

Howard Richman  
October 19, 1987

## EPAM-V DEFINITIONS

### NET

This version of EPAM-V utilizes one net named U0. The assumption of one net is not built into the coding. At a later time it would be possible to utilize EPAM-V with several nets, but at present -- the variable <REALM> functions to split the single EPAM-V net into what could just as easily be implemented as several different nets.

### OBJECT

There are two kinds of objects in EPAM-V, "simple" objects and complex objects. An EPAM-V "simple" object has the following form:

```
SIMPLE
OBJECT  9-1          0

9-1      0
          <REALM>
          REALM A
          <ATTRIBUTE 2>
          VALUE 2
          ...
          <ATTRIBUTE N>
          VALUE N          0
```

An EPAM-V "complex" object has the following form:

```
COMPLEX
OBJECT  9-1
          SUBOBJECT 1
          SUBOBJECT 2
          ...
          SUBOBJECT N      0

9-1      0
          <REALM>
          REALM A
          <ATTRIBUTE 2>
          VALUE 2
          ...
          <ATTRIBUTE N>
          VALUE N          0
```

### Notes:

1. Subobjects are optional. An EPAM-V object that does not have a subobject on it is called a "simple" object. It corresponds to the "primitive" object with no subobjects in EPAM III. An object with more than one subobject on it is called a "complex" object.

2. The various attribute-value pairs on the description list 9-1 are all optional except for <REALM>. All objects must have a REALM. If EPAM-V were to be implemented with several nets, the <REALM> attribute

could be replaced with a <NET> attribute. Some possible REALMS are "semantic", "phonetic", "written", "English", "French", "Russian", "letter", "word", "sentence", and so on... A <REALM> is any system of complex units which are made up of simpler units and which can be translated into another system of complex units. Letter features are probably not a REALM because it is assumed that they cannot be broken down into subunits. However, letter features are the simple units in the LETTER REALM, letters are the simple units in the WORD REALM, and words are the simple units in the SENTENCE REALM. The purpose of an EPAM-V net is to translate from objects of one REALM to objects of another.

3. A subobject is itself an object. Unless otherwise specified it is assumed to have the same <REALM> as the object.

### TEST NODES

There are two kinds of test node in EPAM-V. First, here is an illustration of a simple test node:

```

SIMPLE
TEST
NODE      9-1      0

9-1      0
<TEST>
SIMPLE TEST A
<PATTERN>
NIL
IF VALUE 1
BRANCH TO 9-2
IF VALUE 2
BRANCH TO 9-3
...
IF <NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIFIED>
BRANCH TO 9-4      0

```

### Notes:

1. There are two kinds of test nodes in EPAM-V, both of which are, EPAM-III n-ary nodes, rather than EPAM-II binary nodes.
2. The top nodes in the EPAM-V net (i.e. the first nodes sorted) are the "simple" tests. Two kinds of simple tests occur, these are "property" tests and "simple object" tests. The "property" tests occur first at the top of the EPAM-V net. These invariably include tests for <REALM> and <CLASS>. ~~REALM is related to the kind of input be it semantic, written, spoken, French, Spanish, letter features, phonemes, and so on.~~ The value of a property test is found through the IPL-V command J10 which finds the value (of the attribute being tested) on the description list of the OBJECT.
3. Just below the "property tests" are the "simple" object tests. There are two such tests: <NUMBER OF SUBOBJECTS> and <NAME OF OBJECT>. If there are no subobject<sup>s</sup>, an object will be considered a SIMPLE

OBJECT and will be sorted to the <NAME OF OBJECT> test. <NAME OF OBJECT> is the last test in the net for a simple object. At present, a "simple" object in EPAM-V only passes through four test nodes: <REALM>, <CLASS>, <NUMBER OF SUBOBJECTS> and <NAME OF OBJECT>. Hence, in EPAM-V there can only be one translation for a simple object of a certain REALM given a certain class. Note, however, that this translation can be augmented or left out of the translation of a complex object which contains the simple object as one of its subobjects. Also note that each simple object is likely to be represented in a number of classes.

4. The commands BRANCH TO 9-2, BRANCH TO 9-3, and BRANCH TO 9-4, tell the system to branch to the node called 9-2, 9-3, or, 9-4. The node encountered there will either be a TEST NODE or a LEAF NODE. If VALUE 1 is the result of TEST A then the next node that the sorting routine would go to would be node 9-2.

5. The attribute <PATTERN> asks what pattern is sorted at this node. The value "NIL" means that no pattern is sorted at this node since this is a TEST NODE not a LEAF NODE. Both "NIL" and <NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIFIED> are represented as the IPL-5 regional K10.

