

**FIN 4500**  
**Chapter 4**  
**Risk Management and Shareholder Value**

Xin Li, Ph.D.



**Michigan Tech**



**Michigan Tech**

- What drives firm value?
- Cost of capital and risk management
- Expected cash flow and risk management
  - Loading
  - Service
  - Likelihood of raising capital
  - Likelihood of bankruptcy



# The Basic Valuation Model

- Value of all equity financed firm:

Value = PV(expected cash flows)

$$\text{Value} = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{E(\text{Cash Flow}_t)}{(1+r)^t}$$

- where  $r$  = cost of equity capital

**Example:** cost of capital = 10%

Expected Cash Flows:

Today	<u>1 year</u>	<u>2 years</u>
	\$11	\$12.1

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Value today} &= \$11/1.1 + 12.1/1.1^2 \\ &= \$10 + \$10 \\ &= \$20\end{aligned}$$

- **Key Issues:**
  - How does risk management affect
    - Expected Cash Flows?
    - Opportunity cost of capital?



# The Basic Valuation Model - An Example

- Assumptions:
  - Firm exists for one year
  - Cash flows at end of year = \$300 if no loss
  - Cash flows at end of year = \$200 if a loss
  - Loss occurs with probability 0.2
  - Cost of capital = 10%
- Value at beginning of year = 
$$\frac{300 * 0.8 + 200 * 0.2}{1.1} = 254.55$$



# Introduce Insurance

- What if full insurance is available at a price of \$20?
- What is the expected claim payment from the insurer?  
 $\$100 \times 20\% = \$20$
- What is the price of insurance based on the expected claim payment?  
 $\$18.18$
- What is the loading on this insurance?
  - Loading = price – PV(expected claim payment)  
 $= \$20 - 18.18 = \$1.82$
  - PV(expected claim payment): actuarially fair premium



# More on the Example

- What is the expected cash flow if the firm purchases the insurance?

300

- Does the cost of capital change if the firm purchases insurance?

No

- What is firm value after purchasing insurance?

$$\frac{300}{1.1} - 20 = 252.73$$



# Shall the firm buy the insurance

- Value of the firm without insurance: \$254.55
- Value of the firm with insurance: \$252.73
- $\$252.73 < \$254.55$
- Any comments on these two numbers above?





# Shall the firm buy the insurance

- $\$254.55 - \$252.73 = \$1.82$
- What is the loading of the insurance?
- Why?



# What can we learn from the example?

Tradeoff in conducting risk management

- Benefit: Insurance/risk management is to reduce the loss to insured when there is a loss
- Cost: Loading is a cost to manage a risk

To increase firm value

- Increase expected cash flow – increase
- Reduce cost of capital

This is related to two notions of risk



# Components of the Cost of Capital

- Cost of capital =  
  
expected return investors could earn on a comparable risky security
- If project has no risk,
  - then opportunity cost of capital = Return on U.S. govt bonds
- If the project has risk  
  
Return on U.S. govt bonds  
  
then opportunity cost of capital = +  
  
Risk Premium



# Investor Diversification and Risk Premium

- Intuitively, the risk premium should reflect the risk of the cash flows
  - riskier cash flows ==> **Higher risk premium**
- However, investors can diversify some risk on their own
  - Divide cash flow risk into two components:
    - Total Risk = diversifiable risk + non-diversifiable risk
- Risk premium only depends on **non-diversifiable risk**



# Investor Diversification and Risk Premium

- Total risk of cash flows

= Diversifiable risk      +      Non-diversifiable risk

↓                                  ↓

does not affect                increases  
cost of capital                 cost of capital

- Other terms for non-diversifiable risk:
  - market risk
  - systematic risk
- Non-diversifiable risk is often measured by Beta ( $\beta$ )



