A note on equality in DPI for the BS relative entropy

Anna Jenčová

May 14, 2024

Let $\rho, \sigma \in B(\mathcal{H})^+$. The Belavkin-Staszewski relative entropy is defined as

$$\hat{D}(\rho \| \sigma) := \text{Tr } \rho \log(\rho^{1/2} \sigma^{-1} \rho^{1/2}) = \text{Tr } \sigma f(\sigma^{-1/2} \rho \sigma^{-1/2}),$$

with $f(t) = t \log t$. By [?, Cor. 3.31], \hat{D} is nonincreasing under positive trace preserving maps $\Phi: B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{K})$, and by [?, Thm. 3.34 (h)], the equality

$$\hat{D}(\Phi(\rho)||\Phi(\sigma)) = \hat{D}(\rho||\sigma) \tag{1}$$

holds if and only if $d := \sigma^{-1/2} \rho \sigma^{-1/2}$ satisfies $\Phi_{\sigma}(d^2) = \Phi_{\sigma}(d)^2$, where

$$\Phi_{\sigma}(X) = \Phi(\sigma)^{-1/2} \Phi(\sigma^{1/2} X \sigma^{1/2}) \Phi(\sigma)^{-1/2}, \qquad X \in B(\mathcal{H})$$

is the Petz dual of Φ with respect to σ . Note that Φ_{σ} is positive and unital. If Φ is completely positive, we may use the following fact.

Lemma 1. Let $\Psi: B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ be a completely positive unital map with Kraus representation $\Psi(\cdot) = \sum_i K_i^*(\cdot) K_i$. Then the multiplicative domain of Ψ has the form

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Psi} = \{K_i K_i^*, \ i, j\}',$$

(here C' denotes the commutant of a subset $C \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$).

This implies the following result. Assume that $\Phi: B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ has the form $\Phi(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i^*(\cdot)L_i$, for some $L_i: \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $\sum_i L_iL_i^* = I_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then the equality (1) holds if and only if d commutes with all elements of the form

$$\sigma^{1/2} L_i \Phi(\sigma)^{-1} L_i^* \sigma^{1/2}, \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let us apply this in the special case when $\rho = \rho_{ABC} \in B(\mathcal{H}_{ABC})^+$, $\sigma = \rho_{AB} \otimes \tau_C$ and $\Phi = \text{Tr }_A$, here $\tau_C = \dim(\mathcal{H}_C)^{-1}I_C$ is the maximally mixed state. The condition then becomes that d must commute with all elements of the form

$$\rho_{AB}^{1/2}(|i\rangle\langle j|_A\otimes\rho_B^{-1})\rho_{AB}^{1/2}\otimes I_C, \qquad i,j=1,\ldots\dim(\mathcal{H}_A).$$

We may clearly replace d by $\tilde{d} = (\rho_{AB}^{-1/2} \otimes I_C)\rho_{ABC}(\rho_{AB}^{-1/2} \otimes I_C)$. The condition is then

$$\tilde{d} \in \mathcal{R} \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_C),$$

where $\mathcal{R} = \Gamma(B(\mathcal{H}_A))'$, with $\Gamma: B(\mathcal{H}_A) \to B(\mathcal{H}_{AB})$ is a completely positive map given as

$$\Gamma(X_A) = V^*(X_A \otimes I_B)V, \qquad X_A \in B(\mathcal{H}_A),$$

here $V=(I_A\otimes \rho_B^{-1/2})\rho_{AB}^{1/2}$. Assume that ρ_{AB} is invertible. By Arveson's commutant lifting theorem [?, Thm. 1.3.1], for every $T\in\mathcal{R}$ there is a unique $T_1\in B(\mathcal{H}_B)$ such that $(I_A\otimes T_1)V=VT$ and the map $T\mapsto T_1$ is a *-isomorphism of \mathcal{R} onto $(I_A\otimes B(\mathcal{H}_B))\cap \{VV^*\}'$.