COMPLEX		
TEST		
NODE	9-1	
	CLASS I	
	CLASS J	
	...	
	CLASS K	0
9-1	0	
	<TEST>	
	COMPLEX TEST A	
	<PATTERN>	
	NIL	
	IF CLASS I	
	BRANCH TO 9-2	
	IF CLASS J	
	BRANCH TO 9-3	
	...	
	IF CLASS K	
	BRANCH TO 9-4	
	IF <NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIFIED>	
	BRANCH TO 9-5	0

1. Complex tests are based on complex patterns. They are much different from any tests ever before included in an EPAM model. They take their inspiration from the method used by Siklossy (1968) to use syntactic patterns in order to match semantic sentences with natural language sentences within a "translation rule" based system. These tests function much as the productions do in a production system.

2. In order to sort a complex object at a complex test node, first the particular subobject that will be sorted must be identified. COMPLEX TEST A identifies which subobject will be sorted at this node.

In the first implementation there are three complex tests: (1) NEXT SUBOBJECT (which in IPL-V includes a test for the first subobject), (2) LAST SUBOBJECT in the object's list, and (3) PREVIOUS SUBOBJECT.

3. New tests are added to the net through DISCRIMINATION LEARNING. The tests are added based upon a "noticing order" with simple tests added before complex tests. EPAM-V's noticing order for complex tests initially uses the algorithm -- proceed down the list until an unsortable subobject is encountered, sort the unsortable subobject using the <NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIFIED> branch, then go to the end of the list and proceed up the list until all of the subobject's have been sorted. As in previous versions of EPAM, tests will be added only if they differentiate between the patterns that are classified at the node.

4. After, the subobject to be sorted has been identified, the complicated part of the COMPLEX TEST NODE'S sorting routine begins. The classes listed in the TEST NODE (i.e. CLASS I, CLASS J, CLASS K) are examined one at a time until the subobject is successfully sorted in the net as an OBJECT in that class. If the object can not be successfully sorted in any class, the result of the test is <NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIFIED>. If an object can be successfully sorted in a class it is considered to be a member of that class.

5. CLASS I, CLASS J, and CLASS K appear on both the test node's main list and also on its description list. If the SUBOBJECT being tested is a member of CLASS I then the system will branch to 9-2. If the system finds that it has made a mistake (it can't sort to an pattern, or the translation doesn't fit), the system will return to the pictured test node and continue down the list of classes beginning where it left off. If it then finds that it can sort in CLASS K, before branching to 9-4, the system will reorder the main list at this test node by putting CLASS K in front of CLASS I.

#### LEAF NODE

An EPAM net is a sort of "tree". Usually the tree is pictured upsidedown with the roots on top followed by the branches and finally with the leaves on the bottom. An OBJECT being recognized in an EPAM net is somewhat like water entering the root of a real tree. The OBJECT starts at the root node which tests for <REALM> and moves along various branches until it arrives at a particular leaf. A test node is a place where branches separate out from each other, a leaf node stands at the end of a branch at the bottom of the net. A LEAF NODE has the form:

LEAF			
NODE	9-1	0	
9-1	0		
	<IMAGE>		
	9-2		
	<PATTERN>		
	PATTERN A	0	

## Notes about LEAF NODES:

1. There is no <TEST> stored at this node.
2. The <IMAGE> stored at this node is used by EPAM's DISCRIMINATION LEARNING and can be elaborated by EPAM'S FAMILIARIZATION processes. While PATTERN A can be located at other LEAF NODES, IMAGE 9-2 only is stored at a single leaf node. An IMAGE has the same form as the PATTERN stored at the LEAF NODE except that a complex image can have "NIL" on its list, instead of the name of a CLASS of SUBOBJECTS.

## PATTERNS

Just as there are simple objects and complex objects, and simple test nodes and complex test nodes, there are two kinds of patterns, simple and complex. Simple objects get sorted to simple patterns:

SIMPLE PATTERN	9-1	0
9-1	0	
	<REALM>	
	REALM A	
	<CLASS>	
	CLASS X	
	<TRANSLATION INTO REALM B>	
	9-2	
	<ATTRIBUTE 4>	
	VALUE 4	
	...	
	<ATTRIBUTE N>	
	VALUE N	0
9-2	0	
	OBJECT OF REALM B	0

## Notes:

1. The <CLASS> of a simple pattern is always known.
2. Simple patterns have a single OBJECT of REALM B on their translation list (List 9-2). This OBJECT can be sorted in the net under REALM B.

