

An Effective Model of the Retinoic Acid induced HL-60 Differentiation Circuit

Ryan Tasseff¹, Holly A. Jensen¹, Johanna Congleton², Andrew Yen² and Jeffrey D. Varner^{1,*}

¹ School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering
Cornell University, Ithaca NY 14853

² Department of Biomedical Sciences
Cornell University, Ithaca NY 14853

Running Title: Effective HL60 differentiation circuit

To be submitted: *Processes*

*Corresponding author:

Jeffrey D. Varner,

Associate Professor, School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering,
244 Olin Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca NY, 14853

Email: jdv27@cornell.edu

Phone: (607) 255 - 4258

Fax: (607) 255 - 9166

Abstract

Keywords: Cell free metabolism, Mathematical modeling

1 Introduction

2 Understanding differentiation, the process by which precursor cells become more special-
3 ized cell types, is an important challenge facing biology. If differentiation programs could
4 be rationally manipulated, advanced therapies could be developed to treat a spectrum
5 of cancers, spinal cord injuries and neurodegenerative disorders. However, to rationally
6 reprogram differentiation networks, we must first understand their connectivity and regu-
7 lation [1]. Lessons learned in model systems, such as the lineage-uncommitted human
8 myeloblastic cell line HL-60, could inform our analysis of more complex programs. HL-60
9 has been a durable experimental model since the 1970's to study differentiation [2]. De-
10 pending upon the stimulus, HL-60 cells undergo G1/G0-arrest and myeloid or monocytic
11 differentiation. All-Trans Retinoic Acid (ATRA) induces G1/G0-arrest and myeloid differ-
12 entiation in HL-60 cells, whereas 1,25-dihydroxy vitamin D3 induces arrest with mono-
13 cytic differentiation. Commitment to cell cycle arrest and terminal differentiation requires
14 approximately 48 hr of treatment, during which HL-60 cells undergo approximately two
15 division cycles. Interestingly, cells treated with ATRA for time periods shorter than the
16 commitment phase retain a limited inheritable memory, which reduces the time required
17 to reach commitment during subsequent ATRA exposure [3].

18 Sustained Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK) cascade activation is a defining
19 feature of ATRA-induced differentiation in HL-60 cells. ATRA drives slow yet sustained
20 MEK-dependent activation of the Raf/MEK/ERK pathway, leading to arrest and functional
21 differentiation [4]. MEK inhibition results in the loss of both ERK and Raf phosphorylation,
22 as well as the failure to arrest and terminally differentiate [4, 5]. At the transcriptional level,
23 ATRA (and its metabolic products) are ligands for the hormone activated nuclear transcrip-
24 tion factors Retinoic Acid Receptor (RAR) and Retinoid X Receptor (RXR) [6]. Activation
25 of both RAR and RXR is necessary for ATRA-induced Raf phosphorylation and MAPK
26 activation, suggesting that the initiation of MAPK signaling is partially transcriptionally

regulated [5]. ATRA, through activation of a transcription factor complex including RAR and RXR, induces the expression of many proteins. BLR1 also known as CXCR5, is a putative heterotrimeric Gq protein-coupled receptor that is necessary for MAPK activation, growth arrest and functional differentiation [7, 8, 9]. BLR1 was identified as an early ATRA (or D3)-inducible gene in HL-60 cells using differential display [7]. Studies of the BLR1 promoter identified a 5' 17bp GT box approximately 1 kb upstream of the transcriptional start that conferred ATRA responsiveness [9]. Additionally, members of the BLR1 transcriptional activator complex, e.g. NFATc3 and CREB, can be phosphorylated by ERK, JNK or p38 MAPK family members [10]. This suggests positive feedback between BLR1 expression and MAPK activation. BLR1 overexpression enhanced Raf phosphorylation and accelerated terminal differentiation, while BLR1 knock-out HL-60 cells failed to activate Raf or differentiate in the presence of ATRA [11]. Interestingly, both the knockdown or inhibition of Raf, also reduced BLR1 expression and functional differentiation [11]. A recent computational study of ATRA-induced differentiation in HL-60 cells suggested that the BLR1-MAPK positive feedback circuit was sufficient to explain ATRA-induced sustained MAPK activation and the expression of differentiation markers [12]. Model analysis also suggested that Raf was the most distinct of the MAPK proteins.

