

Determining the membrane bending modulus from the measured $R(\phi)$ of a giant vesicle

Raghuveer Parthasarathy

December 9, 2010

Slight changes: Feb. 25, 2011

Supersedes earlier (Nov. 2010) notes, which are wrong.

Theory

Vesicle contour $r(\theta, \phi, t) = \bar{R}(1 + u(\theta, \phi, t))$. Note that u is dimensionless. See Meleard 1997, Eq. 4, or Faucon 1989, Eq. 4. \bar{R} is the radius as determined by the volume – I'd guess that this is extremely close to the average of r .

u can be decomposed into spherical harmonics – Meleard 1997 Eq. 11, where the angle-dependent part is given by the harmonics for $n \geq 2$, with coefficients U_n^m .

The spherical harmonic coefficients are simply related to the bending modulus (κ_c) and reduced tension ($\bar{\sigma} = \sigma R^2 / \kappa_c$) by Faucon eq. 34 (or Meleard Eq. 17-18).

Faucon Eq. 34:

$$\left\langle \left| U_n^m(t) \right|^2 \right\rangle = \frac{k_B T}{\kappa} \frac{1}{(n-1)(n+2) [\bar{\sigma} + n(n+1)]}$$

(Note that the above expression for reduced tension is correct for $c_0 = 0$. More generally (Faucon

Eq. 15): $\bar{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma R^2}{\kappa_c} + 2c_0 R + \frac{1}{2} c_0^2 R^2$. This may be useful if we can extract the radius dependence of $\bar{\sigma}$.

We cannot measure U_n^m , since we observe the equatorial cross-section of the vesicle,

$\rho(\phi, t) = r(\theta = \pi/2, \phi, t)$ and not its entire surface. The autocorrelation function of ρ can provide useful information:

$$\xi(\gamma, t) = \frac{1}{R^2} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \rho(\phi + \gamma, t) \rho^*(\phi, t) d\phi - \rho^2(t) \right]$$

Why is there a complex conjugate, since ρ is necessarily real?

Faucon 1989 defines the contour angle average as $\rho(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \rho(\phi, t) d\phi$, which makes sense. (Also

in Bouvrais 2008.) Meleard 1997 provide a strange definition that, for a constant radius, gives a value of $4R$.

This autocorrelation function can be decomposed into Legendre polynomials, with coefficients B_n . Faucon Eq. 46 (or Meleard 18):

$$B_n(\bar{\sigma}, \kappa_c) = \frac{k_B T}{4\pi\kappa_c} \frac{2n+1}{(n-1)(n+2)[\bar{\sigma} + n(n+1)]} \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

(With this notation, $n=1$ is the constant term and $n=2$ is the cosine term; in MATLAB's notation, the constant term is ignored and the indexing starts at 1 with the cosine term.) Do we have to worry that B_n could be negative? Probably not, since this is an autocorrelation.

To use this, we can't simply solve for κ_c , since $\bar{\sigma}$ is unknown. Could do a 2-parameter non-linear fit. Or: Can we linearize anything as a function of n ?

$$\frac{k_B T}{4\pi B_n} \frac{2n+1}{(n-1)(n+2)} = \kappa_c [\bar{\sigma} + n(n+1)]$$

A bit awkward, but plotting the left side vs. $n(n+1)$ should give a line with slope κ_c (and intercept $\kappa_c \bar{\sigma} = \sigma R^2$ for zero spontaneous curvature).

Implementation

Determining the vesicle edge: see "GUV FLUCTUATION ANALYSIS FUNCTIONS.DOC" Functions trackedge_kn.m, slopeEdge_kn.m (& others called).

Calculating the edge autocorrelation function, $\xi(\gamma)$, of the vesicle edge ($R(\phi)$). Function: autocorr_guv.m .

Decompose $\xi(\gamma)$ into Legendre polynomials, coefficients B_n . Function: legendre_kn.m

Analyze the B_n to give the bending modulus and tension: Function: **calc_kappa.m** (noting the above difference in indexing of Legendre polynomials).

References

1. Meleard, P., Gerbeaud, C., Pott, T., Fernandez-Puente, L., Bivas, I., Mitov, M. D., Dufourcq, J., and Bothorel, P. Bending elasticities of model membranes: influences of temperature and sterol content. *Biophys J* **72**, 2616-29 (1997).
2. Faucon, J. F., Mitov, M. D., Meleard, P., Bivas, I., and Bothorel, P. Bending elasticity and thermal fluctuations of lipid membranes. Theoretical and experimental requirements. *J. Phys. France* **50**, 2389-2414 (1989).

See also
Henriksen and Ipsen 2004

Niggeman and Helfrich 1995
pecreaux bassereau vesicle fluctuation 2004