



Regular Articles

Spectral order unit spaces and JB-algebras

Anna Jenčová*, Sylvia Pulmannová

Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Štefánikova 49, SK-814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 August 2022

Available online 7 December 2022

Submitted by P. Exner

Keywords:

Order unit space

Spectrality

Functional calculus

JB-algebra

Generalized spin factor

ABSTRACT

Order unit spaces with comparability and spectrality properties as introduced by Foulis are studied. We define continuous functional calculus for order unit spaces with the comparability property and Borel functional calculus for spectral order unit spaces. Applying the conditions of Alfsen and Schultz, we characterize order unit spaces with comparability property that are JB-algebras. We also prove a characterization of Rickart JB-algebras as those JB-algebras for which every maximal associative subalgebra is monotone σ -complete, extending an analogous result of Saitô and Wright for C^* -algebras.

© 2022 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

We continue the study of spectrality of order unit spaces in the sense introduced by Foulis ([11,12,14,15,13,16,17]), started in [22], where we compared this approach with the well known notion of spectral duality of Alfsen and Schultz [1,2]. It was proved that the purely algebraic approach of Foulis is strictly more general than that of Alfsen and Schultz which is based on the geometry of dual pairs of order unit and base normed spaces. This was illustrated on the examples of JB-algebras and of order unit spaces constructed from Banach spaces. Moreover, the structure of spectral order unit spaces was described in detail.

Spectrality in the sense of Foulis requires the existence of a distinguished family of positive idempotent mappings, called a compression base. A compression base is introduced as a generalization of the set of compressions on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} , defined by a projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$ as

$$a \mapsto pap, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Spectrality of the order unit space is then characterized by two properties of the chosen compression base: the comparability property (that can be interpreted as existence of a unique orthogonal decomposition

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: jenca@mat.savba.sk (A. Jenčová), pulmann@mat.savba.sk (S. Pulmannová).

for each element into its positive and negative parts) and the projection cover property (generalizing the existence of supports of self-adjoint elements in \mathcal{A}).

In the present paper, we show that for an order unit space A with the comparability property, we can define a continuous functional calculus, which can be extended to Borel functional calculus if A is spectral. Further, we characterize the order unit spaces with comparability property that are JB-algebras. For order unit spaces in spectral duality, Alfsen and Shultz proved several equivalent conditions for being JB-algebras. We show that those conditions, formulated in terms of compressions and by functional calculus, can be used also in our more general situation. This result is applied to the example of order unit spaces obtained from Banach spaces (which we here call generalized spin factors) and it is proved that such an order unit space is a JB-algebra if and only if it is a spin factor (that is, the underlying Banach space is a Hilbert space).

In the last section, we concentrate on spectral JB-algebras. It was proved in [22] that a JB-algebra is (Foulis) spectral if and only if it is Rickart (recall that a JB-algebra is Alfsen-Schultz spectral if and only if it is a JBW-algebra). Rickart JB-algebras were introduced as a generalization of Rickart C^* -algebras [5,4]. Using recent results in [26], we prove for JB-algebras an analogue of the following characterization by Saitô and Wright [25]: A C^* -algebra is Rickart if and only if every maximal commutative self-adjoint subalgebra is monotone σ -complete.

2. Spectrality in order unit spaces

Recall that an *order unit space* is an archimedean partially ordered real vector space with a distinguished order unit. An order unit space will be denoted by a triple $(A, A^+, 1)$, where $A^+ := \{a \in A : 0 \leq a\}$ is the positive cone and $1 \in A^+$ denotes the order unit. The unit interval in A will be denoted by $E := \{e \in A : 0 \leq e \leq 1\}$. Notice that E is an effect algebra in the sense of [10]. The *order unit norm* $\|\cdot\|_1$ on A is defined by

$$\|a\|_1 := \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+ : -\lambda \leq a \leq \lambda\}, \quad a \in A.$$

The theory of spectral duality in order unit spaces was developed by Alfsen and Shultz, [1,2]. In this paper, we will deal with another approach to spectrality developed in [17], based on the works by Foulis [11–16]. A comparison of these two approaches can be found in [22]. In the sequel we briefly describe some details of the latter approach.

2.1 Definition. A positive linear mapping $J : A \rightarrow A$ is a *compression with focus p* on A if for all $e \in E$,

(F1) $J(1) = p \in E$ (that is, J is normalized),

(F2) $e \leq p \implies J(e) = e$,

(F3) $J(e) = 0 \implies e \leq 1 - p$.

If J satisfies (F1) and (F2) but not necessarily (F3), we say that J is a *retraction*.

Note that the maps defined above were called *F-compressions* in [22], in order to distinguish them from the stronger notion of compressions by Alfsen and Schultz. Since we will deal with only one type of maps, we will call them compressions throughout this work.

Let us denote

$$\text{Ker}^+(J) := \{a \in A^+ : J(a) = 0\}, \quad \text{Im}^+(J) := \{a \in A^+ : J(a) = a\}. \quad (1)$$

The compressions J and J' are *complementary* if $\text{Ker}^+(J) = \text{Im}^+(J')$ and $\text{Ker}^+(J') = \text{Im}^+(J)$. Let $J(1) = p$ and $J'(1) = p'$. It turns out that J and J' are complementary iff $p' = 1 - p$, [22, Lemma 3.6].

Recall that an element $p \in E$ is *sharp* if $p \wedge (1 - p) = 0$ (i.e., if $x \leq p, x \leq 1 - p$ then $x = 0$), and an element $p \in E$ is *principal* if $e, f \leq p$ and $e + f \leq 1$, then $e + f \leq p$. It is easy to see that a principal element is sharp.

2.2 Lemma. [22, Lemma 3.7]. *Let p be the focus of a retraction J . Then p is principal.*

Elements a, b in an effect algebra E are *Mackey compatible* (denoted $a \leftrightarrow b$) in E , if there are elements $c, a_1, b_1 \in E$ such that $c + a_1 + b_1 \in E$ and $a = c + a_1, b = c + b_1$. If F is a subset of E , we say that $a, b \in F$ are Mackey compatible in F , if they are compatible in E , and elements c, a_1, b_1 exist in F .

Let E be an effect algebra and let P be a subalgebra of E . Then P is a *normal subalgebra* if for all $d, e, f \in E$ such that $d + e + f \leq 1$ and $d + e, d + f \in P$ we have $d \in P$. Notice that if P is normal, then elements p, q in P are Mackey compatible in E if and only if they are Mackey compatible in P .

2.3 Definition. A *compression base* for A is a family $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ of compressions on A , indexed by their own foci, such that P is a normal subalgebra of E and whenever $p, q, r \in P$ and $p + q + r \leq 1$, then $J_{p+r} \circ J_{q+r} = J_r$. Elements of P will be called *projections*.

2.4 Example. An important example is the order unit space $A = B_{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ of bounded self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , or, more generally, the self-adjoint part $A = \mathcal{A}_{sa}$ of a C*-algebra \mathcal{A} , with the set of maps $U_p : a \mapsto pap$ for a projection $p \in P(\mathcal{H})$. It can be seen that each U_p is a compression with focus p and $(U_p)_{p \in P(\mathcal{H})}$ is a compression base. Moreover, any compression on A has this form for some projection p , [12].

In the sequel, we fix a compression base $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ for A . Notice that since P is a subalgebra, any J_p has a (fixed) complementary compression J_{1-p} . Using the fact that all projections are principal elements, it is easily seen, that if $p, q \in P$ and $p + q \leq 1$, then $p + q = p \vee q$, so that P with the orthocomplementation $p \mapsto 1 - p$ is an *orthomodular poset* (OMP) [24,21]. It follows that if $p, q \in P$ are Mackey compatible, then $p \wedge q$ and $p \vee q$ exist in P . It was shown that P is moreover a regular OMP, which means that any pairwise Mackey compatible subset in P is contained in a Boolean subalgebra of P [21]. A maximal subset of pairwise Mackey compatible elements is a maximal Boolean subalgebra in P and is called a *block* of P . The set P is covered by its blocks.

The following notion for $p \in P$ and $a \in A$ was introduced in [17, Def. 1.5].

2.5 Definition. For $p \in P$ and $a \in A$, we say that a *commutes* with p if

$$a = J_p(a) + J_{1-p}(a).$$

The set of all a commuting with $p \in P$ will be denoted by $C(p)$ and called the *commutant* of p . For a subset $Q \subseteq P$, we denote $C(Q) := \bigcap_{p \in Q} C(p)$. If $B \subseteq P$ is a block of P , the set $C(B)$ is called a *C-block* of A .

The following facts are easily checked (see also [17, Lemma 1.3]).

2.6 Lemma. *Let $a \in A, p \in P$. Then*

- (i) *If $J_p(a) \leq a$, then $a \in C(p)$.*
- (ii) *If $a \in A^+$, then $a \in C(p)$ if and only if $J_p(a) \leq a$.*
- (iii) *If $a \in E$, then $a \in C(p)$ if and only if a and p are Mackey compatible. In this case, $J_p(a) = a \wedge p$.*
- (iv) *If $q \in P$, then $q \in C(p)$ if and only if $p \in C(q)$ if and only if*

$$J_p J_q = J_q J_p = J_{p \wedge q}$$

We denote the set of all projections commuting with $a \in A$ by $PC(a)$ and for $B \subseteq A$, $PC(B) := \bigcap_{a \in B} PC(a)$.

The following subset was called a *bicommutant* of a in P in [22]. To avoid confusion with other notions to be introduced below, we prefer here to call it a *P-bicommutant* of a :

$$P(a) = PC(PC(a) \cup \{a\}).$$

2.7 Definition. We say that the compression base $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ has the *projection cover property* if for every effect $e \in E$ there is an element $p \in P$ such that for $q \in P$, we have $e \leq q$ iff $p \leq q$. Such an element is unique and is called the *projection cover* of e , denoted by e^o .

It turns out that for every $e \in E$, $e^o \in P(e)$, [22, Lemma 3.16]. If $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ has the projection cover property, then P is an orthomodular lattice (OML), [14, Theorem 6.4], [22, Theorem 3.17].

2.8 Definition. [17, Def. 1.6] We say that the compression base $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ in A has the *comparability property* if, for every $a \in A$, $P^\pm(a) \neq \emptyset$, where

$$P^\pm(a) := \{p \in P(a) \text{ and } J_{1-p}(a) \leq 0 \leq J_p(a)\}.$$

Notice that if a compression base $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ has the comparability property, then $p \in P$ iff p is sharp [22, Lemma 3.20].

Let $p \in P^\pm(a)$ and put $b := J_p(a)$, $c = -J_{1-p}(a)$, then we have

$$a = b - c, \quad b, c \in A^+, \quad J_p(b) = b, J_p(c) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Any decomposition of a of the form (2) for some $p \in P$ is called a *P-orthogonal decomposition* of a .

By [22, Proposition 3.19], if A has the comparability property, then every element $a \in A$ admits a unique *P-orthogonal decomposition* denoted by $a = a^+ - a^-$, $a^+ = J_p(a)$, $a^- = -J_{1-p}(a)$. Moreover, we have $a^+, a^-, |a| := a^+ + a^- \in C(PC(a))$.

2.9 Theorem. [22, Corollary 3.26] Assume that $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ has the comparability property.

- (i) For any $a \in A$ and $p \in P$, $a \in C(p)$ if and only if $P(a) \subseteq C(p)$.
- (ii) For any $a \in A$, there is some block $B \subseteq P$ such that $a \in C(B)$.
- (iii) For any block B , $C(B) = \overline{\text{span}}(B)$.

The statement (ii) above shows that if A has the comparability property, then it is covered by C-blocks. We next describe the structure of the C-blocks in more detail.

2.10 Lemma. [22, Lemma 3.24] Assume that $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ has the comparability property and let B be a block of P . Then $C(B)$ is an order unit space and $(\bar{J}_p)_{p \in B}$ where $\bar{J}_p := J_p|_{C(B)}$, is a compression base in $C(B)$ with the comparability property.

2.11 Theorem. [22, Theorem 3.25] Let $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ be a compression base in A with the comparability property. Let $B \subseteq P$ be a block. Then there is a totally disconnected compact Hausdorff space X such that

- (i) B is isomorphic (as a Boolean algebra) to the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of all clopen subsets in X .
- (ii) $C(B)$ is isomorphic (as an order unit space) to a norm-dense order unit subspace in $C(X, \mathbb{R})$.
- (iii) If A is norm-complete, then $C(B) \simeq C(X, \mathbb{R})$ (as an order unit space).

In the comparability case, we can extend the notion of commutativity to all pairs of elements in A .

2.12 Definition. [22, Definition 3.26] Let $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ be a compression base in A with the comparability property. We say that $a, b \in A$ *commute*, in notation aCb , if p and q are compatible for all $p \in P(a)$ and $q \in P(b)$.

By [22, Proposition 3.27], two elements in A commute if and only if they are in the same C-block. In addition, the C-blocks of A are precisely the maximal sets of mutually commuting elements.

Now we introduce the notion of spectrality of order unit spaces in the sense of [17].

2.13 Definition. [17, Definition 1.7] The compression base $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ in an order unit space is *spectral* if it has both the projection cover and the comparability property.

2.14 Theorem. [22, Theorem 3.33] Assume that A is norm complete and let $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ be a compression base with the comparability property. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ is spectral.
- (ii) For any block B of P , $(\bar{J}_p := J_p|C(B))_{p \in B}$ is a spectral compression base in $C(B)$.
- (iii) Any C-block in A is monotone σ -complete.
- (iv) Any C-block in A is isomorphic to $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ for some basically disconnected compact Hausdorff space X .
- (v) P is monotone σ -complete.

If $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ is a spectral compression base in A , then there is a unique mapping $*$: $A \rightarrow P$, called the *Rickart mapping*, such that for all $a \in A$ and $p \in P$,

$$p \leq a^* \Leftrightarrow a \in C(p) \text{ and } J_p(a) = 0. \quad (3)$$

If a compression base has the comparability property, then the projection cover property is equivalent to the existence of the Rickart mapping [17, Thm 2.1]. In particular, for $a \in E$ we have $a^* = 1 - a^\circ$. Moreover, by [22, Proposition 3.35], for any $a \in A$, $(a^+)^{**}$ is the least element in $P^\pm(a)$.

We may now define the *spectral resolution* of a as the family $(p_{a,\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \subseteq P$ defined by [17, Def. 2]

$$p_{a,\lambda} := ((a - \lambda)^+)^*.$$

We have the following characterization of compatibility via spectral resolution:

2.15 Lemma. [22, Cor 3.36] If $p \in P$, then $a \in C(p) \Leftrightarrow p_{a,\lambda} \in C(p)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, every element in A can be written as a norm-convergent integral of Riemann-Stieltjes type [17, Rem. 3.1]

$$a = \int_{L_a - 0}^{U_a} \lambda dp(\lambda)$$

where the *spectral lower and upper bounds* for a are defined by $L_a := \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda \leq a\}$ and $U_a := \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq \lambda\}$, respectively.

2.16 Definition. We say that an order unit space is spectral (has the comparability property) if there exists a compression base on A that is spectral (has the comparability property).

Note that it follows from [23, Prop. 4.7 and Thm. 5.10] that if A is spectral (has the comparability property), then any compression base such that P is the set of all sharp elements must be spectral (have the comparability property). This can be seen from the fact that both the comparability and the projection cover property are obtained from the behaviour of the restrictions of the compression base to C-blocks. The C-blocks in A only depend on the blocks in P (Theorem 2.9), moreover, any compression with focus $p \in B$ for a block $B \subseteq P$ restricts to the unique compression with focus p on $C(B)$, since $C(B)$ is isomorphic to a dense subspace $C(X)$ (Theorem 2.11) which is the self-adjoint part of an abelian C*-algebra (cf. Example 2.4). By the results of [23], the spectral resolution of an element $a \in A$ coincides with the (unique) spectral resolution in any C-block containing a , so that the spectral resolution does not depend on the choice of the spectral compression base.

3. Functional calculus in order unit spaces

In this section, we introduce functional calculus in an order unit Banach space with the comparability or spectrality property.

3.1. Commutants

Assume that the compression base $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ in A has the comparability property. Let us denote (cf. Definition 2.12)

$$C(a) := \{b \in A : aCb\}.$$

The set $C(a)$ will be called the *commutant* of A . For a subset $A_0 \subset A$, we denote $C(A_0) = \bigcap_{a \in A_0} C(a)$. Note that for $A_0 \subseteq P$, we get the same notion of a commutant as before.

3.1 Proposition. *Let the compression base $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ in A have the comparability property. Let $a \in A$ and let $A_0 \subseteq A$. Then*

- (i) $C(a) = C(P(a))$.
- (ii) $a \in C(a)$.
- (iii) For any $b \in C(A_0)$, we have $P(b) \subseteq C(A_0)$.
- (iv) $C(A_0)$ is a norm-closed subspace containing 1 and $\{J_p|_{C(A_0)}\}_{p \in P \cap C(A_0)}$ is a compression base in $C(A_0)$ with the comparability property.

Proof. (i) By definition and Theorem 2.9,

$$C(a) = \{b : aCb\} = \{b : P(b) \subseteq C(P(a))\} = \{b : b \in C(P(a))\} = C(P(a)).$$

This also implies (ii) since by the definition of $P(a)$, we have $a \in C(P(a))$. The statement (iii) follows from the definition of the commutant: let $a \in A_0$, then bCa means that $a \in C(P(b))$ so that $P(b) \subseteq C(a)$. To prove (iv), note that for $p \in P$, $C(p)$ is clearly a norm-closed subspace and $1 \in C(p)$. Since $C(a) = \bigcap_{p \in P(a)} C(p)$ by (i), the same holds true for $C(a)$ and clearly also for $C(A_0)$. Let F be the interval $[0, 1]$ in $C(A_0)$, we show that $Q := C(A_0) \cap P$ is a normal subalgebra in F . So let $d, e, f \in F$ such that $d + e + f \leq 1$ and $d + e, d + f \in Q$. This implies that $d \in P \cap C(A_0) = Q$, since P is a normal subalgebra in $E = A[0, 1]$. Let $q \in Q$ and $b \in C(A_0)$. Then for any $a \in A_0$ and $p \in P(a)$ we have $q, b \in C(p)$ and therefore

$$J_q(b) = J_q(J_p(b) + J_{1-p}(b)) = J_p(J_q(b)) + J_{1-p}(J_q(b))$$

so that $J_q(b) \in C(p)$ for all $p \in P(a)$, hence $J_q(b) \in C(P(a)) = C(a)$. This implies that all the compressions J_q , $q \in Q$ preserve $C(A_0)$, so they define compressions on $C(A_0)$. It is clear that $(J_q)_{q \in Q}$ is a compression base. The comparability property follows by comparability in A and the fact that by (iii), $P^\pm(b) \subseteq P(b) \subseteq Q$ for any $b \in C(A_0)$. \square

We will also denote by $CC(A_0) = C(C(A_0))$ the *bicommutant* of $A_0 \subset A$.

3.2 Proposition.

- (i) If $A_0 \subseteq A$ is commutative then $CC(A_0)$ is commutative.
- (ii) For $a \in A$, $P(a) = CC(a) \cap P$.
- (iii) For $a \in A$, $CC(a) = \overline{\text{span}}(P(a))$ (the norm-closed linear span of $P(a)$).

Proof. Since A_0 is commutative, we have $A_0 \subseteq C(A_0)$ so that $CC(A_0) \subseteq C(A_0) = C(CC(A_0))$, which implies that $CC(A_0)$ is commutative. For (ii), we clearly have $P(a) \subseteq CC(P(a)) = CC(a)$ by Proposition 3.1 (i). Conversely, let $p \in CC(a) \cap P$, then $a \in C(p)$ since $a \in C(a)$. Moreover, we have $PC(a) = C(a) \cap P$, so that $p \in PC(PC(a) \cup \{a\}) = P(a)$. For (iii), note that by Theorem [22, Thm. 3.22], we have for any $b \in A$ that $b \in \overline{\text{span}}(P(b))$. Further, we obtain from (ii) and Proposition 3.1 (iii) that for $b \in CC(a)$

$$P(b) \subseteq CC(a) \cap P = P(a),$$

so that $CC(a) \subseteq \overline{\text{span}}(P(a))$. The other inclusion follows from (ii) and Proposition 3.1 (iv). \square

The following theorem is similar to [22, Thm. 3.25] (Theorem 2.11), the proof is exactly the same, using the fact that $P(a)$ is a Boolean algebra.

3.3 Theorem. Let A have the comparability property and let $a \in A$. There is a totally disconnected compact Hausdorff space X such that

- (i) $P(a)$ is isomorphic (as a Boolean algebra) to the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of all clopen subsets in X .
- (ii) $CC(a)$ is isomorphic (as an order unit space) to a norm-dense order unit subspace in $C(X, \mathbb{R})$.
- (iii) If A is norm-complete, then $CC(a) \cong C(X, \mathbb{R})$ (as an order unit space).

3.2. Functional calculus

We can now define a continuous functional calculus in the case when A has the comparability property and is norm-complete, so it is an order unit Banach space. In what follows, for a (compact Hausdorff) topological space X we will use the notation $C(X) = C(X, \mathbb{R})$, since we will work with real functions only.

For $a \in A$, let $\Phi : CC(a) \rightarrow C(X)$ be the isomorphism as in Theorem 3.3 (iii) and let $f = \Phi(a) \in C(X)$ be the element corresponding to a . Since $C(X)$ is an associative JB-algebra, there is a continuous functions calculus in $C(X)$ [1, Chap.1]: let $sp(a) := sp(f)$ be the spectrum of f (that is, the range of f in \mathbb{R}). Then $sp(a)$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{R} and the subalgebra $A(f)$ of $C(X)$ generated by f and 1 is isomorphic to $C(sp(a))$. In this isomorphism, f is associated with the identity function $t \mapsto t$ and any element in $A(f)$ is of the form $g \circ f$ for some $g \in C(sp(a))$. The set $sp(a)$ will be called the spectrum of a and the subalgebra $A(f)$ is isomorphic to a subspace in $CC(a) \subseteq A$, isomorphic to $C(sp(a))$. The element corresponding to $g \in C(sp(a))$ in this isomorphism will be denoted by $g(a) := \Phi^{-1}(g \circ f)$.

Assume that A is spectral. Our next aim is to show that in this case we may define a Borel functional calculus.

3.4 Proposition. *Let A be spectral, $a \in A$. Then $CC(a)$ is a spectral order unit space.*

Proof. We already know that $CC(a)$ is an order unit space with the comparability property with respect to the restricted compression base $(J_p)_{p \in P(a)}$ (cf. Proposition 3.1). Let $b \in CC(a)$, then $b^\circ \in P(b) \subseteq CC(a)$. It follows that $CC(a)$ has also the projection cover property and is therefore spectral. \square

We now have by Theorem 3.3, Proposition 3.4 and [16], that X is basically disconnected, equivalently, $C(X)$ is monotone σ -complete. The next result shows that in this case the bounded Baire functions are ‘close’ to continuous functions. This fact was used in the proof of the Loomis-Sikorski theorem for σ -MV algebras, [8,9]. We give the proof in our setting below for convenience of the reader. Below, $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ denotes the set of all bounded Baire functions on X .

3.5 Proposition. *Let X be a basically disconnected compact Hausdorff space. There is a mapping Θ of $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ onto $C(X)$ such that*

- (i) *for $h \in \mathcal{B}_0(X)$, $\{x \in X : h(x) \neq \Theta(h)(x)\}$ is a meager set,*
- (ii) *Θ preserves the products and the linear structure: $\Theta(h_1 h_2) = \Theta(h_1)\Theta(h_2)$, $\Theta(\lambda h_1 + \mu h_2) = \lambda \Theta(h_1) + \mu \Theta(h_2)$,*
- (iii) *Θ is positive: $\Theta(h) \geq 0$ if $h \geq 0$,*
- (iv) *$\Theta(\max\{h_1, h_2\}) = \Theta(h_1) \vee \Theta(h_2)$, for $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{B}_0(X)$,*
- (v) *if $h_n \in \mathcal{B}_0(X)$ is a nondecreasing sequence with pointwise supremum h , then $\bigvee_n \Theta(h_n) = \Theta(h)$ in $C(X)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ is the set of functions measurable with respect to the σ -algebra of Baire sets, it is closed under pointwise suprema and pointwise limits. We will first show that it is the smallest algebra of bounded functions with this property, containing $C(X)$.

So let this smallest algebra of functions be denoted by \mathcal{T} . Clearly, $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_0(X)$. Let

$$\mathcal{T}_0 := \{A \subset X : \chi_A \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

Then \mathcal{T}_0 is a σ -algebra of subsets of X . Indeed, clearly $\chi_X = 1_X \in C(X) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, so that $X \in \mathcal{T}_0$, and if $\chi_A \in \mathcal{T}$ then also $\chi_{X \setminus A} = 1_X - \chi_A \in \mathcal{T}$, so that \mathcal{T}_0 is closed under complements. Let $A_n \in \mathcal{T}_0$, then

$$\chi_{\bigcup_n A_n}(x) = \min\{1, \sum_n \chi_{A_n}(x)\} = -\max\{-1, \sum_n -\chi_{A_n}\}$$

so that $\chi_{\bigcup_n A_n} \in \mathcal{T}$, hence $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{T}_0$.

We next show that \mathcal{T} is the set of all bounded measurable functions with respect to \mathcal{T}_0 . So let $f \in \mathcal{T}$, we have to prove that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $F_t := \{x : f(x) \geq t\} \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Since \mathcal{T} is a linear subspace containing the constant 1_X , and 1_X is clearly \mathcal{T}_0 -measurable, we may assume that f has values in $[0, 1]$. So let $t \leq 0$, then $F_t = X \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Similarly, if $t > 1$, then $F_t = \emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_0$. For $t = 1$, we have $F_1 = \{x : f(x) = 1\}$ and

$$\chi_{F_1} = 1 - \lim_n \min\{n(1 - f), 1\} \in \mathcal{T}$$

We now use the fact that the binary fractions of the form $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i 2^{-i}$, $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$ are dense in $(0, 1)$ and we have $F_t = \bigcap_n F_{r_n}$ for $r_n \nearrow t$, so that is enough to assume that t is a binary fraction. So let $t = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i 2^{-i}$, we will proceed by induction on n . So assume that $n = 1$, then we have for $t = 1/2$

$$F_{1/2} = \{x : \min\{2f(x), 1\} = 1\} \in \mathcal{T}_0$$

by the previous considerations, since $\min\{2f, 1_X\} \in \mathcal{T}$ has values in $[0, 1]$. Assume the assertion holds for n and let $t = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i 2^{-i}$. Put $s = \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} a_i 2^{1-i}$. If $a_1 = 0$, then $t = s/2$ and we have

$$F_t = \{x : 2f(x) \geq s\} = \{x : \min\{2f(x), 1\} \geq s\} \in \mathcal{T}_0,$$

by the induction assumption and the fact that $\min\{2f, 1_X\} \in \mathcal{T}$ has values in $[0, 1]$. Similarly, if $a_1 = 1$, then $t = (1 + s)/2$ and

$$F_t = \{x : 2f(x) - 1 \geq s\} = \{x : \max\{2f(x) - 1, 0\} \geq s\} \in \mathcal{T}_0.$$

Hence all functions in \mathcal{T} are \mathcal{T}_0 -measurable. Conversely, since any \mathcal{T}_0 -measurable function is a pointwise limit of simple functions which are linear combinations of characteristic functions in \mathcal{T} , it is clear that any such function belongs to \mathcal{T} . Since $C(X) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, all continuous functions are \mathcal{T}_0 -measurable, and since the Baire σ -algebra is the smallest with this property, it must be contained in \mathcal{T}_0 . This implies that $\mathcal{B}_0(X) \subseteq \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_0(X)$ (cf. the proof of [9, Thm. 7.1.7]).

We now introduce an equivalence relation in $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ as follows: $f \sim g$ if $\{x : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ is a meager set. Note that $f \sim g$ implies $f = g$ for $f, g \in C(X)$, so that every equivalence class may contain a unique continuous function (if any). Let

$$\mathcal{T}' := \{f \in \mathcal{B}_0(X) : f \sim g, \text{ for some } g \in C(X)\}.$$

We will show that $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{B}_0(X)$. It is easily seen that \mathcal{T}' is a subalgebra in $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ and $\max\{f, g\} \in \mathcal{T}'$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{T}'$. It is now enough to prove that \mathcal{T}' is closed under pointwise limits of non-decreasing sequences, since then \mathcal{T}' is also closed under all pointwise limits and this implies $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{B}_0(X)$ by minimality of $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ in the first part of the proof.

So let $f_n \in \mathcal{T}'$, $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$, $f_n \nearrow f$ and let $b_n \in C(X)$ be such that $f_n \sim b_n$. Then $b_n \vee b_{n+1} \sim f_n \vee f_{n+1} = f_{n+1} \sim b_{n+1}$, so that $b_n \vee b_{n+1}$ and b_{n+1} are continuous functions that differ only on a meager set, so that we must have $b_n \vee b_{n+1} = b_{n+1}$ and $b_n \leq b_{n+1}$. Further, since f_n is bounded, b_n is a bounded increasing sequence. Since X is basically disconnected, $C(X)$ is monotone σ -complete, so that there is some $b = \bigvee_n b_n$ in $C(X)$. Let us also denote $b_0 := \lim_n b_n$, the pointwise limit. Our aim is to prove that $f \sim b_0$ and $b_0 \sim b$, so that $f \in \mathcal{T}'$. We have

$$\{x : f(x) \neq b_0(x)\} = \{x : f(x) < b_0(x)\} \cup \{x : f(x) > b_0(x)\}.$$

If $f(x) < b_0(x) = \lim_n b_n(x)$, then there is some n such that $f_n(x) \leq f(x) < b_n(x) \leq b_0(x)$. Similarly, if $f(x) > b_0(x)$ then $f(x) \geq f_n(x) > b_0(x) \geq b_n(x)$. It follows that

$$\{x : f(x) \neq b_0(x)\} \subseteq \bigcup_n \{x : f_n(x) \neq b_n(x)\},$$

which is a meager set. Hence $f \sim b_0$. To prove $b_0 \sim b$, we invoke the construction from [18, Lemma 9.1]: put

$$\tilde{b}_0(x) := \inf_{U \in \mathcal{N}(x)} \sup_{y \in U} b_0(y),$$

where $\mathcal{N}(x)$ is the collection of open neighbourhoods of x in X , then \tilde{b}_0 is continuous if $b_0^{-1}(\alpha, \infty)$ is an open F_σ subset for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. This last condition is satisfied, since

$$b_0^{-1}(\alpha, \infty) = \{x : b_0(x) > \alpha\} = \{x : \exists n, b_n(x) > \alpha\} = \bigcup_n b_n^{-1}(\alpha, \infty)$$

is an open F_σ -set, since b_n are continuous. We also have $\tilde{b}_0(x) = b_0(x)$ in all x where b_0 is continuous, so that

$$\{x : \tilde{b}_0(x) \neq b_0(x)\} \subseteq \{x : b_0 \text{ is discontinuous in } x\}.$$

Since b_0 is a pointwise limit of a sequence of continuous functions, this last set is meager, hence $f \sim b_0 \sim \tilde{b}_0$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}'$. Note also that by definition, $\tilde{b}_0 \geq b_0 \geq b_n$, for all n , so that $b_0 \leq b = \bigvee_n b_n \leq \tilde{b}_0$. This implies $b \sim b_0 \sim \tilde{b}_0$, so that $b = \tilde{b}_0$.

It follows that $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{B}_0(X)$, so that every bounded Baire function is equivalent to a unique continuous function. Let $\Theta : \mathcal{B}_0(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ be the corresponding map. Then it is easily seen by construction that Θ has the properties (i)-(v) (cf. the proof of [9, Thm. 7.1.22]). \square

We can now define a Borel function calculus on A . For a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we will denote by $\mathcal{B}(S)$ the set of bounded Borel functions $S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

3.6 Theorem (Borel functional calculus). *Let A be a spectral order unit Banach space. Then for every $a \in A$, there is a positive unital linear map $\Psi_a : \mathcal{B}(sp(a)) \rightarrow CC(a) \subseteq A$ such that:*

- (i) $\Psi_a(id) = a$, where id is the identity function $t \mapsto t$,
- (ii) $\Psi_a(\chi_B) \in P(a) \subseteq P$ for the characteristic function χ_B of any Borel subset $B \subseteq sp(a)$,
- (iii) $\Psi_a(\max\{h_1, h_2\}) = \Psi_a(h_1) \vee \Psi_a(h_2)$ in $CC(a)$,
- (iv) If h_n is a nondecreasing sequence of functions in $\mathcal{B}(sp(a))$ with a pointwise supremum h , then $\bigvee_n \Psi_a(h_n) = \Psi_a(h)$ in $CC(a)$.

We will use the notation $g(a) := \Psi_a(g)$ for any $g \in \mathcal{B}(sp(a))$.

Let us stress that the suprema in the above theorem are taken in $CC(a)$ and they are not necessarily suprema in A .

Proof. As before, there is an isomorphism $\Phi : CC(a) \rightarrow C(X)$ for some basically disconnected compact Hausdorff space X . Let $f = \Phi(a)$, so that $sp(a) = sp(f)$. Notice that for $g \in \mathcal{B}(sp(a))$, $g \circ f$ is in $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$, so we may put

$$\Psi_a(g) := \tilde{\Phi}(g \circ f) \in CC(a),$$

where

$$\tilde{\Phi} := \Phi^{-1} \circ \Theta : \mathcal{B}_0(X) \rightarrow C(X) \rightarrow CC(a).$$

It follows by Proposition 3.5 (ii) and (iii) that Ψ_a is positive and linear, further, we have

$$\Psi_a(1_{sp(a)}) = \tilde{\Phi}(1_{sp(f)} \circ f) = \tilde{\Phi}(1_X) = 1,$$

since $\Theta(1_X) = 1_X$ and Φ is unital. Let us check the properties (i) - (iv). First, we have

$$\Psi_a(id) = \tilde{\Phi}(f) = a,$$

since clearly $\Theta(f) = f$. Further, let χ_B be the characteristic function of a Borel subset $B \subseteq sp(a)$, then

$$\Psi_a(\chi_B) = \tilde{\Phi}(\chi_B \circ f) = \tilde{\Phi}(\chi_{f^{-1}(B)}).$$

By Proposition 3.5 (ii), $\Theta(\chi_{f^{-1}(B)})^2 = \Theta(\chi_{f^{-1}(B)}^2) = \Theta(\chi_{f^{-1}(B)})$, which means that $\Theta(\chi_{f^{-1}(B)})$ is a continuous function with values in $\{0, 1\}$, that is, a characteristic function of a clopen subset. By construction, such functions in $C(X)$ correspond to elements in $P(a)$. This proves (ii). The property (iii) follows easily from Proposition 3.5 (iv). Let now $h_n \in \mathcal{B}(sp(a))$ be a nondecreasing sequence with pointwise supremum h , then $h_n \circ f$ is a nondecreasing sequence in $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ with pointwise supremum $h \circ f$ and we have by Proposition 3.5 (v) that

$$\Psi_a(h) = \tilde{\Phi}(h \circ f) = \bigvee_n \tilde{\Phi}(h_n \circ f) = \bigvee_n \Psi_a(h_n). \quad \square$$

We now use the above Borel functional calculus to describe the spectral resolution of a . Let us define

$$\xi_a(B) := \chi_B(a), \quad B \subseteq sp(a) \text{ a Borel subset.}$$

Then by Theorem 3.6, it is easily seen that ξ_a is a σ -homomorphism from the Borel subsets in $sp(a)$ into the Boolean σ -algebra $P(a)$. Further, if $g \in \mathcal{B}(sp(a))$, then it is not difficult to see that $\xi_{g(a)} = \xi_a \circ g^{-1}$.

Let $h \in C(X)$ and let $\{x \in X, h(x) \neq 0\}^-$ be the support of h . Since X is basically disconnected, the support of any continuous function is a clopen set. It is easily seen that the characteristic function of the support of h is equal to $1 - h^*$ for the Rickart mapping $h \mapsto h^*$ and for $b \in CC(a)$, $\Phi(b^*) = \Phi(b)^*$. Let now $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $f = \Phi(a)$, then

$$p_{a,\lambda} = (a - \lambda)_+^* = \Phi^{-1}((f - \lambda)_+^*) = \Phi^{-1}(1 - \chi_{f^{-1}((\lambda, \infty))^-}) = \Phi^{-1}(\chi_{f^{-1}((-\infty, \lambda])^i})$$

where for $Y \subseteq X$, Y^i denotes the interior of Y . Now note that the difference of the closed set $f^{-1}((-\infty, \lambda])$ and its interior is a meager set, hence we obtain

$$\xi_a((-\infty, \lambda]) = \tilde{\Phi}(\chi_{f^{-1}((-\infty, \lambda])}) = \Phi^{-1}(\chi_{f^{-1}((-\infty, \lambda])^i}) = p_{a,\lambda}.$$

Recall that a has an integral expression with respect to its spectral resolution:

$$a = \int \lambda dp_{a,\lambda},$$

in the sense that the integral sums $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(p_{a,\lambda_i} - p_{a,\lambda_{i-1}})$ for $\lambda_0 \leq L_a \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq U_a \leq \lambda_n$ converge to a in norm as $\max_i |\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1}| \rightarrow 0$. If $g \in C(sp(a))$, then we have

$$g(a) = \int g(\lambda) dp_{a,\lambda}. \quad (4)$$

Indeed, let u_1, \dots, u_n be mutually orthogonal projections in $P(a)$, (that is, the corresponding clopen subsets of X are mutually disjoint) and let $b = \sum_i \lambda_i u_i$, such elements are called simple. Then it is easy to see that $b^k = \sum_i \lambda_i^k u_i$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $p(b) = \sum_i p(\lambda_i) u_i$ for any polynomial p . By Stone-Weierstrass theorem and continuity of the functional calculus, we obtain that $g(b) = \sum_i g(\lambda_i) u_i$ for any $g \in C(sp(a))$. Since a is the norm limit of integral sums b_n that are simple, it follows that $g(a)$ is the norm limit of $g(b_n)$. Hence $g(a)$ is the norm limit of integral sums of the form $\sum_i g(\lambda_i)(p_{a,\lambda_i} - p_{a,\lambda_{i-1}})$ as $\max_i |\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1}| \rightarrow 0$, this implies the statement.

For a Borel function $g : sp(a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we do not have the above integral as a norm limit in general, but only in some weaker sense: For any σ -additive state ρ on $CC(a)$, $\rho \circ \xi_a$ defines a probability measure on Borel subsets of $sp(a)$ (and can be extended to \mathbb{R}), with distribution function $F_a(\lambda) = \rho(p_{a,\lambda})$. Using the integral representation of a we obtain

$$\rho(a) = \int \lambda dF_a(\lambda) = \int \lambda \rho \circ \xi_a(d\lambda).$$

For a Borel measurable function $g : sp(a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have $\rho \circ \xi_{g(a)} = (\rho \circ \xi_a) \circ g^{-1}$ and so

$$\rho(g(a)) = \int \lambda (\rho \circ \xi_a)(g^{-1}(d\lambda)) = \int g(\lambda) (\rho \circ \xi_a)(d\lambda)$$

by the integral transformation theorem. If there are enough σ -additive states on $CC(a)$ (or on A) to separate points, then we can define the integral (4) in a weak sense. In general, we only have the relation of the spectral resolutions as

$$\xi_{g(a)} = \xi_a \circ g^{-1} \implies p_{g(a), \lambda} = \xi_a(g^{-1}(-\infty, \lambda]).$$

4. Order unit spaces that are JB-algebras

We recall that a *Jordan algebra* over \mathbb{R} is a vector space A over \mathbb{R} equipped with a commutative bilinear product \circ that satisfies the identity

$$(a^2 \circ b) \circ a = a^2 \circ (b \circ a) \text{ for all } a, b \in A, \quad (5)$$

here $a^2 = a \circ a$. A *JB-algebra* is a Jordan algebra A over \mathbb{R} with identity element 1 equipped with a complete norm satisfying the following requirements for $a, b \in A$ ([1]):

- (JBi) $\|a \circ b\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$,
- (JBii) $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$,
- (JBiii) $\|a^2\| \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|$.

Notice that by (JBi) the Jordan product is norm-continuous. A JB-algebra that is the dual of a Banach space is called a JBW-algebra. For more information see e.g. [20]. We will use [1] as a general reference.

The following theorem shows relations between JB-algebras and order unit spaces.

4.1 Theorem. [1, Theorem 1.11] *If A is a JB-algebra, then A with its given norm is an order unit Banach space with $A^+ = \{a^2 : a \in A\}$ and the identity 1 as distinguished order unit. Furthermore, for each $a \in A$*

$$-1 \leq a \leq 1 \implies 0 \leq a^2 \leq 1. \quad (6)$$

Conversely, if A is an order unit Banach space equipped with a Jordan product for which the distinguished order unit is the identity and satisfies (6), then A is a JB-algebra with the order unit norm.

In any Jordan algebra, a *triple product* $\{abc\}$ is defined by

$$\{abc\} = (a \circ b) \circ c + (b \circ c) \circ a - (a \circ c) \circ b. \quad (7)$$

The special case of the triple product $\{abc\}$ with $a = c$ is denoted

$$U_a b = \{aba\} = 2a \circ (a \circ b) - a^2 \circ b. \quad (8)$$

We will also need the Jordan multiplication operator

$$T_a : A \rightarrow A, \quad T_a b = a \circ b, \quad b \in A.$$

It is clear that T_a defines a bounded linear operator on A for all $a \in A$.

An element p in a JB-algebra A is called a *projection* if $p^2 = p$. In this case, we have

$$U_p = 2T_p^2 - T_p, \quad T_p = \frac{1}{2}(I + U_p - U_{1-p}), \quad (9)$$

here I is the identity map $A \rightarrow A$.

Let P be the set of all projections in A . It was shown in [22] that every compression on a JB-algebra A is of the form

$$J_p(a) = U_p a = \{pap\} \quad (10)$$

for some $p \in P$ and the set $(U_p)_{p \in P}$ forms a compression base in A [22, Corollary 5.11]. Note that this is a unique compression base in A which is maximal, that is, is not contained in any other compression base. In what follows, we always assume this compression base in a JB-algebra A .

In this section, we will assume that A is an order unit Banach space with a compression base $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ satisfying the comparability property. We will show some additional conditions under which A becomes a JB-algebra. These conditions are the same as in [1,3] and the proofs follow the same steps, we only show that our (weaker) assumption of comparability is sufficient.

4.2 Theorem. *The space A is a JB-algebra if and only if for all $p, q \in P$, we have*

$$J_p(q) + J_{1-p}(1-q) = J_q(p) + J_{1-q}(1-p). \quad (11)$$

Proof. ([1, Theorem 9.43]) For $p \in P$, we define the operator $T_p : A \rightarrow A$ by $T_p = \frac{1}{2}(I + J_p - J_{1-p})$. The condition (11) is equivalent to

$$T_p(q) = T_q(p). \quad (12)$$

Note that in the case that A is a JB algebra, T_p is precisely the multiplication operator, so that the conditions (12) are necessary.

For the converse, let $A_0 = \text{span}(P)$, then A_0 is a subspace in A , which is dense in A by comparability (cf. Theorem 2.9). Let $a, b \in A_0$, $a = \sum_i \alpha_i p_i$ and $b = \sum_j \beta_j q_j$ and define

$$a \circ b = \sum_i \alpha_i T_{p_i}(b) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j T_{p_i}(q_j) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j T_{q_j}(p_i) = \sum_j \beta_j T_{q_j}(a) = b \circ a.$$

It is clear that this does not depend on the representation of a and b as linear combinations of projections. Moreover, $a \circ b$ is bilinear, commutative and separately norm continuous. Since A_0 is dense in A , $a \circ b$ uniquely extends to a bilinear, commutative and separately continuous product on A . To show that A is a JB-algebra, it is now enough to show that $a \circ b$ is a Jordan product satisfying the condition

$$-1 \leq a \leq 1 \implies 0 \leq a \circ a \leq 1, \quad a \in A, \quad (13)$$

cf. [1, Theorem 1.11].

By Theorem 3.3, we have $a \in CC(a) = \overline{\text{span}}(P(a)) \simeq C(X)$ for some totally disconnected compact Hausdorff space X . Let $\Phi : CC(a) \rightarrow C(X)$ be the isomorphism. Note that for $p, q \in P(a)$, we have $p \circ q = T_p(q) = J_p(q) = p \wedge q$, so that we have

$$\Phi(p \circ q) = \Phi(p \wedge q) = \Phi(p) \wedge \Phi(q) = \Phi(p)\Phi(q),$$

since $\Phi(p)$ and $\Phi(q)$ are characteristic functions. For a simple element $a_0 = \sum_i \alpha_i p_i$ where $p_i \in P(a)$, we obtain

$$a_0 \circ a_0 = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j J_{p_i}(p_j) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j (p_i \wedge p_j),$$

which implies $\Phi(a_0 \circ a_0) = \Phi(a_0)^2$. Since a is the norm limit of simple elements of this form, we obtain by continuity of \circ and the product of functions that $\Phi(a \circ a) = \Phi(a)^2$. Since $\Phi(a)$ is a function and the isomorphism preserves the order, this implies (13).

To finish the proof, we now have to prove the Jordan identity

$$a^2 \circ (b \circ a) = (a^2 \circ b) \circ a,$$

note that we may now safely write $a \circ a = a^2$ since by the previous paragraph it is in agreement with the continuous functional calculus obtained in the previous section. Note first that for commuting projections $p, q \in P$ we have (using Lemma 2.6 (iii))

$$(p \circ b) \circ q = T_q T_p(b) = T_p T_q(b) = p \circ (b \circ q).$$

Let now $a_0 = \sum_i \alpha_i p_i$, where p_1, \dots, p_n are mutually orthogonal projections (i.e. $p_i \wedge p_j = 0$). Then $a^2 = \sum_i \alpha_i^2 p_i$ and we have

$$a^2 \circ (b \circ a) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i^2 \alpha_j p_i \circ (b \circ p_j) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i^2 \alpha_j (p_i \circ b) \circ p_j = (a^2 \circ b) \circ a.$$

Now note that any simple element of the form $\sum_i \beta_i q_i$ with $q_i \in P(a)$ can be written as a finite linear combination of mutually orthogonal projections in $P(a)$. Since each $a \in A$ is a norm limit of such simple elements, the statement follows by continuity of the product. \square

There is another characterization of JB-algebras using the functional calculus on the space A . Define the product

$$a \circ b = \frac{1}{4}[(a+b)^2 + (a-b)^2], \quad a, b \in A.$$

4.3 Theorem. *The product $a \circ b$ is bilinear if and only if (11) holds. In this case, A is a JB-algebra and $a \circ b$ is the Jordan product in A .*

Proof. (cf. [1, Cor. 9.44]) Assume that (11) holds. By Theorem 4.2 and its proof, A is then a JB-algebra with Jordan product $*$ such that $a * a = a^2$ agrees with the continuous functional calculus on A . By bilinearity of $*$,

$$a \circ b = \frac{1}{4}[(a+b) * (a+b) + (a-b) * (a-b)] = a * b$$

is bilinear as well.

Conversely, assume that $a \circ b$ is bilinear. It is clear by the definition that $a \circ b$ is commutative and continuous in both variables. We will use the fact that a JB-algebra can be characterized as a complete order unit space with a commutative power associative product for which the order unit 1 is the identity and (13) holds, [1, Thm. 2.49 and A.51]. It is clear that $a \circ a = a^2$ coincides with the squares defined by the continuous functional calculus on A , so that (13) holds as before, since it holds in $C(X) \simeq CC(a)$. Similarly,

\circ is power associative since so is the usual product of functions in $C(X)$. It follows that A with respect to this product is a JB-algebra. Note that since A has comparability, P must contain all the sharp elements [22, Lemma 3.20]. By [22, Theorem 5.10], for each sharp element p there is a unique retraction with focus p , namely $U_p = \{p \cdot p\}$. It follows that for all $p \in P$, $J_p = U_p$ for which the condition (11) holds. \square

4.1. Generalized spin factors

We now consider the following example of an order unit space obtained from a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, cf. [22,6,7]. In this case, $A = \mathbb{R} \times X^*$, with the positive cone

$$A^+ = \{(\alpha, y), \|y\| \leq \alpha\}$$

here $(X^*, \|\cdot\|)$ is the dual space to $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, and the order unit is $(1, 0)$. By [22, Thm. 6.5], there exists a spectral compression base $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ in A if and only if X is a reflexive and smooth Banach space. This means that X is reflexive and every nonzero element $x \in X$ attains its norm at a unique element of the dual unit ball that is,

$$\partial_x := \{y \in X^* : \|y\| = 1, y(x) = \|x\|\}$$

is a singleton for all $0 \neq x \in X$. Equivalently, X is reflexive and the dual space X^* is strictly convex, which means that every boundary point of the unit ball is an extreme point. By [7], see also [22, Thm. 6.6], A is spectral in the Alfsen-Shultz sense if and only if X is reflexive, smooth, and strictly convex as well. In this case, the space A was called a generalized spin factor in [7]. In the present work, by a generalized spin factor we mean any space A obtained in the above way from a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

In the special case when X is a Hilbert space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) , A is a JBW-algebra called a spin factor, [1, Def. 3.33], with the Jordan product

$$(\alpha, y) \circ (\beta, z) = (\alpha\beta + (y, z), \alpha z + \beta y).$$

4.4 Theorem. *Let A be a generalized spin factor obtained from a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) A is spectral and satisfies the condition (11);
- (ii) A is a JB-algebra;
- (iii) A is a spin factor;
- (iv) A is a JBW-algebra.

Proof. By what was said above and Theorem 4.2, it is enough to show that if A is a JB-algebra, then A must be a spin factor. So assume that A is a JB-algebra. Then any sharp element $p \in A$ is a projection [22, Lemma 5.7], and hence the focus of the compression U_p , moreover, the compressions satisfy condition (11). Let $y \in X^*$, $\|y\| = 1$. By [22, Lemma 6.1], the element $p = 1/2(1, y) \in A$ is sharp. But then p must be the focus of a compression, hence by [22, Prop. 6.4], y must be an extremal point in the dual unit ball such that

$$\partial_y^* = \{x \in X, \|x\| = 1, \langle y, x \rangle = 1\} \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies that any nonzero element in X^* attains its norm on the unit ball in X , so that X is reflexive. Moreover, we also see that any boundary point of the dual unit ball is an extremal point, hence X^* is strictly convex. Note that by [22, Thm. 6.5], this shows that A is a spectral order unit space.

For a sharp element $p = 1/2(1, y)$ with $y \in X^*$, $\|y\| = 1$, we have by [22, Prop. 6.4] that the compression with focus p must be of the form

$$U_p((\alpha, w)) = (\alpha + \langle w, x_y \rangle)p,$$

for some (fixed) choice of $x_y \in \partial_y^*$. Note also that we have $1 - p = 1/2(1, -y)$. Let us check the condition (11) in this case. After some computations, we obtain

$$x_{-y} = -x_y \quad \text{and} \quad \langle z, x_y \rangle = \langle y, x_z \rangle, \quad \forall y, z \in X^*, \quad \|y\| = \|z\| = 1. \quad (14)$$

Let us define a mapping $\psi : X^* \rightarrow X$ as

$$\psi(y) = \|y\|x_{\|y\|^{-1}y}, \quad y \neq 0, \quad \psi(0) = 0.$$

Then $\psi(ty) = t\psi(y)$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Further, for any $z, y, w \in X^*$ with $\|w\| = 1$, we have by (14)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w, \psi(y+z) \rangle &= \|y+z\| \langle w, x_{\|y+z\|^{-1}(y+z)} \rangle = \langle y+z, x_w \rangle = \|y\| \left\langle \frac{y}{\|y\|}, x_w \right\rangle + \|z\| \left\langle \frac{z}{\|z\|}, x_w \right\rangle \\ &= \|y\| \langle w, x_{\|y\|^{-1}y} \rangle + \|z\| \langle w, x_{\|z\|^{-1}z} \rangle = \langle w, \psi(y) + \psi(z) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so that ψ is a linear map. Further, for $y, z \in X^*$,

$$\langle y, \psi(z) \rangle = \|z\| \langle y, x_{\|z\|^{-1}z} \rangle = \|y\| \langle z, x_{\|y\|^{-1}y} \rangle = \langle z, \psi(y) \rangle$$

and $\langle y, \psi(y) \rangle = \|y\|^2$. It follows that $(y, z) := \langle y, \psi(z) \rangle$ defines a scalar product in X^* such that $\|y\| = \sqrt{(y, y)}$. Hence X^* , and therefore also $X = X^{**}$, is a Hilbert space, so that A is a spin factor. \square

5. Rickart JB-algebras

Let A be a JB-algebra. In [4], the following symbols were defined for a subset S of A :

$$S^\perp = \{a \in A : U_a(x) = 0, \forall x \in S\}, \quad (15)$$

$${}^\perp S = \{x \in A : U_a(x) = 0, \forall a \in S\}, \quad (16)$$

$${}^\perp S^+ = {}^\perp S \cap A^+. \quad (17)$$

The following notion of a *Rickart JB-algebra* was introduced by Ayupov and Arzikulov [5].

5.1 Definition. A JB-algebra A is Rickart if one of the following equivalent statements is true

(A1) For every element $x \in A^+$ there is a projection $p \in A$ such that

$$\{x\}^\perp = U_p(A)$$

(A2) For every element $x \in A$ there is a projection $p \in A$ such that

$${}^\perp \{x\}^+ = U_p(A)^+.$$

It was proved in [5] that the self-adjoint part of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is a Rickart JB-algebra if and only if \mathcal{A} is a Rickart C^* -algebra, so that this notion generalizes Rickart C^* -algebras. The aim of this section is to prove the following generalization of a result proved for C^* -algebras in [25].

5.2 Theorem. *Let A be a JB-algebra. Then A is Rickart if and only if every maximal associative subalgebra in A is monotone σ -complete.*

The proof will be based on the following result.

5.3 Theorem. [22, Theorem 5.16] *Let A be a JB-algebra. Then A is Rickart if and only if A is spectral.*

We will need some further preparations. For elements $a, b \in A$ we say that a and b operator commute if $T_a T_b = T_b T_a$. If one of the elements is a projection, then we have the following characterizations of operator commutativity.

5.4 Proposition. [1, Prop. 1.47] *Let $a \in A$ and $p \in P$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) a and p operator commute;
- (ii) $T_p(a) = U_p(a)$;
- (iii) $a = U_p(a) + U_{1-p}(a)$;
- (iv) a and p are contained in an associative subalgebra.

The equivalence of (i) and (iv) was generalized to all pairs of elements in [26]. Notice that by Lemma 2.6 (iii), (iii) is equivalent to $a \leftrightarrow p$.

5.5 Lemma. *Let A be a JB-algebra and let $C \subseteq A$ be a subalgebra. Then C is associative if and only if the elements in C mutually operator commute.*

Proof. Assume that C is associative and let $a, b \in C$. Then a and b generate an associative JB-algebra, so that a and b operator commute, [26, Thm. 3.13]. Conversely, assume all elements in C mutually operator commute, then for $a, b, c \in C$, we have

$$a \circ (b \circ c) = a \circ (c \circ b) = T_a T_c(b) = T_c T_a(b) = c \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ b) \circ c. \quad \square$$

For each element a in a JB-algebra A , $A(a, 1)$ denotes the norm-closed subalgebra generated by a and 1. By [1, Corollary 1.4], and continuity of Jordan product, $A(a, 1)$ is associative.

5.6 Theorem. [1, Proposition 1.12] *If A is a JB-algebra and B is a norm-closed associative subalgebra containing 1, in particular if $B = A(a, 1)$ for $a \in A$, then B is isometrically (order- and algebra-) isomorphic to $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ for some compact Hausdorff space X .*

Assume that A has the comparability property. Recall that (cf. Definition 2.12)

$$aCb \iff P(a) \leftrightarrow P(b).$$

If A is spectral, this is also equivalent to $P_{sp}(a) \leftrightarrow P_{sp}(b)$, where $P_{sp}(a)$ denotes the Boolean subalgebra in P generated by spectral projections of a (Lemma 2.15). If $b \in P$, then aCb is equivalent to $a \in C(b)$ and Proposition 5.4 shows that for $b \in P$, $a \in C(b)$ is the same as operator commutativity. We next show how this can be extended to arbitrary $b \in A$.

5.7 Lemma. *Let A be a JB-algebra with the comparability property and let $a, b \in A$. Then aCb implies that a and b operator commute.*

Proof. Assume aCb , so that $a \leftrightarrow P(b)$, which means that a operator commutes with all elements of $P(b)$. By Proposition 3.2 (iii), b is a norm limit of a sequence of linear combinations of elements in $P(b)$. Since the map $b \mapsto T_b$ is linear and norm-continuous, we obtain that a operator commutes with b . \square

5.8 Corollary. *Assume that A is a JB-algebra with the comparability property. Then any C -block C of A is a maximal associative subalgebra.*

Proof. Let $B \subseteq P$ be a block of P . We show that $C = C(B)$ is a subalgebra, which means that it is closed under the Jordan product of its elements. Let $a \in C$, $p \in B$, then by Proposition 5.4, $p \circ a = T_p a = U_p a \in C$. This can be extended to all $a, b \in C$ using Theorem 2.9(iii), continuity of the map $b \mapsto T_b$ and the fact that C is a norm-closed linear subspace in A . Since we have aCb for all $a, b \in C$, we see from Lemmas 5.7 and 5.5 that C is associative, hence it is contained in some maximal associative subalgebra C_0 . It follows that all elements of C_0 mutually operator commute, which by Proposition 5.4 implies that all elements of C_0 commute with all projections in B , so that $C_0 \subseteq C(B) = C$ and $C_0 = C$. \square

Assume now that A is Rickart, then each $a \in A$ has a carrier $s(a) \in P$, which is the smallest projection such that $p \circ a = a$ [5, Prop. 1.8]. It was shown in [22, Lemma 5.14] that if $0 \leq a \leq 1$, then $s(a)$ is the projection cover of a . We also have the following relation to the Rickart mapping $a \mapsto a^*$ (see (3)).

5.9 Lemma. *For every $a \in A$, $a^* = 1 - s(a)$.*

Proof. Let $a \in A$, $p \in P$. By (9) we have

$$p \circ a = 0 \Leftrightarrow U_p(a) = 0, \quad p \circ a = a \Leftrightarrow U_p(a) = a.$$

As $p \circ a = a$ iff $(1 - p) \circ a = 0$ by distributivity of the Jordan product, in both the above cases we have $a \in C(p)$.

Put $p := s(a)$. Then $p \circ a = a$ implies $a \in C(p)$ and $U_{1-p}(a) = 0$. Let $q \in P$ be such that $a \in C(q)$ and $U_q(a) = 0$. Then $(1 - q) \circ a = a$, which entails $p \leq 1 - q$. Then $q \leq 1 - p$, and by definition of the Rickart mapping, $a^* = 1 - p$. \square

5.10 Lemma. *Let A be a Rickart JB-algebra and let $a \in A^+$. Then $s(a) \in A(a, 1)$.*

Proof. By replacing a with $\|a\|^{-1}a$, we may clearly assume that $0 \leq a \leq 1$. Let C be a C -block containing a . Since A is spectral, $C \cong C(X)$ for a basically disconnected compact Hausdorff space X . Let $f \in C(X)$ be a function corresponding to a and let $Y \subset X$ be the support of f , then $s(a)$ corresponds to the characteristic function $\chi_Y \in C(X)$. Then $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ and $f_n(x) := f(x)^{1/n}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of continuous functions pointwise converging to χ_Y . By Dini's theorem, f_n converges to χ_Y in norm, so that the corresponding sequence $a_n := a^{1/n}$ norm-converges to $s(a)$. Since all a_n are contained in $A(a, 1)$, so is $s(a)$. \square

5.11 Corollary. *Let A be a Rickart JB-algebra and let $a \in A$, then $A(a, 1)$ contains all the spectral projections of a .*

Proof. By Lemma 5.9, the spectral projections can be obtained as complements of supports of elements of the form $(a - \lambda 1)^+$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $(a - \lambda 1)^+$ belong to $A(a, 1)$ for all λ , all such supports belong to $A(a, 1)$ by Lemma 5.10. \square

5.12 Lemma. *Let A be a Rickart JB-algebra. Then aCb if and only if a and b operator commute.*

Proof. Assume that A is Rickart. Note that if b operator commutes with a then it operator commutes with a^2 [26, Thm. 3.13] and hence with all polynomials in a [26, Cor. 2.11]. Consequently, b operator commutes with all of $A(a, 1)$. By Corollary 5.11, $A(a, 1)$ includes all spectral projections of a , which implies that aCb . The converse follows from Lemma 5.7 and the fact that A is spectral. \square

5.13 Proposition. *Let A be a Rickart JB-algebra. Then the C-blocks of A are precisely the maximal associative subalgebras in A .*

Proof. We already know by Corollary 5.8 that any C-block is a maximal associative subalgebra. Let $C \subseteq A$ be a maximal associative subalgebra in A . Then by Lemmas 5.5 and 5.12, all elements of C are mutually commuting so that C is contained in some C-block C_0 . By Corollary 5.8, C_0 is a maximal associative subalgebra, hence $C = C_0$ is a C-block. \square

Finally, we will need the following characterization of a spectral order unit space, proved in [22].

5.14 Theorem. [22] *Let A be a complete order unit space with a compression base having the comparability property. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) A is spectral;
- (ii) Every C-block of A is spectral;
- (iii) Every C-block of A is monotone σ -complete.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Assume that A is Rickart, so the compression base $(U_p)_{p \in P}$ in A is spectral. Since A is complete, we have by Theorem 5.14 that every C-block in A is monotone σ -complete. The statement now follows by Proposition 5.13.

Conversely, assume that every maximal associative subalgebra in A is monotone σ -complete. We will first show that A with the compression base $(U_p)_{p \in P}$ has the comparability property. Any element $a \in A$ is contained in some maximal associative subalgebra A_0 , which is norm-closed by maximality. By Theorem 5.6, A_0 is isometrically isomorphic to $C(X)$ for some compact Hausdorff space X . By the assumption, A_0 is monotone σ -complete, so that X is basically disconnected. Let $f \in C(X)$ be the element corresponding to a and let f^+ be the positive part, then $f^+ = f \vee 0$ belongs to the subalgebra $A(f, 1)$ in $C(X)$ generated by f and 1. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 5.10, we obtain that the characteristic function of the support of f^+ is in $A(f, 1)$. Hence there is a corresponding projection $p \in A(a, 1)$. Observe that $A(a, 1) \subseteq C(PC(a))$ ([22, Eq. (10)]), so that $p \in P(a)$ and it is easily seen by definition of p that $p \in P^\pm(a)$. Hence A has comparability.

Using Theorem 5.14 once again, it is enough to show that any C-block C of A is a maximal associative subalgebra, which is precisely Corollary 5.8. \square

6. Concluding remarks

We studied spectral order unit spaces in the sense of Foulis [17]. We proved that for order unit spaces with comparability property, a continuous functional calculus can be introduced and there is a Borel functional calculus for spectral order unit spaces.

We then concentrated on order unit spaces which are JB-algebras. As it turned out, the condition of Alfsen and Shultz characterizing JB-algebras in the setting of spectral duality [1] can be applied to the much more general situation of order unit spaces having the comparability property. In particular, in the case of order unit spaces derived from Banach spaces (here we call them generalized spin factors), such an order unit space is a JB-algebra if and only if it is a spin factor.

Finally, we obtained a generalization of a characterization of Rickart C^* -algebras due to Saitô and Wright [25] to Rickart JB-algebras, using the connection to spectrality of order unit spaces and recent results in [26].

There is a number of problems related to spectrality of order unit spaces, which are left to future work. For example, if A is a JB-algebra, then $A \subseteq A^{**}$ and by [1, Cor. 2.50], the second dual is a JBW-algebra, hence it is spectral (in the sense of Alfsen and Shultz) [1, Thm. 2.20, Prop. 8.76], so that in particular, any element $a \in A$ has a spectral resolution in A^{**} . If A is Rickart, then it follows from Theorem 5.3 that a has a spectral resolution in A . It is a question what is the connection between these spectral resolutions. Another question is the relation of the spectral resolutions of an element a and $g(a)$ for a Borel function g , here we may ask for conditions when the integrals of the form (4) are defined, see the end of Section 3.

Another possible research direction is the study of convex effect algebras. The interval $[0, 1]$ in an order unit space is an archimedean convex effect algebra [19] and, conversely, any such effect algebra can be represented as a unit interval in an order unit space. Spectrality in effect algebras was studied in [23] and it was proved that an archimedean convex effect algebra is spectral (has the comparability property) if and only if the representing order unit space is spectral (has the comparability property). We may apply our results to some questions for convex effect algebras, for example, for the study of convex sequential effect algebras, their spectrality and their relation to JB-algebras.

Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the grants VEGA 1/0142/20 and the Slovak Research and Development Agency grant APVV-20-0069.

References

- [1] E.M. Alfsen, F.W. Shultz, *Geometry of State Spaces of Operator Algebras*, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 2003.
- [2] E.M. Alfsen, F.W. Shultz, Non-commutative spectral theory for affine function spaces on convex sets, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* 6 (172) (1976).
- [3] E.M. Alfsen, F.W. Shultz, On non-commutative spectral theory and Jordan algebras, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) 38 (1972) 497–516.
- [4] F.N. Arzikulov, On abstract JW-algebras, *Sib. Mat. Zh.* 30 (1) (1998) 20–27 (in Russian).
- [5] Sh.A. Ayupov, F.N. Arzikulov, Jordan counterparts of Rickart and Baer*-algebras, *Uzbek. Mat. Zh.* 4 (2016) 13–33.
- [6] M.A. Berdikulov, Homogeneous order unit space of type I_2 , *Acad. Nauk. UzSSR. Ser. Phys.-Math. Nauk.* 4 (1990) 8–14 (in Russian).
- [7] M.A. Berdikulov, S.T. Odilov, Generalized spin factor, *Uzbek. Mat. Zh.* 2 (1994) 15–20 (Russian).
- [8] A. Dvurečenskij, Loomis-Sikorski theorem for σ -complete MV-algebras and ℓ -groups, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. A* 68 (2000) 261–277.
- [9] A. Dvurečenskij, S. Pulmannová, *New Trends in Quantum Structures*, Kluwer, Academic, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [10] D.J. Foulis, M.K. Bennett, Effect algebras and unsharp quantum logics, *Found. Phys.* 24 (1994) 1331–1352.
- [11] D.J. Foulis, Compressible groups, *Math. Slovaca* 53 (5) (2003) 433–455.
- [12] D.J. Foulis, Compressions on partially ordered abelian groups, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004) 3581–3587.
- [13] D.J. Foulis, Spectral resolution in a Rickart comgroup, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 54 (2) (2004) 229–250.
- [14] D.J. Foulis, Compressible groups with general comparability, *Math. Slovaca* 55 (4) (2005) 409–429.
- [15] D.J. Foulis, Compression bases in unital groups, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 44 (12) (2005) 2153–2160.
- [16] D.J. Foulis, S. Pulmannová, Monotone σ -complete RC-groups, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 73 (2) (2006) 1325–1346.
- [17] D. Foulis, S. Pulmannová, Spectral resolutions in an order unit space, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 62 (2008) 323–344.
- [18] K.R. Goodearl, *Partially Ordered Abelian Groups with Interpolation*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 20, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1986.
- [19] S. Gudder, S. Pulmannová, E. Beltrametti, S. Bugajski, Convex and linear effect algebras, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 44 (1999) 359–379.
- [20] H. Hanche-Olsen, E. Størmer, *Jordan Operator Algebras*, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, Pitman, London, 1984.
- [21] J. Harding, Regularity in quantum logic, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 37 (1998) 1173–1212.
- [22] A. Jenčová, S. Pulmannová, Geometric and algebraic aspects of spectrality in order unit spaces: a comparison, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 504 (1) (2021) 125360, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2021.125360>.
- [23] A. Jenčová, S. Pulmannová, Spectral resolutions in effect algebras, *Quantum* 6 (2022) 849, <https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2022-11-03-849>.

- [24] P. Pták, S. Pulmannová, *Orthomodular Structures as Quantum Logics*, Kluwer/VEDA, Dordrecht/Bratislava, 1991.
- [25] K. Saitô, J.D.M. Wright, On defining AW*-algebras and Rickart C*-algebras, *Q. J. Math.* 66 (2015) 979–989.
- [26] J. van de Wetering, Commutativity in Jordan operator algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 224 (11) (2020) 106407, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2020.106407>.