A critical question is what other components of the MAPK positive feedback circuit are required to drive ATRA-induced functional differentiation of HL-60 cells. Wang and Yen showed that ectopic expression of the constitutively active CR3 domain of Raf1 restored ATRA-induced G0 arrest and differentiation in BLR1 knock-out cells [11]. However, ectopic expression of Raf1 CR3 alone, in the absence of ATRA, failed to induce arrest or differentiation. Thus, additional ATRA-inducible components must exist, which independently promote arrest and differentiation in the absence of BLR1. In this study, we explored this hypothesis using a combination of experimental and computational tools. First, we explored the ATRA-inducible Raf interactome by surveying a panel of 19 pos-

sible binding partners using immunoprecipitation (IP), with and without ATRA and the Raf inhibitor GW5074. Initially, we expected increased ATRA-dependent association between Raf and kinases linked to BLR1 activity; however, this was not supported by data. Instead, we found that the interaction between the guanine nucleotide exchange factor Vav1 and Raf was both ATRA-inducible and simultaneously sensitive to Raf inhibition. Next, we considered how MAPK activation and differentiation were affected by the inhibition of Raf kinase activity in the presence and absence of ATRA. We showed that Raf activity was directly proportional to ERK phosphorylation and to functional differentiation processes such as the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Moreover, interactions between Raf and kinase partners such as Akt or CK2, or the scaffolding protein 14-3-3 were largely insensitive to ATRA treatment. These studies established the working hypothesis that Vav1 (or potentially other ATRA-inducible proteins) acted as limiting members of a constitutively assembled trigger complex that propelled sustained MAPK activation, arrest and differentiation. We tested this hypothesis by constructing a mechanistic mathematical model of the Raf-Vav1 circuit, based on the IP and Western blot data presented in this study, and from previous literature. The proposed model architecture was consistent with the ATRA-induced sustained MAPK activation observed experimentally. Additionally, we found the Raf-Vav1 circuit possessed interesting dynamic features such as bistability, that could explain ATRA-induction memory effects.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Treatment. Human myeloblastic leukemia cells (HL-60 cells) were grown in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C and maintained in RPMI 1640 from Gibco (Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum from Hyclone (Logan, UT) and 1x antibiotic/antimycotic (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Cells were cultured in constant exponential growth as described previously [13]. Experimental cultures were initiated at 0.1×10^6 cells/mL 24 hr prior to 1 μ M ATRA treatment; if indicated, cells were also treated with GW5074 (2 μ M) 18 hr before ATRA treatment. For cell culture washout experiments HL-60 cells were treated with ATRA for 24 hr, washed 3x with prewarmed serum supplemented culture medium to remove ATRA exposure, and reseeded in ATRA-free media as described. Western blot analysis was performed at incremental time points after removal of ATRA.

Chemicals. All-Trans Retinoic Acid from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in 100% ethanol with a stock concentration of 5mM, and used at a final concentration of 1 μ M. The Raf inhibitor GW5074 from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in DMSO with a stock concentration of 10mM, and used at a final concentration of 2 μ M. HL-60 cells were treated with 2 μ M GW5074 with or without ATRA (1 μ M) at 0 hr. This GW5074 dosage had a negligible effect on the cell cycle distribution, compared to ATRA treatment alone (Fig. ??A).

CD11b expression studies by flow cytometry. Approximately 1.0×10^6 HL-60 cells were harvested by centrifugation. Cells were resuspended in 200 μ L PBS containing 5 μ L of allophycocyanin (APC)–conjugated anti-CD11b antibody from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA). Following incubation for 1hr at 37°C, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry (LSRII flow cytometer, BD Biosciences; San Jose, CA) using 633nm red laser excitation. The threshold on experimental groups was set to exclude 95% of control, the untreated sample.

Measurement of inducible oxidative metabolism. Approximately 0.5×10^6 Cells were harvested by centrifugation. Cells were resuspended in 200 μ L 37°C PBS containing 10 μ mol/L 5-(and-6)-chloromethyl-2,7-dichlorodihydro-fluorescein diacetate acetyl ester (DCF; Invitrogen Carlsbad, CA) and 0.4 μ g/mL 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA; Sigma-Aldrich St. Louis, MO) with incubation for 20 min in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Flow cytometric analysis was done as described previously [14]. To determine TPA inducible ROS, the threshold on experimental groups was set to exclude 95% of control, samples not treated with TPA.

Cell cycle analysis. Approximately 1.0×10^6 cells were collected by centrifugation. Cells were resuspended in 500 μ L hypotonic staining solution containing 50 μ g/mL propidium iodide, 1 μ L/mL Triton X-100, and 1 mg/mL sodium citrate. Cells were incubated at room temperature for 1 hr and analyzed by flow cytometry (BD LSRII) using 488-nm excitation.

