



Radius/Momentum Calculation for S444 Experiment February 2020 - Overview

Tobias Jenegger

September 8, 2020

0.1 The Setup



1 Geometry and relative position of the detectors in the beam direction

Here, the positions are given for the s444 and s467 experiments

z position of the MWPC0: $z_{MW0} = -2520$ mm
z position of the target: $z_T = -684.5$ mm
z position of the MWPC1 in front of the Twin-MUSIC: $z_{M1} = 279$ mm
z position of the middle of the Twin-MUSIC: $z_{Twin} = 553$ mm
z position of the MWPC2 after the Twin-MUSIC: $z_{M2} = 854$ mm
 α tilted angle of GLAD (14 degrees): $= 0.244$ rad
effective length of GLAD: $L_{eff} = 2067$ mm
z middle of GLAD $z_{Gm} = 2577$ mm
horizontal of the central path (18 degree) $\theta_{out0} = \pi/10$ rad
z position of the MWPC3 after GLAD $z_{M3} = 5937$ mm
z position of the ToFwall $z_{ToFW} = 6660.2$ mm

Correspondence between the GLAD current and the magnetic field: $I = 3584$ A, $B = 2.2$ T

Positions of the TOFWPads:

1 \Rightarrow Messel

27 \Rightarrow Wixhausen

2 RUNS used for calibration = SWEEP RUNS without target


RUN	Beam ion	Beam Energy [AmeV]	GLAD current [A]	Comments
36	12C primary	400	1444	before broken motor, here we see that tof is about 5ns faster. So they probably changed the position of the TOFW afterwards
37	12C primary	400	1444	it has be seen that motor drive not working
38	12C primary	400	1444	tof is back with new gates *magnet sweep 1444A
39	12C primary	400	1498	
40	12C primary	400	1501	
41	12C primary	400	1501	stopped with 1558 A
42	12C primary	400	1558	
43	12C primary	400	1558	stopped with 1653 A
44	12C primary	400	1653	
45	12C primary	400	1653	stopped with 1748 A
46	12C primary	400	1748	
47	12C primary	400	1748	stopped with 1843 A
48	12C primary	400	1843	
49	12C primary	400	1843	stopped with 1938 A
51	12C primary	400	1938	
52	12C primary	400	1938	stopped with 1444 A
53	12C primary	400	1444	
54	12C primary	400	1444	stopped with 1349 A
55	12C primary	400	1349	
56	12C primary	400	1349	stopped with 1254 A
57	12C primary	400	1254	
58	12C primary	400	1254	stopped with 1159
59	12C primary	400	1159	
60	12C primary	400	1159	stopped with 1064
61	12C primary	400	1064	
62	12C primary	400	1064	stopped with 1444 A
123	12C primary	650	1748	stopped with 1957
124	12C primary	650	1957	
	=	sweeping		
	=	stable GLAD current		

2.0.1 Other RUNS used for various checks:

RUN 70: 2 cm C target
 RUN 80: 10.86 mm C target
 RUN 81: 24.53 mm CH2 target
 RUN 67: 24 mm CH2 target
 RUN 68: 1 cm C target
 RUN 79: 12.29 mm CH2 target
 RUN 75: 21.98mm C target

Angle obtained from combining MWPC1 and MWPC2
(to get higher precision the drift time in TWIM MUSIC could be used)

3.1.2 From entrance to the exit of GLAD, the effective trajectory is circular



The circular trajectory is defined by:

- ⇒ one absolute position before GLAD B and angle theta_{in} (see: 3.1.1)
- ⇒ one absolute position at MWPC3

From this information the angle theta_{out} is constructed in following steps:

1. Extend the line of flight of the ion before the GLAD.
2. The point of intersection with the "kickplane" (symmetry axis line of GLAD magnet) is the kickpoint C.
3. Draw a straight line between C and the absolute position at MWPC3 = M3.
4. theta_{out} is the positive angle between the z-beam direction and the line between C and M3.

The curvature radius ρ is given by¹:

$$\rho = \frac{L_{\text{eff}}}{2 \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\theta_{\text{in}}}{2} + \frac{\theta_{\text{out}}}{2}\right) \cdot \cos(\delta)}$$

With δ :

$$\delta = \arctan\left(\left|\frac{\frac{\cos(\theta_{\text{out}}) - \cos(\theta_{\text{in}})}{\sin(\theta_{\text{out}}) + \sin(\theta_{\text{in}})} + \tan(\alpha)}{1 - \frac{\cos(\theta_{\text{out}}) - \cos(\theta_{\text{in}})}{\sin(\theta_{\text{out}}) + \sin(\theta_{\text{in}})} \cdot \tan(\alpha)}\right|\right)$$


The full derivation can be found in the appendix.

The circular trajectory is then given by:

$$\omega = 2 * \left| \arcsin\left[\frac{BD}{2 \cdot \rho}\right] \right|$$

with BD = length of the BD segment

3.1.3 After GLAD up to the TOFW, the trajectory is a straight line



The straight line trajectory from D to E is defined by:

¹for consistency checks the $\cos(\delta)$ term can be omitted, as it plays a minor role

- ⇒ the output angle from GLAD θ_{out}
- ⇒ one absolute position after GLAD in the laboratory frame M3

With this information the straight line trajectory length after GLAD can be measured. It starts at the exit point of GLAD D and follows the straight line (characterized by the angle θ_{out} and the absolute position at MWPC3) until the intersection with the ToFW (middle position of the ToFWall $z_{ToFW} = 6660.2mm$, tilted angle = 18°).

