

Problem Set 3

$$1. \Delta y_t = \alpha + \beta t + \gamma y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

$$y_t - y_{t-1} = \alpha + \beta t + \gamma y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

$$\Rightarrow y_t = \alpha + \beta t + (1 + \gamma) y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

Suppose we place no restrictions on β and test
 $H_0: \gamma = 0$ against $H_1: \gamma < 0$.

Under the null hypothesis,

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta t + y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

$$\text{Take } y_{t-1} = \alpha + \beta(t-1) + y_{t-2} + \varepsilon_{t-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow y_t = 2\alpha + \beta(2t-1) + y_{t-2} + \varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_{t-1}$$

$$\text{Take } y_{t-2} = \alpha + \beta(t-2) + y_{t-3} + \varepsilon_{t-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow y_t = 3\alpha + \beta(3t-3) + y_{t-3} + \varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t-2}$$

$$\text{Suppose } t=3 \Rightarrow y_3 = 3\alpha + 6\beta + y_0 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1$$

Continuing this process, y_t becomes

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= y_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t (\alpha + \beta i + \varepsilon_i) \\ &= y_0 + \alpha t + \beta \frac{t(t+1)}{2} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^t \varepsilon_i}_{\beta \frac{t^2+t}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \beta$ accumulates to a quadratic trend

2. AR(P)

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \cdots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t$$

$$\varepsilon_t \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$(a) y_t = \phi_1 L y_t + \phi_2 L^2 y_t + \cdots + \phi_p L^p y_t + \varepsilon_t$$

$$(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2 - \cdots - \phi_p L^p) y_t = \varepsilon_t$$

The roots of the model are

$$1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 - \cdots - \phi_p z^p = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i z^i = 0$$

If $z=1$ is a root, then

$$1 - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i = 1$$

$$(b) \Delta y_t = y_t - y_{t-1}$$

$$= (\phi_1 - 1) y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \cdots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t$$

$$\text{Note: } y_i - y_{i-1} = \Delta y_i$$

$$\Rightarrow y_{i-1} = y_i - \Delta y_i$$

$$\text{so } y_{t-2} = y_{t-1} - \Delta y_{t-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{t-3} &= y_{t-2} - \Delta y_{t-2} \\ &= (y_{t-1} - \Delta y_{t-1}) - \Delta y_{t-2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{t-4} &= y_{t-3} - \Delta y_{t-3} \\ &= y_{t-1} - \Delta y_{t-1} - \Delta y_{t-2} - \Delta y_{t-3} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$y_{t-p} = y_{t-1} - \sum_{i=2}^p \Delta y_{t-i+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \Delta y_t &= (\phi_1 - 1) y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=2}^P \phi_i \left(y_{t-i} - \sum_{j=2}^i \Delta y_{t-j+1} \right) + \varepsilon_t \\
&= [\phi_1 - 1 + \sum_{i=2}^P \phi_i] y_{t-1} - \sum_{i=2}^P \phi_i \sum_{j=2}^i \Delta y_{t-j+1} + \varepsilon_t \\
&= \left[\sum_{i=1}^P \phi_i - 1 \right] y_{t-1} - \sum_{j=2}^{P-1} \sum_{i=j}^P \phi_i \Delta y_{t-j+1} + \varepsilon_t
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Phi^* = \sum_{i=1}^P \phi_i - 1$,

and $\Phi_j^* = - \sum_{i=j}^P \phi_i$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, P$

$$\therefore \Delta y = \Phi_1^* y_{t-1} + \Phi_2^* \Delta y_{t-1} + \dots + \Phi_P^* \Delta y_{t-P+1} + \varepsilon_t$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^P \phi_i = 1$, (derived in part (a))

testing $\{y_t\}$ is equivalent to testing whether $\Phi_1^* = 1 - 1 = 0$.

$$3. (1-L) y_t = -1.2 + (1-0.6L) \varepsilon_t$$

$$y_0 = 1$$

$$\varepsilon_t \sim \text{IID}(0,1)$$

(a) This is an I(1) process, where $L=1$ is a unit root.