Immunoprecipitation and Western blot. Approximately 1.2×10^7 cells were lysed using 400 μ L of M-Per lysis buffer from Thermo Scientific (Waltham, MA). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at $16,950 \times g$ in a micro-centrifuge for 20 min at 4°C. Lysates were pre-cleared using 100 μ L protein A/G Plus agarose beads from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA) by inverting overnight at 4°C. Beads were cleared by centrifugation and total protein concentration was determined by a BCA assay (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). Immunoprecipitations were setup by bringing lysate to a concentration of 1.0g/L in a total volume of 300 μ L (M-Per buffer was used for dilution). The anti-Raf antibody was added at 3 μ L. A negative control with no bait protein was also used to exclude the direct interaction of proteins with the A/G beads. After 1 hr of inversion at 4°C, 20 μ L of agarose beads was added and samples were left to invert overnight at 4°C. Samples were then washed three times with M-Per buffer by centrifugation. Finally proteins were eluted from agarose beads using a laemmli loading buffer. Eluted proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Total lysate samples were normalized by total protein

concentration (20 μ g per sample) and resolved by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Secondary HRP bound antibody was used for visualization. All antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling (Boston, MA) with the exception of anti-p621 Raf which was purchased from Biosource/Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA), and anti-pS338 Raf which was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA); anti-retinoblastoma from Zymed (South San Francisco, CA); and anti-CK2 from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA).

Formulation and solution of the model equations. We used ordinary differential equations (ODEs) to model the time evolution of metabolite (x_i) and scaled enzyme abundance (ϵ_i) in hypothetical cell free metabolic networks:

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sigma_{ij} r_j(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon, \mathbf{k}) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \mathcal{M} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d\epsilon_i}{dt} = -\lambda_i \epsilon_i \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \mathcal{E} \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{R} denotes the number of reactions, \mathcal{M} denotes the number of metabolites and \mathcal{E} denotes the number of enzymes in the model. The quantity $r_j(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon, \mathbf{k})$ denotes the rate of reaction j . Typically, reaction j is a non-linear function of metabolite and enzyme abundance, as well as unknown kinetic parameters \mathbf{k} ($\mathcal{K} \times 1$). The quantity σ_{ij} denotes the stoichiometric coefficient for species i in reaction j . If $\sigma_{ij} > 0$, metabolite i is produced by reaction j . Conversely, if $\sigma_{ij} < 0$, metabolite i is consumed by reaction j , while $\sigma_{ij} = 0$ indicates metabolite i is not connected with reaction j . Lastly, λ_i denotes the scaled enzyme degradation constant. The system material balances were subject to the initial conditions $\mathbf{x}(t_o) = \mathbf{x}_o$ and $\epsilon(t_o) = 1$ (initially we have 100% cell-free enzyme abundance).

Each reaction rate was written as the product of two terms, a kinetic term (\bar{r}_j) and a regulatory term (v_j):

$$r_j(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon, \mathbf{k}) = \bar{r}_j v_j \quad (3)$$

146 We used multiple saturation kinetics to model the reaction term \bar{r}_j :

$$\bar{r}_j = k_j^{max} \epsilon_i \left(\prod_{s \in m_j^-} \frac{x_s}{K_{js} + x_s} \right) \quad (4)$$

147 where k_j^{max} denotes the maximum rate for reaction j , ϵ_i denotes the scaled enzyme ac-
 148 tivity which catalyzes reaction j , and K_{js} denotes the saturation constant for species s in
 149 reaction j . The product in Eqn. (4) was carried out over the set of *reactants* for reaction j
 150 (denoted as m_j^-).

151 The allosteric regulation term v_j depended upon the combination of factors which in-
 152 fluenced the activity of enzyme i . For each enzyme, we used a rule based approach
 153 to select from competing control factors (Fig. ??). If an enzyme was activated by m
 154 metabolites, we modeled this activation as:

$$v_j = \max(f_{1j}(x), \dots, f_{mj}(x)) \quad (5)$$

155 where $0 \leq f_{ij}(x) \leq 1$ was a regulatory transfer function that calculated the influence of
 156 metabolite i on the activity of enzyme j . Conversely, if enzyme activity was inhibited by a
 157 m metabolites, we modeling this inhibition as:

