An Effective Model of the Core Retinoic Acid induced HL-60 Differentiation Circuit

Ryan Tasseff¹, Holly A. Jensen¹, Johanna Congleton², Andrew Yen² and Jeffrey D. Varner^{1,*}

¹ School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering Cornell University, Ithaca NY 14853

² Department of Biomedical Sciences Cornell University, Ithaca NY 14853

Running Title: Effective HL60 differentiation circuit

To be submitted: Processes

*Corresponding author:

Jeffrey D. Varner,

Associate Professor, School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering,

244 Olin Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca NY, 14853

Email: jdv27@cornell.edu

Phone: (607) 255 - 4258

Fax: (607) 255 - 9166

Abstract

The complexity of human differentiation networks makes analysis of their architecture and function challenging. Analysis of model systems, such as the lineage-uncommitted human myeloblastic leukemia cell line HL-60, could inform the analysis of more complex programs. HL-60 cells undergo myeloid differentiation along with G1/G0-arrest when exposed to All-Trans Retinoic Acid (ATRA). One of the defining features of this program is slowly induced, persistent MAPK activation. The molecular mechanisms of ATRAinduced commitment, arrest and functional differentiation are only partially understood. In this study, we explored the ATRA-inducible c-Raf, also known as Raf1 (Raf), interactome to determine the functional and regulatory architecture responsible for persistent MAPK activation in HL-60 cells. To better understand the role of Raf in the ATRA-induced differentiation program, we constructed an ensemble of mechanistic, mathematical models of the transcriptional and post-translational events driving ATRA-induced MAPK activation. Bifurcation analysis of this model predicted bistability in ppERK levels as a function of ATRA forcing. A functional consequence of this was the ability to lock the MAPK cascade into a self-sustaining activated state, even after ATRA removal. These simulations were then qualitatively validated with ATRA washout experiments. Taken together, this study provided further details on sustained MAPK activation, mechanistic insight into cellular memory, and proof-of-concept that a combination of experimental and computational methods is an effective strategy for dissecting complex intracellular signaling programs.

Keywords: Cell free metabolism, Mathematical modeling

Introduction

Understanding differentiation, the process by which precursor cells become more specialized cell types, is an important challenge facing biology. If differentiation programs could be rationally manipulated, advanced therapies could be developed to treat a spectrum of cancers, spinal cord injuries and neurodegenerative disorders. However, to rationally reprogram differentiation networks, we must first understand their connectivity and requlation [1]. Lessons learned in model systems, such as the lineage-uncommitted human myeloblastic cell line HL-60, could inform our analysis of more complex programs. HL-60 has been a durable experimental model since the 1970's to study differentiation [2]. Depending upon the stimulus, HL-60 cells undergo G1/G0-arrest and myeloid or monocytic differentiation. All-Trans Retinoic Acid (ATRA) induces G1/G0-arrest and myeloid differentiation in HL-60 cells, whereas 1,25-dihydroxy vitamin D3 induces arrest with monocytic differentiation. Commitment to cell cycle arrest and terminal differentiation requires 13 approximately 48 hr of treatment, during which HL-60 cells undergo approximately two division cycles. Interestingly, cells treated with ATRA for time periods shorter than the 15 commitment phase retain a limited inheritable memory, which reduces the time required 16 to reach commitment during subsequent ATRA exposure [3]. 17

Sustained Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK) cascade activation is a defining feature of ATRA-induced differentiation in HL-60 cells. ATRA drives slow yet sustained MEK-dependent activation of the Raf/MEK/ERK pathway, leading to arrest and functional differentiation [4]. MEK inhibition results in the loss of both ERK and Raf phosphorylation, as well as the failure to arrest and terminally differentiate [4, 5]. At the transcriptional level, ATRA (and its metabolic products) are ligands for the hormone activated nuclear transcription factors Retinoic Acid Receptor (RAR) and Retinoid X Receptor (RXR) [6]. Activation of both RAR and RXR is necessary for ATRA-induced Raf phosphorylation and MAPK activation, suggesting that the initiation of MAPK signaling is partially transcriptionally

