## Another note on equality in DPI for the BS relative entropy

## Anna Jenčová

November 7, 2024

## 1 Equality conditions in QRE and BS-RE

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a channel and let  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$  be states,  $\sigma$  invertible. According to [? ?], we have the following equivalen conditions for equality in DPI.

QRE	BS-RE
$\sigma^{1/2} \mathcal{T}^* (\mathcal{T}(\sigma)^{-1/2} \mathcal{T}(\rho) \mathcal{T}(\sigma)^{1/2}) \sigma^{1/2} = \rho$	$\sigma \mathcal{T}^*(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)^{-1}\mathcal{T}(\rho)) = \rho$
	$\sigma \mathcal{T}^*(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)^{-1}\mathcal{T}(\rho)^2\mathcal{T}(\sigma)^{-1})\sigma = \rho^2$
$\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{T}(\rho)^{1/2} \mathcal{T}(\sigma)^{1/2} = \operatorname{Tr} \rho^{1/2} \sigma^{1/2}$	$\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{T}(\rho)^2 \mathcal{T}(\sigma)^{-1} = \operatorname{Tr} \rho^2 \sigma^{-1}$
$\mathcal{T}^*(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)^{-1/2}\mathcal{T}(\rho)\mathcal{T}(\sigma)^{1/2}) = \sigma^{-1/2}\rho\sigma^{-1/2}$	$\mathcal{T}(\rho)\mathcal{T}(\sigma)^{-1}\mathcal{T}(\rho) = \rho\sigma^{-1}\rho$
$\sigma^{-1/2}\rho\sigma^{-1}\in\mathcal{F}_{(\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}\circ\mathcal{T})^*}$	$\sigma^{-1/2} ho\sigma^{-1/2}\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}^*}$
$\sigma^{it-1/2}\rho\sigma^{-it-1/2}\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}^*},\forall t\in\mathbb{R}$	

**Theorem 1.** Assume that  $\rho_{ABC}$  is such that  $\rho_{AB}$  is invertible. Define the state

$$\eta_{ABC} := \frac{1}{d_B} \rho_B^{-1/2} \rho_{ABC} \rho_B^{-1/2}.$$

The following are equivalent.

- (i)  $\rho_{ABC}$  is a BS-QMC.
- (ii)  $\rho_{ABC} = \rho_{AB}\rho_B^{-1}\rho_{BC}$ .
- (iii) The marginals  $\eta_{AB}$  and  $\eta_{BC}$  commute, and we have  $\rho_{ABC} = d_B^2 \rho_B^{1/2} \eta_{AB} \eta_{BC} \rho_B^{1/2}$ .
- (iv)  $\eta_{ABC}$  is a QMC.
- (v) There are Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_{B_n^L}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{B_n^R}$  and a unitary  $U_B : \mathcal{H}_B \to \bigoplus_{n=1}^N (\mathcal{H}_{B_n^L} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_n^R})$ , such that

$$\rho_{ABC} = \rho_B^{1/2} U_B^* \left( \bigoplus_n d_B p_n \eta_{AB_L^n} \otimes \eta_{B_R^n C} \right) U_B \rho_B^{1/2}$$

for some states  $\eta_{AB_L^n}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{AB_L^n}$  and  $\eta_{B_R^nC}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{B_R^nC}$  and a probability distribution  $\{p_n\}$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence (i)  $\iff$  (ii) was proved in [?]. If (ii) holds, then clearly  $\rho_{ABC} = d_B^2 \rho_B^{1/2} \eta_{AB} \eta_{BC} \rho_B^{1/2} = \rho_{ABC}^*$ . Since  $\rho_B$  is invertible, this implies that  $[\eta_{AB}, \eta_{BC}] = 0$ , so that (iii) holds. Assume (iii), then since  $\eta_B = d_B^{-1} I_B = \tau_B$ , we obtain

