**Electronic Resources Evaluation Between Vendor and OCLC KB**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Resource Name: Greenleaf** | | | |
| **Final Evaluation:**  Go with vendor records – this will make matching easier. Contact vendor yearly? For new records. | | | |
|
| **Next Steps:**   1. **Prepare documentation** 2. **Prepare MarcEdit task** 3. **Load material** | | | |
|  | **Vendor** | **OCLC KB** | **Comments** |
| Is this a static or growing collection (i.e. will there only be updates or will new titles be added)? | Growing | Growing | Metadata is available through a request to vendor contact and OCLC (different KBs) |
| Are there MARC records available? | Yes | Yes | One set from vendor. OCLC has a couple of sets – not quite sure which one is the one we subscribe to. |
| Is it easy to find the MARC records? | No | Maybe | Once you get the right contact with vendor, records come right away. OCLC – which set is the right one? |
| How do you acquire the set for the MARC records? | Contact Vendor | OCLC KB & confirm sets with Acqu/DRMS | Note down where the records can be located. |
| Are the MARC records free? | Yes | Yes | Make sure that we don’t already pay for the MARC records, i.e. OCLC contract services. |
| Is there any supporting documentation on the MARC records? | No | No | Note down where or keep a copy of that |
| Is there an easily identifiable contact information for inquiries about the MARC records? | Yes (Coral) | OCLC support | Note down the contact |
| Are there any records in Aleph that need to be considered beforehand? If yes, do you need to do any prep work to load this current set? | Yes | Yes | Currently 243 from load in Aug. 2018. |
| How many records are available from the vendor and OCLC KB? | 811 | 817? | For OCLC, this hinges on if we have the right set combinations. |
| How many records should we have access to according to the vendor? | 811 | | The vendor sent us the records that we subscribe to which amounted to 811. |
| What is the file format of the file for the set of records (xml, mrc, xsls)? | UTF-8 mrc | Utf-8 mrc |  |
| Do records meet minimal MARC quality? | Yes | Yes | Implement national and/or international metadata standards and best practices  Consistent use of library standards such as MARC21, RDA and/or other nationally or internationally recognized standards (CCO, Dublin Core, MODS, …)  Consistent use of controlled access points and authorized forms for names, subjects, or genre terms  Standards that promote interoperability  Implement provider neutral records  OCLC or Vendor number  Implement at least this information:Title, Author (if applicable), Correctly coded information (fixed fields and LDR), A subject heading, Publication information |
| Is there a known and recognizable data match point? | Yes | Yes | Previous match point was done on vendor number. Same sampling shows these numbers haven’t changed. |
| Are there urls? | Yes | Yes | Both OCLC and vendor have new url prefixes in comparison to what was previously loaded. |
| Do records have 1 url to the resource or several? | Yes | Yes | There is at least 1 856 per record. |
| Are the urls to the resource the same between the vendor and OCLC? | Yes | Yes | There is the same prefix. But it looks like not all the titles overlap. Titles in vendor that aren’t in OCLC kbs. |
| Do the urls lead to the resource? Are there incorrect urls? | Yes | Yes | Sample some of the urls. Do they lead to the resource? Are there any don’t work? |
| Are the title lists the same between the vendor and OCLC sets? | No | | Compare the titles. Are there any discrepancies? |
| What is the level of difficulty to prepare the set to be loaded into the system? |  | Low | Low, Medium, High, and Very High refer to the amount of time and effort is needed to prepare the file to be batch imported.  **Low**: Requires minimal difficulty. Can use tasks already created in MarcEdit. Regular updates can be scheduled. An example is eDuke’s Latin American Studies.  **Medium**: The set requires some cleanup and manipulation. An existing task in MarcEdit can be applied with a couple of added touch ups to the set. Regular updates can be scheduled. An example is Film Platform.  **High**: The set requires significant cleanup and prep. New tasks need to be created in MarcEdit to deal with the discrepancies. A script might have to be written to test urls. Regular updates can be scheduled and a clear procedure can be created. An example is O’Reilly Safari.  **Very High**: The set requires significant cleanup and manipulation. New tasks need to be created in MarcEdit. Scripts might have to be written to test the urls. The set also needs more manual intervention because of the high level of inconsistency and accuracy. The sets are irregular. An example is TRAIL. |