regulated [5]. ATRA, through activation of a transcription factor complex including RAR and RXR, induces the expression of many proteins. BLR1 also known as CXCR5, is a putative heterotrimeric Gq protein-coupled receptor that is necessary for MAPK activation, growth arrest and functional differentiation [7, 8, 9]. BLR1 was identified as an early ATRA 30 (or D3)-inducible gene in HL-60 cells using differential display [7]. Studies of the BLR1 31 promoter identified a 5' 17bp GT box approximately 1 kb upstream of the transcriptional 32 start that conferred ATRA responsiveness [9]. Additionally, members of the BLR1 tran-33 scriptional activator complex, e.g. NFATc3 and CREB, can be phosphorylated by ERK, 34 JNK or p38 MAPK family members [10]. This suggests positive feedback between BLR1 35 expression and MAPK activation. BLR1 overexpression enhanced Raf phosphorylation and accelerated terminal differentiation, while BLR1 knock-out HL-60 cells failed to acti-37 vate Raf or differentiate in the presence of ATRA [11]. Interestingly, both the knockdown 38 or inhibition of Raf, also reduced BLR1 expression and functional differentiation [11]. A recent computational study of ATRA-induced differentiation in HL-60 cells suggested that the BLR1-MAPK positive feedback circuit was sufficient to explain ATRA-induced sus-41 tained MAPK activation and the expression of differentiation markers [12]. Model analysis also suggested that Raf was the most distinct of the MAPK proteins.

A critical question is what other components of the MAPK positive feedback circuit
are required to drive ATRA-induced functional differentiation of HL-60 cells. Wang and
Yen showed that ectopic expression of the constitutively active CR3 domain of Raf1 restored ATRA-induced G0 arrest and differentiation in BLR1 knock-out cells [11]. However,
ectopic expression of Raf1 CR3 alone, in the absence of ATRA, failed to induce arrest
or differentiation. Thus, additional ATRA-inducible components must exist, which independently promote arrest and differentiation in the absence of BLR1. In this study, we
explored this hypothesis using a combination of experimental and computational tools.
First, we explored the ATRA-inducible Raf interactome by surveying a panel of 19 pos-

sible binding partners using immunoprecipitation (IP), with and without ATRA and the Raf inhibitor GW5074. Initially, we expected increased ATRA-dependent association between Raf and kinases linked to BLR1 activity; however, this was not supported by data. Instead, we found that the interaction between the guanine nucleotide exchange factor 56 Vav1 and Raf was both ATRA-inducible and simultaneously sensitive to Raf inhibition. 57 Next, we considered how MAPK activation and differentiation were affected by the inhi-58 bition of Raf kinase activity in the presence and absence of ATRA. We showed that Raf 59 activity was directly proportional to ERK phosphorylation and to functional differentiation 60 processes such as the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Moreover, interac-61 tions between Raf and kinase partners such as Akt or CK2, or the scaffolding protein 14-3-3 were largely insensitive to ATRA treatment. These studies established the work-63 ing hypothesis that Vav1 (or potentially other ATRA-inducible proteins) acted as limiting 64 members of a constitutively assembled trigger complex that propelled sustained MAPK activation, arrest and differentiation. We tested this hypothesis by constructing a mechanistic mathematical model of the Raf-Vav1 circuit, based on the IP and Western blot data 67 presented in this study, and from previous literature. The proposed model architecture was consistent with the ATRA-induced sustained MAPK activation observed experimentally. Additionally, we found the Raf-Vav1 circuit possessed interesting dynamic features such as bistability, that could explain ATRA-induction memory effects.

2 Results

90

91

92

Mathematical modeling of ATRA-induced MAPK activation in HL-60 cells. We constructed a mechanistic mathematical model of a putative Raf-Vav1 activation circuit (Fig. ??). The immunoprecipitation and kinase inhibitor studies suggested that Raf kinase 75 activity was required for ATRA-induced ERK phosphorylation and ROS response. Furthermore, the phosphorylation, expression, and Vav1 binding of Raf itself decreased in 77 response to Raf inhibition. These results suggested a possible positive feedback loop between Raf kinase activity and increased Raf expression, possibly through ppERKdependent transcription factors such as Sp1 and STAT1 [13, 14]. Both Sp1 and STAT1 have putative binding domains in the Raf promoter (TRANSFAC). However, this loop is not ATRA-responsive, without an ATRA-inducible trigger, which we hypothesize is Vav1. The Vav1 gene contains an upstream canonical Retinoic Acid Response Element (RARE) sequence motif (TRANSFAC) which could allow Vav1 expression to be at least partially 84 regulated by ATRA. By contrast, the Src promoter does not have a discernible canonical 85 putative RARE. (Tables ??, ?? and ?? respectively). The levels of ATRA and GW5074 86 were introduced as user defined parameters depending on the experiment being sim-87 ulated. In general Dilution due to growth was More details on the model architecture 88 including additional references can be found in Materials and Methods. 89

