

Equality in DPI for sandwiched Rényi divergence

Anna Jenčová

March 8, 2024

Below, $\gamma : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a normal positive unital map, $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_*^+$ and we put $\psi_0 = \psi \circ \gamma$, $\varphi_0 = \varphi \circ \gamma$. We consider the following equality

$$D_{\alpha,z}(\psi \parallel \varphi) = D_{\alpha,z}(\psi_0 \parallel \varphi_0) \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha > 1$ and $\max\{\alpha/2, \alpha - 1\} \leq z \leq \alpha$. We put $q = \frac{z}{\alpha-1}$. In the case $\alpha = z$ we have $D_{\alpha,\alpha} = \tilde{D}_\alpha$ is the sandwiched Rényi divergence. We assume $D_{\alpha,z}(\psi \parallel \varphi) < \infty$, then by DPI we also have $D_{\alpha,z}(\psi_0 \parallel \varphi_0) < \infty$. Hence there are $y \in L_{2z}(\mathcal{M})$ and $y_0 \in L_{2z}(\mathcal{N})$ such that

$$h_{\psi}^{\frac{\alpha}{2z}} = y h_{\varphi}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2z}}, \quad h_{\psi_0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2z}} = y_0 h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2z}}.$$

Lemma 1. [1, Lemma 3.10] Let $\gamma : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a normal positive unital map. Let $\gamma_{\varphi,q}^* : L_q(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow L_q(\mathcal{M})$ be the contraction as in [1, Lemma 3.1]. Let $\bar{w} : (y^*y)^{\alpha-1} \in L_q(\mathcal{M})$ and $\bar{w}_0 := (y_0^*y_0)^{\alpha-1} \in L_q(\mathcal{N})$. Then (1) holds if and only if

$$\bar{w} = \gamma_{\varphi,q}^*(\bar{w}_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr}(\bar{w}_0^q) = \text{Tr}(\gamma_{\varphi,q}^*(\bar{w}_0)^q). \quad (2)$$

We show that (1) implies reversibility of γ for the sandwiched Rényi divergence.

Theorem 1. Let $\gamma : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a normal positive unital map. Let $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_*^+$ be such that $\tilde{D}_\alpha(\psi \parallel \varphi) < \infty$ for some $\alpha > 1$. Then $\tilde{D}_\alpha(\psi \parallel \varphi) = \tilde{D}_\alpha(\psi_0 \parallel \varphi_0)$ if and only if $\psi \circ \gamma \circ \gamma_\varphi^* = \psi$.

Proof. Let \bar{w} and \bar{w}_0 be as in Lemma 1. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_*^+$ and $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{N}_*^+$ be such that

$$h_\omega = h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \bar{w} h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}, \quad h_{\omega_0} = h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \bar{w}_0 h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}.$$

Note that in this case $q = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$. Then $h_\omega \in L_q(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and $h_{\omega_0} \in L_q(\mathcal{N}, \varphi_0)$. Assume the equality in DPI holds, then by Lemma 1 we have

$$\|h_\omega\|_{q,\varphi} = \|\bar{w}\|_q = \|\gamma_{\varphi,q}^*(\bar{w}_0)\|_q = \|\bar{w}_0\|_q = \|h_{\omega_0}\|_{q,\varphi_0} =: l$$

and using also [1, Lemma 3.1], we have

$$(\gamma_\varphi^*)_*(h_{\omega_0}) = h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \gamma_{\varphi,q}^*(\bar{w}_0) h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} = h_\omega.$$

Let $f_{h_{\omega_0},q}$ and $f_{h_\omega,q}$ be the functions as in [2, Eq. (9)], so that

$$f_{h_{\omega_0},q}(s) = l^{1-sq} h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \bar{w}_0^{qs} h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1-s}{2}}, \quad f_{h_\omega,q}(s) = l^{1-sq} h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \bar{w}^{qs} h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1-s}{2}}, \quad s \in S,$$

here S is the strip $S = \{s \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq 1\}$. Note that we have $f_{h_\omega, q}(1/q) = h_\omega$ and

$$\sup_t \|f_{h_{\omega_0}, q}(it)\|_{\infty, \varphi_0} = \sup_t \|f_{h_{\omega_0}, q}(1+it)\|_1 = l = \|h_{\omega_0}\|_{q, \varphi},$$

similarly for $f_{h_\omega, q}$.