Note that $VV^* = (I_A \otimes \rho_B^{-1/2}) \rho_{AB} (I_A \otimes \rho_B^{-1/2})$, so that the map $T \mapsto T_1$ is given as

$$\operatorname{Tr}_A[VTV^*] = \operatorname{Tr}_A[(I_A \otimes T_1)VV^*] = T_1\operatorname{Tr}_A[VV^*] = T_1.$$

The inverse map $T_1 \mapsto T$ is obtained from the polar decomposition of V: V = HW, where $H = (VV^*)^{1/2}$ and W is a unitary. Then T_1 commutes with H and we have

$$VW^*(I_A \otimes T_1)W = H(I_A \otimes T_1)W = (I_A \otimes T_1)HW = (I_A \otimes T_1)V,$$

so that $T = W^*(I_A \otimes T_1)W$.

This leads to the following construction of states ρ_{ABC} such that

$$\hat{D}(\rho_{ABC} \| \rho_{AB} \otimes \tau_C) = \hat{D}(\rho_{BC} \| \rho_B \otimes \tau_C).$$

Let $M \in B(\mathcal{H}_{AB})^{++}$ be any element such that $\operatorname{Tr}_A[M] = I_B$. Let $\mathcal{T} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H}_B)$ be such that $I_A \otimes \mathcal{T} = \{M\}' \cap I_A \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_B)$. Take any state $\rho_B \in B(\mathcal{H}_B)^{++}$ and put

$$\rho_{AB} = (I_A \otimes \rho_B^{1/2}) M(I_A \otimes \rho_B^{1/2}),$$

then clearly ρ_{AB} is a state and $\operatorname{Tr}_A \rho_{AB} = \rho_B$. Let W be the unitary such that

$$(I_A \otimes \rho_B)^{1/2} M^{1/2} = \rho_{AB}^{1/2} W^*.$$

Now choose any positive element $\tilde{d} \in W^*(I_A \otimes \mathcal{T})W \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_C)$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}_C \tilde{d} = I_{AB}$ and put

$$\rho_{ABC} = (\rho_{AB}^{1/2} \otimes I_C) \tilde{d}(\rho_{AB}^{1/2} \otimes I_C).$$

Then we have $\operatorname{Tr}_{C}\rho_{ABC} = \rho_{AB}$, $\operatorname{Tr}_{AC}\rho_{ABC} = \rho_{AB}$.

Since \mathcal{T} is a subalgebra in $B(\mathcal{H}_B)$, there is a unitary U_B and a decomposition

$$\mathcal{T} = U_B \left(\bigoplus_n I_{B_n^L} \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_{B_n^R}) \right) U_B^*,$$

so that

$$(W \otimes I_C)\tilde{d}(W^* \otimes I_C) = (I_A \otimes U_B \otimes I_C) \left(\bigoplus_n I_{AB_n^L} \otimes d_{B_n^RC} \right) \left(I_A \otimes U_B^* \otimes I_C \right)$$

for some positive elements $d_{B_n^RC} \in B(\mathcal{H}_{B_n^R} \otimes \mathcal{H}_C)^+$. Moreover, from $M \subseteq (I \otimes \mathcal{T})'$, we get

$$M = (I_A \otimes U_B) \left(\bigoplus_n M_{AB_n^L} \otimes I_{B_n^R} \right) \left(I_A \otimes U_B^* \right)$$

for $M_{AB_n^L} \in B(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_n^L})^+$. Putting all together, we get

$$\rho_{ABC} = (\rho_{AB}^{1/2} W^* W \otimes I_C) \tilde{d}(W^* W \rho_{AB}^{1/2} \otimes I_C)
= ((I_A \otimes \rho_B^{1/2}) M^{1/2} \otimes I_C) (I_A \otimes U_B \otimes I_C) \left(\bigoplus_n I_{AB_n^L} \otimes d_{B_n^R C} \right) (I_A \otimes U_B^* \otimes I_C) (M^{1/2} (I_A \otimes \rho_B^{1/2}) \otimes I_C)
= (I_A \otimes \rho_B^{1/2} U_B \otimes I_C) \left(\bigoplus_n M_{AB_n^L} \otimes d_{B_n^R C} \right) (I_A \otimes U_B^* \rho_B^{1/2} \otimes I_C).$$