To address parametric uncertainty, an ensemble of models was estimated using the eight datasets taken from this study (38 total data points), and the previous study of Wang and Yen [11]. Here ensemble refers to the use of different parametric sets for the same system of reactions. Cross-validation (data randomly split into 80% training and 20% validation) demonstrated that, on average, the model identification scheme (described in Materials and Methods) decreased both training and prediction error (Fig. ??) over successive iterations of the parameter selection procedure. This is suggestive of the predictive ability of the model and also demonstrated that the nominal parameter set, the

set with the lowest training error, did not result in over-fitting. Subsequently, we considered an ensemble of likely parameter sets (N = 99) with relative mean squared training errors within 20% of the nominal set. The model ensemble recapitulated both time-series data (including sustained MAPK activation) and the GW5074 results (Fig. ??).

Inhibition of Raf kinase activity modulated MAPK activation and differentiation mark-102 We considered the effect of the Raf kinase inhibitor GW5074 on functional markers 103 of ATRA-induced growth arrest and differentiation. For more details on GW5074 includ-104 ing dose response see Supplemental Materials including Fig. ??A. ATRA treatment alone 105 statistically significantly increased the G1/G0 percentage over the untreated control, while GW5074 alone had a negligible effect on the cell cycle distribution (Fig. ??A). Secondly, 107 ATRA treatment alone increased both Raf phosphorylation at S621/S259 and Raf expression 24 hr post-treatment, while ATRA-induced phosphorylation of ERK was blocked by 109 GW5074, consistent with the loss of Raf kinase activity (Fig. ??B). Lastly, both the phos-110 phorylation and expression of Raf was inhibited by GW5074. This suggested a positive 111 feedback between Raf kinase activity, Raf phosphorylation and possible ERK action on 112 Raf transcription factors. Surprisingly, the combination of GW5074 and ATRA statisti-113 cally significantly increased the G1/G0 population (82 \pm 1%) compared with ATRA alone 114 (61 \pm 0.5%). Increased G1/G0 arrest following the combined treatment with GW5074 and 115 ATRA was unexpected, as the combination of ATRA and the MEK inhibitor (PD98059) has 116 been shown previously to decrease ATRA-induced growth arrest [4]. However, growth ar-117 rest is not the sole indication of functional differentiation. Expression of the cell surface 118 marker CD11b has also been shown to coincide with HL-60 cells myeloid differentiation 119 [15]. We measured CD11b expression, for the various treatment groups, using immuno-120 fluorescence flow cytometry 48 hr post-treatment. As with G1/G0 arrest, ATRA alone 121 increased CD11b expression over the untreated control, while GW5074 further enhanced 122 ATRA-induced CD11b expression (Fig. ??B). GW5074 alone had no statistically significant effect on CD11b expression, compared with the untreated control.

The inducible reactive oxygen species (ROS) response was used as a functional 125 marker of differentiated neutrophils [16]. We measured the ROS response induced by 126 the phorbol ester 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) using flow cytometry. Un-127 treated cells showed no discernible TPA response, with only 7.0 \pm 3.0% ROS induction 128 (Fig. **??**C). Cells treated with ATRA had a significantly increased TPA response, $53 \pm 7\%$ 129 ROS induction 48 hr post-treatment. Treatment with both ATRA and GW5074 statistically 130 significantly reduced ROS induction (22 \pm 0.6%) compared to ATRA alone. Interestingly, 131 Western blot analysis did not detect a GW5074 effect on ATRA-induced expression of 132 p47phox, a required upstream component of the ROS response (Fig. ??C, bottom). Thus, 133 the inhibitory effect of GW5074 on inducible ROS might occur downstream of p47phox ex-134 pression. However, the ROS producing complex is MAPK dependent, therefore it is also 135 possible that GW5074 inhibited ROS production by interfering with MAPK activation (in 136 which case the p47phox marker might not accurately reflect phenotypic conversion and 137 differentiation). 138

