

Equality conditions for the sandwiched Renyi relative entropy

July 25, 2015

Abstract

summary of discussion between Nilanjana Datta, Anna Jencova, and Mark M. Wilde

1 From discussion

Let ρ be a density operator and σ be a positive semi-definite operator. Recall that the sandwiched Renyi relative entropy is defined as follows:

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \text{Tr} \left\{ \left(\sigma^{(1-\alpha)/2\alpha} \rho \sigma^{(1-\alpha)/2\alpha} \right)^\alpha \right\}. \quad (1)$$

This can be rewritten in several different ways:

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) \equiv \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log \left\| \sigma^{(1-\alpha)/2\alpha} \rho \sigma^{(1-\alpha)/2\alpha} \right\|_\alpha \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log \left\| \rho^{1/2} \sigma^{(1-\alpha)/\alpha} \rho^{1/2} \right\|_\alpha \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log \left\| \sigma^{(1-\alpha)/2\alpha} \rho^{1/2} \right\|_{2\alpha}. \quad (4)$$

We can rewrite it in an even different way which will be useful for our purposes here. Let $\|A\|_{\alpha,\sigma}$ denote the weighted α -norm of an operator A , defined as

$$\|A\|_{\alpha,\sigma}^\alpha \equiv \text{Tr} \left\{ \left| \sigma^{1/2\alpha} A \sigma^{1/2\alpha} \right|^\alpha \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where $\alpha \geq 1$. We also define a weighted inner product $\langle A, B \rangle_\sigma$ for two operators A and B as follows:

$$\langle A, B \rangle_\sigma \equiv \text{Tr} \left\{ A^\dagger \sigma^{1/2} B \sigma^{1/2} \right\}. \quad (6)$$

We define the Radon-Nikodym derivative d for ρ and σ as follows:

$$d \equiv \sigma^{-1/2} \rho \sigma^{-1/2}, \quad (7)$$

and for the noisy versions of these states as d_0 :

$$d_0 \equiv \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2} \mathcal{N}(\rho) \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2}, \quad (8)$$

where \mathcal{N} is a linear, positive, trace-preserving map. Then we can define the sandwiched Renyi relative entropy as

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) \equiv \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log \|d\|_{\alpha,\sigma}. \quad (9)$$

Let α' denote the Holder conjugate of α (i.e., α' is such that $1/\alpha + 1/\alpha' = 1$). Consider that

$$\|d_0\|_{\alpha, \mathcal{N}(\sigma)} = \sup_{\|A_0\|_{\alpha', \mathcal{N}(\sigma)} \leq 1} \langle A_0, d_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{N}(\sigma)} \quad (10)$$

$$= \sup_{\|A_0\|_{\alpha', \mathcal{N}(\sigma)} \leq 1} \text{Tr} \{A_0 \mathcal{N}(\rho)\} \quad (11)$$

$$= \sup_{\|A_0\|_{\alpha', \mathcal{N}(\sigma)} \leq 1} \text{Tr} \left\{ \mathcal{N}^\dagger(A_0) \rho \right\} \quad (12)$$

$$\leq \sup_{\|A\|_{\alpha', \sigma} \leq 1} \text{Tr} \{A \rho\} \quad (13)$$

$$= \sup_{\|A\|_{\alpha', \sigma} \leq 1} \langle A, d \rangle_\sigma \quad (14)$$

$$= \|d\|_{\alpha, \sigma}. \quad (15)$$

In the second line, it suffices to optimize the quantity $\text{Tr}\{A_0 \mathcal{N}(\rho)\}$ because the norm $\|A_0\|_{\alpha', \mathcal{N}(\sigma)}$ is invariant with respect to taking the adjoint of A_0 . The inequality follows because

$$\|\phi(B)\|_{\alpha, \sigma} \leq \|B\|_{\alpha, \phi^\dagger(\sigma)} \quad (16)$$

for ϕ unital and positive (here we take ϕ to be \mathcal{N}^\dagger and note that the above implies $\|\mathcal{N}^\dagger(A_0)\|_{\alpha', \sigma} \leq \|A_0\|_{\alpha', \mathcal{N}(\sigma)} \leq 1$, so that an optimization over all A satisfying $\|A\|_{\alpha', \sigma} \leq 1$ can only give a larger value). So this is an alternate proof that the sandwiched Renyi relative entropy is monotone with respect to positive maps for $\alpha > 1$ (an original proof for this available in Beigi's paper on sandwiched Renyi relative entropy). The main inequality follows because

