

DPI and sufficiency, $\alpha > 1$

Anna Jenčová

December 30, 2023

Throughout these notes, we will assume that $\alpha > 1$ and $\max\{\alpha/2, \alpha - 1\} \leq z \leq \alpha$. Let $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_*^+$ be such that $D_{\alpha,z}(\psi\|\varphi) < \infty$, we will also assume that φ is faithful.

We put $p := \frac{z}{\alpha}$ and $q := \frac{z}{\alpha-1}$, so that $1/2 \leq p \leq 1 \leq q$. By the assumptions, there is some unique $y \in L_{2z}(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$h_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2p}} = y h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}}. \quad (1)$$

By [5, 6], we have the variational formula

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha,z}(\psi\|\varphi) &= \sup_{a \in \mathcal{M}_+} \alpha \operatorname{Tr} (h_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2p}} a h_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2p}})^p - (\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} (h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}} a h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}})^q \\ &= \sup_{a \in \mathcal{M}_+} \alpha \operatorname{Tr} (y h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}} a h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}} y^*)^p - (\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} (h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}} a h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}})^q \\ &= \sup_{w \in L_q(\mathcal{M})^+} \alpha \operatorname{Tr} (y w y^*)^p - (\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} w^q, \end{aligned}$$

this follows from the fact that $h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}} \mathcal{M}_+ h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}}$ is dense in $L_q(\mathcal{M})^+$. The supremum is attained at a unique point $\bar{w} = (y^* y)^{\alpha-1} \in L_1(\mathcal{M})^+$, uniqueness follows from strict concavity of the function $w \mapsto \alpha \operatorname{Tr} (y w y^*)^p - (\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} w^q$.

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_*$ be a 2-positive trace preserving map and let $\varphi_0 := \Phi(\varphi)$, $\psi_0 := \Phi(\psi)$. Assume that also φ_0 is faithful. Let $\Phi_{\varphi} : \mathcal{N}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$ be the Petz dual of Φ with respect to φ , then we have

$$\Phi(h_{\varphi}^{1/2} a h_{\varphi}^{1/2}) = h_{\varphi_0}^{1/2} \Phi_{\varphi}^*(a) h_{\varphi_0}^{1/2}, \quad \Phi_{\varphi}(h_{\varphi_0}^{1/2} b h_{\varphi_0}^{1/2}) = h_{\varphi}^{1/2} \Phi^*(b) h_{\varphi}^{1/2}, \quad a \in \mathcal{M}, \quad b \in \mathcal{N},$$

here $\Phi^* : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and $\Phi_{\varphi}^* : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ are the 2-positive unital normal maps that are adjoints of Φ resp. Φ_{φ} . More generally, since for any $r \geq 1$, Φ is a contraction $L_r(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ to $L_r(\mathcal{N}, \varphi_0)$, and similarly for Φ_{φ} , there are positive contractions $\Phi_{r,\varphi} : L_r(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L_r(\mathcal{N})$ and $\Phi_{r,\varphi_0} : L_r(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow L_r(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$\Phi(h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2r'}} a h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2r'}}) = h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2r'}} \Phi_{r,\varphi}(a) h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2r'}}, \quad \Phi_{\varphi}(h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2r'}} b h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2r'}}) = h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2r'}} \Phi_{r,\varphi_0}(b) h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2r'}}, \quad a \in L_r(\mathcal{M}), \quad b \in L_r(\mathcal{N})$$

here r' is such that $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$.

By DPI, we have $D_{\alpha,z}(\psi_0\|\varphi_0) \leq D_{\alpha,z}(\psi\|\varphi) < \infty$, so that there is some unique $y_0 \in L_{2z}(\mathcal{N})$ such that

$$h_{\psi_0}^{\frac{1}{2p}} = y_0 h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2q}}.$$

Lemma 1. *Keeping the above assumptions and notations, we have for any $w_0 \in L_q(\mathcal{N})^+$*

$$\mathrm{Tr} \Phi_{q,\varphi_0}(w_0)^q \leq \mathrm{Tr} w_0^q, \quad \mathrm{Tr} (y \Phi_{q,\varphi_0}(w_0) y^*)^p \geq \mathrm{Tr} (y_0 w_0 y_0^*)^p.$$

Proof. The first inequality is immediate from the fact that Φ_{q,φ_0} is a contraction. For the second inequality, let us first assume that $w_0 = h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2q}} b h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2q}}$ for some $b \in \mathcal{N}_+$. Then

$$h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q'}} \Phi_{q,\varphi_0}(w_0) h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q'}} = \Phi_{\varphi}(h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2q'}} w_0 h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2q'}}) = \Phi_{\varphi}(h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2}} b h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}) = h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q'}} h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}} \Phi^*(b) h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}} h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q'}},$$

so that $\Phi_{q,\varphi_0}(w_0) = h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}} \Phi^*(b) h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} (y \Phi_{q,\varphi_0}(w_0) y^*)^p &= \mathrm{Tr} (y h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}} \Phi^*(b) h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}} y^*)^p = \mathrm{Tr} (h_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \Phi^*(b) h_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2p}})^p \geq \mathrm{Tr} (h_{\psi_0}^{\frac{1}{2p}} b h_{\psi_0}^{\frac{1}{2p}})^p \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} (y_0 h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2q}} b h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2q}} y_0^*)^p = \mathrm{Tr} (y_0 w_0 y_0^*)^p, \end{aligned}$$

here the inequality was proved in [2]. Since $h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2q}} \mathcal{N}_+ h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2q}}$ is dense in $L_q(\mathcal{N})^+$, the statement follows. \square

