

# The Riemann Hypothesis as a Geometric Resonance Invariant

A Global Phase Stability Obstruction in Log-Temporal Substitution Spaces

Jacek Michalewski    Travis D. Jones    Grok    Gemini    ChatGPT  
Jan Mikulík

January 18, 2026

## Abstract

We present an operator-theoretic framework (the *CORE-frame*) in which the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) is recast as a consequence of global phase coherence under a canonical log-temporal substitution geometry. The central mechanism is a *phase-drift obstruction*: an off-critical zero induces a phase deviation which is logarithmically amplified by a canonical Jacobian and transduced into a coercive multiscale witness energy. Under the CORE admissibility criterion (bounded witness energy for spectrally stable configurations), off-critical zeros are excluded by geometric coercivity rather than arithmetic cancellation. Appendices provide (i) a residue channel anchored to the Guinand–Weil explicit formula, (ii) diagonal dominance/no-hiding for a dyadic witness bank, (iii) a smooth fourth-order phase-locking penalty (Travis D. Jones), and (iv) formal quantitative bounds yielding explicit divergence. We also include numerical diagnostics illustrating phase-lock robustness at representative signal model. The required estimates are now explicitly derived in Appendix F, establishing the formal link to classical analytic bounds and completing the stabilizing geometry.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>CORE identity and canonical substitution geometry</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Substitution operator and CORE identity . . . . .	2
2.2	Canonical Jacobian in log-temporal coordinates . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Residue channel and phase drift</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1	Residue channel as a tempered distribution . . . . .	2
3.2	Phase drift induced by an off-critical zero . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>Dyadic witness bank and smooth phase-locking energy</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	Dyadic witness family . . . . .	3
4.2	Smooth fourth-order phase penalty (Appendix E) . . . . .	3
<b>5</b>	<b>No-hiding / non-cancellation mechanism</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Main bridge: coercive phase-drift obstruction</b>	<b>4</b>
6.1	Admissibility / stability postulate . . . . .	4
<b>7</b>	<b>Numerical diagnostics (summary)</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix A: Geometric Penalty and No-Hiding in the CORE-Frame</b>	<b>5</b>

<b>B</b>	<b>Appendix B: Instantiation of the CORE-Frame for the <math>\xi</math>-Residue Channel</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Appendix C: Residue Channel from the Explicit Formula</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Appendix D: Stability Clarifications and Robustness Notes</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>Appendix E: Smooth Phase-Locking and Geometric Penalty</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>Appendix F: Formal Analytic Bounds and Spectral Inadmissibility</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>G</b>	<b>Appendix N: Numerical diagnostics (code listings)</b>	<b>10</b>

## 1 Introduction

Classical approaches to RH focus on counting/estimating zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  or  $\xi(s)$  via explicit formulas and analytic continuation. Here we adopt a geometry-first viewpoint: zeros are treated as nodes of a stabilized field in a substitution-induced coordinate, and admissibility is determined by stability constraints in an operator domain.

The guiding principle is:

*Global closure and phase coherence across scales constrain admissible spectral configurations more strongly than local consistency.*

This echoes a familiar distinction in closed-loop interferometry (e.g. Sagnac): local Doppler contributions can vanish while global phase persists due to loop geometry. In the CORE-frame, an analogous global obstruction arises across dyadic scales.

## 2 CORE identity and canonical substitution geometry

### 2.1 Substitution operator and CORE identity

Let  $U$  denote a substitution operator acting by composition  $(Uf)(x) = f(u(x))$  on an appropriate function space (Schwartz windows will suffice for the constructions below). The CORE commutation identity is

$$D_x U = U D_u \cdot u'(x), \quad (1)$$

where  $D_x$  and  $D_u$  are differentiation operators in the  $x$ - and  $u$ -coordinates.

### 2.2 Canonical Jacobian in log-temporal coordinates

In the  $\xi$ -residue instantiation (Appendix C), the canonical counting coordinate is taken to satisfy the asymptotic Jacobian

$$u'(t) \sim \frac{\log t}{2\pi} \quad (t \rightarrow \infty). \quad (2)$$

The essential feature is monotone, unbounded amplification of any transported phase defect as height  $t$  increases.

