# Quantum U-channels on S-spaces

Priyabrata Bag, Azad Rohilla\* and Harsh Trivedi

Abstract. If the symmetry, (an operator J satisfying  $J=J^*=J^{-1}$ ) which defines the Krein space, is replaced by a (not necessarily self-adjoint) unitary, then we have the notion of an S-space which was introduced by Szafraniec. In this paper, we consider S-spaces and study the structure of completely U-positive maps between the algebras of bounded linear operators. We first give a Stinespring-type representation for a completely U-positive map. On the other hand, we introduce Choi U-matrix of a linear map and establish the equivalence of the Kraus U-decompositions and Choi U-matrices. Then we study properties of nilpotent completely U-positive maps. We develop the U-PPT criterion for separability of quantum U-states and discuss the entanglement breaking condition of quantum U-channels and explore U-PPT squared conjecture. Finally, we give concrete examples of completely U-positive maps and examples of  $3 \otimes 3$  quantum U-states which are U-entangled and U-separable.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 46E22; Secondary 46L05, 46L08, 47B50, 81T05, 81P45.

**Keywords.** Completely positive maps, Krein space, Quantum channels, Choi decomposition, Stinespring decomposition, S-space.

#### 1. Introduction

The Gelfand-Naimark-Segal (GNS) construction for a given state on a  $C^*$ -algebra provides us a representation of the  $C^*$ -algebra on a Hilbert space and a generating vector. A linear map  $\tau$  from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  to a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be completely positive (CP) if  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n c_j^* \tau(b_j^* b_i) c_i \geq 0$  whenever  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in \mathcal{B}$ ;  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Stinespring's theorem (cf. [18, Theorem 1]), which characterizes operator-valued completely positive maps, is a generalization of the GNS construction. Choi decomposition (cf. [6]) for completely positive maps is a pioneering work in Matrix Analysis.

<sup>\*</sup>corresponding author.

Dirac [10] and Pauli [14] were among the pioneers to explore the quantum field theory using Krein spaces, defined below. For our study, we require the following important definitions:

**Definition 1.1.** Assume  $(\mathcal{K}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  to be a Hilbert space and J to be a symmetry, that is,  $J = J^* = J^{-1}$ . Define a map  $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$[x,y]_J := \langle Jx,y \rangle \text{ for all } x,y \in \mathcal{K}.$$
 (1.1)

The tuple (K, J) is called a Krein space (cf. [3]).

**Definition 1.2.** For each  $V \in B(\mathcal{K})$ , there exists an operator  $V^{\natural} := JV^*J \in B(\mathcal{K})$  such that

$$[Vx, y]_J = \langle JVx, y \rangle = \langle x, V^*Jy \rangle = \langle x, J^*JV^*Jy \rangle$$
$$= \langle Jx, JV^*Jy \rangle = \langle Jx, V^{\natural}y \rangle = [x, V^{\natural}y]_J.$$

The operator  $V^{\natural}$  is called the J-adjoint of V.

In the definition of the Krein space, if we replace the symmetry J by a (not necessarily self-adjoint) unitary U, then we arrive at the following generalized notion due to Szafraniec [19]:

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a Hilbert space and let U be a unitary on  $\mathcal{H}$ , that is,  $U^* = U^{-1}$ . Then we can define a sesquilinear form by

$$[x, y]_U := \langle x, Uy \rangle \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$
 (1.2)

In this case, we call  $(\mathcal{H}, U)$  as an S-space.

The following definition is given by Phillipp, Szafraniec and Trunk, see [15, Definition 3.1]:

**Definition 1.4.** For each  $V \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , there exists an operator  $V^{\#} := UV^*U^* \in B(\mathcal{H})$  such that

$$\begin{split} [x,Vy]_U &= \langle x,UVy \rangle = \langle V^*U^*x,y \rangle = \langle U^*UV^*U^*x,y \rangle \\ &= \langle UV^*U^*x,Uy \rangle = [V^\#x,y]_U. \end{split}$$

The operator  $V^{\#}$  is called the U-adjoint of V.

Phillipp, Szafraniec and Trunk [15] investigated invariant subspaces of self-adjoint operators in Krein spaces by using results obtained through a detailed analysis of S-spaces. Recently, in [16], Felipe-Sosa and Felipe introduced and analyzed the notions of state and quantum channel on spaces equipped with an indefinite metric in terms of a symmetry J. This study was further taken up by Heo, in [11], where equivalence of Choi J-matrices and Kraus J-decompositions was obtained and applications to J-PPT criterion and J-PPT squared conjuncture were discussed. The notion of completely U-positive maps was studied by Dey and Trivedi in [8, 9]. Motivated by these inspiring works, in this paper, we develop structure theory of quantum U-channels and its applications to the entanglement breaking.

The plan of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we give Stinespring-type representation for a completely U-positive map. In Section 3, Choi U-matrix is introduced and the equivalence of Kraus U-decompositions and Choi U-matrices is established. In Section 4, some properties of nilpotent U-CP maps are discussed. In Sections 5 and 6, we develop U-PPT criterion for separability of quantum U-states and discuss the entanglement breaking condition of quantum U-channels and explore U-PPT squared conjecture. Finally, in Section 7, we give concrete examples of completely U-positive maps and examples of  $3 \otimes 3$  quantum U-states which are U-entangled and U-separable.

### 1.1. Background and notations

Let  $(\mathcal{H}, U)$  be an S-space. Then,  $\mathcal{H}^n$  is the direct sum of n-copies of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and we denote by  $(\mathcal{H}^n, U^n)$  the S-space with the indefinite inner-product

$$[\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}]_{U^n} = \langle \mathbf{h}, U^n \mathbf{k} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle h_j, U k_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n [h_j, k_j]_U$$
 (1.3)

where  $U^n = \operatorname{diag}(U, U, \dots, U) \in M_n(B(\mathcal{H}))$  and  $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_n), \mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathcal{H}^n$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $(\mathcal{H}, U)$  be an S-space with the indefinite inner-product  $[\cdot, \cdot]_U$ . We denote by  $B(\mathcal{H})^{U+}$  the set of all U-positive linear operator V on  $\mathcal{H}$ , that is,

$$0 \leq [Vh, h]_U := \langle Vh, Uh \rangle = \langle U^*Vh, h \rangle, \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Hence V is U-positive if and only if  $U^*V$  is positive with respect to the usual inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $(\mathcal{H}_i, U_i)$  (i = 1, 2) be an S-space with the indefinite innerproduct  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{U_i}$ . Let  $\phi : B(\mathcal{H}_1) \to B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  be a linear map. Then  $\phi$  is called  $(U_1, U_2)$ -Hermitian if  $\phi(U_1V^*U_1^*) = U_2\phi(V^*)U_2^*$  for  $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ . We say that a  $(U_1, U_2)$ -Hermitian linear map  $\phi$  is

- 1.  $(U_1, U_2)$ -positive if  $\phi(B(\mathcal{H}_1)^{U+}) \subset B(\mathcal{H}_2)^{U+}$ , that is, if  $V \in (B(\mathcal{H}_1))^{U+}$  (or V is  $U_1$ -positive), then  $\phi(V)$  is  $U_2$ -positive. In simple words, if  $U_1^*V$  is positive with respect to the usual inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ , then  $U_2^*\phi(V)$  is positive with respect to the usual inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ .
- 2. completely  $(U_1, U_2)$ -positive or  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP if for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  the l-fold amplification  $\phi^l : I_l \otimes \phi : M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_1) \to M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  defined by

$$\phi^l([V_{ij}]) = [\phi(V_{ij})], \quad for \quad [V_{ij}] \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))$$

satisfies

$$\phi^l(M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))^{U+}) \subset M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_2))^{U+},$$

that is, if  $V = [V_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))^{U+}$  (i.e., V is  $U_1^l$ -positive), then  $\phi^l(V)$  is  $U_2^l$ -positive. Here  $M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_i))^{U+} = B(\mathcal{H}_i^l)^{U+}$  is the set of all  $U_i^l$ -positive linear operators on S-spaces  $(\mathcal{H}_i^l, U_i^l)$ , and  $U_i^l = diag(U, U, \dots, U) \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_i))$  for i = 1, 2.

3. U-positive (and completely U-positive (U-CP) )if  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$  and  $U_1 = U_2 = U$  and it is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -positive (and  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP, respectively).