$$\|\phi(B)\|_{1, \sigma} = \sup_{\|C\|_{\infty, \sigma} \leq 1} \langle C, \phi(B) \rangle_\sigma \quad (17)$$

$$= \sup_{\|C\|_{\infty} \leq 1} \langle C, \phi(B) \rangle_\sigma \quad (18)$$

$$= \sup_{\|C\|_{\infty} \leq 1} \left\langle \phi_\sigma^\dagger(C), B \right\rangle_{\phi^\dagger(\sigma)} \quad (19)$$

$$\leq \left\| \phi_\sigma^\dagger(C) \right\|_\infty \|B\|_{1, \phi^\dagger(\sigma)} \quad (20)$$

$$\leq \|B\|_{1, \phi^\dagger(\sigma)}. \quad (21)$$

where

$$\phi_\sigma^\dagger(\cdot) \equiv \phi^\dagger(\sigma)^{-1/2} \phi^\dagger \left[\sigma^{1/2}(\cdot) \sigma^{1/2} \right] \phi^\dagger(\sigma)^{-1/2} \quad (22)$$

(NEED TO JUSTIFY FOR ∞ and apply Riesz-Thorin)

Remark 1 *Contractivity of unital positive maps for $\alpha = \infty$: Note that $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the operator norm. Contractivity follows by the Russo-Dye theorem, [1, Corollary 2.9].*

For the moment we focus on the special case of the collision relative entropy (i.e., when $\alpha = 2$). Consider the equality case for the sandwiched Renyi relative entropy when $\alpha = 2$. Then we have

that

$$\langle d, d \rangle_\sigma = \|d\|_{2,\sigma} \quad (23)$$

$$= \|d_0\|_{2,\mathcal{N}(\sigma)} \quad (24)$$

$$= \langle d_0, d_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{N}(\sigma)} \quad (25)$$

$$= \text{Tr} \{ \mathcal{N}(\rho) d_0 \} \quad (26)$$

$$= \text{Tr} \{ \rho \mathcal{N}^\dagger(d_0) \} \quad (27)$$

$$= \left\langle \mathcal{N}^\dagger(d_0), d \right\rangle_\sigma. \quad (28)$$

We know generally from the above and the assumption that

$$\left\| \mathcal{N}^\dagger(d_0) \right\|_{2,\sigma} \leq \|d_0\|_{2,\mathcal{N}(\sigma)} = \|d\|_{2,\sigma}. \quad (29)$$

It is then the case that

$$\mathcal{N}^\dagger(d_0) = d, \quad (30)$$

which is the same as

$$\mathcal{N}^\dagger \left[\mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2} \mathcal{N}(\rho) \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2} \right] = \sigma^{-1/2} \rho \sigma^{-1/2}, \quad (31)$$

which in turn is the same as

$$\sigma^{1/2} \mathcal{N}^\dagger \left[\mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2} \mathcal{N}(\rho) \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2} \right] \sigma^{1/2} = \rho. \quad (32)$$

This means that the Petz recovery map perfectly recovers ρ from $\mathcal{N}(\rho)$.

2 Speculation

Shouldn't this same reasoning work for more general values of $\alpha > 1$? Here we start out with the assumption that

$$\|d\|_{\alpha,\sigma} = \|d_0\|_{\alpha,\mathcal{N}(\sigma)}. \quad (33)$$

Consider from the above that the following equality holds for some A_0 such that $\|A_0\|_{\alpha',\mathcal{N}(\sigma)} \leq 1$:

$$\|d_0\|_{\alpha,\mathcal{N}(\sigma)} = \langle A_0, d_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{N}(\sigma)} \quad (34)$$

$$= \text{Tr} \{ A_0 \mathcal{N}(\rho) \} \quad (35)$$

$$= \text{Tr} \{ \mathcal{N}^\dagger(A_0) \rho \} \quad (36)$$

$$= \left\langle \mathcal{N}^\dagger(A_0), d \right\rangle_\sigma \quad (37)$$

$$\leq \left\| \mathcal{N}^\dagger(A_0) \right\|_{\alpha',\sigma} \|d\|_{\alpha,\sigma} \quad (38)$$

