

Physicality of evolution and statistical contractivity are equivalent notions of maps



***Do you want to get recognition for this review on [Web of Science](#)?**

Don't let your reviewing work go unnoticed! Researchers the world over use Web of Science to effortlessly track their valuable peer review contributions for any journal. If you opt in, your Web of Science profile will automatically be updated to show a verified record of this review in full compliance with the journal's review policy. If you don't have a Web of Science profile, you will be prompted to create a free account.[Learn more about Web of Science](#)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Regular Report

GUIDANCE FOR REVIEWERS:

Please read the journal scope and the requirements for specific article types before writing your review. You can find the scope, article types, and other information about New Journal of Physics [here](#).

For instructions on how to write a review, please [click here](#).

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Please score on a 1-10 basis (1 = low, 10 = high) how you rate this article on the following aspects:

* Originality

* Scientific Rigour

* Significance

QUESTIONS

What impact will this article have in the field?

☐ High

☒ Medium

☐ Low

☐ Don't know

Has the author made reference to the most recent and most appropriate work?
(If no, please provide references in the Comments to the author(s) section.)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Is the article well written and the work clearly communicated?
(Please note we will edit upon acceptance and correct any grammatical or typographical errors.)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Is this article better suited to another IOP journal? (If yes, please indicate in the confidential comments to the editor(s) section which journal it would be suitable for. [List of all IOP journals.](#))

☐ Yes

☒ No

Do you think your quality assessment scores will change if the author satisfactorily implements your recommendations?

(If no, please explain why in the Confidential comments to the editor(s) section.)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Do you think this article is interesting enough to merit further coverage/commentary upon publication?

☐ Yes

☒ No

*** Web of Science Peer Review Transparency**

This journal now offers the option of [Transparent Peer Review](#). If you (and the other reviewer(s) and authors) agree then the reviewer reports will be linked from the published article, should the article be accepted. If you choose to decline, the reviewer reports will not be published.

☐ Agree

☒ Decline

*** Reviewer Anonymity**

If you have agreed above for your review reports to be published, please indicate whether you would like your name to publicly appear with your report on Web of Science by indicating Yes or No. If you have declined to participate, please also select No to this question.

☐ Yes

☒ No, I would not like my name to appear

Thank you for reviewing this article for New Journal of Physics.

This article should be treated as CONFIDENTIAL and the information contained therein should not be cited until the article is published. The report should not be communicated directly to the author.

*** Recommendation**

☐ Accept

☐ Amendments required before acceptance

☐ Major revision and re-submission recommended (with these substantial revisions I expect that the article would make a significant contribution to the literature)

☒ Reject (average work that adds little new knowledge to the field)

☐ Reject (contains basic errors and faulty judgements)

CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS TO THE EDITOR(S)

ΩSpecial Characters

Please enter any comments you wish to make to the Editor(s) that are not part of your report for the authors. Please bear in mind that authors can request to see these comments under the Data Protection Act 1998 if they so wish.

***COMMENTS TO THE AUTHOR(S)**

ΩSpecial Characters

Please support your answers with written comments, suitable for transmission to the authors. [Or upload your comments as a file below.]

Comments in attached file