

UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA

MASTERS THESIS

Load Profiling of Home Appliances Using Load Classification

Author:

Jakob JENKO

Supervisor:

Dr. Marko MEŽA and Dr.
Carolina FORTUNA

*A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Masters of electrical engineering*

in the

-
ICT

June 9, 2022

Declaration of Authorship

I, Jakob JENKO, declare that this thesis titled, "Load Profiling of Home Appliances Using Load Classification" and the work presented in it are my own. I confirm that:

- This work was done wholly or mainly while in candidature for a research degree at this University.
- Where any part of this thesis has previously been submitted for a degree or any other qualification at this University or any other institution, this has been clearly stated.
- Where I have consulted the published work of others, this is always clearly attributed.
- Where I have quoted from the work of others, the source is always given. With the exception of such quotations, this thesis is entirely my own work.
- I have acknowledged all main sources of help.
- Where the thesis is based on work done by myself jointly with others, I have made clear exactly what was done by others and what I have contributed myself.

Signed:

Date:

Chapter 1

Introduction

Climate change calls for a shift to renewable energy and restructuring of the electricity sector. Sources **eurostat2020** show as of the time of reading this paper, 44 % of produced electricity in Europe was from combustible sources such as gas, fuel, and coal. Even though that is a significant decrease of 10 % in the last 10 years, it is a significant Co2 emitter. The same source **eurostat2020** also states that a third of energy is consumed by the residential sector. It is estimated, that the human population will reach 10 billion inhabitants in the next 10 years, and ever-increasing ownership of electrical appliances such as smartphones, HVACs, and EVs will further increase energy consumption. (elevate this issue) Acknowledging that, reducing consumption in that sector could leave a significant impact on the human footprint.

The EU aims to be climate neutral by 2050, therefore it seeks to improve the efficiency of every part of pollution contributors through The European Green Deal. A large part of these contributors is the Energy sector. A subpart of the energy sector is the residential sector, where many advancements could be made to help to reach the goal.

This could be achieved through various applications and methods that use load profiling and load monitoring as their core technology. Authors in **Chuan2014** proposed a method to reduce peak loads by studying consumer appliance usage patterns. Paper **Csoknyai2019** studied consumer usage patterns, and returned feedback that contributed to reducing consumption. Another notable way is the use of distributed energy resources and managing them in such a way as to decrease the net output of energy flow such as the authors describe in **MORENOJARAMILLO2021445**. All described methods would reduce and alleviate the load off the power grid.

Load profiling in building energy consumption is not a novelty and had been in research since the 1980s. While it was thought that aggregated load profiles of households are relatively predictable, recent data obtained using smart meter data showed large deviance from user to user due to different lifestyles, as the author states in **Review2021**. In recent years load profiles have changed due to renewable energy accelerated development of distributed energy resources such as residential photovoltaic power plants, home wind energy, and using EVs and home batteries. Socioeconomic changes such as work-from-home, also drastically reshaped the load profile curve.

Technology advancements in non-intrusive load monitoring and increased adoption of smart energy meters offer a new way of load profiling, that is NILM load profiling.

Chapter 2

Definitions

Author **Review2021** defines terms as following

- Residential: private residences, with no commercial usage, occupied by one or more persons either full-time or part-time during a calendar year.
- Load: the electricity that all the electricity-powered devices in the household consume in unit time.
- Profile: a graph representing the significant features of the electricity load over time.
- Model: "a formal system that represents the combined processes" **KAVOUSIAN2013184** of electricity consumption by all the electricity-powered devices in a private residence/number of residences.

Commonly load profile is a term defined as aggregated power usage of all appliances in a house. Sometimes load profile is used to describe appliance level load profiles.

Besides the above pre-defined terms, I have defined a few of my own to avoid confusion.

- Activation or use frequency profile or (AFP): frequency of use of certain appliance per given unit time
- Appliance level load (ALL) - the electricity that the electricity-powered devices in the household consume in unit time.

The load profile is most commonly presented with a curve, that shows daily power usage.

FIGURE 2.1: "Clustered load profiles. The graph was published by GERBEC2005"




Figure ?? depicts 10 clusters of daily load profiles. This is not the only way to present it, for example, author Park2019 used an image-based presentation.

Chapter 3

Related work

Work relating to load profiling can be found in two research verticals or topics. The first one is load profiling and load profile models, which in most cases study the load profile curve of the building. Few exceptions study load profiles on appliance-level. The second vertical is anomaly detection in building energy consumption data. While the first topic is closer, there are quite a few connections with the latter. If one wants to do anomaly detection, in some cases, one must first build some kind of "normal consumption profile"

3.1 Load profiling

Load profiling has been researched since 1980. Load-profiling can be performed in two ways: bottom-up and top-down.


A bottom-up approach as **SWAN20091819** state "calculates the individual dwelling energy or electricity consumption and extrapolate these results over a target area or region" Whereas with Top-down approach as **SWAN20091819** state "uses the total energy or electricity consumption estimates to assign them to the characteristics of the building stock" In other words, Bottom-up sub-meter data, Top-down uses aggregated data. In our case, we take a deeper dive into the bottom-up approach, since it is more relatable.

Review2021 did a comprehensive review on load profiling. The author defined various load-profile application subgroups such as demand-side management, planning and control design of energy systems, and residential load profiles. The author also grouped modeling techniques as probabilistic models, Markov chains, and Monte Carlo. The author first disclosed the current state of load profiling and issues with past work. They made a review of existing load profiling models and asses the-state-of-the art. The review was structured by different methods. Next, they pointed out future research directions and applications of load profiling models. Finally, the author exposes issues that researchers face and addresses possible solutions with conclusions.

One of the first publications on load profiling was published by **TRAIN19851103**. They used a bottom-up approach using sub-meter data and other socioeconomic and demographic characteristics to create a load profile or statistically adjusted engineering (SAE) as they call it. They can adjust the curve based on weather, dwelling size, and income. In the same year, **WALKER1985** published a paper where they used a bottom-up approach with psychological factors to create probability models of when will an individual use an appliance.

Since then there were two more in 1995. Research picked up the pace in 2005 with 7 publications in 2013 as figure ?? shows.

FIGURE 3.1: "Distribution of publications on load profiling from 1985 to 2020. The graph was published by [Review2021](#)"



GERBEC2005 tried to assign typical load profiles to a particular group of consumers based on their activity. To achieve that, they used probabilistic neural networks as a way of classification. Their methodology was tested in real use scenario.

Gao2018 makes use of the bottom-up method to build a forecasting framework for household load profiling, which takes into account the consumption patterns of residents. A model falls into the demand-side management subgroup. They have developed a "single-day extraction model", designed to select the same days by comparing environmental and household factors, which influence energy consumption. By using this approach, they have improved the accuracy of predicting behavioral patterns of dwellers. This method falls into the probabilistic method subgroup. Results show that their method successfully modeled daily usage.

Chuan2014 uses load profiling to optimize energy consumption distribution during the day. This reduces peaks usage and alleviates load off the grid. The author used the bottom-up method, that is, using sum-meter data. Using this data, he made daily usage analyses on a one-hour basis. Using this information he optimized the daily activation of appliances so that peaks usage was not as high. Results show that peak shedding was successful.

Csoknyai2019 analyzes energy consumption patterns and intervention strategies in residential buildings. Authors achieve this using a "serious game approach" with a combination of direct user feedback using smart meters. The application also provides advice, comparisons, savings, reduction goals, and monitoring. The approach takes into account almost all dimensions of residential energy usage. Their results show that their serious game was not able to induce energy-saving behavior.