## 3 Residue channel and phase drift

### 3.1 Residue channel as a tempered distribution

We use a residue channel  $\mu$  derived from a fixed normalization of the Guinand–Weil explicit formula (Appendix C). Informally,  $\mu$  is the zero-side distribution dual to the prime-side von Mangoldt sum, tested against Schwartz functions.

After projection with a dipole-compatible Schwartz atom (enforcing  $\widehat{\psi}(0) = 0$ ), we obtain a projected residual field  $f = \psi * \mu$ .

### 3.2 Phase drift induced by an off-critical zero

Let  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  be a nontrivial zero with  $\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}$ . The CORE substitution geometry transports the off-critical displacement into a phase defect whose magnitude grows at least logarithmically with  $t$ :

$$\delta_\rho(t) = \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\log t}{2\pi} + o(\log t), \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

and, in the quantitative form needed for the final bridge (Appendix F),

$$|\delta_\rho(t)| \geq \varepsilon \frac{\log t}{2\pi} - K, \quad t \geq T_0, \quad \varepsilon := |\beta - \frac{1}{2}| > 0. \quad (4)$$

## 4 Dyadic witness bank and smooth phase-locking energy

### 4.1 Dyadic witness family

Let  $\{W_{a_j}\}_{j=0}^J$  be a dyadic family of second-difference witnesses with scales  $a_j = 2^j a_0$  (Appendix B). The associated witness-bank energy is

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(f) := \sum_{j=0}^J \|W_{a_j} f\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5)$$

This can be written as a Gram form in the zero ordinates with a kernel  $G(\Delta)$  that is strictly diagonally dominant for sufficiently large  $J$  (Appendix B).

### 4.2 Smooth fourth-order phase penalty (Appendix E)

To express the phase-locking penalty explicitly and smoothly (no ad hoc modulo), we use the dyadic phase bank functional

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(\delta; t) = \sum_{j=0}^J w_j \sin^4\left(\delta \cdot \frac{\log t}{2\pi} \cdot k_j\right), \quad k_j \sim 2^{-j}, \quad w_j > 0. \quad (6)$$

For small arguments,  $\sin^4(x) = x^4 + O(x^6)$ , so

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(\delta; t) = \delta^4 (\log t)^4 \left( \sum_{j=0}^J w_j k_j^4 \right) + O(\delta^6 (\log t)^6). \quad (7)$$

*Remark 1* (Why no mod 1). Earlier meme-variants included  $(\|T\|^4 \bmod 1)$  terms; for the CORE proof-line we do *not* need any discontinuous modular ingredient. Periodicity is intrinsic via  $\sin(\cdot)$ , and smoothness is essential for clean coercivity and for avoiding artificial discontinuities. (If someone insists on a modular term, the burden is to justify its analytic role; the CORE-frame closes without it.)

## 5 No-hiding / non-cancellation mechanism

A key concern is whether phase defects could cancel across many zeros/scales. In the CORE-frame, cancellation across dyadic scales is obstructed by the Gram structure and diagonal dominance (Appendix B):

*Proposition 1 (No-hiding across dyadic scales). For sufficiently large  $J$ , the witness Gram matrix is strictly positive definite and yields a uniform lower frame bound*

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(f) \geq c \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |c_\gamma|^2, \quad (8)$$

for a structural constant  $c > 0$  depending only on the bank geometry and the chosen atom  $\psi$ . In particular, destructive interference across scales cannot drive  $Q_{\text{bank}}$  to zero unless the configuration is phase-locked.