1 The structure of ρ_{ABC}

Proposition 1. Let ρ_{ABC} be a state (such that ρ_{AB} is invertible). The equality

$$\hat{D}(\rho_{ABC} \| \rho_{AB} \otimes \tau_C) = \hat{D}(\rho_{BC} \| \rho_B \otimes \tau_C) \tag{2}$$

holds if and only if there are:

- (i) Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_{B_n^L}$, $\mathcal{H}_{B_n^R}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_B \simeq \bigoplus_n (\mathcal{H}_{B_n^L} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_n^R})$,
- (ii) positive (invertible) elements $M_n \in B(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_n^L})$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}_A M_n = I_{B_n^L}$,
- (iii) positive elements $d_n \in B(\mathcal{H}_{B_n^R} \otimes \mathcal{H}_C)$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}_C d_n = I_{B_n^R}$,
- (iv) an (invertible) operator $S_B : \bigoplus_n (\mathcal{H}_{B_n^L} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_n^R}) \to \mathcal{H}_B$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}[S_B S_B^*] = 1$ such that

$$\rho_{ABC} = (I_A \otimes S_B \otimes I_C) \left(\bigoplus_n M_n \otimes d_n \right) \left(I_A \otimes S_B^* \otimes I_C \right)$$

Proof. Assume that ρ_{ABC} has this form. Then

$$\rho_{AB} = \operatorname{Tr}_{C} \rho_{ABC} = (I_A \otimes S_B) \left(\bigoplus_n M_n \otimes I_{B_n^R} \right) (I_A \otimes S_B^*), \qquad \rho_B = S_B S_B^*.$$

Let us denote $M := \bigoplus_n M_n \otimes I_{B_n^R}$, $d := \bigoplus_n I_{B_n^L} \otimes d_n$. Using polar decompositions, there is some unitary $W \in B(\mathcal{H}_{AB})$ such that

$$(I_A \otimes S_B)M^{1/2}W^* = \rho_{AB}^{1/2} = WM^{1/2}(I_A \otimes S_B^*).$$

It follows that

$$(\rho_{AB}^{-1/2} \otimes I_C)\rho_{ABC}(\rho_{AB}^{-1/2} \otimes I_C) = (W \otimes I_C)(I_A \otimes d)(W^* \otimes I_C)$$

and

$$\rho_{AB} = W M^{1/2} (I_A \otimes S_B^* S_B) M^{1/2} W^*.$$

We may clearly replace τ_C by I_C in the equality (2), since this only adds a constant to both sides. We get

$$\hat{D}(\rho_{ABC} \| \rho_{AB} \otimes I_C) = \operatorname{Tr} (\rho_{AB} \otimes I_C) f((W \otimes I_C) (I_A \otimes d) (W^* \otimes I_C))
= \operatorname{Tr} [(M^{1/2} (I_A \otimes S_B^* S_B) M^{1/2} \otimes I_C) f(I_A \otimes d)]
= \operatorname{Tr} [(M (I_A \otimes S_B^* S_B) \otimes I_C) f(I_A \otimes d)] = \operatorname{Tr} [(S_B^* S_B \otimes I_C) f(d)],$$

here $f(t) = t \log t$ and we have used the fact that $M \otimes I_C$ commutes with $I_A \otimes d$.

We also have

$$\rho_{BC} = (S_B \otimes I_C)d(S_B^* \otimes I_C)$$

and with the polar decomposition $S_B = \rho_B^{1/2} U_B$, we get

$$(\rho_B^{-1/2} \otimes I_C)\rho_{BC}(\rho_B^{-1/2} \otimes I_C) = (U_B \otimes I_C)d(U_B^* \otimes I_C).$$

It follows that

$$\hat{D}(\rho_{BC} \| \rho_B \otimes I_C) = \operatorname{Tr}\left[(\rho_B \otimes I_C) f((U_B \otimes I_C) d(U_B^* \otimes I_C)) \right] = \operatorname{Tr}\left[(S_B^* S_B \otimes I_C) f(d) \right] = \hat{D}(\rho_{ABC} \| \rho_{AB} \otimes I_C).$$