

## A RESULT OF HARISH CHANDRA

Let  $G$  be a connected real reductive group. We let  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $G_r$  be the open set of regular semisimple elements of  $G$ . Suppose  $H$  is a Cartan subgroup of  $G$ , and set  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(H) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and  $H_r = H \cap G_r$ . Define

$$\Delta(h) = (\det(\text{Ad}(h)^{-1} - 1)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}) \quad (a, h \in H_r).$$

For later use we also define

$$\Delta_a(h) = \Delta(ah) = (\det(\text{Ad}(ah)^{-1} - 1)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}) \quad (h \in H_r).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the universal enveloping algebra. An element  $X \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  defines a left-invariant differential operator  $\tau(X)$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  the definition is

$$\tau(X)(f)(g) = \frac{d}{dt} g \exp(tX)|_{t=0}.$$

Let  $L_g(f)(h) = f(gh)$  ( $g, h \in G, f \in C^\infty(G)$ ). A differential operator  $D$  being left-invariant means

$$D(L_g f)(h) = D(f)(gh). \quad (0.1)$$

It is customary to drop the  $\tau$  notation, and write  $Xf$  instead of  $\tau(X)f$ . I prefer to keep it for reasons that should become clear. Let  $\mathfrak{Z}$  be the center of  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Let  $W$  be the Weyl group of  $H$  in  $G$ , and let  $\gamma : \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{h})^W$  be the Harish Chandra isomorphism. Let  $G_r$  be the open set of regular semisimple elements of  $G$ , and let  $C^\infty$  be the smooth function on  $G_r$ .

**Proposition 0.0.1.** *Suppose  $f \in C^\infty(G_r)$  is a class function. Then*

$$(\tau(z)f)(h) = |\Delta(h)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tau(\gamma(z))(|\Delta|^{\frac{1}{2}} f)(h) \quad (h \in H_r).$$

A less pedantic way of writing this is to drop  $\tau$  and identify  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  with the left-invariant differential operators on  $G$ . Then the conclusion is

$$(zf) = |\Delta(h)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma(z)(|\Delta|^{\frac{1}{2}} f)$$

as functions on  $H_r$ . Before giving the proof we establish some notation and preliminary results.

Suppose  $D$  is a differential operator on  $G$ . Then  $D$  has a local expression  $D_g \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  for any  $g \in G$ . See [HC56, Section 4]. By definition this means

$$D(f)(g) = \tau(D_g)(f)(g) \quad (g \in G) \quad (0.2)$$

Note that  $D$  is left-invariant if and only if

$$D_g = D_h \quad (\text{for all } g, h \in G) \quad (0.3)$$

To see this, note that for  $h, g \in G$ , left-invariance of  $D$  says:

$$D(f)(hg) = D(L_h f)(g).$$

The left hand side is  $\tau(D_{hg})(f)(hg)$ . The right hand side is

$$\tau(D_g)(L_h f)(g) = \tau(D_g)(f)(hg)$$

since  $\tau(D_g)$  is (obviously) left-invariant. Therefore  $\tau(D_{hg}) = \tau(D_g)$ , and therefore,  $D_{hg} = D_g$  for all  $g, h$ .

Suppose  $a \in G$  is a regular semisimple element, and set  $H = \text{Cent}_G(a)$ . Suppose  $U$  is an open set in  $G$  containing  $a$ , and set

$$U_H = a^{-1}U \cap H_r. \quad (0.4)$$

This is an open neighborhood of 1 in  $H_r$ . Suppose  $D$  is a differential operator on  $U$ . Define  $\delta_a(D)$ , a differential operator on  $U_H$  by [HC65, Section 4]. Note that if  $y \in U_H$  then  $\delta_a(D)_y \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ .

See [HC64, Section 8] for the definition of locally invariant functions. The restriction of a class function on  $G_r$  to any open set is locally invariant.