The ARMA(p, d, q) model is given by

$$(1 - \sum_{i=1}^P \phi_i L^i)(1-L)^d y_t = \alpha + (1 - \sum_{i=1}^q \theta_i L^i) \varepsilon_t.$$

Our model has $p=0$, $d=1$, $q=1$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ARMA}(0, 1, 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b) \quad (1-L)y_t &= y_t - y_{t-1} = -1.2 + \varepsilon_t - 0.6 \varepsilon_{t-1} \\
 \Rightarrow y_t &= y_{t-1} - 1.2 + \varepsilon_t - 0.6 \varepsilon_{t-1} \\
 \mathbb{E}(y_1) &= \mathbb{E}(y_0) - 1.2 \\
 \mathbb{E}(y_2) &= \mathbb{E}(y_1) - 1.2 = \mathbb{E}(y_0) - 1.2(2) \\
 \mathbb{E}(y_3) &= \mathbb{E}(y_2) - 1.2 = \mathbb{E}(y_0) - 1.2(3) \\
 &\vdots \\
 \mathbb{E}(y_t) &= \mathbb{E}(y_0) - 1.2t \\
 &= 1 - 1.2t
 \end{aligned}$$

For $t \geq 0$, $\mathbb{E}(y_t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (c) \quad (1-0.95L)x_t &= -1.2 + (1-0.6L)\varepsilon_t \\
 x_0 &= 1 \\
 \varepsilon_t &\sim \text{IID}(0, 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite the model as

$$x_t = 0.95x_{t-1} - 1.2 + \varepsilon_t - 0.6\varepsilon_{t-1}$$

Note that we do not have a unit root,
this is an ARMA(1,1) stationary process

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}(x_1) &= 0.95\mathbb{E}(x_0) - 1.2 \\
 &= 0.95 - 1.2 \\
 &= -0.25
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}(x_2) &= 0.95\mathbb{E}(x_1) - 1.2 \\
 &= 0.95(-0.25) - 1.2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}(x_3) &= 0.95\mathbb{E}(x_2) - 1.2 \\
 &= 0.95(0.95(-0.25) - 1.2) - 1.2 \\
 &= 0.95^2(-0.25) - 0.95(1.2) - 1.2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}(x_4) &= 0.95^3(-0.25) - 0.95^2(1.2) \\
 &\quad - 0.95(1.2) - 1.2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\vdots \\
 \mathbb{E}(x_t) &= 0.95^t(-0.25) - \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} 0.95^i(1.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [0.95^t (-0.25) - \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} 0.95^i (1.2)] \\ &= 0 - \frac{1.2}{1-0.95} \quad \text{geometric series} \\ &= -24\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\Phi_1| = |0.95| < 1$, the process converges to its unconditional mean $\mu = \frac{\Phi_0}{1-\Phi_1} = \frac{-1.2}{1-0.95} = -24$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

4. $y_t = (1 + 0.5L)(1 + 0.3L^4)\varepsilon_t$, $\varepsilon_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$

(a) Since this is a finite-order MA model,

$\{y_t\}$ is weakly stationary.

$$\Theta(z) = (1 + 0.5z)(1 + 0.3z^4)$$

$$z = -2, 0.955 \pm 0.955i$$

$z = -2$ outside the unit circle ✓

$R = \sqrt{0.955^2 + 0.955^2} = 1.35$ outside the unit circle ✓

$\Rightarrow \{y_t\}$ is invertible

$$\begin{aligned}(b) y_t &= (1 + 0.5L + 0.3L^4 + 0.15L^5)\varepsilon_t \\ &= \varepsilon_t + 0.5\varepsilon_{t-1} + 0.3\varepsilon_{t-4} + 0.15\varepsilon_{t-5}\end{aligned}$$

This is an MA(5) process

Recall for an MA(q) process, $y_t = \sum_{i=0}^q \theta_i \varepsilon_{t-i}$

Then our model is given by

$$y_t = \sum_{i=0}^5 \theta_i \varepsilon_{t-i},$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta_0 = 1 \\ \theta_1 = 0.5 \\ \theta_2 = 0 \\ \theta_3 = 0 \\ \theta_4 = 0.3 \\ \theta_5 = 0.15 \end{array} \right.$$

The autocovariance is $\gamma_h = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=0}^{5-h} \theta_i \theta_{i+h}$

$$\text{So } \gamma_0 = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=0}^5 \theta_i \theta_i$$

$$= \sigma^2 (\theta_0^2 + \theta_1^2 + \cancel{\theta_2^2} + \cancel{\theta_3^2} + \theta_4^2 + \theta_5^2)$$

$$= \sigma^2 (1^2 + 0.5^2 + 0.3^2 + 0.15^2)$$

$$= 1.3625 \sigma^2$$

$$\gamma_1 = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=0}^4 \theta_i \theta_{i+1}$$

$$= \sigma^2 (\theta_0 \theta_1 + \cancel{\theta_1 \theta_2} + \cancel{\theta_2 \theta_3} + \cancel{\theta_3 \theta_4} + \theta_4 \theta_5)$$

$$= \sigma^2 [(1)(0.5) + (0.3)(0.15)]$$

$$= 0.545 \sigma^2$$

$$\gamma_2 = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=0}^3 \theta_i \theta_{i+2}$$

$$= \sigma^2 (\cancel{\theta_0 \theta_2} + \cancel{\theta_1 \theta_3} + \cancel{\theta_2 \theta_4} + \cancel{\theta_3 \theta_5})$$

