

1 SEAXCHANGE: BLOCKCHAIN DRIVEN APP FOR  
2 TUNA SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

3 A Special Problem Proposal  
4 Presented to  
5 the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics  
6 College of Arts and Sciences  
7 University of the Philippines Visayas  
8 Miag-ao, Iloilo

9 In Partial Fulfillment  
10 of the Requirements for the Degree of  
11 Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

12 BAT-OG, Jeff Rouzel  
13 CAHILIG, Maxinne Gwen  
14 Ganit, Zyrex Djewel

15 Francis DIMZON  
16 Adviser

17 November 6, 2024

## Abstract

19 The tuna supply chain faces critical challenges regarding traceability, transparency  
20 and sustainability due to certain issues such as illegal, unreported and unregu-  
21 lated fishing. Within the tuna supply chain, traceability can play a critical role in  
22 enhancing consumer transparency and ensuring adherence to environmental and  
23 legal standards. By leveraging blockchain technology, this research combines qual-  
24 itative insights of supply chain stakeholders and uses the information to evaluate  
25 the potential of blockchain in improving product traceability and accountability  
26 within the supply chain.

27 Suggested keywords based on ACM Computing Classification system can be  
28 found at [https://dl.acm.org/ccs/ccs\\_flat.cfm](https://dl.acm.org/ccs/ccs_flat.cfm)!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

29 **Keywords:** Blockchain, Traceability, Tuna, Supply Chain, etc.

30

# Contents

31	<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
32	1.1 Overview . . . . .	1
33	1.2 Problem Statement . . . . .	3
34	1.3 Research Objectives . . . . .	3
35	1.3.1 General Objective . . . . .	3
36	1.3.2 Specific Objectives . . . . .	4
37	1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research . . . . .	4
38	1.5 Significance of the Research . . . . .	4
39	<b>2 Review of Related Literature</b>	<b>6</b>
40	2.1 Theme 1 Title . . . . .	7
41	2.2 Theme 2 Title . . . . .	7
42	2.3 Chapter Summary . . . . .	7
43	<b>3 Research Methodology</b>	<b>8</b>
44	3.1 Research Activities . . . . .	8
45	3.2 Calendar of Activities . . . . .	9
46	<b>4 Preliminary Results/System Prototype</b>	<b>10</b>

47	<b>References</b>	<b>11</b>
48	<b>A Appendix Title</b>	<b>12</b>
49	<b>B Resource Persons</b>	<b>13</b>

# 50 List of Figures

51	1.1	This is the figure's caption – Disney stock chart. Captions should	
52		fully describe the figure in a concise manner such that there is not	
53		need to refer to the text when figuring out the graphic. . . . .	2

# 54 List of Tables

<small>55</small>	3.1 Timetable of Activities . . . . .	9
-------------------	---------------------------------------	---

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Overview

This section gives the reader an overview of the real world problem that needs to be solved. It describes the exigency of the proposed solution. The consequences to the affected stakeholders that the problem may bring if it not addressed. Discussion must not be too technical or too detailed.

This section ends with a discussion on the problem/s faced by or that still exist in the specific technology or field (e.g., limitations of existing software or algorithms). The problem statement would lead to the research objectives.

It is easy to include a figure in JPG or PNG format as shown in the following example. Make sure that you explain what the figure is all about, and that you refer to your figure. For example, Figure 1.1 shows a graph of the performance of Disney stock from the 1980s to 2012.

Some notes on citing references. When using APA format, the author-date method of citation is followed. This means that the author's last name and the year of publication for the source should appear in the text, and a complete reference should appear in the reference list.

Here are some examples on how to do the referencing (note author's name and years are different from commented examples). For APA citation details, refer to <http://www.ctan.org/tex-archive/biblio/bibtex/contrib/apacite/>.

- Kartch (2000) compared reaction times...




Figure 1.1: This is the figure’s caption – Disney stock chart. Captions should fully describe the figure in a concise manner such that there is not need to refer to the text when figuring out the graphic.

- 78     • In a recent study of reaction times (Kartch, 2000)...
- 79     • In 2000, Kartch compared reaction times...
- 80     • Fedkiw et al. (2001) compared reaction times...
- 81     • In a recent study of reaction times (Fedkiw et al., 2001)...
- 82     • In 2001, Fedkiw et al., compared reaction times...