# Capital Asset Pricing Model

- Under the capital asset pricing model,

$$E(R_i) = R_f + \beta_i[E(R_m) - R_f]$$

$$E(R_i) - R_f = \beta_i[E(R_m) - R_f]$$

where

$E(R_i)$ : expected return of investment

$R_f$ : risk free rate

$\beta_i$ : beta of the investment

$E(R_m) - R_f$ : market risk premium



# Assumptions of CAPM

- Securities markets are competitive and efficient
  - relevant information about the companies is quickly and universally distributed and absorbed
- Investors are **rational** and **risk-averse**, who seek to maximize satisfaction from returns on their investments
  - Cauchy-Buniakowsky-Schwarz Inequality
  - Convex function VS Concave function



# Does Insurance Affect the Cost of Capital?

- What type of risk does insurance typically reduce?

(Think about liability losses) **Diversifiable risk**

==> Insurance typically will **NOT** affect the cost of equity capital

==> Insurance does not increase firm value if it only reduces diversifiable risk





# Does Hedging Affect the Cost of Capital?

- The same analysis applies to hedging using derivatives
- If hedging only reduces diversifiable risk, then hedging will **not** reduce the opportunity cost of capital



# The other case

- Hedging that reduces systematic risk (non-diversifiable risk) will reduce the cost of capital
- However,
  - Systematic risk must be borne by someone
  - ➔ The counterparty who takes on the systematic risk will **require compensation** for bearing this risk
  - ➔ The cost of compensating the counter-party will cause expected cash flows to **decrease**



- Firm Value = 
$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{E(\text{Cash Flow}_t)}{(1+r)^t}$$
- Provided all parties view the cost of bearing systematic risk identically, then the two effects will offset each other and firm value will not change



# Now, Consider the Numerator

- Now consider risk mitigation (loss prevention, loss control), i.e., actions that reduce

Expected Losses  
(increase expected cash flow)

Recall the example:

- Cash flows at end of year = \$300 if no loss
- Cash flows at end of year = \$200 if a loss
- Loss occurs with probability 0.2
- Cost of capital = 10%
- Value at beginning of year = 254.55



# A Risk Mitigation Program

- Say we can spend \$3 and reduce the probability of the loss to 0.15. What happens to expected cash flows at the year end?

Expected cash flow =  $300 \cdot .85 + 200 \cdot 0.15 = 285$

- What happens to cost of capital?

No change

- What is the new firm value?

$V = (300 \cdot .85 + 200 \cdot 0.15) / 1.1 - 3 = 256.09$



# Risk Mitigation

- Should we spend another \$3 to reduce the probability of the loss to 0.13?

$$\text{Firm value} = (300 \cdot 0.87 + 200 \cdot 0.13) / 1.1 - 6 = 254.91$$

No, the firm should not spend extra \$3.

- Implication: it is not always good to reduce firm risk.  
(minimizing cost of risk; not minimizing risk)



# Channels for Risk Management Affecting Expected Cash Flows

<u>Description</u>	<u>Effect on Expected Cash Flows</u>
Loading on insurance / Transaction cost of hedging	Decrease
Decrease cost of obtaining services	Increase
Decrease <b>likelihood</b> of having to raise new funds	Increase
Decrease likelihood of incurring bankruptcy / financial distress costs	Increase
Decrease expected tax payments	Increase
Regulation requires insurance	Increase



# Insurance Premium Loadings

- Example:

\$100 with probability 0.9

- Cash flows =

\$70 with probability 0.1

- I.e., Loss of \$30 occurs with probability 0.1
- Expected cash flows w/o insurance = 97
- Expected cash flows with insurance depends on the insurance premium





# Insurance Premium Loadings

- Assume full insurance can be purchased for \$3
  - Then loading = \$0
$$\$100 - \$3 = \$97$$
  - Expected cash flows with insurance = or
$$\$90 + \$10 - \$3 = \$97$$
- Assume full insurance costs \$4
  - Then loading = \$1
  - Expected cash flows with insurance = \$96
- Main Point: Ignoring other factors, insurance reduces expected cash flows by the premium loading



# Effect of Loading

- Expected cash flow with insurance
  - = expected cash flow without insurance – loading
- **Loading = Actual premium – PV[E(Loss)]**



# Services Provided by Insurers

- But, part of loading is the cost of services
  - Loss control
  - Claims processing
- Bundle insurance with services?
- Question that you may ask:
  - Is premium loading less than cost of obtaining services elsewhere?



