Exercise 1: Performance Measures

(a) Given the following confusion matrices

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 10 \\ 0 & 990 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 \\ 10 & 980 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 10 \\ 0 & 980 \end{pmatrix},$$

each of which corresponds to a classifier. Compute the accuracy, F_1 score, G measure/mean, BAC and MCC of each classifier.

(b) What are the population counterparts of the class-specific variants in the multiclass setting of true positive rate, positive predictive value and true negative rate?

Exercise 2: Minimum Expected Cost Principle

Given a cost matrix ${\bf C}$

where n_+, n_- denote the number of testing samples with label 1 and -1, respectively. We further assume that we have knowledge of $p(\cdot|\mathbf{x})$. According to the Minimum Expected Cost Principle, \mathbf{x} as class 1 if

$$\mathbb{E}_{K \sim p(\cdot | \mathbf{x})}(C(1, K)) \le \mathbb{E}_{K \sim p(\cdot | \mathbf{x})}(C(-1, K)).$$

This yields the optimal threshold c^* for the probabilitic classifier $h(\mathbf{x}) = 2 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[\pi(\mathbf{x}) \geq c^*]} - 1$. If we append more samples of class -1 to the test set and recompute the optimal threshold for $h(\mathbf{x})$, how will PPV and TPR change?

Exercise 3: MetaCost

Implement the MetaCost algorithm and use it with some classifier of your choice on an imbalanced data set of your choice, where the cost-matrix is given by the cost-sensitive heuristic we saw in the lecture. Compare the confusion matrices of the underlying classifier and the MetaCost classifier as well as their total costs.