Transcript Coverage Analysis from Long Reads

David Eccles

Abstract

This protocol is for comparing two different samples at the transcript level, using long reads that are mapped to transcripts.

Input(s): stranded fastq files (see steps 1-8 of Stranded Mapping from Long Reads), transcript reference fasta file, annotation file

Output(s): transcript table, sorted by differential coverage, annotated with gene name / description / location

Citation: David Eccles Transcript Coverage Analysis from Long Reads. protocols.io

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.retd3en

Published: 02 Jul 2018

Before start

Obtain a transcript fasta file, and an annotation file. For the mouse genome, I use the following files:

- 1. Transcript [CDS] sequences from **Ensembl**; this file is the most current at the time this protocol was created.
- 2. Annotation file obtained from Ensembl BioMart (Ensembl Genes -> Mouse Genes) as a compressed TSV file with the following attribute columns:

1.

Transcript stable ID

2.

Gene description

3.

Gene start (bp)

4

Gene end (bp)

5.

Strand

6.

Gene name

7.

Chromosome/scaffold name

Protocol

Transcriptome Mapping

Step 1.

SOFTWARE PACKAGE (DEBIAN GNU/LINUX)

LAST [7]

Martin Frith http://last.cbrc.jp/last/

cmd COMMAND

```
lastdb Mus_musculus.GRCm38.cds.all.fa <(zcat Mus_musculus.GRCm38.cds.all.fa.gz)</pre>
```

Create the transcriptome index from the transcriptome fasta file using lastdb. An anonymous pipe is used "<()" to avoid the need to decompress the file for index generation.

Transcriptome Mapping

Step 2.

Map the reads to the Mmus transcripts with LAST.

The results of that mapping can be piped through *last-map-probs* to exclude unlikely hits, then through my maf_bcsplit.pl script to convert to a one-line-per-mapping CSV format. This CSV format is further processed to make sure that there is only one mapping per transcript-read pair, and then aggregated to sum up counts per transcript.

cmd COMMAND

```
for id in "fwd_4T1_BC06" "rev_4T1_BC06" "fwd_4T1_BC07" "rev_4T1_BC07"
  do lastal Mus_musculus.GRCm38.cds.all.fa <(pv ${id}.correctedReads.uniqueOnly.fasta.gz |
  zcat) | \
    last-map-probs | ~/scripts/maf_bcsplit.pl | awk -F',' '{print $1,$2,$3}' | sort | \
    uniq | awk '{print $2,$3}' | sort | uniq -
  c > trnCounts_LAST_${id}_vs_Mmus_transcriptome.txt
  done
```

LAST mapping; probable hit filtering, read counting, and conversion to count file

Data Cleaning (R script)

Step 3.

Transcript counts are converted into a narrow table (one line per transcript/count/barcode tuple) that includes strand direction and a barcode tag:

Data Cleaning (R script)

Step 4.

The transcript revision number (if any) is removed from the transcript ID:

```
trnCounts$Transcript <- sub("\\.[0-9]+$","",trnCounts$Transcript);</pre>
```

Data Cleaning (R script)

Step 5.

The annotation file is loaded into memory:

Data Cleaning (R script)

Step 6.

The *dplyr* and *tidyr* packages are used to convert to a wide format, and pull the associated annotation from the ensembl annotation file:

```
library(dplyr);
library(tidyr);

trnCounts.wide <- group_by(trnCounts, DB, Transcript) %>%
    summarise(Count = sum(Count)) %>% spread(DB, Count) %>%
inner join(ensembl.df, by="Transcript");
```

Data Cleaning (R script)

Step 7.

Missing data is set to a count of zero to simplify subsequent computation:

```
trnCounts.wide$WTfwd <- coalesce(trnCounts.wide$`BC06+`,0L);
trnCounts.wide$WTrev <- coalesce(trnCounts.wide$`BC06-`,0L);
trnCounts.wide$p0fwd <- coalesce(trnCounts.wide$`BC07+`,0L);</pre>
```

Differential coverage (R script)

Step 8.

This was a pilot experiment with no replicate data (hence why I refer to this as 'differential coverage', rather than differential expression. I would usually use software with proper statistical modeling (such as DESeq2), but in this case I'm generating a quick rough-cut comparison using basic R.

I introduce a fudge factor to account for missing data, and use this factor in the calculation of differential coverage (as log2 fold change). The strand-specificity of the sequencing and transcript annotation allows this differential coverage to be determined for only those reads mapping in the correct direction. Results are rounded to 1 d.p. as an additional warning that these results have a low precision:

Differential coverage (R script)

Step 9.

Finally, the resultant table is writtent out to a CSV file, ordered by the absolute value of the differential coverage statistic:

```
trnCounts.wide <- arrange(trnCounts.wide, -abs(DCov));
write.csv(trnCounts.wide[,c("Transcript","WTfwd","WTrev","p0fwd","p0rev",
"DCov","Gene","Description","Chr","Start","End")],
file="wide_transcript_Counts_WTvsp0.csv", row.names=FALSE);</pre>
```