Jeong2021 used extreme points in the appliance usage curve to cluster usage profiles. Usually, the first usage peak is in the morning, and the second one is in the evening. Additionally, they used demographic characteristics that are: region, area, age, salary, etc. to improve the results. Using collected data, they clustered profiles. They had discovered 6 different usage profiles, where every cluster had a physical meaning such as energy-saving, morning heavy, evening heavy, etc.

Another clustering methodology was proposed by **Park2019**, using load image profiles and image processing. They represented time series data as an image. The image is a grid of squares where the y-axis contains monthly data with a resolution

of one day, x-axis contains daily data with a resolution of one hour. Grid is color filled with an algorithm that authors developed, where red means more activity and blue less. Using digital image filters they transformed the type-1 image to type-2 and from there used a threshold to obtain type-3. Using that information they clustered data based on images similarly. They used three different clustering methods: k-means, FCM, and EM algorithm. Using the Davies-Bouldin index, they were able to prove that image-based clustering performs better than non-image.

Joana2012 clustered different load profiles using electricity consumption data and surveys. They profiled residential homes. They used PCA and k-means resulting in 5 clusters. Similar to other load profiling papers.

Whereas most of the above-mentioned papers focused on aggregated consumption of building to build a load profile, authors **Issi2018** focused on appliance-level load profiling. Their main contribution was to create a realistic per appliance load profile. They developed a wireless measurement system with smart plugs that enabled them to obtain power signatures for each appliance. They evaluated the data and based on observations they determined working cycles for each appliance. Furthermore, they concluded that 15 % of consumed power can be shifted, where they took tariffs into account.

3.2 Anomaly detection in building energy consumption data

A review on Anomaly detection in building energy consumption data was written by **HIMEUR2021116601**. Here, the authors took a deep dive into detecting anomalies in energy consumption in buildings. The author first makes an overview of existing anomaly detection schemes and applications. Second, they perform a critical analysis and an in-depth discussion of the state-of-the-art. Next, they describe current trends such as NILM anomaly detection. Finally, they assemble a set of future research directions. Both reviews pointed out that NILM anomaly detection or NILM load profiling is a possible future research direction.

NILMAD2019 authors propose an algorithm that functions on top of existing state-of-the-art NILM algorithms Hidden Markov model, combinatorial optimization, Latent Bayesian Modeling, and Graph-based Signal Processing. They focus on three appliances, a fridge, freezer, and heater. Their metric was the number of operation cycles and energy used within those cycles. They implemented sigma variables to represent standard deviation and used rule-based anomaly detection. So if energy or counts are significantly larger than the mean then the day is considered anomalous. Their rule had only one manual setting and that was a number of standard deviations before the sample was considered anomalous. Their results show that sub-meter anomaly detection works decently whereas NILM based anomaly does not work at all.

NILMAD2019 published another paper in the same year, where they took a similar approach, except that they used only compressor-based appliances such as fridges and air conditioners. They also added a rule to their existing rule-based anomaly detection algorithm, but the results still showed that NILM algorithms are not there yet.

Castangia2021 used disaggregated sub-meter data to detect anomalies in use consumption. They used a private dataset of 20 homes from northern Italy with no synthetic anomalies. Dataset included data from 2018 to 2020 meaning it included covid induced anomalies. The authors first pre-processed the data by aggregating input load in hourly energy consumption, the second derived additional features,

which are the time of use and duration of the activation. They use that data to detect single-point deviations for which they implemented isolation Forest algorithm and anomalous trends for which to detect, they implemented Change Point Detection.

3.3 Table of profiles

While in related work I examined load profiling in general, this chapter focuses on how data in load profiles is presented. It can be portrayed in various shapes and forms, using all kinds of attributes and features to do so. First, main load profiling features will be defined. Second, using these features a general load profile table will be constructed. Third, references from related work and use cases will be mapped to the table. Using this information main features will be selected. Fourth, using a reduced feature set a more detailed table will be formed. Again, the table will be populated using the same references as before. Finally, using this information a research direction will be formed.

3.3.1 Feature set

Using related work and use case references, we can extract the most commonly used features to portray load profiles.

- power
- time
- operating time (how long appliance or appliances is turned on)
- appliances (a set)
- Number of activations (How many times appliance or appliances were turned on)

3.3.2 General table

Using these features we can form a table with all possible combinations. Some combinations do not make logical sense and the others repeat themselves. Combinations marked with X are such examples. Table ?? is then populated with references from previous chapters.

TABLE 3.1: General table of load profiles

	frequency	appliances	number of activations	power (avg)
appliances		X	X	X
number of activations	X	per_appliance_per_building UKDALE	X	X
power (avg)	X	appliance_avgpower		X
power (array)	UKDALE	X	X	X
power (histogram)			X	X
operating time	X	operating_time	NILMAD2019 NILMAD22019 NILMAD2021	NILMAD
time array	X	X	per_appliance_per_building UKDALE	Chuan2019 Csoknyai2019 Ho2019 KAVOUSIAN2019 WALKER2019 GERBEC2019 Gao2019 Jeong2019 Joana2019 DER_heatmap2019 NILMAD2019 NILMAD2020 Issi2019 NILMAD2020 Castangia2019 occupancy2019 Chuan2020 CAPASSC2020 Park2020 UKDALE2020 Gao2020

Based on table ?? it is possible to see that the most commonly published feature combination is time and power. This combination will be used as a baseline when making a more detailed table. Although the operating time feature was explored in a few publications a bit, and it seems quite promising, we are focusing on activation-based histogram representation. Based on table ?? it is possible to see that not much attention was given to it.

3.3.3 Detailed table

This section will focus on exploring possible load profiles using activation-based histogram representation, while using the power feature as a baseload. Features from ?? will be explored in higher detail. They will be split and arranged in a way that all 21 publications and power-based presentations will be divided into as many

groups as possible. This should expose possible activation-based profiles as well as unpublished power-based profiles.

Sub-features


Main features were already described in section ???. It is possible to further divide them into smaller so-called sub-features. These are reshaped and grouped as follows:

- Way of presenting a profile
 - Per-house load profile
 - Per-appliance load profiles
 - Per-house and per appliance load profile
- By time range of profile
 - Daily
 - Weekly
 - Monthly
 - Yearly
- Way of measuring usage
 - Average power use
 - Activation or frequency of activation

Sketches of load profiles


A most common way load profiles are presented is a daily power consumption profile such as shown in figure ???. The graph is a sketch, but it represents a standard load profile with morning and evening peaks.

FIGURE 3.2: "Average daily usage profile for an appliance or a building"



Some references include daily usage profiles as a histogram of activation at a point in a day, such as a figure ??.

FIGURE 3.3: "Histogram of daily activations profile for an appliance or a building"



All figures can present whole-house usage or per-device usage. Each presentation has its pros and cons. To present more information sub-meter data can be used to represent whole-house usage with per-appliance contributions. Such as on figure ?? and ??.

FIGURE 3.4: "Histogram of daily activations profile for an appliance A and B"





FIGURE 3.5: "Average weekday power consumption for appliances A, B and C"



To present as much information as possible all above-mentioned attributes can be presented in a multidimensional way such as heatmap in a way shown in figure ?? and ??.

FIGURE 3.6: "Number of daily activations / power consumption of one appliance / house in one month period"





FIGURE 3.7: "Number of activations / power consumption for each appliance in one month period"



3.3.4 Table of combinations or detailed table

The above-shown profiles can be combined, yielding a new way of displaying the data. Below, a map with combinations of the above-mentioned profiles is presented. The purpose of table ?? to generate and show possible combinations. Some combinations that had similar output were grouped, and some that could not be sketched were discarded.

