

Definition : The **substitution** of an expression for a (free) variable in a lambda expression is denoted by $E[v \rightarrow E_1]$ and is defined as follows:

1. $v[v \rightarrow E_1] = E_1$ for any variable v .
2. $x[v \rightarrow E_1] = x$ for any variable $x \neq v$.
3. $c[v \rightarrow E_1] = c$ for any constant c .
4. $(E_{rator} E_{rand})[v \rightarrow E_1] = ((E_{rator}[v \rightarrow E_1])(E_{rand}[v \rightarrow E_1]))$
5. $(\lambda v. E)[v \rightarrow E_1] = (\lambda v. E)$
6. $(\lambda x. E)[v \rightarrow E_1] = \lambda x. (E[v \rightarrow E_1])$ when $x \neq v$ and $x \notin FV(E_1)$.
7. $(\lambda x. E)[v \rightarrow E_1] = \lambda z. (E[x \rightarrow z][v \rightarrow E_1])$ when $x \neq v$, $x \in FV(E_1)$, $z \neq v$, and $z \notin FV(E E_1)$.

In part 7, the first substitution $E[x \rightarrow z]$ replaces the bound variable x that will capture the free x s in E_1 by an entirely new bound variable z . Then the intended substitution can be performed safely.

Definition : α -reduction

If v and w are variables and E is a lambda expression,

$$\lambda v. E \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \lambda w. E[v \rightarrow w]$$

provided that w does not occur at all in E , which makes the substitution $E[v \rightarrow w]$ safe. The equivalence of expressions under α -reduction is what makes part 7 of the definition of substitution correct.

Definition : β -reduction

If v is a variable and E and E_1 are lambda expressions,

$$(\lambda v. E)E_1 \Rightarrow_{\beta} E[v \rightarrow E_1]$$

provided that the substitution $E[v \rightarrow w]$ is carried out according to the rules for a safe substitution.

Definition : η -reduction

If v is a variable, E is a lambda expression (denoting a function), and v has no free occurrence in E ,

$$\lambda v. (E v) \Rightarrow_{\eta} E.$$