$$v_j = 1 - \max(f_{1j}(x), \dots, f_{mj}(x)) \quad (6)$$

158 Lastly, if an enzyme had both m activating and n inhibitory factors, we modeled the regu-
 159 latory term as:

$$v_j = \min(u_j, d_j) \quad (7)$$

160 where:

$$u_j = \max_{j^+} (f_{1j}(x), \dots, f_{mj}(x)) \quad (8)$$

$$d_j = 1 - \max_{j^-} (f_{1j}(x), \dots, f_{nj}(x)) \quad (9)$$

161 The quantities j^+ and j^- denoted the sets of activating, and inhibitory factors for enzyme
162 j . If an enzyme had no allosteric factors, we set $v_j = 1$. There are many possible
163 functional forms for $0 \leq f_{ij}(x) \leq 1$. However, in this study, each individual transfer function
164 took the form:

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\kappa_{ij}^\eta x_j^\eta}{1 + \kappa_{ij}^\eta x_j^\eta} \quad (10)$$

165 where x_j denotes the abundance of metabolite j , and κ_{ij} and η are control parameters.
166 The κ_{ij} parameter was species gain parameter, while η was a cooperativity parameter
167 (similar to a Hill coefficient). The model equations were encoded using the Octave pro-
168 gramming language, and solved using the LSODE routine in Octave [?].

169 **Estimation of model parameters from experimental data.**

170 Acknowledgements

171 This study was supported by the National Science Foundation GK12 award (DGE-1045513)
172 and by the National Science Foundation CAREER award (FILLMEIN).

References

1. Young RA (2011) Control of the embryonic stem cell state. *Cell* 144: 940–954.
2. Breitman TR, Selonick SE, Collins SJ (1980) Introduction of differentiation of the human promyelocytic leukemia cell line (HL-60) by retinoic acid. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 77: 2936 - 2940.
3. Yen A, Reece SL, Albright KL (1984) Dependence if HL-60 myeloid cell differentiation on continuous and split retinoic acid exposures: Pre-commitment memory associated with altered nuclear structure. *J Cell Physiol* 118: 227 - 286.
4. Yen A, Roberson MS, Varvayanis S, Lee AT (1998) Retinoic acid induced mitogen-activated protein (map)/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (erk) kinase-dependent map kinase activation needed to elicit hl-60 cell differentiation and growth arrest. *Cancer Res* 58: 3163–3172.
5. Hong HY, Varvayanis S, Yen A (2001) Retinoic acid causes mek-dependent raf phosphorylation through raralpha plus rxr activation in hl-60 cells. *Differentiation* 68: 55–66.
6. Mangelsdorf DJ, Ong ES, Dyck JA, Evans RM (1990) Nuclear receptor that identifies a novel retinoic acid response pathway. *Nature* 345: 224–229.
7. Yen A (1990) HL-60 cells as a model of growth control and differentiation - The significance of variant cells. *Hemat Rev* 4: 5 - 46.
8. Emrich T, Forster R, Lipp M (1994) Transmembrane topology of the lymphocyte-specific G protein-coupled receptor BLR1: analysis by flow cytometry and immunocytochemistry. *Cell Mol Biol* 40: 413 - 419.
9. Wang J, Yen A (2004) A novel retinoic acid-responsive element regulates retinoic acid induced BLR1 expression. *Mol Cell Biol* 24: 2423 - 2443.
10. Yang TTC, Xiong Q, Enslen H, Davis RJ, Chow CW (2002) Phosphorylation of nfatc4 by p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases. *Mol Cell Biol* 22: 3892–3904.

- 199 11. Wang J, Yen A (2008) A mapk-positive feedback mechanism for blr1 signaling propels
200 retinoic acid-triggered differentiation and cell cycle arrest. J Biol Chem 283: 4375–
201 4386.
- 202 12. Tasseff R, Nayak S, Song SO, Yen A, Varner JD (2011) Modeling and analysis of
203 retinoic acid induced differentiation of uncommitted precursor cells. Integr Biol 3: 578
204 - 591.
- 205 13. Brooks SC, Kazmer S, Levin AA, Yen A (1996) Myeloid differentiation and retinoblas-
206 toma phosphorylation changes in hl-60 cells induced by retinoic acid receptor- and
207 retinoid x receptor-selective retinoic acid analogs. Blood 87: 227–237.
- 208 14. Reiterer G, Yen A (2007) Platelet-derived growth factor receptor regulates myeloid
209 and monocytic differentiation of hl-60 cells. Cancer Res 67: 7765–7772.