Discussion

In this study, we explored the functional and regulatory architecture responsible for ATRA-140 induced MAPK activation in HL-60 cells. Retinoic acid is an embryonic morphogen, a 141 cancer chemotherapeutic agent, as well as potent regulator of cell differentiation and pro-142 liferation. Using a human lineage-uncommitted myelo-monocytic precursor cell, it has 143 been shown that ATRA-induced phenotypic conversion and G0 arrest depend on eliciting 144 a hyperactive prolonged signal along the Raf/MEK/ERK axis [4]. In particular, signaling 145 involves two positive loops, which incorporates the BLR1 receptor and Raf kinase, putatively activated by S621 phosphorylation, that is seminal to sustaining the signal needed for differentiation [11]. In genetically engineered BLR1 KO cells crippled for signaling and consequentially ability to differentiate, ectopic expression of Raf activity restores the 149 cell's ability to differentiate. While the canonical paradigm of MAPK signaling is a tran-150 sient signal that is mitogenic, a prolonged signal elicits cell differentiation and G0 arrest. 151 Interest thus becomes focused on whether there is a Raf partner that is responsible for 152 the prolonged signal in ATRA-treated cells. Surveying known MAPK regulatory molecules 153 using Western blotting and IP we found that ATRA increased the expression of Vav1 as 154 well as its immunoprecipitation with Raf phosphorylated at S621. A parsimonious effec-155 tive mathematical model showed that a Raf-Siganlsome-mediated positive feedback loop 156 accounted for sustained MAPK signaling and aspects of phenotypic conversion and G0 157 arrest. The significant finding was thus that ATRA-regulated Vav1 expression and part-158 nering with Raf could drive sustained signaling and phenotypic shift. The model also 159 predicted the observed hysteresis effect of ATRA where the cell retains a memory of tran-160 sient ATRA exposure, betrayed by activated signaling and by accelerated differentiation 161 upon re-exposure to ATRA. 162

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Treatment. Human myeloblastic leukemia cells (HL-60 cells) were 164 grown in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C and maintained in RPMI 1640 from 165 Gibco (Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum from Hyclone (Logan, 166 UT) and 1x antibiotic/antimicotic (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Cells were cultured in constant 167 exponential growth as described previously [17]. Experimental cultures were initiated at 168 0.1×10^6 cells/mL 24 hr prior to 1 μ M ATRA treatment; if indicated, cells were also treated 169 with GW5074 (2 μ M) 18 hr before ATRA treatment. For cell culture washout experiments HL-60 cells were treated with ATRA for 24 hr, washed 3x with prewarmed serum supplemented culture medium to remove ATRA exposure, and reseeded in ATRA-free media as described. Western blot analysis was performed at incremental time points after removal of ATRA.

Chemicals. All-Trans Retinoic Acid from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in 100% ethanol with a stock concentration of 5mM, and used at a final concentration of 1 μ M. The Raf inhibitor GW5074 from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in DMSO with a stock concentration of 10mM, and used at a final concentration of 2 μ M. HL-60 cells were treated with 2 μ M GW5074 with or without ATRA (1 μ M) at 0 hr. This GW5074 dosage had a negligible effect on the cell cycle distribution, compared to ATRA treatment alone (Fig. **??**A).

CD11b expression studies by flow cytometry. Approximately 1.0×10^6 HL-60 cells were harvested by centrifugation. Cells were resuspended in 200μ L PBS containing 5μ L of allophycocyanin (APC)—conjugated anti-CD11b antibody from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA). Following incubation for 1hr at 37° C, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry (LSRII flow cytometer, BD Biosciences; San Jose, CA) using 633nm red laser excitation. The threshold on experimental groups was set to exclude 95% of control, the untreated sample.

Measurement of inducible oxidative metabolism. Approximately 0.5×10^6 Cells were harvested by centrifugation. Cells were resuspended in 200 µL 37°C PBS containing 190 10μ mol/L 5-(and-6)-chloromethyl-2,7-dichlorodihydro-fluorescein diacetate acetyl ester (DCF; 191 Invitrogen Carlsbad, CA) and 0.4µg/mL 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA; Sigma-192 Aldrich St. Louis, MO) with incubation for 20 min in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 193 37°C. Flow cytometric analysis was done as described previously [18]. To determine TPA 194 inducible ROS, the threshold on experimental groups was set to exclude 95% of control, 195 samples not treated with TPA. 196 **Cell cycle analysis.** Approximately 1.0×10^6 cells were collected by centrifugation. Cells 197 were resuspended in 500 μ L hypotonic staining solution containing 50 μ g/mL propidium 198

