

# Tetris Game Development Project

## Project Progress & Planning Summary

**Team:** Error 404: Name Not Found

**Project Duration:** September 8 – November 23, 2025

**Total Sprints:** 2 (Sprint 1: 5 weeks, Sprint 2: 5 weeks)

# Table of Contents

1. Project Overview
2. Sprint 1: Planning & Results
3. Sprint 2: Planning & Results
4. Overall Project Metrics
5. Team Contributions
6. Key Achievements
7. Lessons Learned
8. Project Completion

# Project Overview

## Mission Statement

Develop a fully functional Tetris game demonstrating:

- Modern software development practices
- Team collaboration and agile methodology
- Comprehensive testing strategies
- Clean architecture and design patterns

## Team Members

- Anna Dinius - Game Logic & Scoring Specialist
- Cody King - User Experience & Game Mechanics
- Owen Newberry - Rendering & Game Progression
- Jeffrey Perdue - Project Manager

# Project Overview (Continued)

## Repository & Resources

### GitHub Repository:

<https://github.com/jeffreyperdue/ase-420-team-project>

### Project Timeline:

- **Sprint 1:** September 8 – October 13, 2025 (5 weeks)
- **Sprint 2:** October 20 – November 23, 2025 (5 weeks)
- **Total Duration:** 10 weeks

# Sprint 1: Planning

## Sprint 1 Goals

1. Build a working MVP Tetris game
2. Write basic requirements and track completion
3. Share work on GitHub and GitHub.io
4. Establish foundation for future enhancements

## Sprint 1 Scope

- **Total Features Planned: 9**
- **Total Requirements Planned: 9**
- **Focus: Core game mechanics and basic functionality**

# Sprint 1: Feature Assignments

## Anna Dinius - Board & Line Clearing

### Features:

- Build playing field grid
- Detect and clear full lines

### Deliverables:

- `board.py` - Board management
- Line clearing logic
- Unit tests for board operations

# Sprint 1: Feature Assignments (Continued)

## Cody King - Pieces & Collision

### Features:

- Represent game pieces (type, rotation, position, color)
- Allow pieces to move and rotate
- Prevent illegal moves with collision detection

### Deliverables:

- `piece.py` - Piece representation
- `figures.py` - Shape definitions
- Collision detection system



# Sprint 1: Feature Assignments (Continued)

## Owen Newberry - Rendering & Controls

### Features:

- Render empty board on screen
- Render falling piece and locked pieces
- Map keyboard input to intents
- Display "Game Over" overlay

### Deliverables:

- `pygame_renderer.py` - Visual rendering
- `input.py` - Input handling
- `app.py` - Main game loop

# Sprint 1: Timeline

## Week-by-Week Plan

### Week 1 (9/8 - 9/14):

- Team rules and repository setup
- Project scaffolding
- Constants and figures established

### Week 2 (9/15 - 9/21):

- Board class creation
- Initial Row + LinkedList approach
- Basic renderer and input mapping

# Sprint 1: Timeline (Continued)

## Week 3 (9/22 - 9/28):

- Line-clear implementation
- Collision/placement/movement
- Keyboard intents wired
- Expanded test coverage

## Week 4 (9/29 - 10/5):

- Edge-case tests
- Error handling
- Main loop integration
- Code cleanup

# Sprint 1: Timeline (Continued)

## Week 5 (10/6 - 10/12):

- Final integration (branches merged)
- Documentation and comments
- Manual testing
- Sprint 1 presentation preparation

# Sprint 1: Results

## Completion Metrics

- **Features Completed:** 8 out of 9 (88.9%)
- **Requirements Completed:** 8 out of 9 (88.9%)
- **Team Burndown Rate:** 88.9%
- **Total Lines of Code:** 2,442 (excluding starter code)

## Outstanding Item

- Game Over overlay (moved to Sprint 2)

