FinTech Grading Rubric

	Mastery *(100% of the points)*	Approaching Mastery *(≥80% of the points)*	Progressing *(≥60% of the points)*	Emerging *(≤40% of the points)*
Specific Assignment Requirements *(70) points*	• Completed 100% of requirements • Code runs without error and produces the assigned results • Code is creatively engineered where possible • Code uses concise logic	• Completed ≥80% of requirements • Code runs without error • Code produces the assigned results	• Completed ≥70% of requirements • Code runs without error • Code produces results, but not necessarily the correct results	• Completed ≤70% of requirements, or did not make a submission. • Code does not run without error
Coding Conventions / Formatting *(10) points*	• Code is as dry/concise as possible/Variable names are short but specific • Imports and files are loaded into the code in the standard locations	• Code is relatively dry/variable names are specific • Most imports and files are loaded into the code at the standard locations	• Code is lengthy/variable names are generic or overly long • Imports and files are loaded haphazardly	• Code is excessively lengthy/variable names do not make sense • Imports and files are not loaded
Deployment / Submission *(10) points*	• Repository cloned to local machine • Files added to repo via the command line • Appropriate commit messages	• Repository cloned to local machine • Files added to repo via the command line	• Repository created on Github • Files added manually on Github	• No submission • Submission via incorrect format
Documentation /Comments *(10) points*	• Well commented with concise, relevant notes	• Code is somewhat commented in a meaningful manner	• Comments are not meaningful or understandable to an outside user	• Code is not commented