FIGURE 3.8: "Table of combinations"

Range of time axis	Per-house				per-appliance				Per house – per appliance			
	LP		+ daily time dimension		LP		+ daily time dimension		LP		Appliances Side by side	
	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A
Daily			X	X			X	X				
Weekly/ Monthly												
Yearly												

Figure above ??, uses sub-features from previous subsection ???. In general, the table is formatted in a way that features from columns are used in the x-axis of a plot, and rows are used in the y or z-axis of a plot.

The column of the table presents the time domain. Daily means that the load profile presents average usage for one day, weekly means it presents usage for a week. To be clear, for one to construct a decent daily profile, one needs a few weeks

of data. The same goes for yearly profiles, in that case, one needs many years' worth of data.

The top row of the table is composed of 3 main groups. The first group focuses on per-house energy consumption. The second group examines the energy consumption of each appliance in a house separately. Third group analyses all appliances in a building.

The next row of the table is further divided into two groups. First is the profile group which presents the given usage unit on the y-axis and time on the x-axis. Next is a profile with a time group. In this case, we present the given usage unit on the z-axis and then time on the x and y-axis. Here, the second-time dimension can be anything from a week to a year. In the case of the per-house subgroup includes appliances instead of time. Example for this is figure ???. The last columns present the usage unit, that is power (P) or a number of activations (A).

3.3.5 Mapping references to the table of profiles

To find useful load profiles, references from related work must be mapped.

TABLE 3.2: Table presents previously mentioned load profiles

Description	Per-house					
	LP		+ daily time dimension			
Range of time axis	P	A	P	A	P	L
Daily	UKDALE Chuan2014 Csoknyai2019 H0 KAVOUSIAN2013184 CAPASSO1994 WALKER1985 GERBEC2005 Gao2018 Jeong2021 Joana2012 DER_heatmap_profile		X	X	NILMAD2019 NILMAD22019 Issi2018 NILMAD2021 Castangia2021 occupancy2013	
Weekly/ Monthly	Csoknyai2019 H0 KAVOUSIAN2013184		2D_year_day_LP Park2019 DER_heatmap_profile			per_a
Yearly	Csoknyai2019 H0 KAVOUSIAN2013184					

As can be seen from table ??, most of the work (14 publications) has been done with standard daily load profiles with per-house power usage such as figure ???. Quite a lot of work (6 publications) has been done with per appliance daily power profiles. A few publications were based on weekly and yearly load profiles, a few used two-dimensional time and power presentation. Only one publication found used activation and time-based histogram such as shown in figure ???. During the

research I focused on publications from minority classes, meaning not all existing publications for standard load profiles are included. The purpose of table ?? is to present missing scientific contributions and patterns of publications.

3.3.6 Mapping use-cases to the table of combinations

Table ?? includes arranged publications from chapter ?? . Similar pattern emerged as in table ??.

TABLE 3.3: Table presents references mentioned in use cases chapter

3.3.7 Table of use case groups

Table ?? presents same publications as ??, but only group names are shown. The table indicates how groups are arranged. Where anomaly detection and elderly care are dominating in the per-appliance part of the table, energy-saving and grid management are dominating in a per-house part of the table.

TABLE 3.4: Table presents references mentioned in use cases chapter

The figures listed above clearly depict the void not filled by publications. Although they may not be published, they still have a possible use case. In table ?? empty spaces are filled with possible use cases for given load profiles.

TABLE 3.5: "Proposed use cases for profiles"

ES - energy saving GM - grid management AD - anomaly detection EC - elderly care X - unfeasible	Per-house				Per-appliance				Per-house per-appliance			
	LP		+ daily time dimension		LP		+ daily time dimension		LP		Appliances side by side	
	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A
Range of time axis												
Daily	AD, ES, GM,	AD, ES, GM,	X	X	AD, EC, ES, GM	AD, EC, ES, GM	X	X	AD, EC, ES, GM	AD, EC, ES, GM	AD, EC, ES, GM	AD, EC, ES, GM
Weekly/ Monthly	AD, ES, GM	AD, ES, GM	ES, GM	ES, GM	AD, ES, GM	AD, ES, GM	AD, ES, GM	AD, ES, GM	AD, ES, GM	AD, ES, GM	AD, ES, GM	AD, ES, GM
Yearly	ES, GM	ES, GM	ES, GM	ES, GM	AD, ES, GM	AD, ES, GM	ES, GM	ES, GM	ES, GM	AD, ES, GM	AD, ES, GM	AD, ES, GM

3.3.8 Table of load profile potentials

Some combinations are indeed illogical and again others are less useful in a practical sense. The next table will try to rate the scientific potential of the profiles based on two characteristics. First is how well data is presented to the user, meaning that the load profile is clear at what it is presenting. The second is the effectiveness when being used in an algorithm, or in other words, how well data is presented to a machine. These characteristics can not be easily measured, but it is possible to extract them based on the pattern of publications. To do that, we have to make two assumptions. The first one would be, that the larger the number of publications, the larger is the effectiveness of presenting the data to a human. The second would be, that the larger the number of use cases, the better the effectiveness of presenting the data to a machine. Using these two assumptions, we propose the following table. The table has four possible classes.

- 1 - The load profile satisfies both assumptions and has a high utility rate and high research potential.
- 2 - The load profile does not satisfy one of the above-mentioned assumptions and has mid-research potential.
- 3 - The load profile does not suffice any of the above-mentioned assumptions and has low research potential
- X - The load profile is inexplicable.

TABLE 3.6: Proposed classification of profiles

	Per-house				Per-appliance				Per-house per-appliance			
	LP		+ daily time dimension		LP		+ daily time dimension		LP		Appliances side by side	
Range of time axis	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A
Daily	1	2	X	X	1	1	X	X	1	1	1	1
Weekly/ Monthly	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
Yearly	1	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	2

3.3.9 Table of possible future research directions

Using all the above-mentioned tables we can use superposition to generate a universal table, that will present possible research directions. The load profile has to satisfy the following rules. The first is that the load profile should have no publications or yet discovered use cases. The second one is that the profile should be at least in the second class of potential defined in subsection ??.

TABLE 3.7: Possible future research contributions

	Per-house				Per-appliance				Per-house per-appliance			
	LP		+ daily time dimension		LP		+ daily time dimension		LP		Appliances side by side	
Range of time axis	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A	P	A
Daily	2	X	X			X	X			1	1	
Weekly/ Monthly	2		1	1		1	1			2	2	
Yearly					2	2				2	2	

Table ?? presents load profiles that we will pursue in this paper. We will focus on profiles from the first class and activation frequency type of usage. When the aforementioned parameters are applied, the end result is table ??

TABLE 3.8: Load profiles to be pursued

Based on the table ?? we propose the following profiles for activation frequency: per-house daily-monthly profile, per-house weekly-yearly profile, per-appliance weekly profile, per-appliance daily-monthly, per-appliance weekly-yearly, per-house and per-appliance daily profile (stacked), per-house and per-appliance daily profile (appliance side by side)

Chapter 4

Possible use cases

The load profiling method has a lot of different use cases across different fields. It can be used to save energy by studying users usage patterns and returning feedback. Electrical energy providers could use that same data to optimize the management of their grid, with minimal impact on users daily lives. This method could also be used to help the elderly in case of an accident and help prevent one. It could be used to detect all kinds of early malfunctions in the operation of appliances and help save energy. Occupancy detection, research, and development are all areas where profiling could be used.

4.1 Energy saving

As mentioned before many applications for load profiling could be used to reduce energy use and increase energy efficiency. With the emerging EV-market and ever-increasing installation of heat pumps, more and more energy is being used in form of electricity. This means, most of the current power grids would have to be upgraded to keep up with demand.

