**Electronic Resources Evaluation Between Vendor and OCLC KB**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Resource Name: Berg Fashion Library** | | | | |
| **Final Evaluation:**  OCLC Collection manager  The metadata is slightly better quality. OCLC will provide updates, deletes, and merges over time unlike the vendor set. | | | | |
|
| **Next Steps:**   1. **Remove records in Aleph** 2. **Prepare documentation** 3. **Load** | | | | |
|  | **Vendor** | **OCLC KB** | | **Comments** |
| Is this a static or growing collection (i.e. will there only be updates or will new titles be added)? | Growing | Growing | | Only slow growth |
| Are there MARC records available? | Yes | Yes | | Collection manager  https://www.bloomsburyfashioncentral.com/subscriber-services/marc-records |
| Is it easy to find the MARC records? | No | Yes | | Easy to confuse fashion library with other Bloomsbury resources |
| How do you acquire the set for the MARC records? | Download | Collection Manager | | https://www.bloomsburyfashioncentral.com/subscriber-services/marc-records |
| Are the MARC records free? | yes | yes | |  |
| Is there any supporting documentation on the MARC records? | No | No | |  |
| Is there an easily identifiable contact information for inquiries about the MARC records? | No | OCLC Support | |  |
| Are there any records in Aleph that need to be considered beforehand? If yes, do you need to do any prep work to load this current set? |  | | 111 | The 035 9\_ is an old vendor number. |
| How many records are available from the vendor and OCLC KB? | 135 | | 115 |  |
| How many records should we have access to according to the vendor? |  | | | Unknown. |
| What is the file format of the file for the set of records (xml, mrc, xsls)? | mrc | mrc | |  |
| Do records meet minimal MARC quality? | Yes | Yes | | OCLC has more access points for subjects and persons. The vendor records have some character encoding issues. |
| Is there a known and recognizable data match point? | Yes | Yes | | The OCLC numbers have been updated. The vendor match point looks to be consistent. |
| Are there urls? | yes | yes | |  |
| Do records have 1 url to the resource or several? | yes | yes | | Link to webpage for resource |
| Are the urls to the resource the same between the vendor and OCLC? | yes | yes | | The urls have been updated since they were last loaded. |
| Do the urls lead to the resource? Are there incorrect urls? | yes | Yes | | Sampled a couple and they are fine. |
| Are the title lists the same between the vendor and OCLC sets? |  |  | | There are some differences but there is overlap. The vendor records make use of |h more so than the OCLC set which is causing some differences. |
| What is the level of difficulty to prepare the set to be loaded into the system? |  | Low | | Low, Medium, High, and Very High refer to the amount of time and effort is needed to prepare the file to be batch imported.  **Low**: Requires minimal difficulty. Can use tasks already created in MarcEdit. Regular updates can be scheduled. An example is eDuke’s Latin American Studies.  **Medium**: The set requires some cleanup and manipulation. An existing task in MarcEdit can be applied with a couple of added touch ups to the set. Regular updates can be scheduled. An example is Film Platform.  **High**: The set requires significant cleanup and prep. New tasks need to be created in MarcEdit to deal with the discrepancies. A script might have to be written to test urls. Regular updates can be scheduled and a clear procedure can be created. An example is O’Reilly Safari.  **Very High**: The set requires significant cleanup and manipulation. New tasks need to be created in MarcEdit. Scripts might have to be written to test the urls. The set also needs more manual intervention because of the high level of inconsistency and accuracy. The sets are irregular. An example is TRAIL. |