Put $g(s) := (\gamma_\varphi^*)_*(f_{h_{\omega_0}, q}(s))$, $s \in S$, then g is a bounded continuous function $S \rightarrow L_1(\mathcal{M})$, analytic in the interior and such such that $g(1/q) = h_\omega$. Since $(\gamma_\varphi^*)_*$ is a contraction $L_r(\mathcal{N}, \varphi_0) \rightarrow L_r(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ for any $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, we have by the Hadamard three lines theorem (see e.g. [2, Thm. 2.10] in this context)

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(1/q)\|_{q, \varphi} &\leq \left(\sup_t \|g(it)\|_{\infty, \varphi}\right)^{1-1/q} \left(\sup_t \|g(1+it)\|_1\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left(\sup_t \|f_{h_{\omega_0}, q}(it)\|_{\infty, \varphi}\right)^{1-1/q} \left(\sup_t \|f_{h_{\omega_0}, q}(1+it)\|_1\right)^{1/q} = \|h_{\omega_0}\|_{q, \varphi_0} = \|g(1/q)\|_{q, \varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that g satisfies equality in the Hadamard three lines theorem and we must have

$$\sup_t \|g(it)\|_{\infty, \varphi} = \sup_t \|f_{h_{\omega_0}, q}(it)\|_{\infty, \varphi_0} = \sup_t \|f_{h_{\omega_0}, q}(1+it)\|_1 = \sup_t \|g(1+it)\|_1.$$

By [2, Thm. 2.10], this implies that $g(s) = f_{h_\omega, q}(s)$ for all $s \in S$. For $s = 1/\alpha$ this implies

$$h_\psi = h_\varphi^{\frac{q-1}{2q}} y^* y h_\varphi^{\frac{q-1}{2q}} = (\gamma_\varphi^*)_*(h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{q-1}{2q}} y_0^* y_0 h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{q-1}{2q}}) = (\gamma_\varphi^*)_*(h_{\psi_0}),$$

that is, $\psi \circ \gamma \circ \gamma_\varphi^* = \psi$. The converse is clear from DPI. □

For $\alpha \neq z$, we still need 2-positivity of γ for the proof that (1) implies sufficiency. Using Theorem 1 and similar arguments as in its proof, we can prove equivalent conditions for (1) for positive γ , which are of the form

$$\gamma_*((y^* y)^z) = (y_0^* y_0)^z$$

for $\alpha > 1$ and

$$\gamma^*((h_\psi^{\frac{\alpha}{2z}} h_\varphi^{\frac{1-\alpha}{z}} h_\psi^{\frac{\alpha}{2z}})^z) = (h_{\psi_0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2z}} h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{z}} h_{\psi_0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2z}})^z$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $z > \alpha$,

$$\gamma^*((h_\varphi^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2z}} h_\psi^{\frac{\alpha}{z}} h_\varphi^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2z}})^z) = (h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2z}} h_{\psi_0}^{\frac{\alpha}{z}} h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2z}})^z$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $z > 1 - \alpha$ (all within the DPI bounds). This is related but not quite the same as the conditions by Zhang [3]. For example, if $\psi \sim \varphi$ the equality in the last case becomes

$$\gamma_*((h_\varphi^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2z}} \bar{a}^{-1} h_\varphi^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2z}})^{\frac{z}{1-\alpha}}) = (h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2z}} \bar{a}_0^{-1} h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2z}})^{\frac{z}{1-\alpha}},$$

whereas the corresponding Zhang's condition is

$$\gamma_*((\bar{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_\varphi^{\frac{1-\alpha}{z}} \bar{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{z}{1-\alpha}}) = (\bar{a}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{z}} \bar{a}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{z}{1-\alpha}}.$$

References

- [1] F. Hiai, A. Jenčová. On the properties of $\alpha - z$ -Rényi divergences on von Neumann algebras, (version 2). In preparation.
- [2] A. Jenčová. Rényi relative entropies and noncommutative L_p -spaces. *Annales Henri Poincaré*, 19:2513–2542, 2018. doi:10.1007/s00023-018-0683-5.
- [3] H. Zhang. Equality conditions of data processing inequality for α -z Rényi relative entropies. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 61(10), 2020. doi:10.1063/5.0022787.