On the other side, more and more photovoltaic systems are being installed, which is slowly shifting energy production towards end-users. Slowly energy grid is starting to shift towards so-called distributed energy resources or "DER" **MORENOJARAMILLO2021445**. DERs includes all kinds of micro-energy sources such as PV, wind power, water power, and all kinds of energy accumulators that can store and release energy when needed such as heat pumps with hot water storage, home batteries, and EVs that can be used as a battery.

With smart management, these appliances could be used in a way that would reduce the net flow of energy and alleviate the load off the power grid. A way to achieve this is via load profiling and load modeling. To manage the appliances, a control system would have to be put in place **DirectLoadControll2021**. It would be enough to control a few appliances that consume most of the energy.

Since consumers take part in producing the energy, they are often called "prosumers" **Prosumer2016**. They will be an essential part of the European Union's plan to reach zero-energy buildings and near-zero-energy buildings **eu2021**. The directive was accepted in 2010 and was recast in 2021. The plan is set to be realized in the next decade.

An actual use case would be an EV owner with an installed PV system and heat pump, who works from home on occasions. In this case, two profiles would be developed. Normal workday and work from home day. Additional information would be obtained from the users calendar. On a normal workday, the system would use PV energy to heat the water and store it, based on the user profile. On work-from-home days, the system would start charging the car with the morning sun, using only the PV energy. In the evening hours, when consumption rises and production

falls, EV could inject the power back into the house. Again using appliance load profiles to mitigate net energy flow as close to zero as possible (zero-energy building). With the ever-increasing power capacity and increasing range of EVs, more and more battery capacity could be used for mitigation. In the case of grid batteries, similar steps could be taken. This process is called vehicle-to-grid, and it is an important step towards zero-energy buildings **EV2018** and **EV2020**.

One other way to use user load profiles is to optimally distribute the load by studying users usage patterns as **Chuan2014** and **shift2015** proposed in their papers. This could be further extended to neighborhoods connected into peer 2 peer energy distribution networks. As mentioned earlier, the way to save energy consumption is to distribute it as locally as possible. Knowing usage patterns of all peers, the system could optimally distribute the energy using DERs across all homes without dwellers even noticing.

Another use case could be using a heat pump and heat storage, where besides users usage patterns system would also obtain weather forecasts from the internet. Heat pumps that extract heat from the air are more efficient when temperature differences are smaller. The heat pump could store energy when warm and release the energy when cold. Based on the user usage profile, energy could be optimally distributed.

Many papers have been published, where authors explored ways to reduce the energy consumption of users by studying user consumption patterns, such as **energy_saving3**, **energy_saving1**, **energy_saving4** and **energy_saving3**. Energy saving is done through instant feedback, reduction goals, rewards, and by comparing their user profile to the average user as the authors did in **Csoknyai2019**. Source **eu2006** states that as much as 20 % of energy could be saved by managing the consumption.

4.2 Grid management

An increasing percentage of renewable resources is troubling energy distributors, due to the nature of renewable resources. In the prior chapter, it was mentioned how energy-saving measures would benefit users and their peers. One other use case would be cooperation between end-user and energy distribution companies. Joint actions between them would benefit both as authors show in **cooperation2008** and **cooperation2010**

The electricity provider could control the main appliances so that load on the power grid is uniform, with as few peaks and valleys as possible. For this to function, users would have to allow the installation of energy meters and controllers on appliances that use the most electricity **gridDirectControll2015**. One way to achieve this is to control the voltage of loads **controll2014** the other way is to shift the loads in time **shift2015**. This process is called direct load control **DirectLoadControll2021**, and it is part of demand response program **DemandResponse2018**.

"DR program is a voluntary PJM program that compensates end-use (retail) customers for reducing their electricity use (load) when requested by PJM during periods of high power prices, or when the reliability of the grid is threatened." **DemandResponse2018**

The benefit to the user would be lower the cost of charging EVs and heating the building. This is already done through so-called small and high tariffs. More detailed user load profiles would enable the electricity provider to introduce real-time tariffs to the user.

The user would have three options. The first one would be that users can use the appliances as freely as they desire, this would result in a normal tariff. The

second option would be to use the appliances as regularly as possible, this would lead to lower tariffs. The third option would be to leave the management of main appliances to the electricity provider. The provider would combine the user appliance load profile and the real-time market price of energy to optimize the cost **optimiseCostShift2015**. This would lead to free or even negative prices of electricity since distribution companies have to keep the frequency of the grid as stable as possible.

For them to stabilize the frequency, they sometimes have to resort to load shedding. Load shedding is a process where a load is disconnected from the grid to keep the grid in sync **loadShedding2006**. Commonly whole neighborhoods are being disconnected, affecting their daily lives. Using user load profiles, distribution companies could disconnect the load in a way that would minimally affect the end-user. When they would need to load the grid due to low demand, they could charge EVs free of charge or even pay to do so. This benefits the company as well since they do not need to lower energy production, which can be expensive.

4.3 Elderly care

Demographic changes i.e. aging population is an increasing socioeconomic issue. The elderly are facing many issues when staying at home alone for extended periods. Accidents such as falls or the inability to do chores due to health-related issues or even dementia-induced issues such as leaving appliances on for long periods could all be detected, using sub-meter data such as authors **elder1** and **elder2** explore in their papers.

To detect falls or other issues a normal daily appliance use profile would be developed. It would involve routine behavior of users such as turning on the coffee machine in the morning, the stove and oven at the noon or using the toaster in the evening. All these routines could be measured and tracked. Using this data, a profile would be developed. The probability of an anomaly and a threshold would enable the system to detect an issue.

An example would be: the coffee machine not turning on in the morning or the stove and kitchen vent not being used at the noon. Another issue could be detected if the appliance would be used more frequently or for extended periods of time. This could indicate that the user forgot to turn off the stove, oven, or even a light. The same system could detect that a fridge or a freezer was left open since the duty cycles would be longer and more frequent. As soon as the issue would be detected it would notify the caregiver to check on the patient.

4.4 Anomaly detection

One use case of anomaly detection was already mentioned in the Elderly care chapter. One more thing that could be detected, using load profiling, would be the altered operation of appliances. In the case of a fridge, the system would detect that duty cycles are too long. The increased duty cycle can be caused by cooling liquid leakage, fridge being open or compressor motor malfunction. Heat pumps work on the same basis as fridges, meaning the same anomalies could be detected. The malfunction could also be detected in heating element appliances such as toasters or boilers. Since mentioned appliances are one of the largest consumers in a household, early enough detection could lead to large energy-saving benefits **NILMAD2019**.

4.5 Other

Load profiling could also be used as feedback to the engineers and designers, of how a certain device is being used and if it is being used as designed. This would enable the manufacturers to improve their products according to users needs, without unnecessary features.

energyStealing2018 uses anomaly detection algorithms and load profiling to detect energy lost due to non-technical losses. This occurs after the smart-meter is exposed to cyber or mechanical attacks and its measurements are off.

One other use case could be occupancy detection of buildings such as the authors explore in **occupancy2013**. Information about occupancy could be used as part of elderly care monitoring or in the case of building automation, to run certain tasks when a user enters or leaves the room or a building.


Chapter 5

Contributions

The goal of the master's thesis is to propose suitable consumption profiles for supporting residential building consumption optimization and elderly care management. To achieve this goal, we propose the following steps.

The first step is to obtain a set of datasets. In our case, this will be UK-DALE, RE-FIT, ECO, REDD, and iAWE. All datasets measured electrical energy consumption for residential buildings. They include main smart meter data, as well as sub-meter data for each appliance in a dwelling. For easier handling datasets will be sliced into 1-hour intervals. Slices will be put through a classical learning classifier to demonstrate the ability to automatically identify and classify the appliances. Data will be then used to generate different daily per appliance usage profiles.