$$= 0$$

$$\gamma_3 = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=0}^2 \theta_i \theta_{i+3}$$

$$= \sigma^2 (\cancel{\theta_0 \theta_3} + \theta_1 \theta_4 + \cancel{\theta_2 \theta_5})$$

$$= \sigma^2 [(0.5)(0.3)]$$

$$= 0.15 \sigma^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_4 &= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=0}^1 \theta_i \theta_{i+4} \\
 &= \sigma^2 (\theta_0 \theta_4 + \theta_1 \theta_5) \\
 &= \sigma^2 [(1)(0.3) + (0.5)(0.15)] \\
 &= 0.375 \sigma^2 \\
 \gamma_5 &= \sigma^2 (\theta_0 \theta_5) \\
 &= \sigma^2 [(1)(0.15)] \\
 &= 0.15 \sigma^2 \\
 \gamma_h &= 0 \text{ for } h > 5
 \end{aligned}$$

ACF

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_0 &= 1 \\
 \rho_1 &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_0} = \frac{0.545 \sigma^2}{1.3625 \sigma^2} = 0.4 \\
 \rho_2 &= \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_0} = 0 \\
 \rho_3 &= \frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_0} = \frac{0.15 \sigma^2}{1.3625 \sigma^2} \approx 0.110 \\
 \rho_4 &= \frac{\gamma_4}{\gamma_0} = \frac{0.375 \sigma^2}{1.3625 \sigma^2} \approx 0.275 \\
 \rho_5 &= \frac{\gamma_5}{\gamma_0} = \frac{0.15 \sigma^2}{1.3625 \sigma^2} \approx 0.110 \\
 \rho_h &= 0 \text{ for } h > 5
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (c) \text{ Let } \tilde{y}_t &= (1 + 0.5L) \varepsilon_t \\
 &= \varepsilon_t + 0.5 \varepsilon_{t-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

\tilde{y}_t is a MA(1) process

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta_0 = 1 \\ \theta_1 = 0.5 \end{array} \right.$$

Autocovariance

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\gamma}_0 &= \sigma^2 (\theta_0^2 + \theta_1^2) \\ &= \sigma^2 (1^2 + 0.5^2) \\ &= 1.25 \sigma^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\gamma}_1 &= \sigma^2 (\theta_0 \theta_1) \\ &= 0.5 \sigma^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}_h = 0 \text{ for } h > 1$$

ACF

$$\tilde{p}_0 = 1$$

$$\tilde{p}_1 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_0} = \frac{0.5 \sigma^2}{1.25 \sigma^2} = 0.4$$

$$\tilde{p}_h = 0 \text{ for } h > 1$$

The ACF for \tilde{y}_t becomes 0 after lag 1.

At lag 1, the ACF for \tilde{y}_t is equivalent to the ACF of the original model y_t , i.e. $p_1 = \tilde{p}_1 = 0.4$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } y_t^* &= (1 + 0.3 L^4) \varepsilon_t \\ &= \varepsilon_t + 0.3 \varepsilon_{t-4}\end{aligned}$$

y_t^* is a MA(4) process

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta_0 = 1 \\ \theta_1 = 0 \\ \theta_2 = 0 \\ \theta_3 = 0 \\ \theta_4 = 0.3 \end{array} \right.$$

Autocovariance

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_0^* &= \sigma^2 (\theta_0^2 + \cancel{\theta_1^2} + \cancel{\theta_2^2} + \cancel{\theta_3^2} + \theta_4^2) \\ &= \sigma^2 (1^2 + 0.3^2) \\ &= 1.09\sigma^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1^* &= \sigma^2 (\theta_0\cancel{\theta_1} + \cancel{\theta_1\theta_2} + \cancel{\theta_2\theta_3} + \cancel{\theta_3\theta_4}) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_2^* &= \sigma^2 (\cancel{\theta_0\theta_2} + \cancel{\theta_1\theta_3} + \cancel{\theta_2\theta_4}) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_3^* &= \sigma^2 (\cancel{\theta_0\theta_3} + \cancel{\theta_1\theta_4}) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_4^* &= \sigma^2 (\theta_0\theta_4) \\ &= 0.3\sigma^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\gamma_h = 0 \text{ for } h > 4$$

ACF

$$p_0 = 1$$

$$p_1 = 0$$

$$p_2 = 0$$

$$p_3 = 0$$

$$p_4 = \frac{0.3\sigma^2}{1.09\sigma^2} \approx 0.275$$

$$p_h = 0 \text{ for } h > 4$$

The ACF for y_t^* becomes 0 after lag 4.

At lag 4, the ACF for y_t^* is equivalent to the ACF of the original model y_t , i.e. $p_4 = p_4^* = 0.275$.