# Decrease Likelihood of Raising Costly External Capital

- Raising external capital is costly
  - transaction costs (investment banking fees)
  - may issue at a price that is below true value
- If not insured or hedged, the firm has an increased likelihood of having to raise new capital to finance investment →
  - Increased likelihood of paying the costs of raising capital
  - Increased likelihood that you will **forego** good projects b/c of the costs of raising capital



# Decrease the Likelihood of Bankruptcy

- High probability of bankruptcy is costly
  - High probability of incurring explicit bankruptcy costs (e.g., attorney fees)
  - Probability of bankruptcy adversely affects the terms at which other claimants contract with the firm
    - lenders
    - employees
    - customers
    - suppliers
  - Less costly for the firm to reduce risk than it is to compensate other claimants for the expected costs that they would incur if the firm went bankrupt



# Management Compensation Example

- J.R. works for Garven Corp.
- Garven Corp's end of year cash flows without insurance  
= \$1 million with probability 0.95 and \$0 with probability 0.05 due to a lawsuit
- If cash flows = \$0, then J.R. does not get paid
- J.R. would accept \$100,000 in compensation if he were certain to be paid
- J.R. requires \$125,000 given the uncertainty about getting paid (expected compensation =  $125,000 * 0.95 = \$118,750$ )



# Management Compensation Example

- Garven can purchase \$400,000 of liability insurance for \$25,000
  - expected claim cost =  $.05 \times \$400,000 = \$20,000$   
==> premium loading = \$5,000
- Insurance allows J.R. to be paid even if a lawsuit occurs
- J.R. accepts \$100,000
- What is the change in firm's expected cash flow?
  - Reduction in expected compensation =  $118,750 - 100,000 = \$18,750$
  - Loading from the insurance = **\$5000**
  - So, net increase in expected cash flows =  $\$18,750 - \$5,000 = \$13,750$



# Management Compensation Example

- Main point: insurance improved the contractual terms with J.R. and therefore increased value to the owners of Garven Corp.





# Protect Firm Intangible Assets

- Much of firm value (known as going concern) comes from intangible assets
  - Brand name, trademark
  - Knowledge employees have that is unique to the business
- Reducing bankruptcy probabilities protect corporate intangible assets



# Example (Protect Intangible Value)

- Let's say that a firm has assets in place that's worth \$100 (i.e., replacement cost of equipment is \$100). However, due to the intangible assets (\$200), the total market value of the firm is \$300.
- A potential fire risk is associated with the firm:
  - with 20% chance the firm's equipment will be destroyed and the firm is bankrupt;
  - with 80% chance, the loss won't happen so there is \$0 loss
- What is the value of the firm without insurance?

$$\text{Firm value} = 20\% \cdot 0 + 80\% \cdot 300 = \$240$$



# Example (Cont'd)

- Now let's assume that there is an insurance firm that offers a total coverage for the loss of \$100 (equipment damage). For simplicity, we assume the insurance market is perfectly competitive so they earn zero surplus (i.e., loading = 0). The insurance premium is
- $20\% * 100 + 80\% * 0 = \$20$
- What is the firm value after purchasing insurance?
- $100\% * 300 - 20 = \$280$



# Value Creation by Insurance

- By avoiding bankruptcy, the firm keeps its intangible assets
- Value of insurance
  - = firm value w/ ins – firm value w/o ins.
  - = 280 – 240
  - = \$40
  - =  $200 \times 20\%$  (intangible value saving from avoiding bankruptcy)