FIGURE 5.1: "Universal normalized daily usage profile for weekend and weekday for a microwave. Superposition of data from 25 homes."



One such example can be seen in figure 5. The histogram shows normalized daily activation for microwaves. It consists of data from 25 homes from 4 different datasets.

During this thesis, more profiles will be presented, using various histogram buckets, dimensions and parameters. Each profile presents data from a different perspective, therefore each profile will have a use case of its own.

A table of existing load profiles will be made. It will enable us to place proposed profiles on a map, and possibly discover new ways to portray load profiles.

Finally, to demonstrate the usage of developed profiles a demo will be presented.

Chapter 6

Presenting proposed profiles

Previously defined profiles will be presented in-depth. In general, each profile has its use case already assigned in table ???. Here, we will focus on exposing the main features, issues, and use cases.

Data for profiles in this chapter were used from the REFIT dataset and building 2. Data was collected from 2013-09-18 to 2015-05-28.

6.1 Time ranges

One important thing to mention is to use cases for different time ranges of load profiles. Based on table ?? it is possible to see that most publications and ?? use daily time range.

Generally, daily profiles are easier to build since they do not need as much data as others do. To build a decent profile one needs enough data. A sufficient amount of data is the amount that covers major events. For a daily profile, a few weeks of data is enough, weekly load profiles need a few months of data, monthly few years, and yearly for around a decade. And this is the main issue, there is rarely enough data to build such profiles. Even then, usage patterns could change over a long period such as a decade. Combining that with a smaller number of use cases for such profiles, reveals why such profiles were not looked into as much.

One more thing about time ranges that need to be mentioned are patterns that they present. Daily profiles present daily usage and enable us to extract contextual events such as waking up, cooking, leisure time, etc. The weekly pattern is also repetitive, and it enables us to see how appliance usage changes over the weekdays and weekends. The monthly profile has none of the above. It is not repetitive since each day of the month can be a different day of the week, and the period is too short to capture seasonal patterns. Alternatively, it could be presented as a week in a month, but there is no significant usage pattern to be revealed. The yearly profile on the other hand presents the seasonal effects on usage such as increased daylight and temperature.

6.2 Per-house

The section will be focused on per-house profiles, meaning whole building usage is presented as a single load profile. This kind of presentation is useful for observing general activation trends in a building. Possible use cases for per-house load profiles are grid management and energy saving.

When it comes to activation load profiles there is one issue compared to power load profiles. To build per-house power load profiles it is possible to use the main power meter, whereas, at activation load profiles, sub-meter data is needed. This

can be solved using NILM algorithms, but they are not in a phase of practical use yet.

The daily per-house load profile is also known as the standard load profile. According to table ?? this is the most commonly used power profile. Figures ?? and ?? present usage patterns on different time ranges. The two profiles, therefore present different contextual causes.





FIGURE 6.1: "per-house load profiles"

6.2.1 Per-house two-dimensional time

Alternatively, it is possible to combine figures ?? and ?? and present activations as a heat map. The result is a load profile showing more complex activation patterns.

FIGURE 6.2: "Two-dimensional time per-house load profile"




Previously it was mentioned that these kinds of profiles are the most applicable in grid management and energy-saving fields. One such example could be load shedding. Using the Load profile above, electrical energy providers could find buildings with the least activity at that time of day. Combining that with power data,

it could disconnect the buildings with the least activity and most power consumption.

6.3 Per-appliance


Per appliance load profiles offer a look into the consumption of each appliance. In this case activation load profiles, this is an elemental load profile, since all other profiles are built on top of it. This also means that it is one of the most universal profiles since it can be used in all previously defined use cases. Comparing power and activation profiles in the per-house chapter, it was possible to see that activation-based load profile does not bring significant advantages over power-based load profiles. In the case of per-appliance load profiles, it is possible to analyze the usage of the single appliance in greater detail

FIGURE 6.3: "Daily per-appliance load profile"



Another parameter that was not explicitly mentioned before, is the resolution of load profiles. Histograms can be presented using various resolutions or numbers of buckets. An optimal number of buckets is a number that clearly presents the usage pattern. 3-hour bucket size on figure ?? does a good job at presenting the appliance usage at the main parts of the day. This offers a better contextual presentation that is easier to process using algorithms. Parts of the day are:


FIGURE 6.4: "Daily per-appliance load profile with larger buckets sizes"



While the low resolution is useful for contextual presentation, high resolution is needed for time-sensitive applications such as elderly care, where we have to detect an accident as soon as possible. The hourly resolution would mean that in case of an accident system would need at least an hour to detect it. While this is sufficient for demonstrating the capabilities, real implementation would need to use lower resolution data.


In case, dwellers have different usage patterns during the weekends, two profiles would have to be developed. It is possible to present them both at once such as in figure ???. This is essentially a variation of the weekly Load profile that maintains high resolution. Since there are more weekdays than weekend days, activations had to be normalized accordingly.

FIGURE 6.5: "Normalized daily per-appliance with weekday and weekend load profiles"




Another way to present weekly data is figure ?? This resolution offers a look into how consumption pattern changes over the week. This is useful for applications such as grid management and energy saving. In this particular case, it is possible to see that the user most commonly uses the washing machine on Mondays and Wednesdays. Using a weekly weather report that would indicate high energy production on Wednesday, electricity provider could offer a low cost for energy for that day. This kind of presentation could also be used to detect daily anomalies.

FIGURE 6.6: "Weekly per-appliance load profile"



As mentioned earlier, the monthly presentation does not show any significant usage pattern, where yearly presentation again shows the more broad usage pattern. This is useful for grid management and energy-saving, where one could detect seasonal changes in usage of an appliance.


FIGURE 6.7: "Yearly per-appliance load profile"



6.3.1 Two-dimensional time per-appliance load profiles

Using a combination of figures ?? and ??, it is possible to generate a heatmap ??.

FIGURE 6.8: "Two-dimensional time per-appliance load profile"



In this case, a similar use case could be fitted as in the first example. The first example used load shedding for when the demand is too high. On the contrary, it can also occur the grid demand is too low. There are two solutions to this issue. The first one is to decrease production, which can be slow and expensive. The second option is to load the grid, which can be done in many ways. One of the ways is to turn on appliances using a control system or notify users to turn on appliances that they have commonly used at that time in the past. Due to the increasing percentage of renewable energy sources, more and more energy peaks will be weather dependent such as wind and cloud coverage. By combining weekly wind forecast, weekly cloud coverage, and users consumption profiles energy providers could notify users to turn on the appliances at peak usage times.

By analyzing figure ?? it is possible to see that the user uses a washing machine, on Wednesdays from 15 to 16 o'clock quite commonly. Should weather reports indicate high production peaks, the electrical provider should offer low-cost energy for that time of day for all users with similar usage patterns. This could all be automated for appliances such as home grid batteries, water heaters, EVs, or even fridges with a control system. This would mean that operator or electrical distribution company could regulate the demand instantly. By using load profiles it could prioritize appliances that would be used anyway, which would leave minimal impact on users' routines. While renewable energy is cheap to produce, it is expensive to store. Increased adoption of such resources will require a large amount of energy to be stored and released, this process is at best 80 % efficient. If that energy is optimally distributed, less energy would be lost due to conversion.

Other two-dimensional presentations

The figures below show how some appliances have a constant usage pattern over a year, whereas again others change it. Examples below are randomly picked appliances from UK-DALE and REFIT.




FIGURE 6.9: Various yearly two-dimensional load profile

Another example worth mentioning is one from UK-DALE building 1, where data was collected from 2012-11-09 to 2017-04-26. Roughly 5 years of data mean that it is possible to build a decent profile.




FIGURE 6.10: "Effect of seasonal changes on load profiles"


Figures ?? and ?? show how weather and season affect the usage pattern of appliances.

