



Mar 25,
2019

Working

Criminal Justice Policy Document Analysis . Version 2

Version 2

Forked from [Childhood Obesity Policy Document Analysis](#)

[Drew Gitomer](#)¹

¹Rutgers Graduate School of Ed

[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.zhsf36e](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.zhsf36e)



Drew Gitomer
Rutgers Graduate School of Ed



ABSTRACT

This is an adaptation of Yanovitzky & Weber - because we were interested adapting Yanovitzky and Weber's tool for criminal justice reform.

All of the policymaking process stuff was kept in, but we modified the list of policy actors, and policy focus, and Appendix C.

We also did our coding in NVivo so removed Appendix D in the original protocol



Yanovitzky Coding Fork -
Criminal Justice.pdf

PROTOCOL STATUS

Working

We included an interview of congressional staff - with specific steps

Interviews of Congressional Staff

- 1 What information influenced your position on childhood obesity legislation?
- 2 How did you obtain that information?
- 3 How did you evaluate that information?
- 4



This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited