Optimal covariant quantum measurements

Referee Affiliation
Slovak Academy of Sciences, Mathematical institute
Manuscript ID:
JPhysA-114857.R1
Manuscript Type
Paper
Article Keywords
quantum measurements, positive-operator-valued measures, quantum instruments, covariance, optimal measurements, extremality
Date Submitted:
17-Dec-2020
Date Assigned:
17-Dec-2020
Date Review Returned:
14-Jan-2021
M-Score for this manuscript:
22.00
Author(s)
Pellonpaa, Juha-Pekka
Haapasalo, Erkka

GUIDE TO REVIEWER

Papers published in Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical should contain novel results that substantially advance their relevant field. Articles that repeat or add only incrementally to already published work will not be acceptable.

QUALITY RATING

Please rate the manuscript for the originality, significance and clarity of the content. Guidance is available
here

	Very Low Not publishable	**Low** Publishable only in an archival journal	**Medium** Would require major improvement to be publishable in this journal	**High** Likely to be publishable in this journal	**Very High** (Top 10%) Definitely publishable in this journal
req Originality				✔	

req
Significance ✓

req Clarity ✓

QUESTIONS

Please answer these questions, which are for the benefit of the Editors (the answers will not normally be transmitted to the authors). If you have any explanatory comments, please add them to the "Comments to the Editor(s)" box below.

req **Please rate the scientific rigour**

High

Has the author adequately taken into account all the points made in the previous report(s)?

No

Thank you for reviewing this article for Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical.

This article should be treated as CONFIDENTIAL and the information contained therein should not be cited until the article is published. The report should not be communicated directly to the author.

Recommendation

Amendments required before acceptance

COMMENTS TO THE EDITOR(S)

COMMENTS TO THE AUTHOR(S)

The authors did implement all my comments in the revision, but not always in an optimal way. While the Introduction and the first part of the paper are now much clearer and more readable, there remained some parts that should be improved. Especially, the conditions (a) - (c) on p. 32 are very technical and my recommendation was to add some comprehensible description of the cases where the conditions apply. The intention was to give the reader some understanding or intuition on these conditions, I apologize if I did not make this clear. Instead, the authors added lots of technical details, all packed into long sentences containing

one technical definition after another.

The paper was already too technical as it was and especially the second part (on the case of compact stability group) is not accessible to readers not closely familiar to the details of some previous works of the authors. The authors should find some way to present their results in a more accessible way, reduce unnecessary technicalities and provide some intuition to readers outside a small circle of experts.

There are other places with dense paragraphs containing long sentences of technical definitions connected by "such that" or "this means that" (e.g. the first paragraph on p. 24 or the last one on p. 31, but this appears throughout the paper, especially from Sec. 5 onward).
Some more comments:
- sometimes some words are missing, e.g. on p. 33,..."linear hull product form fields..." missing "of"
- some formulations are confusing , e.g. p. 33, the sentence beginning by "Thirdly..."

Files attached

Do you want to get recognition for this review on Publons?

No

Author's Response
Files attached