83     The following are references from journal articles (Park, Linsen, Kreylos,  
 84     Owens, & Hamann, 2006; Pellacini et al., 2005; Sako & Fujimura, 2000). Here’s  
 85     an MS thesis document (Yee, 2000), and this is from PhD dissertation (Kartch,  
 86     2000). For a book, reference is given as (Parke & Waters, 1996). Proceedings  
 87     from a conference samples are (Jobson, Rahman, & Woodell, 1995; Fedkiw et al.,  
 88     2001; Levoy et al., 2000). The sample bibliography file named **myreferences.bib**  
 89     is from the SIGGRAPH L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X template. You can use a text editor to view the  
 90     contents of the bib file. It is your task to create your own bibliography file. For  
 91     those who downloaded papers from ACM or IEEE sites, there is a BibTeX link  
 92     that you can click; thereafter, you just simply need to copy and paste the BibTeX  
 93     entry into your own bibliography file.



94     The following shows how to include a program source code (or algorithm).  
95     The verbatim environment, as the name suggests, outputs text (including white  
96     spaces) as is...

```
97             #include <stdio.h>
98             main()
99             {
100                 printf("Hello world!\n");
101             }
```

102     Alternatively, you can also use the *lstlisting* environment from the **listings**  
103     package.

## 104   1.2   Problem Statement

105   DO NOT FORGET to write the statement of the research problem here, i.e.,  
106   before the Research Objectives.

107     A problem statement is your research problem written explicitly. The problem  
108     statement should do four things:

- 109     1. Specify and describe the problem (with appropriate citations)
- 110     2. Provide evidence of the problem's existence
- 111     3. Explain the consequences of NOT solving the problem
- 112     4. Identify what is not known about the problem that should be known.
- 113     5. Subdivide the main problem into several subproblems.

## 114   1.3   Research Objectives

### 115   1.3.1   General Objective

116     This subsection states the over-all goal that must be achieved to answer the  
117     problem. Address the following: Given your research challenge or opportunity,  
118     how do you intend to solve it? What is the output of your research?

### 119 1.3.2 Specific Objectives

120 This subsection is an elaboration of the general objective. It states the specific  
121 steps that must be undertaken to accomplish the general objective. These objec-  
122 tives must be **S**pecific, **M**easurable, **A**ttainable, **R**ealistic, **T**ime-bounded. Also,  
123 they are manageable and communicable.

124 A specific objective start with “to <verb>” for example: to design/survey/review/analyze.

125 Studying a particular programming language or development tool (e.g., to  
126 study Windows/Object-Oriented/Graphics/C++ programming) to accomplish the  
127 general objective is inherent in all thesis and, therefore, must not be included here.

- 128 1. To compare and contrast existing algorithms (on what problem?);
- 129 2. To develop a new algorithm (for what purpose?)
- 130 3. To analyze the algorithm (based on what criteria?)

## 131 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

132 This section discusses the boundaries (with respect to the objectives) of the re-  
133 search and the constraints within which the research will be developed.

## 134 1.5 Significance of the Research

135 This section explains why research must be done in this area. It rationalizes the ob-  
136 jective of the research with that of the stated problem. Avoid including sentences  
137 such as “This research will be beneficial to the proponent/department/college”  
138 as this is already an inherent requirement of all BSCS majors. Focus on the  
139 research’s contribution to the Computer Science field.

140 The following are guide questions that may help your formulate the significance  
141 of your research.

- 142 • What is the relevance of your work to the computer science community?

- 143           – What will be your technical contributions, in terms of algorithms, or  
144           approaches, or new domain?
- 145           – What is your value-added compared to existing systems?
- 146   • What will be your contributions to society in general?
- 147           – Who will benefit from your system?
- 148           – Who are your target users and how will this system benefit them?

## Chapter 2

# Review of Related Literature

This chapter discusses the features, capabilities, and limitations of existing research, algorithms, or software that are related/similar to the Special Problem.

The reviewed works and software must be arranged either in chronological order, or by area (from general to specific). Observe a consistent format when presenting each of the reviewed works. This must be selected in consultation with the adviser.

**DO NOT FORGET to cite your references.**

A literature review must do these things:

- be organized around and related directly to the thesis or research question you are developing
- synthesize results into a summary of what is and is not known
- identify areas of controversy in the literature
- formulate questions that need further research

A literature review is a piece of discursive prose, not a list describing or summarizing one piece of literature after another. It's usually a bad sign to see every paragraph beginning with the name of a researcher. Instead, organize the literature review into sections that present themes or identify trends, including relevant theory. You are not trying to list all the materials published, but to synthesize and evaluate them according to the guiding concept of your thesis or research question. You should also state the limits or gaps of their researches wherein you will try to fill these gaps in accordance to your research problem and objectives.