COMPLEX PATTERN	9-1	
	CLASS 1	
	CLASS 2	
	...	
	CLASS N	0
9-1	0	
	<TRANSLATION INTO REALM B>	
	9-2	
	<REALM>	

```

REALM A
<ATTRIBUTE 3>
VALUE 3
...
ATTRIBUTE N
VALUE N          0

9-2      0
         I
         J
         OBJECT OF REALM B
         ...
         K      0

```

#### Notes:

1. The class names (CLASS 1, CLASS 2, ...) bear a one to one correspondence with the subobjects (SUBOBJECT 1, SUBOBJECT 2, ...) that are on the list of an OBJECT that is sorted to this pattern. CLASS 1 is the <CLASS> of SUBOBJECT 1, CLASS 2 is the <CLASS> of SUBOBJECT 2, and so on. Note that this correspondence is very different from the list of CLASSES (CLASS I, CLASS J, CLASS K,...) that appeared at the COMPLEX TEST NODE. At the COMPLEX TEST NODE the list of classes corresponded to alternatives for a single subobject.
2. There will be redundant pathways created to each complex pattern. If EPAM is imagined as a branching tree, the pattern should not be thought of as a part of the tree since several leaf nodes will point to the same pattern.
3. Complex patterns are what Siklossy simply calls "patterns". They were first programmed by Siklossy (1968) as part of the ZBIE model that translated sentences from a semantic language to various foreign languages.
4. List 9-2 is the "translation rule" used by Siklossy. The numbers (I, J, ..., K) correspond to the order of the subobjects on the OBJECT that is sorted to this complex pattern. OBJECT OF REALM B, is an optional OBJECT that can appear in a translation rule. For example, the letters "ed" might get added every time a noun is used in a verb's position. If I=1 then "I" means the first subobject, if I=2 then I means the second subobject. The order of the translation rule is often different from the order of subobjects in the object and some subobjects may even be left out of the translation. For example an object with three subobjects could have the translation rule (1,2,3) or (1,3,2) or even (2,3).
5. A subobject may in turn be a complex object. The translation routine recurses to enable translation of complex objects that are themselves complex objects.

#### LEARNING

EPAM-V includes several learning processes:

1. DISCRIMINATION LEARNING. When a new pattern is created by EPAM-V that pattern is partially copied as an IMAGE, and EPAM-V builds new tests and/or new branches on the net in order to discriminate that image from all other images that could be sorted in the net.

2. REDUNDANCY LEARNING. EPAM IV discovered that an EPAM net would need to be extremely redundant in order to explain context effects in letter perception. EPAM-V incorporates this redundancy through a REDUNDANCY LEARNING process that can build several paths through the net to a newly learned complex pattern. In a way REDUNDANCY LEARNING is an example of learning something so well that the system knows it "backwards and forwards".

When a complex pattern is created, several images of that pattern may be discriminated. For example, if the pattern Consonant-Long Vowel-Consonant-Silent E (CVCE) had been recently learned, REDUNDANCY LEARNING would learn the following images: CVCE, -VCE, C-CE, CV-E, and CVC-. Each of these images would be learned in the net through Discrimination Learning, ("-") would be sorted along the <NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIFIED> branch) with all of the new Leaf Nodes grown pointing to the same pattern. REDUNDANCY LEARNING in some ways may replace the FAMILIARIZATION routine of previous EPAMs as a means of explaining familiarity. One of the parameters of the system is the rate at which the system learns REDUNDANT pathways. A conservative system that wanted to make sure that no previous knowledge was pruned from the tree (see TREE PRUNING) would tend to minimize REDUNDANCY LEARNING. An adventurous system that sought to improve upon poor performance might take the bold leap of making patterns fully redundant as soon as they are created.

3. "SIMPLE PATTERN" CREATION. EPAM-V creates SIMPLE PATTERNS as a result of sorting complex objects through <NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIFIED> pathways. When the system encounters an unknown subobject at a COMPLEX TEST NODE. The system will then sort at that test node using the <NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIFIED> branch. If the system successfully sorts the COMPLEX OBJECT, the COMPLEX PATTERN will reveal the CLASS of the unknown subobject (i.e. in the sample COMPLEX PATTERN, pictured on a previous page, the CLASS of the second subobject on the OBJECT'S list would be CLASS 2) and the translation rule will reveal the position in REALM B of the subobject's translation. This is sufficient information to build a SIMPLE PATTERN given the translation of the COMPLEX OBJECT. After the SIMPLE PATTERN is built, it will be learned in the net through DISCRIMINATION LEARNING. Note, the learning of a simple pattern is the equivalent of adding the pattern as a member of a particular <CLASS>.

4. TREE PRUNING. When a pattern being discriminated sorts to the same leaf that points to a different pattern, the pattern at the old leaf gets replaced by the new pattern. In this way, old patterns are replaced by newer ones.