iodine, 1μ L/mL Triton X-100, and 1 mg/mL sodium citrate. Cells were incubated at room temperature for 1 hr and analyzed by flow cytometry (BD LSRII) using 488-nm excitation. 200 **Immunoprecipitation and Western blot.** Approximately 1.2×10^7 cells were lysed using 201 400μL of M-Per lysis buffer from Thermo Scientific (Waltham, MA). Lysates were cleared 202 by centrifugation at 16,950 \times g in a micro-centrifuge for 20 min at 4°C. Lysates were 203 pre-cleared using 100 µL protein A/G Plus agarose beads from Santa Cruz Biotechnology 204 (Santa Cruz, CA) by inverting overnight at 4°C. Beads were cleared by centrifugation and 205 total protein concentration was determined by a BCA assay (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, 206 MA). Immunoprecipitations were setup by bringing lysate to a concentration of 1.0g/L 207 in a total volume of 300 μ L (M-Per buffer was used for dilution). The anti-Raf antibody 208 was added at 3 μ L. A negative control with no bait protein was also used to exclude the 209 direct interaction of proteins with the A/G beads. After 1 hr of inversion at 4°C, 20µL of agarose beads was added and samples were left to invert overnight at 4°C. Samples were then washed three times with M-Per buffer by centrifugation. Finally proteins were eluted from agarose beads using a laemmli loading buffer. Eluted proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Total lysate samples were normalized by total protein concentration (20 µg per sample) and resolved by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Secondary HRP bound antibody was used for visualization. All antibodies were purchased
from Cell Signaling (Boston, MA) with the exception of anti-p621 Raf which was purchased
from Biosource/Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA), and anti-pS338 Raf which was purchased from
Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA); anti-retinoblastoma from Zymed (South San
Francisco, CA); and anti-CK2 from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA).

Formulation and solution of the model equations. We used ordinary differential equations (ODEs) to model the time evolution of the circuit components:

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sigma_{ij} r_j \left(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon, \mathbf{k} \right) - \left(\mu + k_{d,i} \right) x_i \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, \mathcal{M}$$
 (1)

where \mathcal{R} denotes the number of reactions, \mathcal{M} denotes the number of circuit components, $k_{d,i}$ denotes a first-order non-specific degradation constant for species i, and μ denotes the 224 specific growth rate of HL-60 cells. The quantity σ_{ij} denotes the stoichiometric coefficient 225 for species i in reaction j. If $\sigma_{ij} > 0$, metabolite i is produced by reaction j. Conversely, if 226 $\sigma_{ij} > 0$, metabolite i is consumed by reaction j, while $\sigma_{ij} = 0$ indicates metabolite i is not 227 connected with reaction j. The quantity $r_j(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon, \mathbf{k})$ denotes the rate of reaction j. Typically, 228 reaction j is a non-linear function of network state, as well as unknown kinetic parameters 229 \mathbf{k} ($\mathcal{K} \times 1$). The system material balances were subject to the initial conditions \mathbf{x} (t_o) = \mathbf{x}_o . Each reaction rate was written as the product of two terms, a kinetic term (\bar{r}_i) and a 231 regulatory term (v_i) :

$$r_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon, \mathbf{k}\right) = \bar{r}_{i}v_{i} \tag{2}$$

We used multiple saturation kinetics to model the reaction term \bar{r}_j :

$$\bar{r}_j = k_j^{max} \epsilon_i \left(\prod_{s \in m_j^-} \frac{x_s}{K_{js} + x_s} \right) \tag{3}$$

where k_j^{max} denotes the maximum rate for reaction j, ϵ_i denotes the scaled enzyme activity which catalyzes reaction j, and K_{js} denotes the saturation constant for species s in reaction j. The product in Eqn. (3) was carried out over the set of *reactants* for reaction j (denoted as m_j^-).

