

Personal Notes on Quantum Optics

Jeffrey Ming Han Li

jeffreyli2288@outlook.com

Abstract

This note covers the content in Introductory Quantum Optics by Christopher C.Gerry and Peter L.Knight.

Contents

1	Quantization of Fields	2
1.1	Single-mode field	2
1.2	Quantum Fluctuation	3
1.3	Quadrature Operators	4
1.4	Multimode Fields	4

1 Quantization of Fields

1.1 Single-mode field

Consider a potential well between $z = 0$ and $z = L$, a single-mode field satisfying Maxwell's equations and the boundary conditions is given by

$$E_x(z, t) = \left(\frac{2\omega^2}{V\epsilon_0}\right)^{1/2} q(t) \sin(kz)$$

where ω is the frequency of the mode, k is the wave number, V is the effective volume of the cavity and $q(t)$ is a time-dependent factor having the dimension of length. The magnetic field is

$$B_y(z, t) = \left(\frac{\mu_0\epsilon_0}{k}\right) \left(\frac{2\omega^2}{V\epsilon_0}\right)^{1/2} \dot{q}(t) \cos(kz)$$

For the classical field, the Hamiltonian H of the field is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int dV \left[\epsilon_0 \mathbf{E}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{B}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) \right] = \frac{1}{2} \int dV \left[\epsilon_0 E_x^2(z, t) + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_y^2(z, t) \right]$$

which is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (p^2 + \omega^2 q^2)$$

This shows that a single-mode field is formally a harmonic oscillator of unit mass. By replacing the q and p as operators that follow the canonical commutation relation, we can express the electric field and magnetic field. Recall that the annihilation(\hat{a}) and creation(\hat{a}^\dagger) operators are

$$\hat{a} = (2\hbar\omega)^{-1/2} (\omega\hat{q} + i\hat{p})$$

$$\hat{a}^\dagger = (2\hbar\omega)^{-1/2} (\omega\hat{q} - i\hat{p})$$

The fields are

$$\hat{E}_x(z, t) = \mathcal{E}_0 (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) \sin(kz),$$

$$\hat{B}_y(z, t) = \mathcal{B}_0 \frac{1}{i} (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger) \cos(kz)$$

where $\mathcal{B}_0 = (\mu_0/k)(\epsilon_0\hbar\omega^3/V)^{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{E}_0 = (\hbar\omega/\epsilon_0 V)^{1/2}$ represents the electric and magnetic field "per photon" (not quite). Also recall that

- $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1$
- $\hat{H} = \hbar\omega(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2})$
- **Heisenberg's Equation:** for an arbitrary operator \hat{O} , having no explicit time dependence:

$$\frac{d\hat{O}}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{O}]$$

For annihilation operator, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{a}}{dt} &= \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{a}] \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\hbar\omega(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2}), \hat{a} \right] \\ &= i\omega(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}\hat{a} - \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}) \\ &= i\omega [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}] \hat{a} = -i\omega\hat{a} \end{aligned}$$

the expression has the solution

$$\hat{a}(t) = \hat{a}(0)e^{-i\omega t} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{a}^\dagger(t) = \hat{a}^\dagger(0)e^{-i\omega t}$$

an alternative way is to implement **Baker-Hausdorff Lemma** on the generalized solution to the \hat{O} operator differential equation. Let $|n\rangle$ denote an energy eigenstate of the single mode field with the energy eigenvalue E_n such that

$$\hat{H}|n\rangle = \hbar\omega\left(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2}\right)|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$$

multiplying both sides with the creation operator and using the commutation relations, we can obtain the equation.

$$\hbar\omega\left(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2}\right)(\hat{a}^\dagger|n\rangle) = (E_n + \hbar\omega)(\hat{a}^\dagger|n\rangle) = \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger|n\rangle)$$

note that applying an annihilation operator on eigenstate $|0\rangle$ would result in the lowest energy state which is

$$\hat{H}(\hat{a}|0\rangle) = (E_0 - \hbar\omega)(\hat{a}|0\rangle) = 0$$

therefore, the lowest energy is $E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$, and the generalized form for energy in harmonic energy configuration is $E_n = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2})$. Also let the number operator be \hat{n} such that $\hat{n}|n\rangle = n|n\rangle = \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}|n\rangle$ Here are few things to know