5. REORDERING RULES. When EPAM-V learns a new pattern it tends to apply that pattern whenever it is applicable. However, when EPAM-V finds that the new classification at a particular test node leads to a failure to sort and tries to sort again, and then finds a

classification that works that is below the one that failed on the list, it will move the better classification up on the list to the position just above the classification that failed.

6. PATTERN CREATION. Pattern creation uses means-ends analysis with starting with a similar old pattern as the initial state, and attempting to arrive at a goal translation. (The goal may simply be to find a translation that can be sorted through the net given the other REALM.) The initial state may either be a pattern that sorts through the net but arrives at the wrong translation, a pattern that has one less subobject, or a standard simple pattern that can be applied as a default. The "operators" are the already existing patterns (especially the simple patterns). PATTERN creation is a problem solving component of the EPAM-V system.

7. CLASS CREATION. New classes of subobjects are created as a byproduct of PATTERN CREATION. Once PATTERN CREATION has identified a new translation for a subobject, the system will generate existing classes and test whether any previously created class would result in the identified translation. If not it will create a new <CLASS> and it will learn the pattern of the new subobject as the first member of that class.

#### Notes:

1. FAMILIARIZATION, a form of learning which played a major part in EPAM-III's explanations of effects of familiarity and meaningfulness in people, may be used in EPAM-V to expand the <IMAGE> stored at a leaf node. However, in the present implementation, the IMAGES are fully elaborated when they are first learned, and so FAMILIARIZATION is not being used. Perhaps, the effects previously explained by FAMILIARIZATION can be explained by REDUNDANCY LEARNING. If not, FAMILIARIZATION can be reimplemented.

2. GENERALIZATION, a form of learning which gave EPAM-IV some "top down" aspects is also not implemented in EPAM-V. The complex patterns and complex test nodes of EPAM-V provide an alternative method of top-down learning. Perhaps some unforeseen need will cause GENERALIZATION to be reimplemented.

#### SUMMARY

EPAM-V is a combination of EPAM III, EPAM IV and ZBIE. It preserves the generality of EPAM III, the redundancy of EPAM IV and makes use of ZBIE's conception of man as a pattern seeker.



```

9
2 A          32
2 C          32
2 D          32
2 E          32
2 F          32
2 K          32
2 L          32
2 M          32
2 N          32
2 Q          32
2 S          32
2 U          32
2 X          32
2 Y          32
2 Z          32

```

```

5          0
A0      10A0      J10      <CLASS>
A1      10A1      J10      <TEST>
A2      10A2      J10      <REALM>
A3      10A3      J10
A4      10A4      J10      <IMAGE>
A5      10A5      J10
A6      10A6      J10
A7      10A7      J10
A8      10A8      J10
A9      10A9      J10
A10     10A10     J10
A11     10A11     J10      1ST BIT
A12     10A12     J10      2ND BIT
A13     10A13     J10      3RD BIT
A14     10A14     J10      4TH BIT
A15     10A15     J10      5TH BIT
A16     10A16     J10      6TH BIT
A17     10A17     J10      7TH BIT
A18     10A18     J10      8TH BIT
A19     10A19     J10      9TH BIT
A20     10A20     J10      10TH BIT
A21     10A21     J10      11TH BIT
A22     10A22     J10      12TH BIT
A23     10A23     J10      13TH BIT
A24     10A24     J10      14TH BIT
A25     10A25     J10
A26     10A26     J10
A27     10A27     J10
A28     10A28     J10
A29     10A29     J10
A30     10A30     J10
A31     10A31     J10

```

<PATTERN> takes NIL value (i.e. K10) at a Test node

```

;
;
;