## 172   **2.1   Theme 1 Title**

173   This chapter contains a review of research papers that:

- 174       • Describes work on a research area that is similar or relevant to yours
- 175       • Describes work on a domain that is similar or relevant to yours
- 176       • Uses an algorithm that may be useful to your work
- 177       • Uses a software / tool that may be useful to your work

178   It also contains a review of software systems that:

- 179       • Belongs to a research area similar to yours
- 180       • Addresses a need or domain similar to yours
- 181       • Is your predecessor

## 182   **2.2   Theme 2 Title**

## 183   **2.3   Chapter Summary**

184   Should include a table of related studies comparing them based on several criteria.

185       Highlight research gaps and the research problem.

# Chapter 3

## Research Methodology

This chapter lists and discusses the specific steps and activities that will be performed to accomplish the project. The discussion covers the activities from pre-proposal to Final SP Writing.

### 3.1 Research Activities

Research activities include inquiry, survey, research, brainstorming, canvassing, consultation, review, interview, observe, experiment, design, test, document, etc. Be sure that for each method, process, or algorithm used, there is a justification why that method was chosen. The methodology also includes the following information:

- who is responsible for the task
- the resource person to be contacted
- what will be done
- when and how long will the activity be done
- where will it be done
- why should the activity be done

**DO NOT FORGET to cite your references.**

## 204 3.2 Calendar of Activities

205 A Gantt chart showing the schedule of the activities should be included as a table.  
 206 For example:

207 Table 3.1 shows a Gantt chart of the activities. Each bullet represents approx-  
 208 imately one week worth of activity.

Table 3.1: Timetable of Activities

Activities (2009)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul
Study on Prerequisite Knowledge			••	••••			
Review of Existing Racing Strategies	••	••••	••••	••••			
Identification of Best Features				••••	••		
Development of Racing Strategies				••	••••	••	
Simulation of Racing Strategies				••	••••	•••	
Analysis and Interpretation of the Results					••••	••••	•
Documentation	••	••••	••••	••••	••••	••••	••

## 209 Chapter 4

# 210 Preliminary Results/System 211 Prototype

212 This chapter presents the preliminary results or the system prototype of your SP.  
213 Include screenshots, tables, or graphs and provide the discussion of results.



## 214 References

- 215 Fedkiw, R., Stam, J., & Jensen, H. W. (2001). Visual simulation of smoke. In  
 216 E. Fiume (Ed.), *Proceedings of siggraph 2001* (pp. 15–22). ACM Press /  
 217 ACM SIGGRAPH.
- 218 Jobson, D. J., Rahman, Z., & Woodell, G. A. (1995). Retinex image processing:  
 219 Improved fidelity to direct visual observation. In *Proceedings of the is&t*  
 220 *fourth color imaging conference: Color science, systems, and applications*  
 221 (Vol. 4, pp. 124–125).
- 222 Kartch, D. (2000). *Efficient rendering and compression for full-parallax computer-*  
 223 *generated holographic stereograms* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Cor-  
 224 nell University.
- 225 Levoy, M., Pulli, K., Curless, B., Rusinkiewicz, S., Koller, D., Pereira, L., ...  
 226 Fulk, D. (2000). The digital michelangelo project. In K. Akeley (Ed.),  
 227 *Proceedings of siggraph 2000* (pp. 131–144). New York: ACM Press / ACM  
 228 SIGGRAPH.
- 229 Park, S. W., Linsen, L., Kreylos, O., Owens, J. D., & Hamann, B. (2006, March/  
 230 April). Discrete sibson interpolation. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization*  
 231 *and Computer Graphics*, 12(2), 243–253.
- 232 Parke, F. I., & Waters, K. (1996). *Computer facial animation*. A. K. Peters.
- 233 Pellacini, F., Vidim&eacute;, K., Lefohn, A., Mohr, A., Leone, M., & Warren, J. (2005,  
 234 August). Lpics: a hybrid hardware-accelerated relighting engine for com-  
 235 puter cinematography. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, 24(3), 464–470.
- 236 Sako, Y., & Fujimura, K. (2000). Shape similarity by homotropic deformation.  
 237 *The Visual Computer*, 16(1), 47–61.
- 238 Yee, Y. L. H. (2000). *Spatiotemporal sensistivity and visual attention for efficient*  
 239 *rendering of dynamic environments* (Unpublished master’s thesis). Cornell  
 240 University.

<sup>241</sup> **Appendix A**

<sup>242</sup> **Appendix Title**

## 243 **Appendix B**

### 244 **Resource Persons**

245 **Mr. Firstname1 Lastname1**

246 Role1

247 Affiliation1

248 emailaddr1@domain.com

249 **Ms. Firstname2 Lastname2**

250 Role2

251 Affiliation2

252 emailaddr2@domain.net

253 ....