- **Inner Product:**

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n|\hat{a}^\dagger\rangle(\hat{a}|n\rangle) &= \langle n|\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}|n\rangle = n \\ &= \langle n-1|c_n^*c_n|n-1\rangle = |c_n^2| \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

taking $c_n = \sqrt{n}$

$$\hat{a}|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle \quad \hat{a}^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle$$

Therefore, the final expression for any in the harmonic energy configuration eigenstate can be expressed as

$$|n\rangle = \frac{\hat{a}^{\dagger n}}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle$$

must be noted that the c_n in this case is not the same as the weight of the linear combination of quantum states, it is simply a constant for the annihilation operator to function as intended.

- **States form complete set:** such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |n\rangle\langle n| = 1$$

meaning the sum of all projection matrix yields an identity matrix.

1.2 Quantum Fluctuation

Note that number state $|n\rangle$ is a well-defined energy but not a state of electric field since the mean field is zero (prove by $\langle n|E_x|n\rangle = 0$). However, the square of the electric field, which contributed to the energy density is not zero

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n|\hat{E}_x^2(z,t)|n\rangle &= \mathcal{E}_0^2 \sin^2(kz) \langle n|\hat{a}^{\dagger 2} + \hat{a}^2 + \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger|n\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{E}_0^2 \sin^2(kz) \langle n|\hat{a}^{\dagger 2} + \hat{a}^2 + 2\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + 1|n\rangle \\ &= 2\mathcal{E}_0^2 \sin^2(kz) \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Therefore, the **fluctuation** or standard deviation of the energy field is then square root of the variance

$$\left\langle \left(\Delta \hat{E}_x(z,t) \right)^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \hat{E}_x^2(z,t) \right\rangle - \left\langle \hat{E}_x(z,t) \right\rangle^2 \tag{3}$$

$$\Delta E_x = \sqrt{2\mathcal{E}_0} \sin(kz) \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2} \tag{4}$$

which is the uncertainty of the field for the number state $|n\rangle$. Note that even when $n=0$ there is still fluctuation called vacuum fluctuations. Recall that \mathcal{E}_0 is the electric energy per photon, so n here might as well represent the state of the field containing n photons.

1.3 Quadrature Operators

Recall that the time dependence expression of the electric field operator is

$$\hat{E}_x = \mathcal{E}_0 \left(\hat{a} e^{-i\omega t} + \hat{a}^\dagger e^{i\omega t} \right) \sin(kz) \quad (5)$$

we can define the **Quadrature Operators**

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) \\ \hat{X}_2 &= \frac{1}{2i} (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

which makes the field operator to be

$$\hat{E}_x(t) = 2\mathcal{E}_0 \sin(kz) \left[\hat{X}_1 \cos(\omega t) + \hat{X}_2 \sin(\omega t) \right] \quad (7)$$

note that these two operators are the position and momentum operators appeared previously but scaled to be dimensionless, it satisfies:

- **Commutation**

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2] = \frac{i}{2}$$

- **Uncertainties**

$$\left\langle (\Delta \hat{X}_1)^2 \right\rangle \left\langle (\Delta \hat{X}_2)^2 \right\rangle \geq \frac{1}{16} \quad (8)$$

- **Variance:**

$$\langle n | \hat{X}_1 | n \rangle = 0 = \langle n | \hat{X}_2 | n \rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n | \hat{X}_1^2 | n \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \langle n | \hat{a}^2 + \hat{a}^{\dagger 2} + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger | n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \langle n | \hat{a}^2 + \hat{a}^{\dagger 2} + 2\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + 1 | n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (2n + 1) = \langle n | \hat{X}_2^2 | n \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

1.4 Multimode Fields

Here are some things to recall

- Electric and magnetic radiation fields may be given in terms of the vector potential $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} = 0 \quad (10)$$

with the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}(r, t) &= 0 \\ \mathbf{E}(r, t) &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}(r, t)}{\partial t} \\ \mathbf{B}(r, t) &= \nabla \times \mathbf{A}(r, t) \end{aligned}$$