### 2. Completely U-positive and completely U-co-positive maps

Our main objective in this section is to obtain Stinespring-type theorem for completely U-positive maps. Let  $(\mathcal{H}_i, U_i)$  (i = 1, 2) be an S-space with the indefinite inner product  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{U_i}$ . Suppose  $\phi : B(\mathcal{H}_1) \to B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  is a linear map. Define a linear map  $\psi$  from  $B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  to  $B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  by  $\psi(X) := U_2\phi(U_1^*X)$  where  $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ . For any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $V = [V_{ij}] \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))$ , we obtain

$$\psi^{l}(V) = [\psi(V_{ij})]_{i,j} = [U_{2}\phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{ij})]_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{2}\phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{11}) & \cdots & U_{2}\phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{1l}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_{2}\phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{l1}) & \cdots & U_{2}\phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{ll}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} U_{2} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & U_{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{11}) & \cdots & \phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{ll}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{l1}) & \cdots & \phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{ll}) \end{pmatrix} = U_{2}^{l}\phi^{l}(U_{1}^{l^{*}}V).$$

Similarly, we can easily show that  $\phi^l(V) = U_2^{l^*} \psi(U_1^l V)$  where  $\phi(V_{ij}) = U_2^* \psi(U_1 V_{ij})$ .

The following result is a generalization of [16, Theorem 20] and [11, Proposition 2.2] in the setting of S-spaces:

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{H}_i, U_i)$  (i = 1, 2) be an S-space with the indefinite inner product  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{U_i}$ . Suppose  $\phi : B(\mathcal{H}_1) \to B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  is a linear map, then  $\phi$  is CP if and only if the corresponding linear map  $\psi$  from  $B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  to  $B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  defined by  $\psi(X) := U_2\phi(U_1^*X)$  is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP, where  $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a linear map from  $B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  to  $B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ . First assume that  $\phi$  is CP. We have to prove that  $\psi$  is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP. For this purpose, let  $V = [V_{ij}] \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))^{U^+}$ , that is,  $U_l^{l^*}V \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))$  is positive, that is,

$$0 \leq [V\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}]_{U_{*}^{l}} = \langle V\mathbf{h}, U_{1}^{l}\mathbf{h} \rangle = \langle U_{1}^{l^{*}}V\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \rangle,$$

where  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H}^l$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi^l(V)\mathbf{h}', \mathbf{h}']_{U_2^l} &= \langle \psi^l(V)\mathbf{h}', U_2^l\mathbf{h}' \rangle = \langle U_2^{l^*}\psi^l(V)\mathbf{h}', \mathbf{h}' \rangle \\ &= \langle U_2^l\phi^l(U_1^{l^*}V)\mathbf{h}', U_2^l\mathbf{h}' \rangle = \langle \phi^l(U_1^{l^*}V)\mathbf{h}', \mathbf{h}' \rangle > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{h}' \in \mathcal{H}^l$ . Therefore  $\langle U_2^{l^*} \psi^l(V) \mathbf{h}', \mathbf{h}' \rangle \geq 0$ , that is,  $U_2^{l^*} \psi^l(V)$  is positive. This proves that  $\psi(V)$  is  $U_2$ -positive. Thus  $\psi$  is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP.

Conversely, suppose that  $\psi$  is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP. Since  $\psi(\cdot) = U_2 \phi(U_1^* \cdot)$ , we get  $\phi(U_1^* \cdot) = U_2^* \psi(\cdot)$ . Therefore  $\phi(\cdot) = U_2^* \psi(U_1 \cdot)$ . Let  $V = [V_{ij}] \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))^+$ , then we have to show that  $\phi^l(V) = [\phi(V_{ij})] \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_2))^+$ . Now

$$0 \le \langle V\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \rangle = \langle U_1^l V\mathbf{h}, U_1^l \mathbf{h} \rangle = [U_1^l V\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}]_{U_1^l},$$

where  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H}^l$ , it means,  $U_1^l V \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))^{U+}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi^l(V)\mathbf{h}',\mathbf{h}'\rangle &= \langle U_2^{l^*}\psi(U_1^lV)\mathbf{h}',\mathbf{h}'\rangle = \langle \psi(U_1^lV)\mathbf{h}',U_2^l\mathbf{h}'\rangle \\ &= [\psi(U_1^lV)\mathbf{h}',\mathbf{h}']_{U_2^l} \geq 0, \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbf{h}' \in \mathcal{H}^l$  and the last inequality follows from the fact that  $U_1^l V \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))^{U+}$  and hence  $\psi$  is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{H}_i, U_i)$  (i = 1, 2) be an S-space. Assume that a linear map  $\psi$  from  $B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  to  $B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  defined by  $\psi(V) := U_2\phi(U_1^*V)$  for all  $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP. Then there exist an S-space  $(\mathcal{H}, U)$ , a \*-representation  $\pi$  of  $B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and a bounded linear operator  $R: \mathcal{H}_2 \to \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\psi(V) = R^{\#}\pi(V)R$$

where  $U = \pi(U_1)$ , and  $R^{\#} := U_2 R^* U^*$ . Moreover, if  $\psi(U_1) = U_2$ , then  $R^* R = I_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose a linear map  $\psi$  is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP. Then with the help of Proposition 2.1, we get that  $\phi$  defined by  $\phi(V) = U_2^* \psi(U_1 V)$  is CP. Then using Stinespring's theorem [18, Theorem 1], there exist a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , a representation (a unital \*-homomorphism )  $\pi$  of  $B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and a bounded linear operator  $R: \mathcal{H}_2 \to \mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\phi(V) = R^* \pi(V) R$  for every  $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ .

Let  $U = \pi(U_1) \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , where U is a fundamental unitary, that is,  $U^* = U^{-1}$ , so that  $(\mathcal{H}, U)$  becomes an S-space. Define  $R^{\#} := U_2 R^* U^*$ , then

$$\psi(V) = U_2 \phi(U_1^* V) = U_2 R^* \pi(U_1^* V) R = U_2 R^* U^* \pi(V) R = R^\# \pi(V) R.$$

Furthermore, if  $\psi(U_1) = U_2$ , then

$$U_2 = \psi(U_1) = U_2 \phi(U_1^* U_1) = U_2 R^* \pi(U_1^* U_1) R = U_2 R^* R,$$

hence  $R^*R = I_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose  $\phi: B(\mathcal{H}_1) \to B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  is a linear map. If  $\phi$  satisfies the following conditions for all  $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ :

$$\phi(U_1^*V) = U_2^*\phi(V) \quad and \quad \phi(U_1V) = U_2\phi(V),$$

then  $\phi$  is a CP map if and only if  $\phi$  is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP.

*Proof.* First assume  $\phi$  to be a CP map. Let  $V = [V_{ij}] \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))^{U+}$ . Observe that

$$\phi^{l}(U_{1}^{l^{*}}V) = [\phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{ij})]_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{11}) & \cdots & \phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{1l}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{l1}) & \cdots & \phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{ll}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} U_{2}^{*} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & U_{2}^{*} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi(V_{11}) & \cdots & \phi(V_{ll}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(V_{l1}) & \cdots & \phi(V_{ll}) \end{pmatrix} = U_{2}^{l^{*}}\phi^{l}(V).$$

Similarly, we obtain  $\phi^l(U_1^lV) = U_2^l\phi^l(V)$ . Now consider

$$\begin{split} [\phi^l(V)\mathbf{h}',\mathbf{h}']_{U_2^l} &= \langle \phi^l(V)\mathbf{h}', U_2^l\mathbf{h}' \rangle = \langle U_2^{l^*}\phi^l(V)\mathbf{h}',\mathbf{h}' \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi^l(U_1^{l^*}V)\mathbf{h}',\mathbf{h}' \rangle \geq 0, \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbf{h}' \in \mathcal{H}_2^l$ . Therefore  $\langle U_2^{l^*} \phi^l(V) \mathbf{h}', \mathbf{h}' \rangle \geq 0$ , that is,  $U_2^{l^*} \phi^l(V)$  is positive with respect to the usual inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . This proves that  $\phi(V)$  is  $U_2$ -positive. Thus  $\phi$  is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP.

Conversely, suppose that  $\phi$  is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP. Let  $V = [V_{ij}] \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))^+$ . Then we have to show that  $\phi^l(V) = [\phi(V_{ij})] \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_2))^+$ . Since

$$0 \le \langle V\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \rangle = \langle U_1^l V\mathbf{h}, U_1^l \mathbf{h} \rangle = [U_1^l V\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}]_{U_1^l},$$

where  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H}^l$ , it means  $U_1^l V \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))^{U+}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi^l(V)\mathbf{h}', \mathbf{h}' \rangle &= \langle U_2^l \phi(V)\mathbf{h}', U_2^l \mathbf{h}' \rangle = \langle \phi(U_1^l V)\mathbf{h}', U_2^l \mathbf{h}' \rangle \\ &= [\phi(U_1^l V)\mathbf{h}', \mathbf{h}']_{U_2^l} \geq 0, \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbf{h}' \in \mathcal{H}^l$  and the last inequality follows from the fact that  $U_1^l V \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))^{U+}$  and  $\phi$  is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP.