# Sprint 1: Results (Continued)

## Individual Contributions

### Anna Dinius:

- Lines of Code: 1,434
- Features: 2 (Board & Line Clearing)
- Requirements: 2

### Cody King:

- Lines of Code: 832
- Features: 3 (Piece Representation, Movement, Collision)
- Requirements: 3

# Sprint 1: Results (Continued)

## Individual Contributions

### Owen Newberry:

- Lines of Code: 176
- Features: 3 (Rendering, Input, Game Over)
- Requirements: 3

**Note:** Game Over overlay was planned but completed in Sprint 2

# Sprint 1: Achievements

## What Went Well

### ✓ Clear Separation of Concerns

- Modular architecture (Board, Piece, Game, View, Input)
- Each component had clear responsibilities

### ✓ High Test Coverage

- Comprehensive unit tests for Board/Row/Piece
- Core logic stable and well-tested

### ✓ Working Game Loop

- Functional pygame loop
- Keyboard controls responsive



# Sprint 1: Challenges

## What Went Wrong

### Game Over Overlay

- Not implemented by Sprint 1 deadline
- Moved to Sprint 2 for completion

### Integration Issues

- Some merging challenges between team members
- Resolved through better communication

# Sprint 1: Lessons Learned

## Improvements for Sprint 2

- Finish Game Over overlay early in next sprint
- Improve communication for smoother integration
- Maintain quality standards established in Sprint 1

# Sprint 2: Planning

## Sprint 2 Goals

1. Implement scoring system with line clear bonuses
2. Add next piece preview display
3. Implement pause/resume functionality
4. Create difficulty levels with increasing speed
5. Enhance game over screen with detailed stats
6. Add ghost piece preview

## Sprint 2: Planning (Continued)

### Sprint 2 Scope

- Total Features Planned: 6
- Total Requirements Planned: 35
- Focus: User experience enhancements and game polish

# Sprint 2: Feature Assignments

Anna Dinius - Scoring & Enhanced Screens

Features:

- Scoring System
- Enhanced Game Over Screen
- Start Screen

## Requirements: 14 total

- Scoring logic with multipliers
- Session high score tracking
- UI display and persistence
- Start screen with controls
- Game over screen with stats

# Sprint 2: Feature Assignments (Continued)

## Cody King - User Experience Features

### Features:

- Next Piece Preview
- Pause/Resume Functionality

### Requirements: 12 total

- Preview display area
- Piece generation integration
- Pause state management
- Input handling for pause

# Sprint 2: Feature Assignments (Continued)

## Owen Newberry - Game Mechanics

### Features:

- Difficulty Levels
- Ghost Piece

### Requirements: 9 total

- Level progression system
- Speed adjustment
- Landing position calculation
- Ghost piece rendering



# Sprint 2: Timeline

## Week-by-Week Plan

### Week 1 (10/20 - 10/26):

- Anna: Scoring system foundation
- Cody: Next piece preview UI
- Owen: Difficulty level framework

### Week 2 (10/27 - 11/2):

- Anna: Scoring enhancements with multipliers
- Cody: Pause/resume mechanics
- Owen: Ghost piece collision logic

## Sprint 2: Timeline (Continued)

### Week 3 (11/3 - 11/9):

- Anna: Score display & persistence
- Cody: Input handling for pause
- Owen: Difficulty progression

### Week 4 (11/10 - 11/16):

- Anna: Start screen implementation
- Cody: UI polish for preview/pause
- Owen: Ghost piece rendering

## Sprint 2: Timeline (Continued)

Week 5 (11/17 - 11/23):

- Anna: Game over screen implementation
- Cody & Owen: Final integration & testing
- Team: Complete documentation and final presentation

# Sprint 2: Results

## Completion Metrics

- **Features Completed:** 6 out of 6 (100%)
- **Requirements Completed:** 35 out of 35 (100%)
- **Team Burndown Rate:** 100%
- **Sprint 2 Status:**  Complete

