Optimization Problems 1

Exercise 1: Regression

(a) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \mapsto 0.5\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + 0.5 \cdot \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2, \lambda > 0$
$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} f = \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \stackrel{!}{=} \mathbf{0} \iff \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{X}$
$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$.
$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top} f = \underbrace{\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}}_{\text{p.s.d.}} + \underbrace{\lambda \mathbf{I}}_{\text{p.d. if } \lambda > 0}$ is p.d. if $\lambda > 0 \Rightarrow f$ is (strictly) convex

(b) Since the observations and parameters are assumed to be i.i.d. it follows that
$p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}|\,\mathbf{X},\mathbf{y}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \propto p_{\mathbf{y}|\,\mathbf{X},\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{y}) p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{y})^\top \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{y})}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{I} \boldsymbol{\theta}}{2\sigma_w^2}\right)$.
The minimizer of the negative log posterior density is maximizer of posterior density and hence
$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \arg\min_\theta - \log\left(\exp\left(-\frac{(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{y})^\top \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{y})}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{I} \boldsymbol{\theta}}{2\sigma_w^2}\right)\right) = \arg\min_\theta 0.5\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + 0.5 \cdot \frac{1}{2\sigma_w^2}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2$.
This is ridge regression and the solution follows from a) with $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma_w^2}$.

(c) From b) we see that for the density of interest it must hold that
$-\log p(\theta) = 0.5 \cdot \lambda|\theta| + c$ with $c \in \mathbb{R} \iff p(\theta) \propto \exp(-0.5 \cdot \lambda|\theta|)$.
$\Rightarrow \theta \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Laplace}(0, 2/\lambda)$.

(d) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \mapsto \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$.
First consider the difference vector between the ungregularized solution and the regularized one:
$\boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = ((\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} - (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \lambda \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1}) \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$
$= \boldsymbol{\theta}^* - (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \lambda \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = -(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \lambda \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$.
This difference is only zero in general if $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}} \neq \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$.
Now, assume that $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2 \leq \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}}\|_2$ then it follows that $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f$ s.t. $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2 \leq \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2 \leq t$ and consequently $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$ which is a contradiction $\Rightarrow \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}}\|_2 < \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2$.
Now, assume that $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}}\|_2 < t(\lambda) < \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2$:
Since, by assumption $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}$ is non-singular, f is strictly convex and $f(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}}) > f(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)$.
Consider $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}}}{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}}\|_2} \cdot \frac{t(\lambda) - \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}}\|_2}{2}$ then $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is by construction on the line between $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$.
Hence $f(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) < f(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}})$ which is a contradiction ($\boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}}$ should be minimal in the constrained region) since $\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_2 < t$ by construction.
$\Rightarrow \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*_{\text{reg}}\| = t(\lambda)$.

Exercise 2: Classification

(a) First observe that $1 - \mathbb{P}(y = 1|\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \frac{\exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{1+\exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} = \frac{1}{1+\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} = \mathbb{P}(y = 1| - \mathbf{x}^{(i)})$.
Define $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{P}(y = 1|\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$.
With this we get that $\log\left(\mathbb{P}(y = y^{(i)}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)})\right) = \log\left(\mathbb{P}(y = 1|\mathbf{x}^{(i)})^{y^{(i)}} (1 - \mathbb{P}(y = 1|\mathbf{x}^{(i)})^{1-y^{(i)}}\right)$
$= y^{(i)} \log(\sigma(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$
$= y^{(i)} (\log(\sigma(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - \log(\sigma(-\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))) + \log(\sigma(-\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$
$= y^{(i)} \left(\log\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{\sigma(-\mathbf{x}^{(i)})}\right)\right) + \log(\sigma(-\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$
$= y^{(i)} \left(\log\left(\frac{1+\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{1+\exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}\right)\right) - \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$
$= y^{(i)} \left(\log\left(\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \frac{1+\exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{1+\exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}\right)\right) - \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$
$= y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$
With this we find that $\mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}} = -\log \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(y = y^{(i)}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})) - y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$

(b) $\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{1+\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \mathbf{x}^{(i)\top} - y^{(i)} \mathbf{x}^{(i)\top}$

$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top} \mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})(1+\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2}{(1+\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mathbf{x}^{(i)\top} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{\frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{(1+\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2}}_{>0} \underbrace{\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mathbf{x}^{(i)\top}}_{\text{p.s.d.}}$

is p.s.d. $\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}}$ is convex.

(c) We can transform the inequalities such that
$\zeta^{(i)} \geq 1 - y^{(i)} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \right) \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
We can find the smallest $\zeta^{(i)}$ by assuring that always at least one constraint is active[1] since this means that the value can not be further reduced:

$$\zeta^{(i)} = \begin{cases} 1 - y^{(i)} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \right) & \text{for } 1 - y^{(i)} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \right) \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } 1 - y^{(i)} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \right) < 0 \end{cases} = \max(1 - y^{(i)} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \right), 0)$$

Inserting these $\zeta^{(i)}$ into the objective function results in $f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(1 - y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, 0)$.
Minimzing f is equivalent to minimizing $\frac{1}{2C} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(1 - y^{(i)} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0), 0) \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{2C}$.

(d) First we show that $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \max(x, 0)$ is convex:
$g(x) = 0.5|x| + 0.5x \Rightarrow \max(x, 0)$ is convex since it is the sum of two convex functions.
Also g is increasing $\Rightarrow \max(1 - y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, 0)$ is convex since $1 - y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ is convex (linear).
With this we can conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(1 - y^{(i)} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0), 0) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2$ is convex since it is the sum of convex functions.

[1] the $\geq$ constraint is fulfiled with equality