The control term v_j depended upon the combination of factors which influenced the abundance or activity of x_i . For each species, we used a rule based approach to select from competing control factors (Fig. $\ref{fig. 29}$). We modeled species activation as:

$$v_j = \max(f_{1j}(x), \dots, f_{mj}(x))$$
 (4)

where $0 \le f_{ij}(x) \le 1$ was a regulatory transfer function that calculated the influence of species x_i on rate process j. Conversely, we modeled the inhibition (or repression) of rate process j as:

$$v_i = 1 - \max(f_{1i}(x), \dots, f_{mi}(x))$$
 (5)

Lastly, if rate process j was subject to had both m activating and n inhibitory factors, we modeled the control term as:

$$v_j = \min\left(u_j, d_j\right) \tag{6}$$

246 where:

$$u_j = \max_{j^+} (f_{1j}(x), \dots, f_{mj}(x))$$
 (7)

$$d_{j} = 1 - \max_{j^{-}} (f_{1j}(x), \dots, f_{nj}(x))$$
(8)

The quantities j^+ and j^- denoted the sets of activating, and inhibitory factors for process j. If a process had no control factors, we set $v_j=1$. There are many possible functional forms for $0 \le f_{ij}(x) \le 1$. However, in this study, each individual transfer function took the

₂₅₀ form:

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\kappa_{ij}^{\eta} x_j^{\eta}}{1 + \kappa_{ij}^{\eta} x_j^{\eta}} \tag{9}$$

where x_j denotes the abundance (or activity) of component j. The κ_{ij} parameter was species gain parameter, while η was a cooperativity parameter (similar to a Hill coefficient). The model equations were encoded using the Octave programming language, and solved using the LSODE routine in Octave [19].

Estimation of an ensemble of model parameters from experimental data. Model parameters were estimated by minimizing the squared difference between simulations and experimental data taken from ATRA-induced HL-60 cells:

$$E_j(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{T_j} \left(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{ij} - \hat{y}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}'_{ij} - \max y_{ij}}{\mathcal{M}'_{ij}} \right)^2$$
(10)

The terms $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{ij}$ and \hat{y}_{ij} denote scaled experimental observations and simulation outputs from training set j. The quantity i denoted the sampled time-index and \mathcal{T}_j denoted the 259 number of time points for experiment j. The first term in Eqn. (10) quantified the relative 260 error in the simulation. We used only immunoblot measurements for model training. Thus, 261 we trained the model on the relative change between bands within each training data 262 set. The read-out from the training immunoblots was band intensity where we assumed 263 intensity was only loosely proportional to concentration. Suppose we have the intensity 264 for species x at time $\{t_1,t_2,..,t_n\}$ in condition j. The scaled-value $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{ij}$ would then be 265 given by: 266

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{ij} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{ij} - \min_{i} \mathcal{M}_{ij}}{\max_{i} \mathcal{M}_{ij} - \min_{i} \mathcal{M}_{ij}}$$
(11)

Under this scaling $0 \le \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{ij} \le 1$ where $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{ij} = 0$ describes the lowest intensity band and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{ij} = 1$ describes the highest intensity band. A similar scaling was defined for the simulation output. The second-term in the objective function ensured the proper concentration

scale was estimated by the model. In this study, we set the highest intensity band to $\mathcal{M}'_{ij}=10$ [AU] for all simulations.

We minimized the total model residual ($\sum E_j$) using Particle swarm optimization (PSO) 272 [20]. PSO uses a swarming metaheuristic to explore parameter spaces. A strength of 273 PSO is its ability to find the global minimum, even in the presence of potentially many 274 local minima, by communicating the local error landscape experienced by each particle 275 collectively to the swarm. Thus, PSO acts both as a local and a global search algorithm. 276 For each iteration, particles in the swarm compute their local error by evaluating the model 277 equations using their specific parameter vector realization. From each of these local 278 points, a globally best error is identified. Both the local and global error are then used to 279 update the parameter estimates of each particle using the rules: 280

$$\Delta_i = \theta_1 \Delta_i + \theta_2 \mathbf{r}_1 \left(\mathcal{L}_i - \mathbf{k}_i \right) + \theta_3 \mathbf{r}_2 \left(\mathcal{G} - \mathbf{k}_i \right)$$
 (12)

$$\mathbf{k}_i = \mathbf{k}_i + \mathbf{\Delta}_i \tag{13}$$

where $(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3)$ are adjustable parameters, \mathcal{L}_i denotes local best solution found by particle i, and \mathcal{G} denotes the best solution found over the entire population of particles. The quantities r_1 and r_2 denote uniform random vectors with the same dimension as the number of unknown model parameters ($\mathcal{K} \times 1$). In thus study, we used $(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3) = (1.0,0.05564,0.02886)$, which was taken from XXX.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful suggestions. We acknowledge the gracious financial support to J.V. by the National Science Foundation CAREER (CBET-0846876) for the support of R.T. and H.J. In addition, we acknowledge support to A.Y. from the National Institutes of Health (CA 30555, CA152870) and a grant from

New York State Stem Cell Science. Lastly, we acknowledge the financial support to J.V. and A.Y. from the National Cancer Institute (#U54CA143876). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Cancer Institute or the National Institutes of Health.