**Lemma 0.0.2.** *Suppose  $a, H, U$  and  $U_H$  are as above. Suppose  $D$  is a differential operator on  $U$ , and  $f$  is a locally invariant function on  $U$ . Then for  $y \in U_H$ :*

$$D(f)(ay) = \tau(\delta_a(D)_y)(f)(ay)$$

This is [HC65, Lemma 18]. Some care is required here.

The statement of [HC65, Lemma 18] says

$$D(f)(ay) = \delta_a(D)(f)(ay)$$

However the right hand side isn't defined:  $\delta_a(D)$  is a differential operator on  $U_H$ , but  $ay$  is in  $U$ , but not  $U_H$ . What the proof actually shows (see the last line of the proof) is that

$$\tau(D_{ay})(f)(ay) = \tau(\delta_a(D)_y)(f)(ay).$$

Note that  $\tau(D_{ay})$  is a well defined left-invariant differential operator on  $U_H$ . By (0.2) the LHS equals  $D(f)(ay)$ , so this gives the statement of the Lemma.

**Corollary 0.0.3.** *Suppose  $f$  is a class function on  $G_r$  and  $D$  is a left-invariant differential operator on  $G_r$ . Suppose  $a \in G_r$  is semisimple and set  $H = \text{Cent}_G(a)$ . Then  $U_H = H_r$  (see (0.4)), so  $\delta_a(D)$  is a differential operator on  $H_r$ .*

Assume  $\delta_a(D)$  is left-invariant on  $H_r$ . Then

$$D(f)(h) = \delta_a(D)(f)(h) \quad (h \in H_r)$$

**Remark 0.0.4.** I think  $D$  is automatically left-invariant on  $H_r$ , but I'm not sure. In any event we don't use the Corollary.

*Proof.* From the Lemma we have

$$D(f)(ay) = \tau(\delta_a(D)_y)(f)(ay)$$

for all  $y \in U_H = H_r$ . Since  $\delta_a(D)$  is left-invariant so by (0.3)

$$\tau(\delta_a(D)_y)(f)(ay) = \tau(\delta_a(D)_{ay})(f)(ay) = \delta_a(D)(f)(ay)$$

□

**Lemma 0.0.5.**

$$\delta_a(\tau(z))(f)(h) = |\Delta_a(h)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tau(\gamma(z))(|\Delta_a|^{\frac{1}{2}} f)(h)$$

This is [HC65, Lemma 13].

*Proof of the Proposition.* Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $h$  and let  $U_H = h^{-1}U \cap H_r$  as before. Write  $h = ay$  where  $a \in H_r$  and  $y \in U_H$ . Suppose  $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(z)(f)(ay) &= \tau(\delta_a(\tau(z))_y)(f)(ay) \quad (\text{by Lemma 0.0.5}) \\
&= \tau(\delta_a(\tau(z))_y)(L_a(f))(y) \\
&= \delta_a(\tau(z))(L_a(f))(y) \quad (\text{definition of } L_a) \\
&= |\Delta_a(y)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tau(\gamma(z))(|\Delta_a|^{\frac{1}{2}} L_a(f))(y) \quad (\text{by Lemma 0.0.5}) \\
&= |\Delta_a(y)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tau(\gamma(z))(L_a(|\Delta|^{\frac{1}{2}} f))(y) \quad (\text{elementary}) \\
&= |\Delta_a(y)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tau(\gamma(z))(|\Delta|^{\frac{1}{2}} f)(ay) \quad (\text{by left-invariance of } \tau(\gamma(z)))
\end{aligned}$$

The elementary step is the equality of the functions  $|\Delta_a|^{\frac{1}{2}} L_a(f)$  and  $L_a(|\Delta|^{\frac{1}{2}} f)$ .

This proves the Proposition.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [HC56] Harish-Chandra, *The characters of semisimple Lie groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1956), 98–163. MR 80875
- [HC64] ———, *Invariant distributions on Lie algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 271–309. MR 161940
- [HC65] ———, *Invariant eigendistributions on a semisimple Lie group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1965), 457–508. MR 180631