- **All** physical results obtained from this model of a cubic cavity of side length L with perfectly reflecting wall comparing to the dimensions of anything inside the cavity, should be independent of the size of the cavity as we can take $L \rightarrow \infty$. The purpose of the cavity is to impose periodic boundary conditions on the faces of the cube such that $e^{ik_x x} = e^{ik_x(x+L)}$. This property follows that

$$k_x = \left(\frac{2\pi}{L} \right) m_x \quad m_x = 0, \pm 1, \dots$$

and the wave vector is then

$$\mathbf{k} = \frac{2\pi}{L} (m_x, m_y, m_z)$$

- Assuming a quasi-continuous limit (since the wavelength are small compared to L , we can find the equation by approximating all the small difference of m 's by differential

$$dm = 2\left(\frac{V}{8\pi^3}\right)dk_x dk_y dk_z = 2\left(\frac{V}{8\pi^3}\right)k^2 dk d\Omega \quad \text{In } k\text{-space Spherical Polar Coordinates}$$

where $d\Omega = \sin(\theta)d\theta d\phi$, and by using the relation $k = \omega_k/c$ we can transform the equation above into

$$dm = 2\left(\frac{V}{8\pi^3}\right)\frac{\omega_k^2}{c^3}d\omega_k d\Omega$$

where the 2 is to account for the two independent polarizations. Integrating both equations give

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{the numbers of modes} \\ \text{in all directions} \\ \text{in the range } k \text{ to} \\ k + dk \end{array} \right\} = V \frac{k^2}{\pi^2} dk = V \rho_k dk$$

where $\rho_k dk$ is the mode density (number of modes per unit volume) and $\rho_k = k^2/\pi^2$ and

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{the numbers of modes} \\ \text{in all directions} \\ \text{in the range } \omega_k \text{ to} \\ \omega_k + d\omega_k \end{array} \right\} = V \frac{\omega_k^2}{\pi^2 c^3} d\omega_k \equiv V \rho(\omega_k) d\omega_k$$

where $\rho(\omega_k) = \omega_k^2/(\pi^2 c^3)$

- The vector potential can be expressed as a superposition of all plane waves

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, s} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}s} \left[A_{\mathbf{k}s}(t) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} + A_{\mathbf{k}s}^*(t) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \right]$$

$A_{\mathbf{k}s}$ is the complex amplitude of the field and $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}s}$ is the real polarization vector. The sum over \mathbf{k} simply means the sum over the set of integers m_x, m_y, m_z (all the modes) and the sum over s is the sum over the two independent polarization direction (i.e. x and y directions). In free space, the summation can be replaced by the integral

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \frac{V}{\pi^2} \int k^2 dk$$

Recall the wave equation for \mathbf{A} field, we have

$$A_{\mathbf{k}s}(t) = A_{\mathbf{k}s} e^{-i\omega_k t}$$

so we can obtain the expression for electric and magnetic fields

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = i \sum_{\mathbf{k}, s} \omega_k \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}s} \left[A_{\mathbf{k}s} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_k t)} - A_{\mathbf{k}s}^* e^{-i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_k t)} \right] \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{i}{c} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, s} \omega_k (\boldsymbol{\kappa} \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{k}s}) \left[A_{\mathbf{k}s} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_k t)} - A_{\mathbf{k}s}^* e^{-i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_k t)} \right] \quad (12)$$

- Recall that the energy of the field is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int_V (\epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}) dV$$

and the periodic property gives that

$$\int_V e^{\pm i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{r}} dV = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} V$$

From which we can find the individual contribution of the electric and the magnetic field, detailed calculation can be found in [Page 21](#) of the Introductory Quantum Optics textbook, the result is that

$$H = 2\epsilon_0 V \sum_{\mathbf{k}s} \omega_k^2 A_{\mathbf{k}s}(t) A_{\mathbf{k}s}^*(t) = 2\epsilon_0 V \sum_{\mathbf{k}s} \omega_k^2 A_{\mathbf{k}s} A_{\mathbf{k}s}^*$$

to Quantize the field, we must introduce the canonical variables

$$A_{\mathbf{k}s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k(\varepsilon_0 V)}} [\omega_k q_{\mathbf{k}s} + i p_{\mathbf{k}s}],$$