**Remark 2.4.** In particular, if  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$  and  $U_1 = U_2 = U$ , and if a linear map  $\phi : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$  satisfies  $\phi(U^*V) = U^*\phi(V)$  and  $\phi(UV) = U\phi(V)$  for all  $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ , then  $\phi$  is CP if and only if  $\phi$  is U-CP.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(\mathcal{H}_i, U_i)$  (i = 1, 2) be an S-space. Assume that  $\psi$  is a linear map from  $B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  to  $B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ . Then

- 1. for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\psi$  is l- $(U_1, U_2)$ -co-positive if  $\tau_l \otimes \psi : M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_1) \to M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  is  $(I_l \otimes U_1, I_l \otimes U_2)$ -positive where  $\tau_l$  is the transpose map on  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ .
- 2.  $\psi$  is completely  $(U_1, U_2)$ -co-positive if it is l- $(U_1, U_2)$ -co-positive for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 3.  $\psi$  is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -positive partial transpose  $((U_1, U_2)$ -PPT) if it is  $(U_1, U_2)$ -CP and completely  $(U_1, U_2)$ -co-positive.
- 4. In particular, if  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$  and  $U_1 = U_2 = U$ , then we simply call it completely U-co-positive (and U-positive partial transpose (U-PPT)) if it is completely  $(U_1, U_2)$ -co-positive (and  $(U_1, U_2)$ -positive partial transpose, respectively).

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $(\mathcal{H}_i, U_i)$  (i = 1, 2) be an S-space. Suppose  $\phi : B(\mathcal{H}_1) \to B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  is a linear map, then  $\phi$  is completely co-positive if and only if the corresponding linear map  $\psi$  from  $B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  to  $B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  defined by  $\psi(X) := U_2\phi(U_1^*X)$  is completely  $(U_1, U_2)$ -co-positive, where  $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V = [V_{ij}] \in M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  be such that  $(I_l \otimes U_1^*)V \geq 0$ . Then

$$(\tau_{l} \otimes \psi)(V) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(V_{11}) & \cdots & \psi(V_{l1}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi(V_{1l}) & \cdots & \psi(V_{ll}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{2}\phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{11}) & \cdots & U_{2}\phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{l1}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_{2}\phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{1l}) & \cdots & U_{2}\phi(U_{1}^{*}V_{ll}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} U_2 & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & U_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi(U_1^*V_{11}) & \cdots & \phi(U_1^*V_{l1}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(U_1^*V_{1l}) & \cdots & \phi(U_1^*V_{ll}) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (I_l \otimes U_2)(\tau_l \otimes \phi)(I_l \otimes U_1^*)V.$$

Hence  $(I_l \otimes U_2^*)(\tau_l \otimes \psi)(V)$  is positive as  $\phi$  is completely co-positive map. Conversely, for any  $V = [V_{ij}] \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))$ , we have

$$0 \leq \langle V\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \rangle = \langle U_1^l V\mathbf{h}, U_1^l \mathbf{h} \rangle = [U_1^l V\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}]_{U_1^l},$$

where  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H}^l$ , it means  $U_1^l V \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))^{U+}$ . We obtain

$$(\tau_{l} \otimes \phi)(V) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(V_{11}) & \cdots & \phi(V_{l1}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(V_{1l}) & \cdots & \phi(V_{ll}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{2}^{*}\psi(U_{1}V_{11}) & \cdots & U_{2}^{*}\psi(U_{1}V_{l1}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_{2}^{*}\psi(U_{1}V_{1l}) & \cdots & U_{2}^{*}\psi(U_{1}V_{ll}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} U_{2}^{*} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_{2}^{*}\psi(U_{1}V_{1l}) & \cdots & \psi(U_{1}V_{l1}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi(U_{1}V_{1l}) & \cdots & \psi(U_{1}V_{ll}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= U_{2}^{l^{*}}(\tau_{l} \otimes \psi)(U_{1}^{l}V).$$

Therefore  $(\tau_l \otimes \phi)(V) = U_2^{l^*}(\tau_l \otimes \psi)(U_1^l V)$ . Since  $U_1^l V \in M_l(B(\mathcal{H}_1))^{U+}$  and  $\psi$  is completely  $(U_1, U_2)$ -co-positive,  $\phi$  is co-positive.

# 3. Kraus U-decomposition and Choi U-matrix

In this section, we derive Kraus U-decomposition and Choi U-matrix and establish their relation with the completely U-positive maps. Let  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$  denote the set of all  $m \times m$ -complex matrices. Kraus proved that  $\phi: M_m(\mathbb{C}) \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a CP map if and only if

$$\phi(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^* V R_i, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $V = [V_{ij}]_{i,j} \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$  and for each  $i, R_i \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ . The expression in above equation is called a Kraus decomposition.

Denote  $M_A := M_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_B := M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $U_A$  and  $U_B$  be the fundamental unitaries in  $M_A$  and  $M_B$ , respectively. Define a linear map  $\psi : M_A \to M_B$  by

$$\psi(V) := \sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^{\#_{A,B}} V R_i, \tag{3.2}$$

where  $R_i^{\#_{A,B}}=U_BR_i^*U_A^*$ . Then  $\psi$  is  $(U_A,U_B)$ -CP. Indeed, for any  $k\in\mathbb{N}$ , take a  $U_A^{k^*}$ -positive matrix  $V=[V_{ij}]\in M_k(M_A)^{U^+}$ . Since  $V=[V_{ij}]\in$ 

 $M_k(M_A)^{U+}, U_A^{k^*}V \in M_k(M_A)^+, \text{ that is,}$ 

$$U_A^{k^*} V = \begin{pmatrix} U_A^* & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & U_A^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & \cdots & V_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ V_{k1} & \cdots & V_{kk} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} U_A^* V_{11} & \cdots & U_A^* V_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_A^* V_{k1} & \cdots & U_A^* V_{kk} \end{pmatrix} \in M_k(M_A)^+.$$

Consider

$$\psi^{k}(V) = \psi^{k} \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & \cdots & V_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ V_{k1} & \cdots & V_{kk} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(V_{11}) & \cdots & \psi(V_{1k}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi(V_{k1}) & \cdots & \psi(V_{kk}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \begin{pmatrix} R_{i}^{\#_{A,B}} V_{11} R_{i} & \cdots & R_{i}^{\#_{A,B}} V_{1k} R_{i} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_{i}^{\#_{A,B}} V_{k1} R_{i} & \cdots & R_{i}^{\#_{A,B}} V_{kk} R_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \begin{pmatrix} U_{B} R_{i}^{*} U_{A}^{*} V_{11} R_{i} & \cdots & U_{B} R_{i}^{*} U_{A}^{*} V_{1k} R_{i} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_{B} R_{i}^{*} U_{A}^{*} V_{k1} R_{i} & \cdots & U_{B} R_{i}^{*} U_{A}^{*} V_{kk} R_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \begin{pmatrix} U_{B} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_{B} R_{i}^{*} U_{A}^{*} V_{k1} R_{i} & \cdots & U_{B} R_{i}^{*} U_{A}^{*} V_{kk} R_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \begin{pmatrix} U_{B} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_{B} R_{i}^{*} U_{A}^{*} V_{k1} R_{i} & \cdots & U_{B} R_{i}^{*} U_{A}^{*} V_{kk} R_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= U_{B}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \begin{pmatrix} R_{i}^{*} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & R_{i}^{*} \end{pmatrix} U_{A}^{k*} V \begin{pmatrix} R_{i} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & R_{i} \end{pmatrix},$$

and since  $U_A^{k^*}V \in M_k(M_A)^+$ , by using the Kraus decomposition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^{*^k} U_A^{k^*} V R_i^k \in M_k(M_A)^+,$$

we obtain  $U_B^{k^*}\psi^k(V) \geq 0$ . Hence  $\psi^k(V)$  is a  $U_B$ -positive matrix, that is,  $\psi$  is  $(U_A, U_B)$ -CP map.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $U_A$  and  $U_B$  be the fundamental unitaries in  $M_A$  and  $M_B$ , respectively. A linear map  $\psi: M_A \to M_B$  is a  $(U_A, U_B)$ -CP map if and only if it has a decomposition of the form (3.2).