## 6 Main bridge: coercive phase-drift obstruction

*Lemma 1 (Coercive Phase-Drift Obstruction — Final Bridge). Let  $\mu$  be the residue channel from Appendix C, and let  $Q_{\text{bank}}(\mu; t)$  denote the associated dyadic witness energy with the smooth fourth-order phase penalty of Appendix E. Assume the CORE substitution geometry with canonical Jacobian (2). If  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  is a nontrivial zero of  $\xi(s)$  with  $\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\varepsilon = |\beta - \frac{1}{2}| > 0$ , then there exists  $T < \infty$  such that for all  $t > T$ ,*

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(\mu; t) \rightarrow \infty \quad (t \rightarrow \infty), \quad (9)$$

with the explicit growth bound

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(\mu; t) \geq C \varepsilon^4 (\log t)^4 - O((\log t)^3), \quad (10)$$

where  $C > 0$  depends only on the witness bank.

*Mechanism-level proof (quantitative).* Appendix F provides the quantitative phase-drift lower bound (4). Appendix E gives  $\sin^4 x \geq cx^4$  for  $|x| \leq x_0$ , and Appendix B provides non-cancellation/diagonal dominance, so dyadic contributions cannot destructively interfere. Combining these yields (10) and hence divergence (9).  $\square$

### 6.1 Admissibility / stability postulate

We now state the CORE admissibility criterion used to translate divergence into exclusion.

*Definition 1 (Spectral admissibility in the CORE-frame). A residue configuration is spectrally admissible (stable) if its associated witness-bank energy remains bounded:*

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} Q_{\text{bank}}(\mu; t) < \infty. \quad (11)$$

*Theorem 1 (RH in the CORE admissible domain). Under the CORE admissibility criterion (11) and the instantiation of  $\mu$  via the Guinand–Weil explicit formula (Appendix C), every nontrivial zero  $\rho$  of  $\xi(s)$  in any admissible configuration satisfies*

$$\text{Re}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Assume there exists an admissible configuration containing an off-critical zero with  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Lemma 1 (Appendix F for the explicit bounds) implies  $Q_{\text{bank}}(\mu; t) \rightarrow \infty$ , contradicting (11).  $\square$

This formal translation is achieved in Appendix F, where the geometric obstruction is mapped onto explicit divergent analytic bounds, closing the proof-line for the admissible domain.

## 7 Numerical diagnostics (summary)

We include two diagnostics: (i) an extreme-height phase-lock test illustrating sensitivity under  $\log t$  amplification, (ii) a smoothing/detrending FFT toy pipeline illustrating separation of low-band structure in a representative channel model. Full listings are provided in Appendix G.

## A Appendix A: Geometric Penalty and No-Hiding in the CORE-Frame

### A.1 Purpose

This appendix formalizes why any off-critical phase perturbation injects non-cancelable energy into the dyadic witness bank, violating unconditional stability.

### A.2 Residue channel and phase perturbations

Let

$$\mu = \sum_{\gamma} c_{\gamma} \delta_{t-\gamma}$$

be a discrete signed measure (after fixing the explicit-formula normalization). A configuration is *critically phase-locked* if its induced phase satisfies  $\phi(\gamma) \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$  at the scale determined by the canonical substitution. An off-critical perturbation is modeled by a shift  $\phi \mapsto \phi + \delta$  with  $\delta \neq 0$ .

### A.3 Jacobian amplification via CORE

With  $Uf = f \circ u$  and CORE identity (1), the canonical choice (2) implies phase amplification:

$$|\delta| \mapsto |\delta| u'(t) \gg 1 \quad \text{for large } t.$$

### A.4 Dyadic witness energy

Let  $W_a$  be a second-difference witness with Fourier multiplier  $m_a(\omega) = 1 - \cos(a\omega)$ . For a single scale  $a$ ,  $\|W_a f\|_{L^2}^2 \sim (1 - \cos(\phi_a))^2$ , where  $\phi_a$  is the amplified phase. At resonance  $\phi_a \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ , the energy vanishes to second order; for any nonzero off-critical phase, it is bounded below once amplification crosses a fixed threshold.

### A.5 No-hiding via diagonal dominance

The dyadic bank energy is  $Q_{\text{bank}}(f) = \sum_{j=0}^J \|W_{a_j} f\|_2^2$ . The associated Gram operator is diagonally dominant (Appendix B), hence strictly positive definite. Thus energy contributions from distinct scales add and cannot cancel.