Finally the pathlength in the (x,z) plane from the target position to the ToFW is given by:

$$P = AB + \rho \cdot \omega + DE$$

where:

A = (x,z) position at the target point

B = (x,z) position at the GLAD entry point

D = (x,z) position at the GLAD exit point

E = (x,z) position where the constructed trajectory line hits the ToFW

The assumption for the "Kickplane" method is that the kickpoint for each event lies on the predefined Kickplane, the symmetry axis line of the GLAD magnet.


3.2 The "Fit-Track" method

For the "Fit-Track" method the assumption that the kickpoint C lies on the symmetry axis line of the GLAD magnet is rejected. Instead following algorithm is applied: ²

1. Extend the line of flight of the ion before the GLAD.
2. Draw a line from the point MW3 to C (as constructed with the "Kickplane" method).
3. Now sweep the straight line after the kickpoint, leaving the position MW3 unchanged but sweeping the intersection point along the inline beam.
4. For each sweeping step plot θ_{out} versus (d1-d2) where d1 is the distance between B and the point of intersection and d2 the distance between D and the intersection point accordingly.
5. 50 sweeping steps are performed.
6. Fit the final θ_{out} versus (d1-d2) plot with linear least square fit.

²This algorithm is motivated from https://www.blogs.uni-mainz.de/fb08-kernphysik/files/2018/09/PHDThesis_OlgaBertini.pdf, section 3.4

7. Find the intersection of the abscissa. The corresponding θ_{out} value is now the corrected one which should be used for the calculation of the radius.




3.3 The "Theta_in correction" method

Here the "Kickplane" method is used and subsequently the θ_{out} is corrected by θ_{in} . That means:

$\theta_{out_corr} = \theta_{out} - \theta_{in}$.

Consequently the θ_{in} dependence of ρ vanishes (neglecting the $\cos(\delta)$ term):

$$\rho = \frac{L_{eff}}{2 \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\theta_{in}}{2} + \frac{\theta_{out_corr}}{2}\right)} = \frac{L_{eff}}{2 \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\theta_{out}}{2}\right)}$$



3.4 Final method: "Advanced Fit-Track" method

The same track finding algorithm as for the "Fit-Track" method is used with the only difference that the value for θ_{in} is calculated from the fit of θ_{out} vs. x_{MW3} :

The parameters of the linear fit are used for the calculation of θ_{in} :

$$\theta_{in} = \alpha - a \cdot x_{MW3} - b$$

With **a** being the slope and **b** the offset of the fit. This method prevents from adding up the errors from θ_{in} measurement.

α corresponds to the calculated deflection angle depending on the GLAD current³.

³for more info see: <http://web-docs.gsi.de/~land/glad/>

4 Plots

In this section all the plots for the various track finding algorithms are presented. For the calculation of the θ_{in} angle MWPC1 and MWPC2 are used. Alternatively MWPC0 and MWPC2 could be used, to get a longer lever arm (work in progress ...).

4.1 MWPC1 vs MWPC2 - x position






Figure 1: MWPC1 vs MPWPC2 - x position for sweep runs 39-61.


4.2 MW0 vs MW2- x position




(a) "Kickplane-Method"



(b) "Theta_in correction-Method"



(c) "Fit-Track-Method"



(d) "Advanced Fit-Track-Method"

Figure 2: MWPC2 vs MWPC0 - x position for sweep runs 39-61.

4.3 MW1 vs MW3 - x position




Figure 3: MWPC3 vs MWPC1 - x position for sweep runs 39-61.

4.4 theta_out vs MW3- x position




Figure 4: Θ_{out} vs MWPC3 x position for sweep runs 39-61.

4.5 theta_in vs MW3 - x position




Figure 5: Θ_{in} vs MWPC3 x position for sweep runs 39-61.

4.6 $\theta_{\text{out}} + \theta_{\text{in}}$ vs MW3- x position




Figure 6: $\theta_{\text{out}} + \theta_{\text{in}}$ vs MWPC3 x position for sweep runs 39-61.

4.7 theta_in vs Radius




Figure 7: Θ_{in} vs GLAD Radius for sweep runs 39-61.

4.8 theta_out vs Radius




Figure 8: Theta_out vs GLAD Radius for sweep runs 39-61.

4.9 θ_{in} vs θ_{out}




Figure 9: θ_{in} vs θ_{out} for sweep runs 39-61.

4.10 MW3 vs Radius - x position




Figure 10: MWPC3 x position vs GLAD Radius for sweep runs 39-61.

4.11 MW2 vs Radius - x position




Figure 11: MWPC2 x position vs GLAD Radius for sweep runs 39-61.

5 Relative momentum resolution ”Advanced Fit-Track-Method”

The momentum resolution is calculated from the radius-calculation as $\rho \sim p$. From that follows:

$$\frac{\Delta p}{p} = \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho}$$

For the evaluation of the resolutions for the various sweep runs the two dimensional plot ”MWPC3.fX versus GLAD Radius” is projected on the abscissa. The resulting 1D plot is fitted with a gaussian. The mean value from the fit corresponds to ρ and the σ to $\Delta\rho$ respectively.

Runnr.	$\bar{\rho}$	σ	rel. resolution
39	6.41710e+03	2.51358e+01	3.917e-03
40	6.40432e+03	1.39878e+01	2.184e-03
42	6.15987e+03	1.41755e+01	2.301e-03
44	5.79263e+03	1.28877e+01	2.224e-03
46	5.46248e+03	1.22759e+01	2.247e-03
48	5.16684e+03	1.03795e+01	2.009e-03
51	4.90002e+03	9.92633e+00	2.026e-03
53	6.66682e+03	1.70937e+01	2.564e-03
55	7.15478e+03	1.76967e+01	2.473e-03
57	7.71594e+03	2.15223e+01	2.789e-03
59	8.37120e+03	2.47619e+01	2.957e-03
61	9.13984e+03	2.95353e+01	3.231e-03

With mean relative resolution $\frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho} = 2.69\text{e-}03$.