$$\eta_{ABC} = d_B \eta_{AB} \eta_{BC} = \eta_{AB}^{1/2} \eta_B^{-1/2} \eta_{BC} \eta_B^{-1/2} \eta_{AB}^{1/2}$$

so that  $\eta_{ABC}$  is a QMC. If (iv) holds, then there are Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_{B_n^L}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{B_n^R}$  and a unitary  $U_B: \mathcal{H}_B \to \bigoplus_{n=1}^N \left(\mathcal{H}_{B_n^L} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_n^R}\right)$  such that

$$\eta_{ABC} = U_B^* \left( \bigoplus_n p_n \eta_{AB_L^n} \otimes \eta_{B_R^n C} \right) U_B,$$

this proves (v). Finally, suppose that (v) holds, then from

$$\tau_B = d_B^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{AC} \rho_B^{-1/2} \rho_{ABC} \rho_B^{-1/2} = U_B^* \left( \bigoplus_n p_n \eta_{B_L^n} \otimes \eta_{B_R^n} \right) U_B$$

we infer that  $\eta_{B_L^n} = \tau_{B_L^n}$  and  $\eta_{B_R^n} = \tau_{B_R^n}$ . It follows that  $\rho_{AB} = \rho_B^{1/2} U_B^* \left( \bigoplus_n d_B p_n \eta_{AB_L^n} \otimes \tau_{B_R^n} \right) U_B \rho_B^{1/2}$  and similarly  $\rho_{BC} = \rho_B^{1/2} U_B^* \left( \bigoplus_n d_B p_n \tau_{B_L^n} \otimes \eta_{B_R^n} \right) U_B \rho_B^{1/2}$ . The condition (ii) is immediate from this.

Remark 1. The condition in Proposition ?? is not clear to me. The decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}_B$  for  $\rho_{ABC}$  is not unique, so the decomposition for  $\rho_B$  should not be fixed to one particular choice of the decomposition. The statement should be more like that there is some decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}_B$  that works for both  $\rho_{ABC}$  and  $\rho_B$ .

It would be nicer to have this condition stated directly in terms of  $\eta_{ABC}$  and  $\rho_B$ . One such statement is as follows: A BS-QMC  $\rho_{ABC}$  is a QMC if and only if  $\rho_B^{it}\eta_{AB}\rho_B^{-it}$  commutes with  $\eta_{BC}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, the condition is easily checked for a QMC, using the decomposition of a QMC. For the converse, one can proceed as follows: since  $\rho^{it}(\rho_B^{-1/2}\rho_{AB}\rho_B^{-1/2})\rho^{-it}$  is in the commutant of  $\eta_{BC}$  for all t, one can show that the commutant of  $\eta_{BC}$  is a sufficient subalgebra for  $\{\rho_{AB}, \rho_B\}$ , and this implies that it contains also  $\rho_{AB}^{1/2}\rho_B^{-1/2}$  (there should be a more direct proof of this). Consider the polar decomposition  $\rho_{AB}^{1/2}\rho_B^{-1/2}=d_B^{1/2}W_{AB}\eta_{AB}^{1/2}$ , then both  $\eta_{AB}$  and the unitary  $W_{AB}$  must be contained in the commutant. We then get, using the computations in Corollary 4.7

$$\begin{split} \rho_{ABC} &= d_B^2 \rho_B^{1/2} \eta_{AB} \eta_{BC} \rho_B^{1/2} = d_B^2 \rho_B^{1/2} \eta_{AB}^{1/2} \eta_{BC} \eta_{AB}^{1/2} \rho_B^{1/2} = d_B \rho_{AB}^{1/2} W_{AB} \eta_{BC} W_{AB}^* \rho_{AB}^{1/2} \\ &= d_B \rho_{AB}^{1/2} \eta_{BC} \rho_{AB}^{1/2} = \rho_{AB}^{1/2} \rho_B^{-1/2} \rho_{BC} \rho_B^{-1/2} \rho_{AB}^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

This is probably not the most efficient condition, finding equivalent ones might help to understand the relation of the BS-QMC and QMC better.