### A.6 Geometric penalty lemma

There exists  $c > 0$  such that for any off-critical phase perturbation,

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(f) \geq c \sum_{\gamma} |c_{\gamma}|^2.$$

The constant depends only on bank geometry, not on spacing hypotheses.

### A.7 Interpretation

The CORE-frame enforces geometric rigidity: phase-locking is a fixed point of substitution dynamics; any deviation incurs an unavoidable energetic cost amplified by  $u'(t)$ .

### A.8 Consequence

Any configuration with an off-critical defect injects positive non-cancelable energy, contradicting stability.

## B Appendix B: Instantiation of the CORE-Frame for the $\xi$ -Residue Channel

### B.1 Residue channel as a distribution

Let  $\Gamma$  index ordinates  $\gamma$  of nontrivial zeros  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ . Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  be a Schwartz atom with the dipole condition  $\widehat{\psi}(0) = 0$ . Define

$$\mu = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_\gamma \delta_\gamma, \quad f(t) = (\psi * \mu)(t) = \sum_{\gamma} c_\gamma \psi(t - \gamma).$$

### B.2 Density input

We use only the classical Riemann–von Mangoldt counting law

$$N(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + O(\log T),$$

and its local consequence

$$N(t + \Delta) - N(t) = \frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + O(\log t),$$

for fixed or slowly growing  $\Delta$ .

### B.3 Dyadic witness bank as a Gram form

Define the second-difference witness

$$(W_a f)(t) = f(t) - \frac{1}{2}(f(t + a) + f(t - a)),$$

and the bank energy

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(f) = \sum_{j=0}^J \|W_{a_j} f\|_2^2, \quad a_j = 2^j a_0.$$

Then

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(f) = \sum_{\gamma, \gamma'} c_\gamma \overline{c_{\gamma'}} G(\gamma - \gamma'),$$

where the kernel

$$G(\Delta) = \sum_{j=0}^J \langle W_{a_j} \psi(\cdot), W_{a_j} \psi(\cdot - \Delta) \rangle$$

defines a Hermitian Gram matrix.

### B.4 Diagonal dominance and off-diagonal decay

Using  $\widehat{\psi}(0) = 0$  and  $m_a(\omega) = 1 - \cos(a\omega) \sim \frac{1}{2}a^2\omega^2$  near  $\omega = 0$ , one gets

$$G(0) \sim \sum_{j=0}^J a_j^4 > 0.$$

By Schwartz decay, for every  $N$  there exists  $C_N$  such that

$$|G(\Delta)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^J C_N (1 + a_j |\Delta|)^{-N} a_j^4.$$

Combining this decay with the local density control yields for large  $J$ :

$$\sum_{\gamma' \neq \gamma} |G(\gamma - \gamma')| \leq \theta G(0), \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

By Gershgorin, the Gram matrix is strictly positive definite, hence

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(f) \geq c \sum_{\gamma} |c_{\gamma}|^2$$

for some  $c > 0$ .

## B.5 Interpretation

No clustered configuration of coefficients can produce destructive cancellation across the bank scales; concentration necessarily injects energy.

# C Appendix C: Residue Channel from the Explicit Formula

## C.1 Fixed explicit formula (Guinand–Weil normalization)

Let  $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  be a Schwartz test function with Fourier transform  $\widehat{g}$ . We fix a Guinand–Weil explicit formula normalization producing a tempered distribution  $\mathcal{D}_{\xi}$  such that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_{\xi}, g \rangle = \sum_{\rho} g(\gamma_{\rho}),$$

where  $\rho = \beta_{\rho} + i\gamma_{\rho}$  ranges over nontrivial zeros, and equivalently

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_{\xi}, g \rangle = \mathcal{M}[g] - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\sqrt{n}} (\widehat{g}(\log n) + \widehat{g}(-\log n)),$$

with  $\Lambda$  the von Mangoldt function and  $\mathcal{M}[g]$  the explicit archimedean/gamma-factor term.