References

- 1. Young RA (2011) Control of the embryonic stem cell state. Cell 144: 940–954.
- 29. Breitman TR, Selonick SE, Collins SJ (1980) Introduction of differentiation of the human promyelocytic leukemia cell line (HL-60) by retinoic acid. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 77: 2936 2940.
- 3. Yen A, Reece SL, Albright KL (1984) Dependence if HL-60 myeloid cell differentiation on continuous and split retinoic acid exposures: Pre-commitment memory associated with altered nuclear structure. J Cell Physiol 118: 227 286.
- 4. Yen A, Roberson MS, Varvayanis S, Lee AT (1998) Retinoic acid induced mitogenactivated protein (map)/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (erk) kinase-dependent map kinase activation needed to elicit hl-60 cell differentiation and growth arrest. Cancer Res 58: 3163–3172.
- 5. Hong HY, Varvayanis S, Yen A (2001) Retinoic acid causes mek-dependent raf phosphorylation through raralpha plus rxr activation in hl-60 cells. Differentiation 68: 55– 66.
- 6. Mangelsdorf DJ, Ong ES, Dyck JA, Evans RM (1990) Nuclear receptor that identifies a novel retinoic acid response pathway. Nature 345: 224–229.
- 7. Yen A (1990) HL-60 cells as a model of growth control and differentiation The significance of variant cells. Hemat Rev 4: 5 46.
- 8. Emrich T, Forster R, Lipp M (1994) Transmembrane topology of the lymphocytespecific G protein-coupled receptor BLR1: analysis by flow cytometry and immunocytochemistry. Cell Mol Biol 40: 413 - 419.
- 9. Wang J, Yen A (2004) A novel retinoic acid-responsive element regulates retinoic acid induced BLR1 expression. Mol Cell Biol 24: 2423 2443.
- 10. Yang TTC, Xiong Q, Enslen H, Davis RJ, Chow CW (2002) Phosphorylation of nfatc4
 by p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases. Mol Cell Biol 22: 3892–3904.

- 11. Wang J, Yen A (2008) A mapk-positive feedback mechanism for blr1 signaling propels
 retinoic acid-triggered differentiation and cell cycle arrest. J Biol Chem 283: 4375–
 4386.
- 12. Tasseff R, Nayak S, Song SO, Yen A, Varner JD (2011) Modeling and analysis of
 retinoic acid induced differentiation of uncommitted precursor cells. Integr Biol 3: 578
 591.
- 13. Kim HS, Lim IK (2009) Phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated protein kinases

 1 and 2 phosphorylate sp1 on serine 59 and regulate cellular senescence via tran
 scription of p21sdi1/cip1/waf1. J Biol Chem 284: 15475–15486.
- 14. Zhang Y, Cho YY, Petersen BL, Zhu F, Dong Z (2004) Evidence of stat1 phosphory-lation modulated by mapks, mek1 and msk1. Carcinogenesis 25: 1165–1175.
- 15. Hickstein DD, Back AL, Collins SJ (1989) Regulation of expression of the cd11b and cd18 subunits of the neutrophil adherence receptor during human myeloid differentiation. J Biol Chem 264: 21812–21817.
- 16. Congleton J, Jiang H, Malavasi F, Lin H, Yen A (2011) Atra-induced hl-60 myeloid leukemia cell differentiation depends on the cd38 cytosolic tail needed for membrane localization, but cd38 enzymatic activity is unnecessary. Exp Cell Res 317: 910–919.
- 17. Brooks SC, Kazmer S, Levin AA, Yen A (1996) Myeloid differentiation and retinoblastoma phosphorylation changes in hl-60 cells induced by retinoic acid receptor- and retinoid x receptor-selective retinoic acid analogs. Blood 87: 227–237.
- 18. Reiterer G, Yen A (2007) Platelet-derived growth factor receptor regulates myeloid and monocytic differentiation of hl-60 cells. Cancer Res 67: 7765–7772.
- 19. Octave community (2014). GNU Octave 3.8.1. URL www.gnu.org/software/octave/.
- ³⁴⁴ 20. Kennedy J, Eberhart R (1995) Particle swarm optimization. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Neural Networks. pp. 1942 1948.