## Optimization Problems 1

## Exercise 1: Regression

(a) Let 
$$f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \mapsto 0.5 \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + 0.5 \cdot \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2, \lambda > 0$$
  

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} f = \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \stackrel{!}{=} \mathbf{0} \iff \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{X}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}.$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top} f = \underbrace{\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}}_{\text{p.s.d.}} + \underbrace{\lambda \mathbf{I}}_{\text{p.d. if } \lambda > 0} \text{ is p.d. if } \lambda > 0 \Rightarrow f \text{ is (strictly) convex}$$

- (b) Since the observations and parameters are assumed to be i.i.d. it follows that  $p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\mid\mathbf{X},\mathbf{y}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \propto p_{\mathbf{y}\mid\mathbf{X},\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{y})p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{y})^{\top}\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{y})}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}\mathbf{I}\boldsymbol{\theta}}{2\sigma_{w}^{2}}\right).$  The minimizer of the negative log posterior density is maximizer of posterior density and hence  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} -\log\left(\exp\left(-\frac{(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{y})^{\top}\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{y})}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}\mathbf{I}\boldsymbol{\theta}}{2\sigma_{w}^{2}}\right)\right) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} 0.5\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{y}\|_{2}^{2} + 0.5 \cdot \frac{1}{2\sigma_{w}^{2}}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2}.$  This is ridge regression and the solution follows from a) with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}$ .
- (c) From b) we see that for the density of interest it must hold that  $-\log p(\theta) = 0.5 \cdot \lambda |\theta| + c$  with  $c \in \mathbb{R} \iff p(\theta) \propto \exp(-0.5 \cdot \lambda |\theta|)$ .  $\Rightarrow \theta \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Laplace}(0, 2/\lambda)$ .
- (d) Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}, \theta \mapsto \|\mathbf{X}\theta \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$ . First consider the difference vector between the ungregularized solution and the regularized one:  $\theta_{\text{reg}}^* \theta^* = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} \theta^* = ((\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \lambda \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1}) \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} \theta^* = \theta^* (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \lambda \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} \theta^* = -(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \lambda \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$ . This difference is only zero in general if  $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \theta_{\text{reg}}^* \neq \theta^*$ . Now, assume that  $\|\theta^*\|_2 \leq \|\theta^*\|_2$  then it follows that  $\theta_{\text{reg}}^* = \min_{\theta} f$  s.t.  $\|\theta^*\|_2 \leq \|\theta\|_2 \leq t$  and consequently  $\theta_{\text{reg}}^* = \theta^*$  which is a contradiction  $\Rightarrow \|\theta^*_{\text{reg}}\|_2 < \|\theta^*\|_2$ . Now, assume that  $\|\theta^*_{\text{reg}}\|_2 < t(\lambda) < \|\theta^*\|_2$ : Since, by assumption  $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}$  is non-singular, f is strictly convex and  $f(\theta^*_{\text{reg}}) > f(\theta^*)$ . Consider  $\tilde{\theta} = \theta^*_{\text{reg}} + \frac{\theta^* \theta^*_{\text{reg}}}{\|\theta^* \theta^*_{\text{reg}}\|_2} \cdot \frac{t(\lambda) \|\theta^*_{\text{reg}}\|_2}{2}$  then  $\tilde{\theta}$  is by construction on the line between  $\theta^*_{\text{reg}}$  and  $\theta^*$ . Hence  $f(\tilde{\theta}) < f(\theta^*_{\text{reg}})$  which is a contradiction  $(\theta^*_{\text{reg}})$  should be minimal in the constrained region) since  $\|\tilde{\theta}\|_2 < t$  by construction.  $\Rightarrow \|\theta^*_{\text{reg}}\| = t(\lambda)$ .

## Exercise 2: Classification

(a) First observe that 
$$1 - \mathbb{P}(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \frac{\exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} = \mathbb{P}(y = 1 | -\mathbf{x}^{(i)}).$$

Define  $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{P}(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}).$ 

With this we get that  $\log \left( \mathbb{P}(y = y^{(i)} | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right) = \log \left( \mathbb{P}(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^{y^{(i)}} (1 - \mathbb{P}(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^{1 - y^{(i)}}) \right)$ 

$$= y^{(i)} \log(\sigma(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

$$= y^{(i)} (\log(\sigma(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - \log(\sigma(-\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))) + \log(\sigma(-\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

$$= y^{(i)} \left( \log \left( \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{\sigma(-\mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \right) \right) + \log(\sigma(-\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

$$= y^{(i)} \left( \log \left( \frac{1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \right) \right) - \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

$$= y^{(i)} \left( \log \left( \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \frac{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \right) \right) - \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

$$= y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$
With this we find that  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}} = -\log \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(y = y^{(i)} | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})) - y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ 

(b) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{R}_{emp} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \mathbf{x}^{(i)^{\top}} - y^{(i)} \mathbf{x}^{(i)^{\top}}$$
$$\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}} \mathcal{R}_{emp} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^{2}}{(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^{2}} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mathbf{x}^{(i)^{\top}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{\frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^{2}}}_{>0} \underbrace{\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mathbf{x}^{(i)^{\top}}}_{p.s.d.}$$

is p.s.d.  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{emp}$  is convex.

(c) We can transform the inequalities such that  $\zeta^{(i)} \geq 1 - y^{(i)} \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \right) \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } \zeta^{(i)} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$  We can find the smallest  $\zeta^{(i)}$  by assuring that always at least one constraint is active<sup>1</sup> since this means that the value can not be further reduced:

$$\zeta^{(i)} = \begin{cases} 1 - y^{(i)} \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \right) & \text{for } 1 - y^{(i)} \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \right) \ge 0 \\ & \text{for } 1 - y^{(i)} \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \right) \le 0 \end{cases} = \max(1 - y^{(i)} \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \right), 0)$$

the value can not be further reduced:  $\zeta^{(i)} = \begin{cases} 1 - y^{(i)} \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \right) & \text{for } 1 - y^{(i)} \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \right) \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } 1 - y^{(i)} \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \right) < 0 \end{cases} = \max(1 - y^{(i)} \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \right), 0)$  Inserting these  $\zeta^{(i)}$  into the objective function results in  $f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2} + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(1 - y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}, 0).$  Minimzing f is equivalent to minimizing  $\frac{1}{2C} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(1 - y^{(i)} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}), 0) \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{2C}.$ 

(d) First we show that  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \max(x, 0)$  is convex:  $q(x) = 0.5|x| + 0.5x \Rightarrow \max(x,0)$  is convex since it is the sum of two convex functions. Also g is increasing  $\Rightarrow \max(1 - y^{(i)}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}\mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}, 0)$  is convex since  $1 - y^{(i)}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}\mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}$  is convex (linear). With this we can conclude that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}\mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}), 0) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2}$  is convex since it is the sum of convex functions.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ the  $\geq$  constraint is fulfiled with equality