*Proof.* Assume that  $\psi$  is a  $(U_A, U_B)$ -CP map. Since a linear map  $\phi: M_A \to M_B$  defined by  $\phi(V) = U_B^* \psi(U_A V)$  is CP,  $\phi$  has a Kraus decomposition, that is,

$$\phi(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^* V R_i,$$

where  $V \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$  and for each  $i, R_i \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus we have

$$\psi(V) = U_B \phi(U_A^* V) = U_B \sum_{i=1}^l R_i^* U_A^* V R_i = \sum_{i=1}^l U_B R_i^* U_A^* V R_i = \sum_{i=1}^l R_i^\# V R_i.$$

Therefore  $\psi$  is a  $(U_A, U_B)$ -CP map if and only if  $\psi$  has the expression  $\psi(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^{\#} V R_i$ , we call  $\psi$  has a Kraus U-decomposition in this case.

Suppose  $\{e_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq m\}$  are the matrix units of  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ . We observe that  $D = [U_A e_{ij}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq m}$  is  $I_m \otimes U_A$ -positive. Indeed,

$$(I_m \otimes U_A^*)D = \begin{pmatrix} U_A^* & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & U_A^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_A e_{11} & \cdots & U_A e_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_A e_{m1} & \cdots & U_A e_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} e_{11} & \cdots & e_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{m1} & \cdots & e_{mm} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m^2}^+(\mathbb{C}).$$

It implies from the above proposition that  $[\psi(U_A e_{ij})]_{1 \leq i,j \leq m}$  is  $I_m \otimes U_{B-positive}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\psi: M_A \to M_B$  be a linear map. Then  $\psi$  is  $(U_A, U_B)$ -CP if and only if  $[U_B^* \psi(U_A e_{ij})]_{1 \leq i,j \leq m}$  is positive.

*Proof.* The proof directly follows from [6, Theorem 2].

Let  $\phi: M_m(\mathbb{C}) \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a linear map. Choi [6] defined  $C_{\phi} = \sum_{i,j=1}^m e_{ij} \otimes \phi(e_{ij})$ , called the *Choi matrix*, and proved that it is positive if and only if  $\phi$  is a CP map.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\psi: M_m(\mathbb{C}) \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a linear map. We define  $C_{\psi}^U := \sum_{i,j=1}^m e_{ij} \otimes \psi(U_A e_{ij})$ . The matrix  $C_{\psi}^U$  is called the Choi U-matrix.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $U_A$  and  $U_B$  be the fundamental unitaries in  $M_A$  and  $M_B$ , respectively, where  $M_A = M_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_B = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then a linear map  $\psi: M_A \to M_B$  is a  $(U_A, U_B)$ -CP map if and only if  $C_{\psi}^U$  is  $I_A \otimes U_B$ -positive in  $M_A \otimes M_B$ .

Proof. Let  $\phi: M_A \to M_B$  be the linear map defined by  $\phi(V) := U_B^* \psi(U_A V)$  where  $V \in M_A$ . Then by Proposition 2.1,  $\phi$  is CP if and only if  $\psi$  is a  $(U_A, U_B)$ -CP map. It is known from [6] that  $\phi$  is CP if and only if  $C_{\phi}$  is positive semi-definite. Since, for any  $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' \in \mathbb{C}^{mn}$ , we have

$$[C_{\psi}^{U}\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}']_{U_{B}^{m}} = \langle C_{\psi}^{U}\mathbf{h}, U_{B}^{m}\mathbf{h}' \rangle = \langle U_{B}^{m^{*}}C_{\psi}^{U}\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' \rangle$$

$$= \langle \begin{pmatrix} U_{B}^{*}\psi(U_{A}e_{11}) & \cdots & U_{B}^{*}\psi(U_{A}e_{1m}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_{B}^{*}\psi(U_{A}e_{m1}) & \cdots & U_{B}^{*}\psi(U_{A}e_{mm}) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' \rangle$$

$$= \langle \begin{pmatrix} \phi(e_{11}) & \cdots & \phi(e_{1m}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(e_{1m}) & \cdots & \phi(e_{mm}) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' \rangle$$
$$= \langle C_{\phi} \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' \rangle,$$

that is,  $C_{\phi}$  is positive if and only if  $C_{\psi}^{U}$  is  $I_{A} \otimes U_{B}$ -positive in  $M_{A} \otimes M_{B}$ , which completes the proof.

### 4. Nilpotent *U*-CP maps

Nilpotent CP maps were studied by Bhat and Mallick in [2]. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and  $\phi: B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$  be a CP map. Suppose  $\phi$  is a nilpotent map of order p, that is,  $\phi^p = 0$  and  $\phi^{p-1} \neq 0$ . Define  $\mathcal{H}_1 := \ker (\phi(U))$  and  $\mathcal{H}_k := \ker (\phi^k(U)) \ominus \ker (\phi^{k-1}(U))$ , where  $2 \leq k \leq p$ . Then  $\bigcap_{k=1}^p \mathcal{H}_k = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}_p$ . Let  $b_i := \dim (\mathcal{H}_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ . Then  $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_p)$  is called the CP nilpotent type of  $\phi$ . In this section, we introduce U-CP nilpotent type of U-CP maps.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and  $(\mathcal{H}, U)$  be an S-space with the indefinite inner product  $[\cdot, \cdot]_U$ . Suppose  $\phi : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$  is a CP map, then the corresponding linear map  $\psi$  from  $B(\mathcal{H})$  to  $B(\mathcal{H})$  defined by  $\psi(X) := U\phi(U^*X)$  is U-CP, with the Kraus U-decomposition  $\psi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^l R_i^\# X R_i$ , where  $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $R_i^\# = U R_i^* U^*$  for each  $1 \le i \le l$ . Then

- 1.  $\ker (\psi(U)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} \ker (UR_i),$
- 2. For U-positive X,  $\psi(X) = 0$  if and only if  $ran(X) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} \ker (R_i^* U^*)$ ,
- 3.  $\{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid \psi(|Uh\rangle\langle h|) = 0\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} \ker(R_i^* U^*),$
- 4.  $ran(\psi(U)) = \overline{span}\{UR_i^*h \mid h \in \mathcal{H}, \ 1 \le i \le l\}.$

#### Proof. (1) Consider

$$\ker (\psi(U)) = \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid \psi(U)h = 0\}$$

$$= \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^{\#} U R_i h = 0\}$$

$$= \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{l} [R_i^{\#} U R_i h, h]_U = 0\}$$

$$= \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{l} [U R_i h, R_i h]_U = 0\}$$

$$= \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{l} \langle U R_i h, U R_i h \rangle = 0\}$$

$$= \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{l} ||U R_i h||^2 = 0\}$$

$$= \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid U R_i h = 0, \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq l\}$$

$$= \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} \ker (UR_i).$$

(2) Suppose  $\psi(X) = U\phi(U^*X) = 0$  where X is U-positive. It follows that  $\phi(U^*X) = 0$ , and since  $\phi$  is a CP map, using the Kraus decomposition, we obtain  $\sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^* U^* X R_i = 0$ . As X is U-positive ( $U^*X$  is positive), we get  $R_i^* U^* X R_i = 0$  for each i. Note that  $R_i^* (U^*X)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ . It implies that  $R_i^* U^* X = 0$ . Let  $h_1 \in \operatorname{ran}(X)$ , then there exists  $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $X(h_2) = h_1$ . Now by applying  $R_i^* U^*$  on both the sides, we get  $R_i^* U^* h_1 = 0$  for each i. Hence  $\operatorname{ran}(X) \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^l \ker(R_i^* U^*)$ .

Conversely, let  $\operatorname{ran}(X) \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^{l} \ker(R_i^* U^*)$ , then  $\psi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^{\#} X R_i = \sum_{i=1}^{l} U R_i^* U^* X R_i = 0$ .

- (3) One can easily see that  $|Uh\rangle\langle h|$  is U-positive. Indeed,  $U^*|Uh\rangle\langle h| = |h\rangle\langle h| \geq 0$ . Also, we have  $\psi(|Uh\rangle\langle h|) = 0$ , and  $\operatorname{ran}(|Uh\rangle\langle h|) = \mathbb{C}h$ , therefore it directly follows from (2) that  $\{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid \psi(|Uh\rangle\langle h|) = 0\} = \cap_{i=1}^{l} \ker(R_i^*U^*)$ .
- (4) Let  $h_1 \in \operatorname{ran}(\psi(U)) = \operatorname{ran}(\sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^{\#} U R_i) = \operatorname{ran}(\sum_{i=1}^{l} U R_i^* R_i)$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^{l} U R_i^* R_i h_2 = h_1$  for some  $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ . Therefore  $h_1 \in \overline{span}\{U R_i^* h \mid h \in \mathcal{H}, \ 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ . Hence  $\operatorname{ran}(\psi(U)) \subseteq \overline{span}\{U R_i^* h \mid h \in \mathcal{H}, \ 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ .

Conversely, let  $h \in \overline{span}\{UR_i^*h \mid h \in \mathcal{H}, 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ . Then  $h = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i UR_i^*h_i$  where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $h_i \in \mathcal{H}$ . We have to show that  $h \in \operatorname{ran}(\psi(U)) = \operatorname{ran}(U\sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^*R_i)$ . It is equivalent to show that  $h \in \ker\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^*R_iU^*\right)^{\perp}$ , that is,  $\langle h, h' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$  for all  $h' \in \ker\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^*R_iU^*\right)$ .

Consider  $h' \in \ker \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^* R_i U^*\right)$ , then we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{l} [R_i^* R_i U^* h', h']_{U^*} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \langle R_i^* R_i U^* h', U^* h' \rangle.$$

It follows that  $R_iU^*h'=0$  for each i. Observe that

$$\langle h, h' \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i \langle U R_i^* h_i, h' \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i \langle h_i, R_i U^* h' \rangle = 0,$$

which proves that  $ran(\psi(U)) = \overline{span}\{UR_i^*h \mid h \in \mathcal{H}, 1 \leq i \leq l\}.$ 

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{H}, U)$  be an S-space with the indefinite inner product  $[\cdot, \cdot]_U$ . Suppose  $\phi : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$  is a CP map, then the corresponding linear map  $\psi$  from  $B(\mathcal{H})$  to  $B(\mathcal{H})$  defined by  $\psi(X) := U\phi(U^*X)$  is U-CP, with the Kraus U-decomposition  $\psi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^l R_i^\# X R_i$ , where  $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $R_i^\# = U R_i^* U^*$  for each  $1 \le i \le l$ . Then the followings are equivalent:

- 1.  $\psi^p(X) = 0$  for all  $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ ;
- 2.  $R_{i_1}R_{i_2}\cdots R_{i_p} = 0$  for all  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2): Let us assume for each  $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , we have

$$0 = \psi^{p}(X) = \sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \dots, i_{p} = 1}^{l} R_{i_{p}, \dots, i_{1}}^{\#} X R_{i_{1}} R_{i_{2}} \cdots R_{i_{p}},$$

where  $R_{i_p,\ldots,i_1}^\#=UR_{i_p}^*R_{i_{p-1}}^*\cdots R_{i_1}^*U^*.$  Therefore

$$0 = \psi^p(I) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p = 1}^{l} R_{i_p, \dots, i_1}^{\#} R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \cdots R_{i_p}.$$

Now observe that

$$\{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p = 1}^{l} R_{i_p, \dots, i_1}^{\#} R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \cdots R_{i_p} h = 0\}$$

$$= \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p = 1}^{l} [R_{i_p, \dots, i_1}^{\#} R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \cdots R_{i_p} h, h]_U = 0\}$$

$$= \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p = 1}^{l} [R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \cdots R_{i_p} h, R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \cdots R_{i_p} h]_U = 0\},$$

which concludes the desired equality (2).

$$(2) \implies (1)$$
: Trivial.

Suppose  $\psi$  is a U-CP map from  $B(\mathcal{H})$  to  $B(\mathcal{H})$  defined by  $\psi(X) = U\phi(U^*X)$ . Let  $\psi$  be a nilpotent map of order p. Define  $\mathcal{K}_1 := \ker(\psi(U))$  and  $\mathcal{K}_k := \ker(\psi^k(U)) \ominus \ker(\psi^{k-1}(U))$ , where  $2 \le k \le p$ . Then  $\bigcap_{k=1}^p \mathcal{K}_k = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{K}_p$ .

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $c_i := \dim(\mathcal{K}_i)$  for  $1 \le i \le p$ . Then  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p)$  is called the *U*-CP nilpotent type of  $\psi$ .

## 5. Quantum U-channels and quantum U-states

The U-states and the quantum U-channel, which are the S-space versions of the states and quantum channel, respectively, are introduced in this section. Together, we introduce U-separable and U-entangled states and present the U-PPT criterion for U-separability of U-states.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\phi: M_A \to M_B$  be a linear map and  $U_A$  and  $U_B$  be the fundamental unitaries in  $M_A$  and  $M_B$ , respectively. Then

- 1.  $\phi$  is a quantum channel if it is CP and trace preserving, that is,  $Tr(\phi(V)) = Tr(V)$  where  $V \in M_A$ .
- 2. a linear map  $\psi$  from  $B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  to  $B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  defined by  $\psi(V) := U_2\phi(U_1^*V)$  is a quantum  $(U_A, U_B)$ -channel if it is  $(U_A, U_B)$ -CP and trace preserving.

**Remark 5.2.** It is well known that  $\phi$  is a quantum channel if and only if there exist  $m \times n$ -matrices  $R_1, \ldots, R_l$  such that

$$\phi(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^* V R_i \quad and \quad \sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i R_i^* = I$$

where  $V \in M_A$ . Indeed, if  $\phi$  is a quantum channel, then it is a CP map and trace preserving. Therefore by Kraus decomposition (3.1), there exist

 $m \times n$ -matrices  $R_1, \ldots, R_l$  such that  $\phi(V) = \sum_{i=1}^l R_i^* V R_i$ , and if  $\phi$  is a trace preserving map, then  $\phi^*(V) = \sum_{i=1}^l R_i V R_i^*$  is unital  $(Tr(X) = \langle I_X, X \rangle = Tr(\phi(X)) = \langle I_X, \phi(X) \rangle = \langle \phi^*(I_X), X \rangle)$  which implies  $\sum_{i=1}^l R_i R_i^* = I$ .

Similarly, if  $\psi$  is a quantum  $(U_A, U_B)$ -channel, then by Kraus U-decomposition (3.2) we have  $\psi(V) = \sum_{i=1}^l R_i^{\#_{A,B}} V R_i$ , where  $R_i^{\#_{A,B}} = U_B R_i^* U_A^*$ . Since  $\psi$  is trace preserving, it means  $\psi^*$  is unital and we obtain  $I_B = \psi^*(I_A) = \sum_{i=1}^l R_i R_i^{\#_{A,B}}$ . Moreover,

$$\sum_{i} R_{i} U_{B}^{*} R_{i}^{\#_{A,B}} = R_{i} U_{B}^{*} U_{B} R_{i}^{*} U_{A}^{*} = U_{A}^{*}.$$

A quantum state  $\rho \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a positive semi-definite matrix with  $\text{Tr}(\rho) = 1$ .

**Definition 5.3.** Let U be a fundamental unitary in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then a matrix  $\rho \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is called a quantum U-state if the following conditions hold:

- 1.  $\rho$  is U-positive, that is,  $U^*\rho$  is positive and
- 2.  $Tr(U^*\rho) = 1$ .

**Example 5.4.** Let U be a fundamental unitary in  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\rho \in M_l(\mathbb{C})$  as  $\rho = |Ue\rangle\langle e|$  where  $e \in \mathbb{C}^l$  with ||e|| = 1. Then

$$U^*\rho = U^*|Ue\rangle\langle e| = |U^*Ue\rangle\langle e| = |e\rangle\langle e|.$$

It follows that  $U^*\rho$  is positive and also note that  $Tr(U^*\rho) = Tr(|e\rangle\langle e|) = \langle e, e \rangle = 1$ . Hence  $\rho$  is a quantum U-state.

**Proposition 5.5.** A quantum  $(U_A, U_B)$ -channel  $\psi : M_A \to M_B$  maps quantum  $U_A$ -states into quantum  $U_B$ -states.

*Proof.* Let V be a quantum  $U_A$ -state, that is, V is  $U_A$ -positive and  $\text{Tr}(U_A^*V) = 1$ . Since  $\psi$  is a quantum  $(U_A, U_B)$ -channel, we have

$$\psi(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} R_i^{\#_{A,B}} V R_i = \sum_{i=1}^{l} U_B R_i^* U_A^* V R_i,$$

for some  $m \times n$ -matrices  $R_1, \ldots, R_l$ . Since V is  $U_A$ -positive, we have  $U_A^* V \ge 0$ . Therefore  $U_B^* \psi(V) = \sum_{i=1}^l R_i^* U_A^* V R_i \ge 0$ , that is,  $\psi(V)$  is  $U_B$ -positive. Furthermore, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Tr}(U_B^*\psi(V)) = \operatorname{Tr}(\sum_{i=1}^l R_i^* U_A^* V R_i) = \operatorname{Tr}(\sum_{i=1}^l U_A^* V R_i R_i^*) = \operatorname{Tr}(U_A^* V \sum_{i=1}^l R_i R_i^*)$$
$$= \operatorname{Tr}(U_A^* V) = 1,$$

which proves that  $\psi(V)$  is a quantum  $U_B$ -state.