```

C ROUTINES ARE USED FOR INPUT AND OUTPUT OPERATIONS

```

C0      J203
        10K0
        J164

```

READING ASCII LIST FROM FILE  
"seeing:"



```

; node on the subobject's description list. Then if the
; subject tries to sort the object a second time after
; an initial failure it can pick up at a particular node
; where it left off.
; 2. This routine learns in the same fashion as a
; production list learns when it reorders or reweights its
; productions.
; If an object was just falsely
; sorted at this TEST NODE but later sorting at other
; nodes proved that a mistake had been made, and as
; just described it resorted and got back to this node,
; if this routine then finds a different apparently
; successful match further down the list, it will move
; the better match in front of the match that had resulted
; in failure.
;
; J22 WO=SUBOBJECT; W1=TEST NODE; W2=NET
11W0
A5 Find if a location already registered on subobject list.
J5
709-3 GOTO 9-3 to pick up where left off last time.
11W1
9-3 20W3 W3=TEST NODE (LAST LOCATION SORTED)
9-1 11W3
J60 Find next CLASS on SUBOBJECT TEST NODE list
709-7 9-7 is unsuccessful cleanup
12H0 CLASS NAME in H0
20W4 W4=NEXT CLASS NAME from TEST NODE
30H0
11W0 (0) is subobject
11W4
10A0
J11 Assign class being tried as CLASS(Subobject)
11W2
11W0 (0) subobject; (1) net
DO EXECUTE SORTING ROUTINE output is (0) NODE (1) LLST
J6
30H0 POP irrelevant LLST
A26 Get PATTERN (K10 at TEST NODE)
40H0 (0) PATTERN; (1) PATTERN
10K10
J2
709-2 Goto 9-2 IF PATTERN <> K10
30H0 9-1
9-2 20W5 W0=S.O.;W1=T.N.;W2=NET;W3=CLASS LOC.;W4=CLASS;W5=PATTERN
11W0
11W1 Was there a false match before?
J10 i.e.: Is there a value of TESTNODE(Subobject)
709-4 To 9-4 if this is the first match at this node.
60W6 W3=Loc. Good Match;W4=Good Match;W6=Loc. False Match
11W4 (0)Good Match; (1)Location false match
J63 Insert good match before false match in TEST NODE list.
11W3
J68 Delete good match at its old location on TEST NODE list.
709-5 9-6 Goto 9-5 if old location was last cell on list

```

9-5	11W1		
	J70		
	70J7	9-6	Emergency stop, list should have more than one cell!
9-4	11W0		
	11W1		
	11W3		Assign location in TEST NODE as TESTNODE(Subobject)
	J11	9-6	
9-6	11W4	J36	Successful cleanup
9-7	10K10	J36	Unsuccessful cleanup
D3	11W9		TEST VALUE EXTRACTOR
;			--This routine determines the tested value of
;			OBJECT (0); at TEST NODE (1); with LLST (2) in NET (3)
;			Output (0) is VALUE of test; (1) is LLST
	J45		
	J21		W0 is OBJECT; W1 is TEST NODE; W2 is NET
	20W4		W4 is LLST (Location of Last Subobject Tested)
	20W2		W2 is NET
	11W1		
	A1		What test is stored at this node?
	70J7		Emergency stop if no test is stored at this TEST NODE.
	20W3		W3 is Test tested at node.
	11W0		
	J60		Is this a complex TEST NODE?
	709-3		GOTO 9-3 if it is a simple TEST NODE
	30H0		POP LOCATION
	11W4		Find SUBOBJECT being TESTED
	11W0		
	11W3		
	J1		Executes test with (0)OBJECT (1)LLST
;			Output of a complex test is (0)LLST -- The location
;			of the Subobject on the OBJECT'S LIST.
	60W4		W2=NEW LLST
	12H0		(0) SUBOBJECT (1) LOC STIM OBJECT
	20W5		W5=SUBOBJECT
	30H0		
	11W0		
	11W5		
	J77		TEST if SUBOBJECT is on TEST NODE'S main list.
	709-4		GOTO 9-4 if SUBOBJECT IS NOT A CLASS NAME
	11W1		This part of routine sorts an IMAGE at a COMPLEX NODE
	11W5		
	J10	9-2	Find SUBOBJECT(TEST NODE)
9-4	11W2		
	11W1		
	12W4		(0) Subobject; (1) TEST NODE; (2) NET
	D2	9-2	Output of D2: (0) VALUE OR K10
;			Also finds PATTERN of subobject (0) and adds it as value
;			of attribute <PATTERN> A26 of the subobject.
9-3	11W0		This is a simple node.
	11W3		
	J1		Execute TEST (0) on OBJECT (1)
;			Output of TEST should be (0) VALUE or H5-
;			NOTE: A test for a value of an attribute on the
;			description list of an object is simply the usual
;			10Ai J10