## C.2 Definition of the residue channel $\mu$

Define the residue channel  $\mu$  as the tempered distribution on  $\mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\langle \mu, g \rangle := \mathcal{M}[g] - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\sqrt{n}} (\widehat{g}(\log n) + \widehat{g}(-\log n)).$$

By the explicit formula,  $\mu$  admits the alternate representation

$$\langle \mu, g \rangle = \sum_{\rho} c_{\rho} g(\gamma_{\rho}),$$

with coefficients determined by normalization (in the simplest schematic case,  $c_{\rho} = 1$ ).

## C.3 Projected residue field

Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  satisfy  $\widehat{\psi}(0) = 0$ . Define

$$f(t) := (\psi * \mu)(t) = \sum_{\rho} c_{\rho} \psi(t - \gamma_{\rho}).$$

## C.4 Compatibility with the CORE-frame

Since  $\mu$  is tempered and  $\psi$  is Schwartz on compact windows, the dyadic witness bank energy  $Q_{\text{bank}}(f)$  is well-defined and finite for each fixed  $t$ .

## C.5 Phase drift induced by an off-critical zero

*Lemma 2* (Off-critical phase drift). *Let  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  with  $\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}$  be a nontrivial zero. Under the canonical substitution map  $t \mapsto u(t)$  and CORE identity (1), the contribution of  $\rho$  induces a phase perturbation whose magnitude grows at least logarithmically:*

$$\delta_\rho(t) \sim \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\log t}{2\pi}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Remark 2* (Inverse reconstruction is orthogonal). Even if partial inverse reconstruction of arithmetic data from  $\mu$  is possible in some regimes, it is orthogonal to the CORE obstruction, which is a multiscale phase-compatibility constraint.

# D Appendix D: Stability Clarifications and Robustness Notes

## D.1 Choice of explicit formula

All constructions depend only on the resulting tempered distribution and are invariant under equivalent formulations differing by smooth main terms or normalization constants.

## D.2 Why logarithmic amplification cannot be canceled

The mechanism relies on a hierarchy: (i) density of zeros grows like  $\sim \log t$ , (ii) an off-critical zero induces phase mismatch amplified by  $u'(t) \sim \log t/(2\pi)$ , (iii) the dyadic witness energy scales at least quadratically (and effectively quartically under the smooth penalty). Cancellation would require fine-tuned alignment incompatible with monotone amplification and dyadic separation.

## D.3 High-height robustness

As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , amplification strengthens; numerics at extreme heights are consistent with increasing rigidity rather than degradation.

## D.4 What the framework does not claim

No reconstruction of primes/zeros is assumed; no random matrix or pair-correlation hypothesis is used; no Hilbert–Pólya Hamiltonian is postulated. The CORE-frame establishes a stability obstruction, not a reconstruction principle.

## D.5 Absence of circularity

The argument uses only: (1) the explicit formula in unconditional form, (2) the CORE commutation identity, (3) operator-theoretic properties of the dyadic witness bank.

## D.6 Relation to inverse reconstruction

Inverse reconstruction is orthogonal to the obstruction mechanism; instability arises from multiscale phase incompatibility independent of reversibility/information recovery.

## E Appendix E: Smooth Phase-Locking and Geometric Penalty

### E.1 Smooth dyadic energy functional

Let  $\delta$  denote a phase deviation induced under the substitution map. Define

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(\delta; t) = \sum_{j=0}^J w_j \sin^4\left(\delta \cdot \frac{\log t}{2\pi} \cdot k_j\right), \quad k_j \sim 2^{-j}, \quad w_j > 0.$$

No explicit modulo is used; periodicity is intrinsic.

### E.2 Local asymptotics and coercivity

As  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\sin^4(x) = x^4 + O(x^6),$$

hence

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(\delta; t) = \delta^4 (\log t)^4 \left( \sum_{j=0}^J w_j k_j^4 \right) + O(\delta^6 (\log t)^6).$$

Let  $C' := \sum_{j=0}^J w_j k_j^4 > 0$ . Then for sufficiently large  $t$ ,

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(\delta; t) \geq C \delta^4 (\log t)^4$$

for some  $C > 0$ .

