
## **Advanced Machine Learning**

# Imbalanced Learning: Cost-Sensitive Learning Part 1



Cost matrix

True class  $y = 1 \quad y = -1$ Pred. $\hat{y} = 1$  C(1, 1) C(1, -1) class $\hat{y} = -1$  C(-1, 1)

#### Learning goals

- Cost matrix
- Minimum expected cost principle
- Optimal theoretical threshold



#### **COST-SENSITIVE LEARNING: IN A NUTSHELL**

- Cost-sensitive learning:
  - Classical learning: data sets are balanced, and all errors have equal costs
  - We now assume given, unequal cost
  - And try to minimize them in expectation
- Applications:
  - Medicine Misdiagnosing as healthy vs. having a disease
  - (Extreme) Weather prediction Incorrectly predicting that no hurricane occurs
  - Credit granting Lending to a risky client vs. not lending to a trustworthy client.

		Truth		
		Default	Pays Back	
Pred.	Default	0	10	_
	Pays Back	1000	0	

 In these examples, the costs of a false negative is much higher than the costs of a false positive.

 In some applications, the costs are unknown 

 → need to be specified by experts, or be learnt.



#### **COST MATRIX**

Input: cost matrix C

	1	True Class y			
		1	2		g
Classification	1	C(1, 1)	C(1, 2)		C(1, g)
	2	C(2,1)	C(2,2)		C(2,g)
ŷ		,			, ,
		-	-		
	g .	C(g, 1)	C(g,2)		C(g,g)



- C(j, k) is the cost of classifying class k as j,
- 0-1-loss would simply be:  $C(j, k) = \mathbb{1}_{[j \neq k]}$
- C designed by experts with domain knowledge
  - Too low costs: not enough change in model, still costly errors
  - Too high costs: might never predict costly classes

#### **COST MATRIX FOR IMBALANCED LEARNING**

- Common heuristic for imbalanced data sets:
  - $C(j,k) = \frac{n_j}{n_k}$  with  $n_k \ll n_j$ , misclassifying a minority class k as a majority class j
  - C(j,k) = 1 with  $n_j \ll n_k$ , misclassifying a majority class k as a minority class j
  - 0 for a correct classification



Imbalanced binary classification:

	True class		
	<i>y</i> = 1	y = -1	
Pred. $\hat{y} = 1$	0	1	
class $\hat{y} = -1$	$\frac{n}{n_+}$	0	

So: much higher costs for FNs

### MINIMUM EXPECTED COST PRINCIPLE

- Suppose we have:
  - a cost matrix C
  - knowledge of the true posterior  $p(\cdot \mid \mathbf{x})$
- Predict class j with smallest expected costs when marginalizing over true classes:

$$\mathbb{E}_{K \sim p(\cdot \mid \mathbf{x})}(C(j, K)) = \sum_{k=1}^{g} p(k \mid \mathbf{x})C(j, k)$$

 If we trust we trust a probabilistic classifier, we can convert its scores to labels:


$$h(\mathbf{x}) := \underset{j=1,...,g}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \sum_{k=1}^{g} \pi_k(\mathbf{x}) C(j,k).$$

• Can be better to take a less probable class ( Elkan et. al. 2001)



### **OPTIMAL THRESHOLD FOR BINARY CASE**

- Optimal decisions do not change if
  - C is multiplied by positive constant
  - C is added with constant shift
- Scale and shift C to get simpler C':



#### where

• 
$$C'(-1,1) = \frac{C(-1,1)-C(-1,-1)}{C(1,-1)-C(-1,-1)}$$

• 
$$C'(1,1) = \frac{C(1,1)-C(-1,-1)}{C(1,-1)-C(-1,-1)}$$

We predict x as class 1 if

$$\mathbb{E}_{K \sim p(\cdot + \mathbf{x})}(C'(1, K)) \leq \mathbb{E}_{K \sim p(\cdot + \mathbf{x})}(C'(-1, K))$$



#### **OPTIMAL THRESHOLD FOR BINARY CASE / 2**

Let's unroll the expected value and use C':

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(-1 \mid \mathbf{x})C'(1,-1) + \rho(1 \mid \mathbf{x})C'(1,1) &\leq \rho(-1 \mid \mathbf{x})C'(-1,-1) + \rho(1 \mid \mathbf{x})C'(-1,1) \\
&\Rightarrow [1 - \rho(1 \mid \mathbf{x})] \cdot 1 + \rho(1 \mid \mathbf{x})C'(1,1) \leq \rho(1 \mid \mathbf{x})C'(-1,1) \\
&\Rightarrow \rho(1 \mid \mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{1}{C'(-1,1) - C'(1,1) + 1} \\
&\Rightarrow \rho(1 \mid \mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{C(1,-1) - C(-1,-1)}{C(-1,1) - C(1,1) + C(1,-1) - C(-1,-1)} = c^*
\end{aligned}$$

• If even C(1,1) = C(-1,-1) = 0, we get:

$$p(1 \mid \mathbf{x}) \ge \frac{C(1,-1)}{C(-1,1) + C(1,-1)} = c^*$$

lacktriangle Optimal threshold  $c^*$  for probabilistic classifier

$$h(\mathbf{x}) := 2 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[\pi(\mathbf{x}) > c^*]} - 1$$