A bipartite quantum state  $\rho \in M_A \otimes M_B$  is a product state if  $\rho = \rho_A \otimes \rho_B$  with  $\rho_A \in M_A^+$  and  $\rho_B \in M_B^+$  and is separable if it is a convex combination of product states. Moreover, it is entangled if it is not separable. We define  $\tau := t \otimes \operatorname{id} : M_A \otimes M_B \to M_A \otimes M_B$  where t is the transpose on  $M_A$ . We call the  $\tau$  map the partial transpose or the blockwise transpose and a bipartite

quantum state  $\rho$  is positive partial transpose (PPT) if  $\rho^{\tau} := t \otimes id(\rho)$  is positive. The positive partial transpose criterion says that if  $\rho$  is separable, then  $\rho$  is positive partial transpose.

**Definition 5.6.** Let  $U_A$  and  $U_B$  be the fundamental unitaries in  $M_A$  and  $M_B$ , respectively. Let  $U_A \otimes U_B$  be the fundamental unitary in  $M_A \otimes M_B$  and  $\rho \in M_A \otimes M_B$  be a bipartite quantum  $U_A \otimes U_B$ -state. Then

- 1.  $\rho$  is a product  $U_A \otimes U_B$ -state if  $\rho = \rho_A \otimes \rho_B$  where  $\rho_A \in M_A^{U+}$  and  $\rho_B \in M_B^{U+}$ .
- 2.  $\rho$  is  $U_A \otimes U_B$ -separable if it is a convex combination of product  $U_A \otimes U_B$ -states.
- 3.  $\rho$  is  $U_A \otimes U_B$ -entangled if it is not  $U_A \otimes U_B$ -separable.
- 4.  $\rho$  is  $U_A \otimes U_B$ -positive partial transpose if the partial transpose  $\rho^{\tau}$  is  $U_A^t \otimes U_B$ -positive, that is,  $(\overline{U}_A \otimes U_B^*)(\rho^{\tau})$  is positive.

**Proposition 5.7.** If a bipartite quantum  $U_A \otimes U_B$ -state  $\rho \in M_A \otimes M_B$  is  $U_A \otimes U_B$ -separable, then  $\rho$  is  $U_A \otimes U_B$ -positive partial transpose.

*Proof.* Consider that  $\rho$  is  $U_A \otimes U_B$ -separable, it means we can write it as a convex combination of product  $U_A \otimes U_B$ -states, that is,

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{l} p_i(U_A \otimes U_B)(|z_i\rangle\langle z_i|) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} p_i(U_A \otimes U_B)(|x_i\rangle \otimes |y_i\rangle)(\langle x_i| \otimes \langle y_i|)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} p_i(U_A \otimes U_B)(|x_i\rangle\langle x_i| \otimes |y_i\rangle\langle y_i|) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} p_iU_A(|x_i\rangle\langle x_i|) \otimes U_B(|y_i\rangle\langle y_i|),$$

with  $\sum_{i=1}^{l} p_i = 1$ , and  $|z_i\rangle = |x_i\rangle \otimes |y_i\rangle \in M_A \otimes M_B$ . Since  $(U_A(|x_i\rangle\langle x_i|))^t = |\overline{x_i}\rangle\langle \overline{x_i}|\overline{U_A^*}$ , we obtain

$$\rho^{\tau} = t \otimes \mathrm{id}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} p_i |\overline{x_i}\rangle \langle \overline{x_i} | \overline{U_A^*} \otimes U_B(|y_i\rangle \langle y_i|).$$

Since  $\overline{U_A}|\overline{x_i}\rangle\langle\overline{x_i}|\overline{U_A^*}$  is a positive matrix in  $M_A$ ,  $(\overline{U}_A\otimes U_B^*)(\rho^{\tau})$  is positive.  $\square$ 

## 6. U-entanglement breaking maps

In this section, we consider the special class of quantum channels which can be simulated by a classical channel in the following sense: The sender makes a measurement on the input state  $\rho$ , and send the outcome k via a classical channel to the receiver who then prepares an agreed upon state  $R_k$ . Such channels can be written in the form

$$\phi(\rho) = \sum_{k} R_k \operatorname{Tr}(E_k \rho),$$

where each  $R_k$  is a *density matrix* (density matrices, also called density operators, which conceptually take the role of the state vectors, that is,  $R_k$  is a

positive semi-definite matrix with  $\operatorname{Tr}(R_k) = 1$ ) and the  $E_k$  form a positive operator valued measure ( $\{E_k\}_k$  form a positive operator valued measure means for each k,  $E_k$  is positive semi-definite and  $\sum_k E_k = id_A$ ). We call this the "Holevo form" because it was introduced by Holevo in [13]. In this context, it is natural to consider the class of channels which break entanglement.

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $\phi: M_A \to M_B$  be a quantum channel. If  $(id_n \otimes \phi)(S)$  is always separable for all bipartite quantum states  $S \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_A$ , then we call it an entanglement breaking map.

Let  $U_A$  and  $U_B$  be the fundamental unitaries in  $M_A$  and  $M_B$ , respectively. The family  $\{F_k\}_k$  is a  $U_A$ -positive operator valued measure if each  $F_kU_A$  is positive semi-definite and  $\sum_k F_kU_A = id_A$  (or  $\sum_k F_k = U_A^*$ ) and D is called  $U_A$ -density matrix if D is a  $U_A$ -positive semi-definite matrix, that is,  $U_A^*D$  is positive semi-definite matrix with  $\text{Tr}(U_A^*D) = 1$ .

**Definition 6.2.** Let  $\psi: M_A \to M_B$  be a  $(U_A, U_B)$ -quantum channel.

- 1.  $\psi$  is said to be  $(U_A, U_B)$ -entanglement breaking if  $(id_n \otimes \psi)(S)$  is  $I_n \otimes U_B$ -separable for any  $I_n \otimes U_A$ -density matrix  $S \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_A$ .
- 2.  $\psi$  is in  $(U_A, U_B)$ -Holevo form if it can be expressed as

$$\psi(\rho) = \sum_{k} D_k \operatorname{Tr}(F_k \rho),$$

where  $D_k$  is a  $U_B$ -density matrix, that is,  $U_B^*D_k$  is positive semi-definite matrix and  $Tr(U_B^*D_k) = 1$  and  $F_k$  is a  $U_A$ -positive operator valued measure in  $M_A$ , that is  $F_kU_A$  is positive semi-definite and  $\sum_k F_kU_A = id_A$ .

**Theorem 6.3.** Let  $\psi: M_A \to M_B$  be a  $(U_A, U_B)$ -quantum channel. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $\psi$  is  $(U_A, U_B)$ -entanglement breaking;
- 2.  $\psi$  is in  $(U_A, U_B)$ -Holevo form .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2) : Suppose  $\psi$  is  $(U_A, U_B)$ -entanglement breaking. The map  $\phi$  given by  $\phi(V) = U_B^* \psi(U_A V)$  is a quantum channel and we have for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$id_n \otimes \phi = id_n \otimes (U_B^* \psi(U_A)) = (I_n \otimes U_B^*)(id_n \otimes \psi)(I_n \otimes U_A). \tag{6.1}$$

Let  $S \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_A$  be a density matrix. One can easily verify that  $(I_n \otimes U_A)S$  is a  $(I_n \otimes U_A)$ -density matrix, that is,  $(I_n \otimes U_A^*)(I_n \otimes U_A)S$  is positive and  $\operatorname{Tr}((I_n \otimes U_A^*)(I_n \otimes U_A)S) = 1$  which trivially hold as  $(I_n \otimes U_A^*)(I_n \otimes U_A)S = S$ . Since  $(id_n \otimes \psi)(I_n \otimes U_A)S$  is  $(I_n \otimes U_B)$ -separable,  $(id_n \otimes \phi)(S)$  is separable. This implies that  $\phi$  is an entanglement breaking map. Now using [12, Theorem 4], we can write  $\phi$  in the Holevo form, that is,

$$\phi(\rho) = \sum_{k} R_k \operatorname{Tr}(E_k \rho),$$

where each  $R_k$  is a density matrix and  $\{E_k\}_k$  is a positive operator valued measure with  $\sum_k E_k = id_A$ . Observe that

$$\psi(\rho) = U_B \phi(U_A^* \rho) = \sum_k U_B R_k \operatorname{Tr}(E_k U_A^* \rho) = \sum_k D_k \operatorname{Tr}(F_k \rho),$$

where  $D_k := U_B R_k$  and  $F_k := E_k U_A^*$ . Note that  $D_k$  is a  $U_B$ -density matrix since  $U_B^* D_k = U_B^* U_B R_k = R_k$  and  $R_k$  is already a density matrix in  $M_B$  and also  $\{F_k\}_k$  is a  $U_A$ -positive operator valued measure in  $M_A$  as  $E_k U_A^* U_A = E_k$  is positive semi-definite and  $\sum_k E_k U_A^* U_A = i d_A$ .