6.4 Per-house per-appliance

The last group of profiles is a combination of per-house and per-appliance load profiles. Observing the usage pattern of many appliances offers a better look into users' usage patterns. In the case of elderly care, the goal is to observe a group of appliances. Activation of a group of appliances would yield a contextual event. If stove and kettle are commonly used together each morning this use could translate to an event such as breakfast. In order to achieve this, one needs to observe all appliances at once such as shown on figure ??.


The figure is also a good example of elderly care system, that would detect an anomaly such as fall, or person unable to get up from the bed in the morning. This profile shows that first thing in the morning used are kettle and toaster, and with delay of one hour, microwave and TV. This enables us to construct time thresholds in which appliances should be used. If none of these appliances are activated between set thresholds, morning would be considered anomalous. Although less likely, issues could also occur during the use of appliance. In case elder falls during cooking, toasting bread or opening fridge the duty cycle would increase, which would also be considered an anomaly. In case any of these anomalies are detected, the caregiver would be notified to check on the elder.

FIGURE 6.11: "Daily per-appliance per-house building load profile"




The very same data can be presented in an alternative way, such as shown in figure ???. The usage pattern is the same as on ??, except that it is possible to see the contribution of each appliance.

FIGURE 6.12: "Stacked daily per-appliance per-house building load profile"



These load profiles are useful when it comes to analyzing the usage pattern in one building. In order to be able to process the load-profiles across many buildings a new profile must be introduced. The idea is derived from the bag-of-words method used in text processing, where a list of most commonly used words is formed, and then used to process the text. Here, It is possible to use the activation data from all five datasets. A list of appliances is sorted by number of activations and then only top 30 appliances are selected. Using this list it is possible to present the usage of each building universally.

FIGURE 6.13: "Universal presentation of per-house per-appliance load profile"



Chapter 7

Elderly care demo

The chapter will first address the issue being solved, then the methodology will be presented, and finally, the results will be disclosed.

Elderly care has been addressed by many EU-funded research projects since the aging population is one of the main issues the union is facing.

There are many solutions to this problem. One approach is invasive such as wearables, sound sensors, IR occupancy detectors, etc. Few authors tried to solve this issue using non-invasive using previously mentioned NILM algorithms. In this case, no additional meters need to be installed, since per-appliance usage can be disaggregated. While this is practical from the new equipment side, it is a bit less practical from the efficiency and accuracy side, especially for larger buildings.

There is a middle way between invasive and non-invasive approaches. It is possible to use sub-meters for each appliance and indirectly observe the usage pattern. The upside of this approach is that the elder does not need to wear the device. The downside is, that this approach will be slower at detecting the accident.

7.1 Goal

The chapter will focus on building the elderly care system that will use users' periodic usage patterns to detect an anomaly. The anomaly could be anything from a fall, stroke or altered usage pattern due to dementia. The algorithm will be designed based on the load profile from the previous chapter ???. Figure shows, that first thing in the morning used are kettle and toaster, and with a delay of one hour, microwave and TV. If none of these appliances are used within that hour, then that hour is considered anomalous. This means that the algorithm will be able to detect the anomaly within 1 hour of the accident.

7.2 Methodology

7.2.1 Building anomaly detection algorithm

The next section will present the steps taken while designing this algorithm.

Step one

To detect the anomalies one first needs to build a daily activation profile for each appliance, such as the one previously mentioned ???. In this specific case, we will be using 2h buckets, yielding a total of 12 buckets.

Step two

The second step is to ignore appliances that are always on by calculating the standard deviation of activations for each bucket. The activations are normalized between 0 and 1. This step is important so that appliances that are always on, such as fridge or freezer get ignored. These appliances are detected based on the width of their activation normal distribution. Where periodic (hourly basis) appliances should have narrow distributions, the more dynamic should have wider distributions. This can be seen in examples from building 2.




FIGURE 7.1: Daily activations for fridge $\sigma = 0.036$



FIGURE 7.2: Daily activations for audio system $\sigma = 0.2$



FIGURE 7.3: Daily activations for microwave $\sigma = 0.3$

Based on results from all appliances a threshold of $\sigma = 0.1$ was set. This method will also get rid of appliances that are always on due to their specific nature such as server computers or are rarely used.

Third step

Next, appliances that trigger together must be grouped. This means we must find part of the day that they are operating. Due to the filter in the previous step, we are left with appliances whose usage varies through the day. Some appliances are on even when the user is not necessarily using them, this can be seen in figure ???. One out of many ways to do this is to normalize the activations, this yields a metric that tells us the probability of that appliance being turned on compared to the rest of the day. If we do this for same appliances as above the result is following:




FIGURE 7.4: Daily activations for audio system $\sigma = 0.2$



FIGURE 7.5: Daily activations for microwave $\sigma = 0.3$

Finally, a suitable threshold must be selected. The threshold of 0.5 was selected, which yields the following vectors:



FIGURE 7.6: Daily activations for audio system



FIGURE 7.7: Daily activations for microwave with one usage peak in the morning and the other in the evening

The vectors show us that microwave has two usage peaks, where the audio system can be used anytime through the day. It is possible to do this for all appliances, which results in a 2D matrix. Using this matrix we can build rules with which appliances are being used together. The figure ?? uses rows for appliances and columns for buckets. If we use terminology from image processing the matrix ?? is essentially a highly saturated load profile ??, which can be easily processed by computer algorithms due to binary encoding.




FIGURE 7.8: Activation matrix

It is possible to display the matrix ?? as an image. The figure below shows how the load profile is transformed.




FIGURE 7.9: "Transformation of source load profile to black and white"

Step four

Using the matrix ?? we can write an algorithm that will use current activations and compare it to the matrix. For example, if we have the test sample for the fifth bucket, that is data from 8 to 10 o clock. What needs to be done is to use the fifth column and multiply the current activations. Then anomaly is detected based on a rule. That at least two appliances must be activated, for the bucket to be labeled as normal, or else the bucket is labeled as anomalous. In this case, we sum the elements of an array and check if it is larger or equal to 2.

FIGURE 7.10: "Process of evaluating an anomaly"

```

Bucket      [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0]
            x

Sample being tested [1] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [1] [0] [0] [1] [0]
                     =
IF SUM([0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [1] [0] [0] [1] [0]) > 2):
    NORM
ELSE:
    ANOM

```

FIGURE 7.11: The evaluation of new bucket compared to matrix. Example is for fifth bucket or fifth row from the matrix

This process is done for all samples, where we count normal and anomalous samples for each bucket. The important thing to note here is that we are evaluating the samples from which the profile was built.

472	469	468	466	57	153	288	187	123	84	75	281
-----	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-----

FIGURE 7.12: Aggregated anomalies for each bucket

0	0	0	0	409	312	181	280	342	384	394	188
---	---	---	---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

FIGURE 7.13: Aggregated normal samples for each bucket

The next step is to combine these two arrays so that we calculate the percentage of anomalous samples for each bucket with an equation

$$a / (a + n) \quad (7.1)$$

Where "a" is a number of anomalous samples and "n" is a number of normal samples.

1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.12	0.3	0.61	0.4	0.26	0.18	0.16	0.6
-----	-----	-----	-----	------	-----	------	-----	------	------	------	-----

FIGURE 7.14: Aggregated anomalies for each bucket

In other words, the ?? tells us how persistent is the user's routine in each bucket or part of the day. The lower the ratio the higher the routine. Since routine is detected based on the usage of appliances it cannot be picked up during the night. It is possible to see that the routine is quite high during the morning and evening hours. The anomaly detection algorithm will work best when the ratio above is low. A good trait of elders is that their routine is quite high even during the day, which means that the anomaly detection will function better throughout the day.