$$\leq \|A_0\|_{\alpha',\mathcal{N}(\sigma)} \|d\|_{\alpha,\sigma} \quad (39)$$

$$\leq \|d\|_{\alpha,\sigma}. \quad (40)$$

This is essentially Holder's inequality and we see that equality is achieved due to the assumption. We can then infer that the following relation holds

$$\left[\sigma^{1/2\alpha'} \mathcal{N}^\dagger(A_0) \sigma^{1/2\alpha'} \right]^{\alpha'} = \left[\sigma^{1/2\alpha} d \sigma^{1/2\alpha} \right]^\alpha. \quad (41)$$

(IS THIS CORRECT?) If A_0 could be taken as d_0 (or a scaled d_0 ?), we would have that

$$\left[\sigma^{1/2\alpha'} \mathcal{N}^\dagger \left(\mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{1/2\alpha'} d_0 \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{1/2\alpha'} \right) \sigma^{1/2\alpha'} \right]^{\alpha'} = \left[\sigma^{1/2\alpha} d \sigma^{1/2\alpha} \right]^\alpha, \quad (42)$$

which is the same as

$$\left[\sigma^{1/2\alpha'} \mathcal{N}^\dagger \left(\mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{(1-\alpha')/2\alpha'} \mathcal{N}(\rho) \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{(1-\alpha')/2\alpha'} \right) \sigma^{1/2\alpha'} \right]^{\alpha'} = \left[\sigma^{(1-\alpha)/2\alpha} \rho \sigma^{(1-\alpha)/2\alpha} \right]^\alpha. \quad (43)$$

This part is not clear to me, but it seems that we might be able to get sufficiency for all $\alpha > 1$ with some kind of argument that starts from equality in weighted Holder inequality.

3 Equality conditions for $\alpha > 1$

Let $\alpha > 1$, $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha'} = 1$. For any element A , there is a unique \tilde{A} with $\|\tilde{A}\|_{\alpha', \sigma} \leq 1$ and $\langle \tilde{A}, A \rangle_\sigma = \|A\|_{\alpha, \sigma}$. (Existence of such element is clear by duality of the norms. Uniqueness is a consequence of strict convexity of L_p spaces). If A is positive, then $\tilde{A} = \|A\|_{\alpha, \sigma}^{1-\alpha} \phi_\sigma^\alpha(A)$, where

$$\phi_\sigma^\alpha(A) = \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha'}} \left(\sigma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} A \sigma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha'}}$$

The equality $\tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho|\sigma) = \tilde{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{N}(\rho)|\mathcal{N}(\sigma))$ implies that

$$\|d\|_{\alpha, \sigma} = \|d_0\|_{\alpha, \mathcal{N}(\sigma)} = \langle \tilde{d}_0, d_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{N}(\sigma)} = \text{Tr} \mathcal{N}(\rho) \tilde{d}_0 = \text{Tr} \rho \mathcal{N}^\dagger(\tilde{d}_0) = \langle \mathcal{N}^\dagger(\tilde{d}_0), d \rangle_\sigma \quad (44)$$

Since $\|\mathcal{N}^\dagger(\tilde{d}_0)\|_{\alpha', \sigma} \leq \|\tilde{d}_0\|_{\alpha', \mathcal{N}(\sigma)} = 1$, we have $\tilde{d} = \mathcal{N}^\dagger(\tilde{d}_0)$ by uniqueness, so that

$$\phi_\sigma^\alpha(d) = \mathcal{N}^\dagger(\phi_{\mathcal{N}(\sigma)}^\alpha(d_0)), \quad (45)$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha'}} \left(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha'}} \rho \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha'}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha'}} \\ &= \mathcal{N}^\dagger \left(\mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha'}} \left(\mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha'}} \mathcal{N}(\rho) \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha'}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}} \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha'}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

It is not clear if this implies sufficiency. By (45) it seems that some relation between $\mathcal{N}^\dagger(\phi_{\mathcal{N}(\sigma)}^\alpha(d_0))$ and $\phi_\sigma^\alpha(\mathcal{N}^\dagger(d_0))$ would be helpful. (Maybe by some sort of weighted Jensen's operator inequality?)

References

- [1] V. Paulsen, Completely bounded maps and operator algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002