

Turing Machines and Decidability

1. Give implementation level descriptions for Turing Machines that decides the following two languages.
 - $\{w \mid w \text{ contains an equal number of 0s and 1s}\}$
 - $\{w \mid w \text{ contains an twice as many 0s as 1s}\}$
2. Let $INF_{DFA} = \{A \mid A \text{ is a DFA and } L(A) \text{ is infinite}\}$. Show that INF_{DFA} is decidable.
3. A 2-PDA is a pushdown automata that has two stacks instead of just one. Prove that a 2-PDA is more powerful than a traditional PDA with one stack. *Hint: Show how to simulate a Turing Machine's tape with the 2-PDA.*
4. A Turing Machine with doubly-infinite tape is an ordinary Turing Machine, except that the tape is infinitely indexed in both the left and right direction. Prove that this machine is equivalent in computational power to a traditional Turing Machine.
5. For this question, you will do five separate proofs. Prove that the class of *Decidable Languages* is closed under *union*, *concatenation*, *star*, *complement*, and *intersection*.
6. Prove the following claim: Let C be a language. Prove that C is Turing-recognizable if and only if a decidable language D exists such that $C = \{x \mid \exists y((x, y) \in D)\}$
7. Consider the following decision problem: "Given a Turing Machine M and input w , does M ever write a 1 to the 10th cell of its tape?". Show, via reduction, that this problem is *undecidable*.