(2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1): Assume that  $\psi$  has the  $(U_A, U_B)$ -Holevo form, it means  $\psi(\rho) = \sum_k D_k \text{Tr}(F_k \rho)$ , where  $D_k$  is a  $U_B$ -density matrix and  $\{F_k\}_k$  is a  $U_A$ -positive operator valued measure in  $M_A$ . Define  $\phi$  by  $\phi(\rho) = U_B^* \psi(U_A \rho)$ , where  $\rho \in M_A$ . We obtain

$$\phi(\rho) = U_B^* \psi(U_A \rho) = U_B^* \psi(U_A \rho) = U_B^* \sum_k D_k \text{Tr}(F_k U_A \rho)$$
$$= \sum_k U_B^* D_k \text{Tr}(F_k U_A \rho).$$

Since  $D_k$  is a  $U_B$ -density matrix and  $\{F_k\}_k$  is a  $U_A$ -positive operator valued measure in  $M_A$ ,  $\phi$  has a Holevo form and by [12, Theorem 4]  $\phi$  is an entanglement breaking map and hence Equation (6.1) implies that  $\psi$  is a  $(U_A, U_B)$ -entanglement breaking map.

Remark 6.4. Let  $\phi, \psi: M_A \to M_B$  be linear maps such that  $\psi(\rho) = U_B \phi(U_A^* \rho)$ , where  $\rho \in M_A$ . As we know  $\phi$  is positive if and only if  $\psi$  is a  $(U_A, U_B)$ -positive map. Suppose  $\phi$  is a quantum channel, that is,  $\psi$  is a  $(U_A, U_B)$ -quantum channel. Note that  $\theta \circ \phi$  is a CP map for any CP map  $\theta: M_B \to M_C$  if and only if  $\omega \circ \psi$  is  $(U_A, U_C)$ -CP for any  $(U_B, U_C)$ -CP  $\omega: M_B \to M_C$ . Therefore, it follows from Theorem 6.3 that  $\phi$  is an entanglement breaking map if and only if  $\psi$  is a  $(U_A, U_B)$ -entanglement breaking map.

## 7. Examples of fundamental unitary and U-CP maps

In this section, we provide concrete examples of completely U-positive maps and examples of  $3\otimes 3$  quantum U-states which are U-entangled and U-separable. It is easy to observe that the  $2\times 2$  identity matrix I and the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\iota \\ \iota & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

form a basis for  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . That is, for any  $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , we have  $A = aI + b\sigma_x + c\sigma_y + d\sigma_z$  where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ . Any fundamental unitary on the 2-dimensional complex S-space has the form

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -e^{\iota\phi}\overline{b} & e^{\iota\phi}\overline{a} \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.1}$$

where  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ . For example, if we choose a = 1 and b = 0, then we have the unitary

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\iota \phi} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is called a Phase Gate (see [17]) that represents a rotation about the z-axis by an angle  $\phi$  on the Bloch sphere.

If we define an S-space with respect to the fundamental unitary U as in (7.1), then  $U^*A = aU^* + b\sigma_x^U + c\sigma_y^U + d\sigma_z^U$ , where  $\sigma_x^U = U^*\sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_y^U = U^*\sigma_y$ , and  $\sigma_z^U = U^*\sigma_z$ , and we call these matrices U-Pauli matrices.

Let  $U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \iota \end{pmatrix}$  and  $U_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  be two unitaries which are not symmetries, where  $U_1$  is the Phase gate for  $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

1. Consider the S-space  $(\mathbb{C}^2, U_1)$ . For any  $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$U_1^*A = \begin{bmatrix} a\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\iota \end{pmatrix} - \iota b\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \iota \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \iota c\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \iota & 0 \end{pmatrix} + d\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \iota \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(U_1^*A)^* = \left[ \overline{a} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \iota \end{pmatrix} + \overline{b} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \iota \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \overline{c} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \iota & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \overline{d} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\iota \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Comparing  $U_1^*A$  and  $(U_1^*A)^*$ , one may easily find out that A is  $U_1$ -self adjoint if and only if  $a = \overline{d}$ ,  $-\iota c = \overline{c}$  and  $-\iota b = \overline{b}$ , that is, A has the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a+d & b-\iota c \\ b+\iota c & a-d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a+\overline{a} & b+\overline{c} \\ b-\overline{c} & a-\overline{a} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\Re(a) & b+\overline{c} \\ b-\overline{c} & 2\iota\Im(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $U_1^*A$  has the form

$$U_1^*A = \begin{pmatrix} a+d & b-\iota c \\ c-\iota b & -\iota (a-d) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a+\overline{a} & b+\overline{c} \\ c+\overline{b} & \iota (a-\overline{a}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\Re(a) & b+\overline{c} \\ c+\overline{b} & 2\Im(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $a,b,c\in\mathbb{C}$ . Further,  $U_1^*A$  is positive, that is, A is  $U_1$ -positive if and only if

$$0 \leq \Re(a) \quad \text{and} \quad 4\Re(a)\Im(a) \geq (b+\overline{c})(\overline{b}+c)$$

Also,  $U_1^*A$  is a quantum state, that is, A is a quantum  $U_1$ -state if and only if

$$\Re(a) + \Im(a) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

In particular, if  $a = \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ , b = t and c = -t for all  $t \ge 0$ , then all the above relations are trivially satisfied. In other words, for  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$A = \rho_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

provides a one parameter family of quantum  $U_1$ -states in  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Similarly, the following provides a one parameter family of quantum  $U_1 \otimes U_1$ -states

$$\frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4t^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $t \geq 0$ .

Since  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  is a unital \*-algebra, any \*-homomorphism  $\pi$  from  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  has the form  $\pi(A) = W^*AW$  for some unitary matrix  $W \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . If  $\phi$  is a  $U_1$ -CP map defined on  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , then by Theorem 2.2 there exist a \*-homomorphism  $\pi$  on  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  and a matrix  $V \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\phi(A) = V^{\#}\pi(A)V,$$

where  $V^{\#} = U_1 V^* U_1^*$ . For example, if we consider  $V = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$  and a unitary  $W = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ , then we get  $U_1$ -CP  $\phi$  in the following form:

$$\begin{split} \phi(A) &= V^{\#}\pi(A)V = (U_1V^*U_1^*)(W^*AW)V = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \overline{\gamma}a_{12}\delta \\ \overline{\delta}a_{21}\gamma & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\alpha}\alpha a_{11} & \overline{\alpha}\overline{\gamma}\delta\beta a_{12} \\ \overline{\beta}\overline{\delta}\gamma\alpha a_{21} & \overline{\beta}\beta a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Furthermore, if  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 1$ , then  $\phi(A)$  is of the form

$$\phi(A) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \overline{\alpha \gamma} \delta \beta a_{12} \\ \overline{\beta \delta} \gamma \alpha a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. Consider the S-space  $(\mathbb{C}^2, U_2)$ . For any  $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , we obtain

$$U_2^*A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \iota c \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + d \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Comparing  $U_2^*A$  and  $(U_2^*A)^*$ , one may easily find out that A is  $U_2$ -self adjoint if and only if b and d are reals and  $c = -\iota \overline{a}$ , that is, A has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a+d & -\overline{a}+b \\ \overline{a}+b & a-d \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $b, d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Further,  $U_2^*A$  is positive, that is, A is  $U_2$ -positive if and only if

$$-(b+d) \le 2\Re(a)$$
 and  $b^2 + d^2 \le 2((\Re(a))^2 - (\Im(a))^2)$ .

Also,  $U_2^*A$  is a quantum state, that is, A is a quantum  $U_2$ -state if and only if

$$\Re(a) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}, \quad -(b+d) \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad b^2 + d^2 \le \frac{1}{4} - 2(\Im(a))^2.$$

In particular, if  $a = \sqrt{2}/4 \in \mathbb{R}$  and b = d = t/4, with  $-\sqrt{2} \le t \le \sqrt{2}$ , then all the above relations are trivially satisfied. In other words, for  $-\sqrt{2} \le t \le \sqrt{2}$ ,

$$\rho_t = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} t + \sqrt{2} & t - \sqrt{2} \\ t + \sqrt{2} & -t + \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

provides a one parameter family of quantum  $U_2$ -states in  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Similarly, the following provides a one parameter family of quantum  $U_2 \otimes U_2$ -states

$$\frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} t^2 + 2\sqrt{2}t + 2 & t^2 - 2 & t^2 - 2\sqrt{2}t + 2 \\ t^2 + 2\sqrt{2}t + 2 & -t^2 + 2 & t^2 - 2 & -t^2 + 2\sqrt{2}t - 2 \\ t^2 + 2\sqrt{2}t + 2 & t^2 - 2 & -t^2 + 2\sqrt{2}t - 2 \\ t^2 + 2\sqrt{2}t + 2 & -t^2 + 2 & -t^2 + 2 & t^2 - 2\sqrt{2}t + 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $-\sqrt{2} \le t \le \sqrt{2}$ .