One more thing to do is to ignore the parts of the day when the user has no routine, by using the array ?? and setting a threshold of 0.3. A threshold of 0.5 would mean that every other day could be a false positive anomaly. Setting the rate at 0.3 reduces that to every third day. But here compromises must be made, the lower the threshold the more accurate the algorithm will be, but it also means that it will be less sensitive. In our case, there is not much harm in false positives detections, since the caregiver can call the elder to check if it is okay.



FIGURE 7.15: Using above-mentioned threshold a new mask is made, to check only buckets with high routine

Step five

The last step is to repeat steps 4 and 5 with data, that is not included in the built profile.


7.2.2 Datasets and evaluation

The data was split into train and test sets, where 80 % of the data was for training and 20 % percent of the data was used for testing. The data was split based on the number of samples, so in some cases where there is a lot of missing data, the time window of test data might be longer, although it contains only 20 % of the samples.

REFIT

The REFIT dataset included data for more than 15 buildings, as can be seen on the figure below. The dataset in general is of the highest quality since it is the longest. This means this dataset should give the most relevant results.


FIGURE 7.16: "Timeline for REFIT "



UK-DALE

All through the UK-DALE dataset is of similar size, the most of the data is from building 1. In general, it includes 5 years of data, but only for some appliances, with addition, many appliances are rarely used. When taking all of this into account, there are too many issues with it, and it was simply ignored. Another issue that can be seen on ?? is that there is not enough data for building 3. The test includes only a week of data, which is not enough for representative results, therefore it was ignored. The rest of the buildings seem healthy.

FIGURE 7.17: "Timeline for UK-DALE "




ECO

ECO dataset has a length of data, similar to UK-DALE. The only issue is building 1, where there is a lot of missing data. This is a good example of how data is split, it

is split based on several samples, meaning that there is 80 % in the train bar, due to missing data the second bar is longer.

FIGURE 7.18: "Timeline for ECO"



7.2.3 The ratio

Due to the lack of ground truth data of actual accidents, it is hard to determine the exact accuracy of this algorithm. Every anomaly detected is not necessarily an actual accident, it could be that the user decided to lie in bed a bit longer, or decided to go to bed early in the evening. One metric that we can use to determine how well the algorithm functions is the anomaly ratio ???. The reason behind that is, that if the routine rate is high it means that it will be easier to detect.

- ratio of 1 would mean that for that bucket household has no routine at all.
- ratio of 0.5 would mean that the routine is being "practiced" every second day
- ratio of 0.2 would mean that routine is broken on average every 5 day
- ratio of 0 would mean that this household has a routine that is never broken.

When a true anomaly occurs such as a fall, the dweller, all through he had the same routine for the past year (the ratio would be close to 0), would not be able to practice the routine, and the algorithm will be quite sure that this is an actual anomaly. Therefore, the higher the ratio the less sure we are that an actual anomaly such as a fall occurred. This is a good alternative measurement, that tells us how well this algorithm will perform.

Ratio during the week

As the behavior of the dweller's changes, so does the accuracy of the algorithm. One observation that was made, was that the routine was higher during the week than during the weekends, as can be seen in the figures below. There is also a




FIGURE 7.19: "Weekly anomaly percentage"

Since we are dealing with the elderly, they have a higher routine, and it does not change that much during the weekends. Usually, assisted living systems are put in place since elders are alone in the dwelling. Taking all of this into account, we could assume that the routine of the elderly is the same through the week and simply ignore the weekends. This should yield more relevant results.

7.2.4 Ratio in a year

The ratio also changes over a year. While on average the ratio is lower during the winter, spring and summer, it is higher during the summer due to vacation. This can be seen in the figures below.




FIGURE 7.20: "Yearly anomaly percentage"

7.2.5 Effectivness of anomaly detection


One more thing to keep in mind is that this algorithm will be able to detect anomalies only when the routine will be high, and more than two appliances will be used in that buckets.

add example here

Figure ?? shows which buckets were most commonly used for the detection of an anomaly. The graph includes averaged values from all buildings and datasets. In other words, the graph presents how strong is average routine through the day.


This means that the higher the percentage, the higher the chance that this bucket will be usable. During the night, it is possible to see that the average routine rate is quite high, this is because most users are routinely sleeping during that period. As said this high percentage enables us to detect possible accidents while sleeping. Should the elder wake up due to a problem, it could be detected. In case if elder wakes up during the night, it would be hard to detect it, since the algorithm would think it is part of the routine.

FIGURE 7.21: "Percentage of buildings that used bucket for anomaly detection"



To find the most usable buckets for our operating mode, an additional filter must be applied. The rule is that at least two appliances must be commonly used in that bucket. After applying this rule the following figure emerges ??

FIGURE 7.22: "Percentage of buildings that used effete buckets for anomaly detection "



The figure ?? shows that there are two peaks. One in the morning and the other, a wider one in the evening.

This means that on an average home the algorithm would perform best in the morning and evening. This is because the average person is at school or work during noon. This can be confirmed on figure ?? . The elderly, are usually at home at noon, which could extend the effective detection window.

7.3 Results

The examples above were a demonstration and a look into data and metrics. The examples shown were trained and evaluated on the same data. To show true performance, we will use test data to determine the actual performance.


Results were obtained for 3 datasets. REDD and iAWE datasets were not used, since the dataset was too short, they contained less than a month of data.

7.3.1 REFIT

Results including weekend data


Results show, that the method is on average 23.6 % efficient. In figure ?? it is possible to see that house 6 yields lower results and house 19 is much higher than the rest. This could be due to various dataset errors that occurred during sampling.

FIGURE 7.23: "Results for REFIT "



For more relevant results we can ignore the outliers by removing one maximum and minimum value, such as can be seen in figure ?? This yields a result of 22.92 %. If we were to repeat this process the result would be 21.33 %. Since all outliers are removed, the result converges towards 21 %, which is the relevant value.


FIGURE 7.24: "Results for REFIT with removed outliers "



Omitting weekend data

As mentioned in the sub-sub section ??, the average routine is different during the week and weekends. The assumption was that the routine of elderly people does not change significantly over the week, therefore results should be more relevant if we ignore the weekends. The results on figure ?? show that result dropped to 22.48 %.


FIGURE 7.25: "Results for REFIT weekday only"



By ignoring the minimal and maximal outliers the results drop to 21.33 %. By repeating the process one more time the result drops to 19.91 %, since all outliers were removed, the result converges towards this value.

By removing the weekend data, the results decreased by 1.2 %.


FIGURE 7.26: "Results for REFIT weekday only and removed outliers"



7.3.2 UK-DALE


As mentioned in subsection ??, the UK-DALE is not as long, large and cleaned as the previous dataset, so results could be less relevant. The results on figure ??, show that the average result is 25.35 %. Due to the low number of buildings, it is not possible to detect and ignore outliers.

FIGURE 7.27: "Results for UK-DALE"



The same as for REFIT, the weekend data can be ignored, In this case, this does not improve the result.


FIGURE 7.28: "Results for UK-DALE omitting weekends "



7.3.3 ECO


ECO is of a similar quality as UK-DALE as can be seen in ??, regarding the number of buildings and the length of data. The results ??, show that this dataset performed the best, with results of 16.32 %.

FIGURE 7.29: "Results for ECO "



The same as before we can omit weekend data, which can be seen on ???. This brings the result down to 15.91 %.

FIGURE 7.30: "Results for ECO omitting weekends "



7.3.4 Combined results

After combining results from all 24 buildings, the following table ?? can be populated. The most relevant results can be seen in the last row.