	709-1		
9-2	11W0	J35	Exit with (0) VALUE or K10; (1)LLST
9-1	10K10	9-2	
E1	J51		CHECK NEXT SUBOBJECT
;			INPUTS (0) OBJECT; (1) LLST
;			OUTPUTS (0) LLST -- Location of the subobject on object.
;			WO=OBJECT; W1=LLST
	11W1		(0) LLST
	J60		
	70J7	J31	EMERGENCY STOP IF NO NEXT OBJECT
E2	J51		CHECK LAST SUBOBJECT ON LIST
;			INPUTS (0) OBJECT; (1) LLST
;			OUTPUTS (0) NEW LLST
;			WO=OBJECT; W1=LLST
	11W0		(0) OBJECT
	J61		
	70J7	J31	EMERGENCY STOP IF NO OBJECT ON LIST
E3	J51		CHECK PREVIOUS SUBOBJECT
;			INPUTS (0) OBJECT; (1) LLST
;			OUTPUTS (0) NEW LLST
	11W0		
	11W1		(0) LLST; (1) OBJECT
	E4		FIND LOCATION OF SYMBOL BEFORE LOC (0) ON LIST (1)
	70J7	J31	
E4	J52		LOCATE PREVIOUS SYMBOL BEFORE CELL (0) ON LIST (1)
;			OUTPUT IS (0) LOCATION or H5- if no previous symbol.
	10K10		
	20W2		
9-1	11W1		WO=CELL; W1=PRESENT LOC.; W2=LAST LOCATION
	J60		(0) is LOCATION of first SYMBOL on LIST
	70J31		Exit with H5-
	60W1		W1 is location moving forward from beginning of list.
	11W0		
	J2		TEST if PRESENT LOC = CELL
	J5		
	709-2		Goto 9-2 if present location = CELL
	11W1		
	20W2	9-1	
9-2	11W2		
	10K10		If CELL holds the first SYMBOL on LIST will exit H5-
	J2		otherwise exit with (0)= Last Location and H5+
	J5		
	70J32		
	11W2	J32	
E6	J41		TEST: NUMBER OF SUBOBJECTS
;			INPUTS (0) OBJECT
;			OUTPUTS (0) I-TERM (# OF SUBOBJECTS)
;			also exit with H5+
	20W0		
	J90		
	J124		
	20W1		PUT COUNTER=0 INTO W1
9-1	11W0		WO=OBJECT
	J60		
	709-2		GOTO 9-2 if have reached the end of the list.

	20W0		W0= new location on object list
	11W1		
	J125		COUNTER=COUNTER + 1
	20W1	9-1	
9-2	11W1		
	10I255		
	J116		Test if more than 255 subobjects in OBJECT.
	J5		
	70J7		EMERGENCY STOP if more than 255 subobjects in OBJECT!
	11W1		
	J211		New IPL-V routine converts DATA TERM 0<DATA TERM<255 into an I-term, a regional predefined data term. IO=0, I1=1, I2=2,..., I255=255.
;			
;			
	11W1		
	J71		Erase data term's list.
	20W1		I-term to W4
	11W1		
	J4	J34	
E7	J4	0	TEST WHAT IS THE NAME OF THE OBJECT
;			
;			
;			
	F0	J203	TEST FINDER
;			This routine determines test to add at LEAF NODE
;			Inputs: (0) LEAF NODE
;			(1) NEW IMAGE to be learned
;			(2) NET
;			Outputs: (0) TEST
;			(1) Value of test for NEW IMAGE
;			(2) Value of the test for OLD IMAGE
;			or
;			EXITS with H5- if can't discriminate the new image from the old image that was stored at (0).
;			
;			Tests are added according to these rules:
;			1. CLASS
;			2. NUMBER OF SUBOBJECTS
;			3. IF NUMBER OF SUBOBJECTS = 0 then OBJECT NAME
;			4. ELSE NEXT SUBOBJECT
;			5. IF RESULT OF NEXT SUBOBJECT = NIL THEN 6 ELSE 4
;			6. LAST SUBOBJECT
;			7. PREVIOUS SUBOBJECT
;			8. GOTO 7
	10K1		
	J164		
	J49		
	J22		W0=LEAF NODE; W1=NEW IMAGE; W2=NET
	10W0		
	A4		
	70J7		Emergency STOP; Leaf Node does not point to IMAGE.
	20W3		W3=OLD IMAGE
	11W3		
	10A0		BEGIN TEST for CLASS
	60W6		W6= TEST
	A0		<CLASS>

← expand for case when must recurse word to letter REALM from letter to sort features

	9-1		
	20W4		W4= TEST(OLD IMAGE)
	11W1		
	A0		<CLASS>
	9-1		
	20W5		W5= TEST(NEW IMAGE)
	9-3		Check if TEST(OLD IMAGE)=TEST(NEW IMAGE)
	709-2		Images don't equal; Goto 9-2 for successful cleanup.
	10E6		
	20W6		
	11W3		BEGIN TEST for NUMBER OF SUBOBJECTS
	E6		
	20W4		W4=NUMBER(OLD IMAGE)
	11W1		
	E6		
	20W5		W5=NUMBER(NEW IMAGE)
	9-3		TEST FOR EQUALITY
	709-2		9-2 IF don't equal, successful cleanup.
	11W4		
	J117		Are they simple images
	709-4		To 9-4 if complex images
	11W1		
	20W5		
	11W3		
	20W4		
	10E7		
	20W6	9-2	Simple images, sort by their names.
9-4	11W1		COMPLEX IMAGE
	20W8		W8 will equal NEW LLST
	11W3		
	20W7		W7 will equal OLD LLST
	11W4		
	20W2		W2 now equals number of subobjects on image list
	J90		
	J124		
	20W9		W9=COUNTER=0
9-6	10E1		9-6 is GET NEXT
	9-12		
	709-11		Successful cleanup
	9-7		Counter routine
	709-8	0	If end of list then exit with H5- (unsuccessful cleanup)
	9-5		TEST IF THE VALUES WERE K10
	709-9	9-6	9-9 if last node NEC
9-9	10E2		9-9 is GET LAST
	9-12		
	709-11		Successful cleanup
	9-7		Counter routine
	709-8	9-10	If end of list then exit with H5- (unsuccessful cleanup)
9-10	10E3		9-10 is GET PREVIOUS
	9-12		
	709-11		Successful cleanup
	9-7		Counter routine
	709-8	9-10	If end of list then exit with H5- (unsuccessful cleanup)
9-1	J5		Replaces H5- with K10
	70J4		





9-0		
LEAF NODE	9-1	0
9-1	0	
	A26 <PATTERN>	
	PATTERN A	
	A4 <IMAGE>	
	OLD IMAGE	0
	OUTPUT	
9-0		
CREATED		
TEST NODE	9-1	
	TEST(NEW IMAGE)	
	TEST(OLD IMAGE)	0
9-1	0	
	A1 <TEST>	
	TEST	
	A26 <IMAGE>	
	K10 (NIL)	
	TEST(OLD IMAGE)	
	9-2	
	TEST(NEW IMAGE)	
	9-4	0
9-2		
LEAF NODE	9-3	0
9-3	0	
	A26 <PATTERN>	
	PATTERN A	
	A4 <IMAGE>	
	OLD IMAGE	0
9-4		
CREATED		
EMPTY		
LEAF NODE	0	0

W0=9-0 of illustration; W1=NEW IMAGE; W2=NET

```
TEST MAKER -- OUTPUT (0)TEST; (1) TEST(NI); (2) TEST(OI)
                    (3) IO=SIMPLE TEST; I1=COMPLEX TEST
```

OR H5- if can't make a test  
9-2 is unsuccessful cleanup

9

20W5		W5=I0 is simple test node; I1 is complex test node
J90		
20W3		W3=Created leaf node (9-3 in illustration above)
11W0		
A26		
70J7		EMERGENCY STOP no pattern at LEAF NODE!
20W6		W6 is temporary holder of PATTERN
11W3		
11W6		
10A26		
J11		Transferring from leaf node turned test node to leaf node
11W0		
A4		
70J7		EMERGENCY STOP no image at LEAF NODE!
20W6		W6 is temporary holder of IMAGE
11W0		
10A4		
J14		Erase attribute IMAGE on 9-0's description list.
11W3		
11W6		
10A4		
J11		
11W0		
11W7		
10A1		
J11		1W7=TEST(TEST NODE)
11W0		
10K10		
10A26		
J11		NIL=IMAGE(TEST NODE)
J90		
20W4		W4=EMPTY LEAF NODE (FOR OUTPUT (0))
11W0		
11W4		
11W8		
J11		CREATED LEAF NODE=1W8(TEST NODE)
11W3		
11W2		
11W9		
J11		
11W5		
10I1		
J2		Is this a complex test node?
709-1		GOTO 9-1 if it is a simple test node.
11W0		
11W8		
J65		PUT 1W8 ON TEST NODE'S MAIN LIST
11W0		
11W9		
J65		PUT 1W9 AFTER 1W8 ON TEST NODE'S MAIN LIST
9-1	11W4	
	J39	J4
9-2	J203	
	10K5	"can't derive test"
	J164	

	J39	J3	Unsuccessful cleanup.
F3	J203		MAKE LEAF NODE
;			INPUTS (0) Newly Created Empty Leaf node
;			(1) NEW IMAGE
;			(2) NEW PATTERN
	10K3		
	J164		
	J52		
	11W0		
	11W1		
	10A4		
	J11		NEW IMAGE= A4(NODE)
	11W0		
	11W2		
	10A26		
	J11	J32	NEW PATTERN= A26(IMAGE)
F5	J44		DISCRIMINATE
	J22		INPUTS (0)IMAGE, (1)NET, (2)PATTERN
	11W1		OUTPUT: Adds PATTERN to net either by Creating Leaf
	11W0		or by TREE TRIMMING
	D0		SORT Image (0) in Net (1) Output is (0)NODE; (1)LLST
	J6		
	20W4		W4= LLST of Image at last TEST NODE
	60W3		W3=NODE
	A26		Is this a TEST NODE or a LEAF NODE
	70J7		EMERGENCY STOP -- No value for pattern at node!
	10K10		
	J2		
	J5		
	709-2		TO 9-2 IF TEST NODE (PATTERN is K10)
	11W3		LAST NODE IS LEAF NODE
	A26		
	11W2		
	J2		Test if Pattern at LEAF NODE already same as PATTERN
	J5		
	709-7		Goto Cleanup -- Nothing to Learn!
	11W1		
	11W0		
	11W3		
	F1		MAKE A NEW TEST NODE-- Output (0) is empty LN or H5--.
	709-8		GOTO 9-8 for Tree Trimming (can't derive test)
9-9	20W3		W3=NEWLY CREATED LEAF NODE
	11W2		
	11W0		
	11W3		
	F3	9-7	MAKE A NEW LEAF NODE and EXIT
9-2	11W4		
	11W0		
	11W3		
	F6	9-9	
9-8	11W3		TREE TRIMMING
	11W2		
	10A26		
	J11	9-7	Replaces Old Pattern with New Pattern at Leaf Node
9-7	J34	0	