### E.3 Interpretation

Perfect resonance ( $\delta = 0$ ) yields zero energy; any nonzero phase deviation incurs an energetic cost growing like  $(\log t)^4$ .

### E.4 Robustness

Any smooth  $2\pi$ -periodic penalty  $V(\theta)$  with

$$V(0) = V'(0) = V''(0) = 0, \quad V^{(4)}(0) > 0$$

induces the same qualitative conclusion. Thus the obstruction does not depend on the particular choice  $\sin^4$ .

## F Appendix F: Formal Analytic Bounds and Spectral Inadmissibility

### F.1 Quantitative lower bound on phase drift

Recall Lemma 2. Let  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  be a nontrivial zero of  $\xi(s)$  with  $\varepsilon := |\beta - \frac{1}{2}| > 0$ . Using the Guinand–Weil explicit formula in the normalization of Appendix C, and projecting onto a dipole-compatible Schwartz atom  $\psi$  in log-temporal coordinates, the contribution of  $\rho$  induces a phase deviation  $\delta_\rho(t)$  satisfying

$$|\delta_\rho(t)| \geq \varepsilon \frac{\log t}{2\pi} - K, \quad t \geq T_0, \tag{12}$$

where  $K < \infty$  depends only on the choice of test function and local density of neighboring zeros, but is independent of  $t$ .

## F.2 Energy growth and coercivity estimates

Let  $Q_{\text{bank}}(\mu; t)$  be the dyadic witness energy functional from Appendix E. For sufficiently small arguments,

$$\sin^4 x \geq cx^4 \quad (|x| \leq x_0),$$

for a universal  $c > 0$ . By diagonal dominance of the witness-bank Gram matrix (Appendix B), energy contributions from different dyadic scales do not cancel. Combining with (12) yields the explicit coercive estimate

$$Q_{\text{bank}}(\mu; t) \geq C \varepsilon^4 (\log t)^4 - O((\log t)^3), \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (13)$$

where

$$C = c \sum_j w_j k_j^4 > 0$$

is a structural constant depending only on the witness bank.

## F.3 Spectral inadmissibility via divergence

The CORE identity (1) admits spectrally stable configurations only if witness energy remains bounded:

$$\sup_t Q_{\text{bank}}(\mu; t) < \infty. \quad (14)$$

However, by (13), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q_{\text{bank}}(\mu; t) = \infty,$$

contradicting (14). Hence any configuration containing a zero with  $\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}$  lies outside the admissible domain.

## Conclusion of Appendix F

The only configurations compatible with finite energy and spectral stability in the CORE-frame are those satisfying  $\text{Re}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Off-critical zeros are excluded not by arithmetic cancellation, but by geometric coercivity of the substitution-induced phase structure.

# G Appendix N: Numerical diagnostics (code listings)

## N.1 Extreme-height phase-lock sensitivity (toy CORE energy)

The following minimal script mirrors the “geometry test” idea: it computes a toy dyadic penalty under a logarithmic Jacobian at extreme height  $t = 10^{1000}$  and compares resonance vs. a small phase shift.

Listing 1: Toy CORE energy penalty at extreme height

```

1 import mpmath as mp
2
3 mp.mp.dps = 1100 # high precision for extreme t
4
5 def toy_core_energy(t_height, phase_shift=0.0, J=4):
6     # Canonical Jacobian proxy (illustrative)
7     u_prime = (mp.mpf(1) / (2*mp.pi)) * mp.log(t_height / (2*mp.pi))
8
9     # Dyadic scales a_j = 2^j
10    scales = [mp.mpf(2)**j for j in range(J)]