# Sprint 2: Results (Continued)

## Individual Contributions

### Anna Dinius:

- Features: 3 (Scoring System, Start Screen, Game Over Screen)
- Requirements: 14

### Cody King:

- Features: 2 (Next Piece Preview, Pause/Resume)
- Requirements: 12

## Owen Newberry:

- Features: 2 (Difficulty Levels, Ghost Piece)
- Requirements: 9

# Sprint 2: Achievements

## Completed Features

### ✓ Scoring System

- Base scoring with multipliers
- Session high score tracking
- Level-based scoring bonuses

### ✓ Next Piece Preview

- Visual preview of upcoming piece
- Integrated with piece generation

### ✓ Pause/Resume

- Full pause functionality

# Sprint 2: Achievements (Continued)

## Completed Features

### ✓ Difficulty Levels

- Level progression system
- Increasing fall speed
- Level-based scoring multipliers

### ✓ Enhanced Screens

- Start screen with controls
- Enhanced game over screen
- Professional UI polish

### ✓ Ghost Piece



# Overall Project Metrics

## Total Project Statistics

- **Total Lines of Code: 6,877**
  - Source Code: ~1,200 lines
  - Test Code: ~5,677 lines
- **Total Features: 14**
  - Sprint 1: 8 features
  - Sprint 2: 6 features
- **Total Requirements: 43**
  - Sprint 1: 8 requirements
  - Sprint 2: 35 requirements

# Overall Project Metrics (Continued)

## Quality Metrics

- **Total Tests:** 411 test cases
- **Test Coverage:** Comprehensive across all modules
- **Test Categories:**
  - Unit Tests: 17 files
  - Integration Tests: 11 files
  - Acceptance Tests: 5 files
  - Regression Tests: 5 files

# Overall Project Metrics (Continued)

## Burndown Summary

- **Sprint 1 Burndown:** 88.9% (8/9 requirements)
- **Sprint 2 Burndown:** 100% (35/35 requirements)
- **Overall Project Completion:** 100% of planned features

# Team Contributions Summary

## Anna Dinius - Total Contribution

### Sprint 1:

- Features: 2 (Board & Line Clearing)
- Requirements: 2
- Lines of Code: 1,434

### Sprint 2:

- Features: 3 (Scoring, Start Screen, Game Over)
- Requirements: 14

### Total:

- Features: 5

# Team Contributions Summary (Continued)

## Cody King - Total Contribution

### Sprint 1:

- Features: 3 (Piece Representation, Movement, Collision)
- Requirements: 3
- Lines of Code: 832

### Sprint 2:

- Features: 2 (Next Piece Preview, Pause/Resume)
- Requirements: 12

### Total:

- Features: 5

# Team Contributions Summary (Continued)

## Owen Newberry - Total Contribution

### Sprint 1:

- Features: 3 (Rendering, Input, Game Over)
- Requirements: 3
- Lines of Code: 286

### Sprint 2:

- Features: 2 (Difficulty Levels, Ghost Piece)
- Requirements: 9

### Total:

- Features: 5

# Key Achievements

## Technical Excellence

### ✓ Clean Architecture

- Layered architecture with clear separation
- SOLID principles applied throughout
- Design patterns (Factory, Singleton, Strategy)

### ✓ Comprehensive Testing

- 411 test cases across 4 categories
- High code coverage
- Regression prevention

# Key Achievements (Continued)

## Technical Excellence

### ✓ Code Quality

- Well-documented codebase
- Consistent coding standards
- Maintainable structure

### ✓ Performance

- Efficient bitmask operations
- Optimized data structures
- Smooth 60 FPS gameplay



# Key Achievements (Continued)

## Team Collaboration

### ✓ Effective Communication

- Regular progress updates
- Clear feature ownership
- Successful integration

### ✓ Agile Methodology

- Sprint-based development
- Regular retrospectives
- Continuous improvement

# Key Achievements (Continued)

## Project Delivery

### ✓ On-Time Delivery

- Both sprints completed on schedule
- All major milestones met
- Final presentation delivered

