**Electronic Resources Evaluation Between Vendor and OCLC KB**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Resource Name: Early Arabic Printed Books** | | | | |
| **Final Evaluation:** | | | | |
|
| **Next Steps:**   1. **Remove records in Aleph** 2. **Prepare documentation** 3. **Load** | | | | |
|  | **Vendor** | **OCLC KB** | | **Comments** |
| Is this a static or growing collection (i.e. will there only be updates or will new titles be added)? | Growing | Growing | | The vendor sends records to OCLC which are available through FTP |
| Are there MARC records available? | Yes | Yes | | OCLC FTP |
| Is it easy to find the MARC records? | No | No | | It’s necessary to contact the vendor who will provide instructions on how to access FTP. |
| How do you acquire the set for the MARC records? | Download | Download | | From FTP |
| Are the MARC records free? | yes | yes | |  |
| Is there any supporting documentation on the MARC records? | No | No | |  |
| Is there an easily identifiable contact information for inquiries about the MARC records? | No | No | | Contact the vendor for help |
| Are there any records in Aleph that need to be considered beforehand? If yes, do you need to do any prep work to load this current set? | No | |  | Need collection and load code |
| How many records are available from the vendor and OCLC KB? | 5552 | | 5552 |  |
| How many records should we have access to according to the vendor? |  | | | Unknown. |
| What is the file format of the file for the set of records (xml, mrc, xsls)? | mrc | mrc | |  |
| Do records meet minimal MARC quality? | Yes | Yes | |  |
| Is there a known and recognizable data match point? | Yes | Yes | | Vendor number in 001. There are also OCLC numbers. |
| Are there urls? | yes | yes | | 1 / record for every record |
| Do records have 1 url to the resource or several? | yes | yes | | Link to webpage for resource |
| Are the urls to the resource the same between the vendor and OCLC? | yes | yes | | The urls have been updated since they were last loaded. |
| Do the urls lead to the resource? Are there incorrect urls? | yes | Yes | | Sampled a couple and they are fine. |
| Are the title lists the same between the vendor and OCLC sets? |  |  | | There are some differences but there is overlap. The vendor records make use of |h more so than the OCLC set which is causing some differences. |
| What is the level of difficulty to prepare the set to be loaded into the system? |  | Low | | Low, Medium, High, and Very High refer to the amount of time and effort is needed to prepare the file to be batch imported.  **Low**: Requires minimal difficulty. Can use tasks already created in MarcEdit. Regular updates can be scheduled. An example is eDuke’s Latin American Studies.  **Medium**: The set requires some cleanup and manipulation. An existing task in MarcEdit can be applied with a couple of added touch ups to the set. Regular updates can be scheduled. An example is Film Platform.  **High**: The set requires significant cleanup and prep. New tasks need to be created in MarcEdit to deal with the discrepancies. A script might have to be written to test urls. Regular updates can be scheduled and a clear procedure can be created. An example is O’Reilly Safari.  **Very High**: The set requires significant cleanup and manipulation. New tasks need to be created in MarcEdit. Scripts might have to be written to test the urls. The set also needs more manual intervention because of the high level of inconsistency and accuracy. The sets are irregular. An example is TRAIL. |