$$A_{\mathbf{k}s}^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k(\varepsilon_0 V)}} [\omega_k q_{\mathbf{k}s} - i p_{\mathbf{k}s}],$$

subbing it back to the equation we obtain the classical energy equations

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}s} (p_{\mathbf{k}s}^2 + \omega_k^2 q_{\mathbf{k}s}^2) \quad (13)$$

and the canonical variables follow that

$$[\hat{q}_{\mathbf{k}s}, \hat{q}_{\mathbf{k}'s'}] = 0 = [\hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}s}, \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}'s'}]$$

$$[\hat{q}_{\mathbf{k}s}, \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}'s'}] = i\hbar \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \delta_{ss'}$$

as for single mode we have that

$$\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega_k}} (\omega_k \hat{q}_{\mathbf{k}s} + i \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}s}),$$

$$\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega_k}} (\omega_k \hat{q}_{\mathbf{k}s} - i \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}s}),$$

which satisfy

$$[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'s'}] = 0 = [\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'s'}^\dagger],$$

$$[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'s'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \delta_{ss'}.$$

Based on the previous definition of the energy configuration, we have the Hamiltonian operator

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}s} \hbar\omega_k (\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s} + \frac{1}{2}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}s} \hbar\omega_k (\hat{n}_{\mathbf{k}s} + \frac{1}{2}) = \sum_j \hbar\omega_j (\hat{n}_j + \frac{1}{2})$$

and a multimode photon number state is just a product of all the number states of each mode

$$|n_1\rangle |n_2\rangle \dots = |n_1, n_2, \dots\rangle = |\{n_j\}\rangle$$

- The action of annihilation and creation are

$$\hat{a}_j |n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j, \dots\rangle = \sqrt{n_j} |n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j - 1, \dots\rangle.$$

Similarly, for the creation operator

$$\hat{a}_j^\dagger |n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j, \dots\rangle = \sqrt{n_j + 1} |n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j + 1, \dots\rangle.$$

In general, all the number states can be generated from the vacuum state according to

$$|\{n_j\}\rangle = \prod_j \frac{(\hat{a}_j^\dagger)^{n_j}}{\sqrt{n_j!}} |0\rangle$$

also the amplitudes $A_{\mathbf{k}s}$ become operators which has the form

$$\hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}s} = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_k \varepsilon_0 V}\right)^{1/2} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s}$$

and thus the quantized vector potential has the form

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}s} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_k \varepsilon_0 V}\right)^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}s} \left[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s} e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} - \omega_k t)} + \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s}^\dagger e^{-i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} - \omega_k t)} \right].$$

The electric field operator is then

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = i \sum_{\mathbf{k}s} \left(\frac{\hbar \omega_k}{2\epsilon_0 V} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}s} \left[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_k t)} - \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s}^\dagger e^{-i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_k t)} \right]$$

while the magnetic field operator is

$$\hat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{i}{c} \sum_{\mathbf{k}s} (\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}s}) \left(\frac{\hbar \omega_k}{2\epsilon_0 V} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_k t)} - \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s}^\dagger e^{-i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_k t)} \right],$$

noted that the time-dependent annihilation operator for a free field is given by

$$\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s}(t) = \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s} e^{-i\omega_k t}$$

Therefore, the electric field can be written as

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = i \sum_{\mathbf{k}s} \left(\frac{\hbar \omega_k}{2\epsilon_0 V} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}s} \left[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s}(t) e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_k t)} - \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}s}^\dagger(t) e^{-i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_k t)} \right] = \hat{E}^{(+)}(r, t) + \hat{E}^{(-)}(r, t)$$

note that in atomic system, the wavelength is much longer than the radius of the atom

$$\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{k} \gg |r_{atom}|$$

such that we can expand the exponent

$$e^{\pm i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \approx 1 \pm i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}$$

Replacing the exponential by unity, we obtain

$$\hat{E}(r, t) \approx i \left(\frac{\hbar \omega}{2\epsilon_0 V} \right)^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_x [\hat{a} e^{-i\omega t} - \hat{a}^\dagger e^{i\omega t}]$$

this is called the dipole approximation