### ✓ Quality Standards

- Production-ready code
- Comprehensive documentation
- Professional presentation

# Lessons Learned

## What Worked Well

### 1. Clear Role Definition

- Each team member had specific responsibilities
- Reduced overlap and conflicts

### 2. Modular Architecture

- Enabled parallel development
- Made integration smoother

### 3. Testing from Start

- Comprehensive test coverage
- Prevented regression issues

# Lessons Learned (Continued)

## What Worked Well

### 4. Regular Communication

- Weekly progress updates
- Quick issue resolution
- Better coordination

### 5. Agile Approach

- Flexible planning
- Adaptable to changes
- Continuous improvement

# Lessons Learned (Continued)

## Areas for Improvement

### 1. Documentation

- Earlier documentation updates
- More inline comments
- Better API documentation

### 2. Communication

- More frequent check-ins
- Earlier issue escalation
- Better status visibility

# Project Completion

## Final Status

### All Features Complete

- 14 features implemented
- 100% feature completion
- All requirements met

### Quality Standards Met

- Comprehensive testing
- Code quality maintained
- Documentation complete

# Project Completion (Continued)

## Final Deliverables

### Working Game

- Fully functional Tetris game
- All planned features implemented
- Production-ready code

### Documentation

- User guide
- Architecture documentation
- Technical specifications

# Project Completion (Continued)

## Final Deliverables

### Testing Suite

- 411 test cases
- Multiple test categories
- Comprehensive coverage

### Presentations

- Sprint 1 retrospective
- Final project presentation
- Progress documentation



# Project Completion (Continued)

## Repository Status

### GitHub Repository

- Well-organized codebase
- Complete commit history
- Clear branch structure

### Documentation

- README files
- Progress reports
- Technical documentation

# Project Success Factors

## Key Success Elements

### 1. Clear Planning

- Well-defined sprints
- Specific feature assignments
- Realistic timelines

### 2. Team Collaboration

- Effective communication
- Mutual support
- Shared goals

# Project Success Factors (Continued)

## Key Success Elements

### 3. Technical Excellence

- Clean architecture
- Comprehensive testing
- Quality code

### 4. Agile Methodology

- Flexible approach
- Continuous improvement
- Regular retrospectives

# Project Impact

## Technical Impact

- **Architecture:** Scalable, maintainable design
- **Testing:** Comprehensive test coverage
- **Code Quality:** Production-ready standards
- **Documentation:** Complete technical specs

# Project Impact (Continued)

## Team Impact

- **Skills Development:** Enhanced technical skills
- **Collaboration:** Improved team coordination
- **Process:** Established agile practices
- **Experience:** Real-world project experience

# Project Impact (Continued)

## Educational Impact

- **Best Practices:** Applied software engineering principles
- **Design Patterns:** Implemented multiple patterns
- **Testing:** Comprehensive test strategies
- **Documentation:** Professional documentation standards

# Conclusion

## Project Summary

### Successfully Completed

- All planned features implemented
- All requirements met
- High quality standards maintained

### Team Achievement

- Effective collaboration
- On-time delivery
- Professional results

# Conclusion (Continued)

## Key Takeaways

- **Planning Matters:** Clear planning enabled success
- **Communication is Key:** Regular updates prevented issues
- **Quality First:** Testing and documentation paid off
- **Agile Works:** Flexible approach handled changes well



# Thank You

## Project Completion

### Tetris Game Development Project

Error 404: Name Not Found

Status:  Complete

Quality:  Excellent

Delivery:  On Time

Thank you for following our progress!

# End of Presentation

For more information:

- GitHub: <https://github.com/jeffreyperdue/ase-420-team-project>
- User Guide: `docs/user_guide.marp.md`
- Architecture: `docs/design_architecture.marp.md`
- Final Presentation: `docs/final_presentation.marp.md`