

Optimization Problems 1

Exercise 1: Regression

- (a) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \mapsto 0.5 \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + 0.5 \cdot \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2, \lambda > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} f &= \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \stackrel{!}{=} \mathbf{0} \iff \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{X} \\ \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} &= (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}. \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top} f &= \underbrace{\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}}_{\text{p.s.d.}} + \underbrace{\lambda \mathbf{I}}_{\text{p.d. if } \lambda > 0} \text{ is p.d. if } \lambda > 0 \Rightarrow f \text{ is (strictly) convex} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Since the observations and parameters are assumed to be i.i.d. it follows that

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \propto p_{\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{y}) p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y})^\top \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y})}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{I} \boldsymbol{\theta}}{2\sigma_w^2}\right).$$

The minimizer of the negative log posterior density is maximizer of posterior density and hence

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} -\log\left(\exp\left(-\frac{(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y})^\top \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y})}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{I} \boldsymbol{\theta}}{2\sigma_w^2}\right)\right) = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} 0.5 \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + 0.5 \cdot \frac{1}{2\sigma_w^2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2.$$

This is ridge regression and the solution follows from a) with $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma_w^2}$.

- (c) From b) we see that for the density of interest it must hold that
 $-\log p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0.5 \cdot \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2 + c$ with $c \in \mathbb{R} \iff p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \propto \exp(-0.5 \cdot \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2)$.
 $\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Laplace}(0, 2/\lambda)$.

- (d) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \mapsto \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$.

First consider the difference vector between the unregularized solution and the regularized one:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^* - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* &= (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = ((\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} - (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \lambda \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1}) \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \\ &= \boldsymbol{\theta}^* - (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \lambda \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = -(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \lambda \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}. \end{aligned}$$

This difference is only zero in general if $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^* \neq \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$.

Now, assume that $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2 \leq \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^*\|_2$ then it follows that $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^* = \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f$ s.t. $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2 \leq \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2 \leq t$ and consequently $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$ which is a contradiction $\Rightarrow \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^*\|_2 < \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2$.

Now, assume that $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^*\|_2 < t(\lambda) < \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2$:

Since, by assumption $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}$ is non-singular, f is strictly convex and $f(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^*) > f(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)$.

Consider $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^* + \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^*}{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^*\|_2} \cdot \frac{t(\lambda) - \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^*\|_2}{2}$ then $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is by construction on the line between $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^*$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$.

Hence $f(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) < f(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^*)$ which is a contradiction ($\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^*$ should be minimal in the constrained region) since $\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_2 < t$ by construction.

$\Rightarrow \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{reg}}^*\|_2 = t(\lambda)$.

Exercise 2: Classification

- (a) First observe that $1 - \mathbb{P}(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \frac{\exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} = \mathbb{P}(y = 1 | -\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$.

Define $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{P}(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}^{(i)})$.

With this we get that $\log(\mathbb{P}(y = y^{(i)} | \mathbf{x}^{(i)})) = \log\left(\mathbb{P}(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^{y^{(i)}} (1 - \mathbb{P}(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))^{1-y^{(i)}}\right)$

$$= y^{(i)} \log(\sigma(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

$$= y^{(i)} (\log(\sigma(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})) - \log(\sigma(-\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))) + \log(\sigma(-\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

$$= y^{(i)} \left(\log\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{\sigma(-\mathbf{x}^{(i)})}\right) \right) + \log(\sigma(-\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

$$= y^{(i)} \left(\log\left(\frac{1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}\right) \right) - \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

$$= y^{(i)} \left(\log\left(\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \frac{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}\right) \right) - \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

$$= y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

With this we find that $\mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}} = -\log \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(y = y^{(i)} | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \sum_{i=1}^n y^{(i)} \log(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})) - y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$

$$(b) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}} = \sum_{i=1}^n y^{(i)} \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \mathbf{x}^{(i)\top} - y^{(i)} \mathbf{x}^{(i)\top}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top} \mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}} = \sum_{i=1}^n y^{(i)} \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) (1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2)}{(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))^2} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mathbf{x}^{(i)\top} = \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{y^{(i)} \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{(1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))^2}}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mathbf{x}^{(i)\top}}_{\text{p.s.d.}}$$

is p.s.d. $\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}}$ is convex.

(c) We can transform the inequalities such that

$$\zeta^{(i)} \geq 1 - y^{(i)} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } \zeta^{(i)} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

We can find the smallest $\zeta^{(i)}$ by assuring that always at least one constraint is active¹ since this means that the value can not be further reduced:

$$\zeta^{(i)} = \begin{cases} 1 - y^{(i)} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) & \text{for } 1 - y^{(i)} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } 1 - y^{(i)} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) < 0 \end{cases} = \max(1 - y^{(i)} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0), 0)$$

Inserting these $\zeta^{(i)}$ into the objective function results in $f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \max(1 - y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, 0)$.

Minimizing f is equivalent to minimizing $\frac{1}{2C} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \max(1 - y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, 0) \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{2C}$.

(d) First we show that $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \max(x, 0)$ is convex:

$g(x) = 0.5|x| + 0.5x \Rightarrow \max(x, 0)$ is convex since it is the sum of two convex functions.

Also g is increasing $\Rightarrow \max(1 - y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, 0)$ is convex since $1 - y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ is convex (linear).

With this we can conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^n \max(1 - y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, 0) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2$ is convex since it is the sum of convex functions.

¹the \geq constraint is fulfilled with equality