Also, similar to the earlier example, we get any  $U_2$ -CP map  $\phi$  in the following form:

$$\begin{split} \phi(A) &= V^{\#}\pi(A)V = (U_{2}V^{*}U_{2}^{*})(W^{*}AW)V \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} - \overline{\beta} \\ \overline{\alpha} - \overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \overline{\gamma}a_{12}\delta \\ \overline{\delta}a_{21}\gamma & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (\overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta})\alpha a_{11} + (\overline{\alpha} - \overline{\beta})\overline{\delta}\gamma\alpha a_{21} & (\overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta})\overline{\gamma}\delta\beta a_{12} + (\overline{\alpha} - \overline{\beta})\beta a_{22} \\ (\overline{\alpha} - \overline{\beta})\alpha a_{11} + (\overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta})\overline{\delta}\gamma\alpha a_{21} & (\overline{\alpha} - \overline{\beta})\overline{\gamma}\delta\beta a_{12} + (\overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta})\beta a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Also if  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 1$ , then  $\phi(A)$  is of the form

$$\phi(A) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \overline{\beta}\alpha)a_{11} + (1 - \overline{\beta}\alpha)\overline{\delta}\gamma a_{21} & (\overline{\alpha}\beta + 1)\overline{\gamma}\delta a_{12} + (\overline{\alpha}\beta - 1)a_{22} \\ (1 - \overline{\beta}\alpha)a_{11} + (1 + \overline{\beta}\alpha)\overline{\delta}\gamma a_{21} & (\overline{\alpha}\beta - 1)\overline{\gamma}\delta a_{12} + (\overline{\alpha}\beta + 1)a_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. Let  $\mathbb{C}^3$  be a 3-dimensional S-space with an indefinite metric induced by  $U_3$ , where  $U_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$ . It is easy to observe that the matrices

$$\mu_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mu_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mu_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu_8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu_9 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

form a basis for  $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus, for any  $A \in M_3(\mathbb{C})$ , we have  $A = \sum_{i=1}^9 a_i \mu_i$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then, we get

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 - a_4 & a_2 - a_5 & a_3 - a_6 \\ a_1 + a_4 & a_2 + a_5 & a_3 + a_6 \\ a_7\sqrt{2} & a_8\sqrt{2} & a_9\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (7.2)

Since

$$U_3^* A = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \\ a_7 & a_8 & a_9 \end{pmatrix},$$

after comparing  $U_3^*A$  and  $(U_3^*A)^*$ , one may easily find out that A is  $U_3$ -self adjoint if and only if  $a_1$ ,  $a_5$  and  $a_9$  are reals and  $a_2 = \overline{a_4}$ ,  $a_3 = \overline{a_7}$  and  $a_6 = \overline{a_8}$ , that is,  $U_3^*A$  has the form

$$U_3^* A = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ \overline{a_2} & a_5 & a_6 \\ \overline{a_3} & \overline{a_6} & a_9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further,  $U_3^*A$  is positive, that is, A is  $U_3$ -positive if and only if the following conditions hold:

$$a_1 \ge 0,\tag{7.3}$$

$$a_1 a_5 - |a_2|^2 \ge 0 (7.4)$$

and 
$$a_1 a_5 a_9 - a_1 |a_6|^2 - |a_2|^2 a_9 - |a_3|^2 a_5 + 2\Re(a_2 \overline{a_3} a_6) \ge 0.$$
 (7.5)

Also,  $U_3^*A$  is a quantum state, that is, A is a quantum  $U_3$ -state if and only if

$$a_1 + a_5 + a_9 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

In particular, if we choose  $a_i = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}$  in (7.2), then the matrix A =

$$\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 is a  $U_3$ -state, where

$$U_3^*A = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using this example we give the following quantum separable  $U_3 \otimes U_3$ -state:

In [7], Choi gave the following entangled state which has positive partial transpose:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider

$$C := \frac{2}{21} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

One may easily check that

$$A = (U_3 \otimes U_3)C = \frac{1}{21} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & -2\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 0\\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 2 & 3 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 2\sqrt{2} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 2\sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a  $U_3 \otimes U_3$ -entangled state.

### Acknowledgement

Azad Rohilla thanks Harsh Trivedi for a research visit during February-March 2024 at The LNM Institute of Information Technology. Harsh Trivedi is supported by MATRICS-SERB Research Grant, File No: MTR/2021/000286, by SERB, Department of Science & Technology (DST), Government of India. Harsh Trivedi acknowledges the DST-FIST program (Govt. of India) FIST - No. SR/FST/MS-I/2018/24.

### References

- [1] J.-P. Antoine and S. Ōta, Unbounded GNS representations of a \*-algebra in a Kreĭn space, Lett. Math. Phys. 18 (1989), no. 4, 267–274.
- [2] B. V. R. Bhat and N. Mallick, Nilpotent completely positive maps, Positivity, 2014, 18, pp.567-577.
- [3] J. Bognár, Indefinite inner product spaces, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1974, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 78.
- [4] P. J. M. Bongaarts, Maxwell's equations in axiomatic quantum field theory. I. Field tensor and potentials, J. Mathematical Phys. 18 (1977), no. 7, 1510–1516.
- [5] H.-J. Borchers, On the structure of the algebra of field operators. II, Comm. Math. Phys. 1 (1965), 49–56.
- [6] M. D. Choi, Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices, Linear algebra and its applications. 1975 Jun 1; 10(3):285-90.
- [7] M. D. Choi and R. V. Kadison, Positive linear maps in Operator Algebras and Applications Kingston, 1980, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math, 1980.
- [8] S. Dey and H. Trivedi, KSGNS construction for τ-maps on S-modules and κ-families, Oper. Matrices 11 (2017), no. 3, 679–696.
- [9] S. Dey and H. Trivedi, Bures Distance and Transition Probability for α-CPD-Kernels, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 13, 2171–2190 (2019).
- [10] P. A. M. Dirac, The physical interpretation of quantum mechanics, Proc. Roy. Soc. London. Ser. A. 180 (1942), 1–40.

- [11] J. Heo, Quantum J-channels on Krein spaces. (English summary) Quantum Inf. Process. 22 (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 16, 18 pp.
- [12] M. Horodecki, P. W. Shor, and M. B. Ruskai, Entanglement breaking channels Reviews in Mathematical Physics 15, no. 06 (2003): 629-641.
- [13] A. S. Holevo, Coding Theorems for Quantum Channels, Russian Math. Surveys 53, 1295–1331 (1999).
- [14] W. Pauli, On Dirac's new method of field quantization, Rev. Modern Phys. 15 (1943), 175–207.
- [15] F. Philipp, F. H. Szafraniec, and C. Trunk, Selfadjoint operators in S-spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 260 (2011), no. 4, 1045–1059.
- [16] F.-S. Raúl; F. Raúl, J-states and quantum channels between indefinite metric spaces. (English summary) Quantum Inf. Process., 21 (2022), no. 4, Paper No. 139, 32 pp.
- [17] E. G. Rieffel and P. H. Wolfgang, Quantum computing: A gentle introduction, MIT press, 2011.
- [18] W. F. Stinespring, Positive functions on C\*-algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1955), 211–216.
- [19] F. H. Szafraniec, Two-sided weighted shifts are 'almost Krein' normal, Spectral theory in inner product spaces and applications, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 188, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009, pp. 245–250.

#### Priyabrata Bag

Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Gandhi Institute of Technology and Management (Deemed-to-be University), Doddaballapur Taluk, Bengaluru, Karnataka 561203, INDIA

e-mail: pbag@gitam.edu, priyabrata.bag@gmail.com

#### Azad Rohilla\*

Centre for Mathematical and Financial Computing, Department of Mathematics, The LNM Institute of Information Technology, Rupa ki Nangal, Post-Sumel, Via-Jamdoli Jaipur-302031, (Rajasthan) INDIA

e-mail: 18pmt005@lnmiit.ac.in, azadrohilla23@gmail.com

#### Harsh Trivedi

Centre for Mathematical and Financial Computing, Department of Mathematics, The LNM Institute of Information Technology, Rupa ki Nangal, Post-Sumel, Via-Jamdoli Jaipur-302031, (Rajasthan) INDIA

e-mail: harsh.trivedi@lnmiit.ac.in, trivediharsh26@gmail.com