F6	J46		MAKE BRANCH
;			INPUT (0) TESTING NODE; (1) NEW IMAGE; (2)LLST at T.N.
;			OUTPUT (0) EMPTY LEAF NODE
	J22		
	J90		Create what will be new LEAF
	20W3		
	11W0		
	J60		Is this a SIMPLE or a COMPLEX node?
	709-3		GOTO 9-3 if it is a Simple node.
	20W6		LOCATION of first Class on Complex Test Node list.
	11W2		LLST is location of object on list tested at this node.
	12H0		
	20W5		W5=SUBOBJECT on IMAGE
	30H0		
	11W6		
	11W5		
	J63		INSERT SUBOBJECT at top of list at complex test node.
	11W0		
	11W3		
	11W5		
	J11		EMPTY LEAF NODE=SUBOBJECT(TEST NODE)
9-4	11W3	J36	CLEANUP
9-3	11W0		
	A1		
	70J7		EMERGENCY STOP -- No Test stored at TEST NODE
	20W4		W4=TEST
	11W1		
	11W4		
	J10		
	20W5		W5=TEST(NEW IMAGE)
	11W0		
	11W3		
	11W5		
	J11	9-4	
;			L Routines Manipulate Patterns
LO	J47		TRANSLATOR
;			INPUTS: (0) OBJECT; (1) REALM TO TRANSLATE TO
;			1M2 IS CELL TO PUT TRANSLATION AT END OF.
			EXIT WITH H5- IF THE OBJECT IS K10
	20W0		W0=OBJECT with PATTERN attached
	20W2		W2=TRANSLATION REALM
	11W0		
	A26		
	70J3		EXIT H5- IF OBJECT IS K10
	60W1		
	10W2		
	J10		GET TRANSLATION LIST
	70J7		EMERGENCY STOP-- No translation list
	20W4		W4=LAST LOCATION ON TRANSLATION LIST
	11M2		1M2 IS LIST TO TAG TRANSLATION ON.
	11W2		
	10A2		
	J11		REALM= TYPE(CELL)
9-2	11W4		
	J60		

	709-3		EXIT AT END OF TRANSLATION RULE (or blank rule)
	12H0		
	20W5		W5=I-VALUE OR OBJECT OF REALM W2 ON THE TRANS LIST
	20W4		REFRESH W4=LAST LOC. ON TRANSLATION LIST
	11W5		
	11W2		
	J10		TEST IF ITEM HAS REALM W2 ON ITS DESCRIPTION LIST
	J5		
	709-4		GO TO 9-4 IF OBJECT IS REALM W2
	J90		
	J124		
	20W6		W6= COUNTER SET TO 0
	11W0		
	20W7		W7= LOCATION IN OBJECT'S LIST
9-5	11W7		
	J60		
	70J7		EMERGENCY STOP. Can't get to W5th subobject.
	20W7		
	11W6		
	J125		COUNTER=COUNTER+1
	11W5		
	J114		TEST IF COUNTER=1W4
	709-5		NEXT CELL IF COUNTER = 1W5
	11W6		
	J71		ERASE COUNTER
	12W7		
	20W7		W7= W5TH SUBOBJECT
	11W2		
	11W7		
	L0		RECURSE
	9-7	9-2	GOTO 9-7 IF OBJECT IS K10
9-4	11W5		
	11M2		
	J65	9-2	PUTS OBJECT AT END OF 1M2
9-3	J37	J4	CLEANUP
K0	0		***starting***
	Q10		
	Q10		
	Q10		
	S19		
	S20		
	S1		
	S18		
	S20		
	S9		
	S14		
	S7		
	Q10		
	Q10		
	Q10	0	"making test"
K1	0		
	S13		
	S1		
	S11		
	S9		