $h \in H_r$ .

For later use we also define

$$\Delta_a(h) = \Delta(ah) = (\det(\operatorname{Ad}(ah)^{-1} - 1)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \quad (a, h \in H).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the universal enveloping algebra. An element  $X \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  defines a left-invariant differential operator  $\tau(X)$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  the definition is

$$\tau(X)(f)(g) = \frac{d}{dt}g\exp(tX)|_{t=0}.$$

Let  $L_g(f)(h) = f(gh)$   $(g, h \in G, f \in C^{\infty}(G))$ . A differential operator D being left-invariant means

$$D(L_q f)(h) = D(f)(gh). \tag{1.1}$$

It is customary to drop the  $\tau$  notation, and write Xf instead of  $\tau(X)f$ . I prefer to keep it for reasons that should become clear. Let  $\mathfrak Z$  be the center of  $\mathfrak U(\mathfrak g)$ . Let W be the Weyl group of H in G, and let  $\gamma:\mathfrak Z\to\mathfrak U(\mathfrak h)^W$  be the Harish Chandra isomorphism. Let  $G_r$  be the open set of regular semisimple elements of G, and let  $C^\infty$  be the smooth function on  $G_r$ .

**Proposition 1.0.1.** *Suppose*  $f \in C^{\infty}(G_r)$  *is a class function. Then* 

$$(\tau(z)f)(h) = |\Delta(h)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau(\gamma(z))(|\Delta|^{\frac{1}{2}}f)(h) \quad (h \in H_r).$$

A less pedantic way of writing this is to drop  $\tau$  and identify  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  with the left-invariant differential operators on G. Then the conclusion is

$$(zf) = |\Delta(h)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma(z) (|\Delta|^{\frac{1}{2}} f)$$

as functions on  $H_r$ . Before giving the proof we establish some notation and preliminary results.

Suppose D is a differential operator on G. Then D has a local expression  $D_g \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  for any  $g \in G$ . See [HC56, Section 4]. By definition this means

$$D(f)(g) = \tau(D_q)(f)(g) \quad (g \in G)$$
(1.2)

Suppose  $a \in G$  is a regular semisimple element, and set  $H = \operatorname{Cent}_G(a)$ . Suppose U is an open set in G containing a, and set

$$U_H = a^{-1}U \cap H_r. \tag{1.3}$$

This is an open neighborhood of 1 in  $H_r$ . Suppose D is a differential operator on U. Define  $\delta_a(D)$ , a differential operator on  $U_H$  by [HC65, Section 4]. Note that if  $y \in U_H$  then  $\delta_a(D)_y \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ .

See [HC64, Section 8] for the definition of locally invariant functions. The restriction of a class function on  $G_r$  to any open set is locally invariant.

**Lemma 1.0.2.** Suppose a, H, U and  $U_H$  are as above. Suppose D is a differential operator on U, and f is a locally invariant function on U. Then for  $y \in U_H$ :

$$D(f)(ay) = \tau(\delta_a(D)_y)(f)(ay)$$

This is [HC65, Lemma 18]. Some care is required here.

The statement of [HC65, Lemma 18] says

$$D(f)(ay) = \delta_a(D)(f)(ay)$$

However the right hand side isn't defined:  $\delta_a(D)$  is a differential operator on  $U_H$ , but ay is in U, but not  $U_H$ . What the proof actually shows (see the last line of the proof) is that

$$\tau(D_{ay})(f)(ay) = \tau(\delta_a(D)_y)(f)(ay).$$

Note that  $\tau(D_{ay})$  is a well defined left-invariant differential operator on  $U_H$ . By (1.2) the LHS equals D(f)(ay), so this gives the statement of the Lemma.

**Lemma 1.0.3.** Suppose a is regular, H = Cent(a),  $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ , and f is a class function on  $G_r$ . Then

$$\delta_a(\tau(z))(f)(h) = |\Delta_a(h)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tau(\gamma(z))(|\Delta_a|^{\frac{1}{2}} f)(h) \quad (h \in H_r).$$

This is [HC65, Lemma 13].

*Proof of the Proposition.* Let U be an open set containing h and let  $U_H = h^{-1}U \cap H_r$  as before. Write h = ay where  $a \in H_r$  and  $y \in U_H$ . Suppose  $z \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then:

$$\begin{split} \tau(z)(f)(ay) &= \tau(\delta_a(\tau(z))_y)(f)(ay) \quad \text{(by Lemma 1.0.2)} \\ &= \tau(\delta_a(\tau(z))_y)(L_a(f))(y) \\ &= \delta_a(\tau(z))(L_a(f))(y) \quad \text{(definition of } L_a) \\ &= |\Delta_a(y)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau(\gamma(z))(|\Delta_a|^{\frac{1}{2}}L_a(f))(y) \quad \text{(by Lemma 1.0.3)} \\ &= |\Delta_a(y)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau(\gamma(z))(L_a(|\Delta|^{\frac{1}{2}}f))(y) \quad \text{(elementary)} \\ &= |\Delta_a(y)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau(\gamma(z))(|\Delta|^{\frac{1}{2}}f))(ay) \quad \text{(by left-invariance of } \tau(\gamma(z))) \end{split}$$

The elementary step is the equality of the functions  $|\Delta_a|^{\frac{1}{2}}L_a(f)$  and  $L_a(|\Delta|^{\frac{1}{2}}f)$ .

This proves the Proposition.

## 2 A FEW EXTRA FACTS

Here are a few other facts I thought I might need. Although I don't, they are worth recording.

Note that *D* is left-invariant if and only if

$$D_q = D_h \quad \text{(for all } g, h \in G) \tag{2.1}$$

To see this, note that for  $h, g \in G$ , left-invariance of D says:

$$D(f)(hg) = D(L_h(f))(g).$$

The left hand side is  $\tau(D_{hg})(f)(hg)$ . The right hand side is

$$\tau(D_g)(L_h(f))(g) = \tau(D_g)(f)(hg)$$

since  $\tau(D_g)$  is by definition left-invariant. Therefore  $\tau(D_{hg})=\tau(D_g)$ , and therefore,  $D_{hg}=D_g$  for all g,h.

Here is a Corollary to Lemma 1.0.2.

**Lemma 2.0.1.** Suppose f is a class function on  $G_r$  and D is a left-invariant differential operator on  $U = G_r$ . Suppose  $a \in G_r$  is semisimple and set  $H = \operatorname{Cent}_G(a)$ . Then  $U_H = H_r$  (see (1.3)), so  $\delta_a(D)$  is a differential operator on  $H_r$ .

Assume  $\delta_a(D)$  is left-invariant on  $H_r$ . Then

$$D(f)(h) = \delta_a(D)(f)(h) \quad (h \in H_r)$$

**Remark 2.0.2.** I think D is automatically left-invariant on  $H_r$ , but I'm not sure. In any event we don't use the Corollary.

Proof. From Lemma 1.0.2 we have

$$D(f)(ay) = \tau(\delta_a(D)_y)(f)(ay)$$

for all  $y \in U_H = H_r$ . Since  $\delta_a(D)$  is left-invariant by (2.1)

$$\tau(\delta_a(D)_y)(f)(ay) = \tau(\delta_a(D)_{ay})(f)(ay) = \delta_a(D)(f)(ay)$$

## REFERENCES

- [HC56] Harish-Chandra, *The characters of semisimple Lie groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1956), 98–163. MR 80875
- [HC64] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Invariant distributions on Lie algebras, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 271–309. MR 161940
- [HC65] \_\_\_\_\_, Invariant eigendistributions on a semisimple Lie group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1965), 457–508. MR 180631