Theorem 1. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_*$ be a 2-positive trace preserving map and let $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_*^+$ be such that $D_{\alpha,z}(\psi||\varphi) < \infty$. Then $D_{\alpha,z}(\Phi(\psi)||\Phi(\varphi)) = D_{\alpha,z}(\psi||\varphi)$ if and only if $\Phi \circ \Phi(\psi) = \psi$.*

Proof. By usual arguments, we may assume that both φ and φ_0 are faithful. Then there is a conditional expectation \mathcal{E} onto the set of fixed points of $\Phi^* \circ \Phi_{\varphi}$ such that $\varphi \circ \mathcal{E} = \varphi$ and $\Phi_{\varphi} \circ \Phi(\psi) = \psi$ if and only if also $\psi \circ \mathcal{E}$. This is what we are going to prove, using the extensions of conditional expectations to the Haagerup L_p -spaces in [4], see also [3, Sec. 1].

So assume that $D_{\alpha,z}(\psi_0||\varphi_0) = D_{\alpha,z}(\psi||\varphi)$. Let $\bar{w} \in L_q(\mathcal{M})^+$ and $\bar{w}_0 \in L_q(\mathcal{N})^+$ be the unique elements such that the suprema in the variational formulas for $D_{\alpha,z}(\psi||\varphi)$ resp. $D_{\alpha,z}(\psi_0||\varphi_0)$ are attained. We have by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\alpha,z}(\psi||\varphi) &\geq \alpha \mathrm{Tr} (y \Phi_{q,\varphi_0}(\bar{w}_0) y^*)^p - (\alpha - 1) \mathrm{Tr} \Phi_{q,\varphi_0}(\bar{w}_0)^q \\ &\geq \alpha \mathrm{Tr} (y_0 \bar{w}_0 y_0^*)^p - (\alpha - 1) \mathrm{Tr} \bar{w}_0^q = D_{\alpha,z}(\psi_0||\varphi_0) = D_{\alpha,z}(\psi||\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

so that both inequalities must be equalities. This implies that in particular

$$\mathrm{Tr} \bar{w}_0^q = \mathrm{Tr} \Phi_{q,\varphi_0}(\bar{w}_0)^q.$$

By uniqueness, we must also have $\bar{w} = \Phi_{q,\varphi_0}(\bar{w}_0)$. Let now $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_*^+$ be given by $h_{\omega} = h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q'}} \bar{w} h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q'}}$ and similarly $h_{\omega_0} = h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2q'}} \bar{w}_0 h_{\varphi_0}^{\frac{1}{2q'}}$, then we get $\Phi_{\varphi}(\omega_0) = \omega$ and also by definition of the sandwiched Rényi divergence,

$$\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\omega_0||\varphi_0) = \mathrm{Tr} \bar{w}_0^q = \mathrm{Tr} \Phi_{q,\varphi_0}(\bar{w}_0)^q = \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\Phi_{\varphi}(\omega_0)||\Phi_{\varphi}(\varphi_0)).$$

By [1], this implies that Φ_{φ} is sufficient with respect to $\{\omega_0, \varphi_0\}$ and hence $\Phi \circ \Phi_{\varphi}(\omega_0) = \omega_0$. It follows that

$$\Phi_{\varphi} \circ \Phi(\omega) = \Phi_{\varphi} \circ \Phi \circ \Phi_{\varphi}(\omega_0) = \Phi_{\varphi}(\omega_0) = \omega,$$

which implies that $\omega \circ \mathcal{E} = \omega$. Using the extensions of \mathcal{E} and their properties, we get

$$h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q'}} \bar{w} h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q'}} = h_{\omega} = \mathcal{E}(h_{\omega}) = h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q'}} \mathcal{E}(\bar{w}) h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q'}},$$

which implies that $\bar{w} = \mathcal{E}(\bar{w}) \in L_q(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}))^+$. But then also

$$|y| = \bar{w}^{\frac{1}{2(\alpha-1)}} = \bar{w}^{\frac{q}{2z}} \in L_{2z}(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}))^+$$

Let $y = u|y|$ be the polar decomposition of y , then we obtain from (1) that $uu^* = s(\psi)$. Further,

$$u^* h_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2p}} = |y| h_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2q}} \in L_{2p}(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}))$$

and by uniqueness of the polar decomposition in $L_{2p}(\mathcal{M})$ and $L_{2p}(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}))$, we obtain that $h_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \in L_{2p}(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}))^+$, $u \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})$. Hence we must have $h_{\psi} \in L_1(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}))$ so that $\psi \circ \mathcal{E} = \psi$. □

References

- [1] A. Jenčová, Rényi relative entropies and noncommutative L_p -spaces, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 19, 2513-2542, (2018)
- [2] A. Jenčová, DPI for $\alpha - z$ - Rényi divergence, Nov. 23, notes.
- [3] A. Jenčová, Note on the limit $\alpha \searrow 1$, December 19, 2023, notes.
- [4] M. Junge and Q. Xu. Noncommutative Burkholder/Rosenthal inequalities. *The Annals of Probability*, 31(2):948–995, 2003.
- [5] S. Kato. On α - z -Rényi divergence in the von Neumann algebra setting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.01748*, 2023.
- [6] S. Kato, Variational expression for $\alpha > 1$, note.