TABLE 7.1: Combined percentage of anomolous samples [%]

N = 24	Including weekend data		Excluding weekend data		
	Removed min/max outliers	train	test	train	test
0	15.92	23.71	14.39	22.78	
1	16.05	23.19	14.45	22.11	
2	16.02	22.62	14.43	21.64	

Results show that the algorithm would, on average, label 22 % of samples as false positive, meaning every fifth sample could be a false positive. In other words, the algorithm will be 78 % efficient at detecting true anomalies.

7.4 Discussion

When analyzing these results one important metric is socio-economic status, such as age, income and number of dwellers in the building. Since the datasets did not provide any of this information, it is hard to make any other conclusions other than the algorithm works well on an average building.

We know that the reason for installing such a system is that the user is left alone. We can assume that on average there is more than one dweller in buildings tested. Since this system would usually be in use by a single dweller, this would be in favor of our algorithm since it would be easier to extract the routine.

One other thing that would be in our favor is that the average person has a lower routine than an elderly person. If we take a look at the results, it is possible to see that, the average home has a low routine during the noon. This is because the average

person is not at home during noon. This can be seen on figure ???. Since the elderly are usually home at that time, this would increase the time windows where we can detect the accident.

We could also assume that the older the dweller, the higher the routine. The nature of the elderly is that they are more conservative when it comes to changes, and prefer to stick to their routine. Since the algorithm, works better when usage is periodic, this would also be in our favor.

Taking all of these assumptions into account, there is a possibility that this algorithm would work better on the elderly due to their nature.

Since the results on the average building are promising a test study should be performed. This would also prove our assumptions and that this algorithm works better on the elderly.

7.5 Iterative learning system


In case of practical use of this algorithm, it is important that after installation, the system works as fast as possible, and that it improves over time. This can be achieved with the implementation of dynamic learning. The system will build a load profile based on the first month of data. Over the second month, the system can be put online. At the end of the month, it can use this data to improve the load profile. This can then be repeated indefinitely.

7.5.1 Results

For this evaluation, only REFIT data was used. As it can be seen on figure ???, Refit buildings have long and relatively similar timelines, compared to other datasets.


On figure ?? it is possible to see, how the amount of train and testing changes over 16 months.

FIGURE 7.31: "Data for building 1 over 16 months"



We can also plot how the amount of data changes for all buildings

FIGURE 7.32: "Data used for training"




To analyze the results, at least 1 year of usable data should be available.

FIGURE 7.33: "Data used for training, with removed buildings"



Similarly, we can check how test data changes over the months. In this case, data is not being aggregated, but only one month of it is used at a time. Figure ?? shows, that after one year the amount of data used for training starts to decline. To get more accurate results we will only observe the performance in one year of data.

FIGURE 7.34: "Data used for training, with removed buildings"



Now all buildings contain at least 1 year of data. To show the effect of training data on the metric, the following figure is presented. The figure ?? contains 12 months of data for each house, since some have 17 months of data, they never reach the 100 % mark.

FIGURE 7.35: "Effect of new data on metric"





Figure ?? shows, that in most cases, results converge towards 20 %. While in some cases, the results are good from the first month, they always peak, since the building changes. With more data, these peaks become smaller and become less frequent. If the behavior in the household radically changes, it can still lead to peaks.

FIGURE 7.36: "Metric over 12 months"



Same data can also be presented so that it shows how the metric changes over a year. While the average remains vary around 20 %.

To conclude, by increasing the amount of data, the algorithm becomes more stable. In some cases, where users' behavior does not change, the algorithm could work from the first month. In other cases, where behavior is more dynamic, the algorithm could need a month or two to stabilize.

7.6 Conclusion

The question that we tried to answer was: is this method good enough to be able to efficiently detect anomalies? The question that should be asked is, is the behavior of the users periodic enough, to be able to efficiently detect the anomaly? The answer is: yes, it is.

Chapter 8

t-SNE

8.1 Introduction

The load profiles can present data in a new, previously unseen way, that can enable users or algorithms to extract patterns. One such example would be when checking how similar are certain usage patterns. This could be usage patterns within a household, where we are grouping appliances that are used similarly, or when multiple buildings and how their consumption differs.

Although the use-cases were presented in-depth in a separate chapter, it is worth mentioning one specific use case and this is energy poverty detection. The increasing price of energy resources, could lead to over-saving and living in cool homes. Using similarity metrics between profiles across different buildings, it would be possible to detect outliers when it comes to heating the room. Using this technique it would be possible to detect users, that are living in below-average cool homes, and offer them cheaper plans.

To measure the similarity of activation profiles, the t-SNE algorithm will be implemented.

8.2 Goals

The chapter will demonstrate the usefulness of previously unused load profiles, and show the practical use case using a t-SNE neighboring algorithm.

Methodology

8.2.1 Load profiles

Based on the table of proposed profiles, the following profiles will be used in testing. During testing, one of the proposed profiles will be used. This is a weekly-daily load profile constructed from a month of data. Since there are roughly 4 weeks in each month, each pixel will present 4 samples.

Per-building

This load profile is useful when it comes to comparing how activation patterns change over buildings and datasets. insert example here

Per-building Per-appliance

This load profile is useful when comparing how usage is similar across buildings, and when comparing a single appliance across many buildings. insert example here

Bag-of-appliances

This load profile is a combination of the load profiles above, except it offers a larger detail when observing groups of appliances. Since we are using one dimension for appliances, we will use only the daily dimension. insert example here

8.2.2 Datasets

This section will be described in larger detail in the following chapter.

8.2.3 t-SNE

t-SNE or t-distribution stochastic neighboring embedding.

8.3 Results

8.3.1 Results for per-building

test

FIGURE 8.1: "Per building data for all buildings"




FIGURE 8.2: "Per building data for all buildings images"



Normalise samples

To make the image clearer I've normalized results and used only REFIT data, that way it will be easier to read from legend. By normalising the algorithm finds other ways to separate the data.

FIGURE 8.3: "Per building data for all buildings"




FIGURE 8.4: "Per building data for refit buildings images"



8.4 Per-appliance

8.4.1 fridge

Fridges are generally the same

FIGURE 8.5: "Per appliance data for all buildings"





FIGURE 8.6: "Per appliance data for refit buildings images"



8.4.2 kettle

kettles are differnet

FIGURE 8.7: "Per appliance data for all buildings"





FIGURE 8.8: "Per appliance data for refit buildings images"




8.4.3 microwave

microwaves are differnet

FIGURE 8.9: "Per appliance data for all buildings"



FIGURE 8.10: "Per appliance data for refit buildings images"



8.4.4 television

tvs are different

FIGURE 8.11: "Per appliance data for all buildings"




FIGURE 8.12: "Per appliance data for refit buildings images"



8.5 Per appliance per building

8.5.1 all

FIGURE 8.13: "Per appliance per building data for all buildings"




FIGURE 8.14: "Per appliance data for refit buildings images"



8.5.2 filter appliances

FIGURE 8.15: "Per appliance per building data for all buildings"





FIGURE 8.16: "Per appliance data for refit buildings images"



8.5.3 group appliances

FIGURE 8.17: "Per appliance per building data for all buildings"





FIGURE 8.18: "Per appliance data for refit buildings images"




8.5.4 filter low entropy

FIGURE 8.19: "Per appliance per building data for all buildings"



FIGURE 8.20: "Per appliance per building data for all buildings"



8.5.5 single buildings

FIGURE 8.21: "Per appliance per building data for all buildings"





FIGURE 8.22: "Per appliance data for refit buildings images"



8.5.6 per appliance per building

Bag off appliances

FIGURE 8.23: "Per appliance per building data for all buildings"



Figures/TSNE/TSNE_results/refit/scatter_refit_all.png

FIGURE 8.24: "Per appliance data for refit buildings images"