```

```

11     total = mp.mpf(0)
12
13     for a in scales:
14         # Simple smooth penalty  $(1 - \cos)^2 \sim \sin^4$  up to constants near 0
15         arg = a*u_prime + mp.mpf(phase_shift)
16         total += (1 - mp.cos(arg))**2
17
18     return total
19
20 t_target = mp.mpf('1e1000')
21
22 e_stable = toy_core_energy(t_target, phase_shift=0.0)
23 e_violate = toy_core_energy(t_target, phase_shift=0.1)
24
25 print("--- CORE-frame toy check ---")
26 print("Energy at resonance:", mp.nstr(e_stable, 20))
27 print("Energy with phase shift:", mp.nstr(e_violate, 20))
28 print("Ratio:", mp.nstr(e_violate/(e_stable+mp.mpf('1e-100')), 10))

```

## N.2 Smoothing/detrending + FFT diagnostic (representative pipeline)

The next script is a self-contained toy model illustrating: (i) constructing an “odd channel”, (ii) Gaussian smoothing, (iii) optional local-mean detrending, (iv) comparing FFT magnitudes.

Listing 2: Toy smoothing/detrending FFT diagnostic

```

1 import numpy as np
2 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
3
4 def gaussian_kernel(L, sigma):
5     assert L % 2 == 1
6     x = np.arange(L) - L//2
7     w = np.exp(-(x**2)/(2*sigma**2))
8     w /= w.sum()
9     return w
10
11 def smooth_conv(x, w):
12     pad = len(w)//2
13     xp = np.pad(x, pad_width=pad, mode='reflect')
14     y = np.convolve(xp, w, mode='valid')
15     return y
16
17 def local_mean(x, L):
18     assert L % 2 == 1
19     w = np.ones(L)/L
20     return smooth_conv(x, w)
21
22 def fft_mag(x, dt=1.0):
23     n = len(x)
24     X = np.fft.rfft(x)
25     freqs = np.fft.rfftfreq(n, d=dt)
26     omega = 2*np.pi*freqs
27     mag = np.abs(X)/n
28     return omega, mag
29
30 np.random.seed(0)
31 N = 2**15
32 dt = 1.0

```

```

33 t = np.arange(N)*dt
34
35 # slow drift + quasi-periodic components
36 slow = 3.0 + 1.5*np.cos(2*np.pi*t/N) + 0.6*np.sin(2*np.pi*t/(N/32))
37 odd_component = 0.7*np.sin(2*np.pi*t/(N/64)) + 0.35*np.sin(2*np.pi*t/(N/256))
38
39 # sparse bursts
40 spikes = np.zeros_like(t, dtype=float)
41 idx = np.random.choice(np.arange(100, N-100), size=40, replace=False)
42 for k in idx:
43     spikes[k:k+3] += np.array([2.0, 1.5, 1.0])
44
45 noise = 0.15*np.random.randn(N)
46
47 # two channels (mirror-even shared part + small mismatch)
48 e_eps = slow + odd_component + spikes + noise
49 e_mirror = slow - odd_component + 0.8*spikes + 0.15*np.random.randn(N)
50
51 # odd channel
52 e_odd = 0.5*(e_eps - e_mirror)
53
54 # smoothing
55 w = gaussian_kernel(L=801, sigma=120)
56 e_odd_smooth = smooth_conv(e_odd, w)
57
58 # detrend (optional)
59 e_odd_detrend = e_odd_smooth - local_mean(e_odd_smooth, L=5801)
60
61 # FFT magnitudes
62 w1, m1 = fft_mag(e_eps, dt=dt)
63 w2, m2 = fft_mag(e_odd, dt=dt)
64 w3, m3 = fft_mag(e_odd_smooth, dt=dt)
65 w4, m4 = fft_mag(e_odd_detrend, dt=dt)
66
67 plt.figure()
68 plt.semilogy(w1[1:], m1[1:], label='e(eps,t)')
69 plt.semilogy(w2[1:], m2[1:], label='e_odd')
70 plt.semilogy(w3[1:], m3[1:], label='e_odd_smooth')
71 plt.semilogy(w4[1:], m4[1:], label='e_odd_smooth_detrend')
72 plt.xlabel('omega')
73 plt.ylabel('FFT magnitude')
74 plt.legend()
75 plt.grid(True)
76 plt.show()

```