

Chetna Ajay Nainani
Rachana Vimal Gandhi
Harsh Poolep Nahar

classmate ①

Date _____
Page _____

Homework - 2

6.7) Q1) Given:

Coins of unlimited Supply (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_n)
Input n_1, n_2, \dots, n_n
Value to be totalled = v

Is it possible to make changes for v
using coins as denominations $n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_n$?

Algorithm: \rightarrow (indexing from 1 not 0)

coinChange (coin, v) // method coin change

DP[$v+1$] // declaring dynamic programming
array with length greater
than the value \dots

DP[1] = 0 // for first value v we
need 0 coins

DP[2 \dots ∞] = ∞ // Setting all the rest
elements to max value

length = length (coin)

for $i = 2$ to $v+1$

for $j = 1$ to length

if (coin[j] $\leq i$)

rest = DP[$i - \text{coin}[j]$]

if (rest $\neq \infty$ AND rest + 1 < DP[i])

DP[i] = rest + 1

if DP[v] = ∞

return -1

else return DP[v]

Explanation: →

The final value will be contained in DP, the primary principle is to verify for every coin and value. DP records the amount of coins needed to generate that specific value for i , say a certain i is larger than a specific coin, therefore we may create variations for that value i , because we wish to make v , the ultimate solution will be at $i = v$ at $\text{coin}[v]$.

Run-time analysis:-

v // Setting all elements to ∞
 v // for the first for loop
 length // for second for loop
 // Total 4 lines inside the loop

So overall,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Runtime} &= v \times 4 \times v \times \text{length} \\ &= v \times 4 \times v \times n \\ &= 4nv\end{aligned}$$

$$= O(nv)$$

$$\therefore \text{Runtime} = O(nv)$$

6.19) Q2) KCoins()

total[0] = 0

for $i = 1 \dots \text{to value}$

total[i] = ∞

for $z = 1 \dots k \text{ (coin)}$

check if ($\text{coin}[z] \leq i$ & $\text{total}[i] > 1 + \text{total}[i - \text{coin}[z]]$)

total[i] = $1 + \text{total}[i - \text{coin}[z]]$

check if total(value) $> k$

return false

else

return true

Explanation: \rightarrow

\rightarrow Here we are checking if # of limited coins used to make change will be $\leq k$ or not.

\rightarrow If it is possible to make using i coins then we return true

\rightarrow else we return false

\rightarrow Total keeps the track of min no. of coins used to make value

Running time $\rightarrow O(nkv)$

$O(nv)$ proved in last problem, here we consider k iterations inside.

6.7Q3)

We will create a 2 dimensional table T , also, $T[i, j]$ will store the longest palindrome in the string w_i, \dots, w_j . If symbols w_i and w_j are same, we can assume that they are part of the longest palindrome.
 $\therefore T[i, j] = 2 + T[i+1, j-1]$.

If the two symbols are different, then both will not be a part of any palindromic sequence.

Hence, $T[i, j]$ will be max of $T[i+1, j]$ and $T[i, j-1]$.

The best case happens when $i = j$.
So the answer in this case is 1.

Algorithm:

```

for i = 1 to n           // Declare all diagonal
    T[i,i] = 1;          cells of matrix as 1
for i = n-1 down to 1    // for each cell
    for j = i+1 to n      above diagonal
        if (wi = wj)
            T[i,j] = 2 + T[i+1,j-1]
        else
            T[i,j] = max ( T[i+1,j], T[i,j-1] )

```

Algorithm analysis

The first for loop costs n time.
 It takes n time to traverse from $n-1$ down to 1 in the outer for loop and then an additional n time to traverse from $i+1$ to n , k will be constant time to check if else conditions.

Thus time Complexity will be

$$\begin{aligned}
 & n(n+k) + n \\
 &= n^2 + nk + n \\
 &= n^2
 \end{aligned}$$

\therefore Runtime = $O(n^2)$

6.13) Q4) a)

The following is the sequence of cards: 7, 400, 4, 4

When the first player will be greedy, he will choose the first card (value 7). Then, the second player will choose the card with value 400.

The optimal strategy for the first player is to choose the last card with value 4. Now, the second player can choose either the value 7 or the remaining card with value 4. Now, the first player will choose the card with value 400. In this way, the first player can win.

The time Complexity is $O(n^2)$ as the table size is $n \times n$ which is precomputed.

Also, we can consider the following sequence $\{1, 2, 10, 3\}$

first player : 3

second player : 10

here, the first player loses the biggest card due to greed

first player : 2

second player : 1

b) Algorithm:→

Optimal Strategy (S)

$d[0 \dots n][0 \dots n] \rightarrow 0$

for i in range (0, n):

for j in range (0, n):

if $i \leq j$

$d[i][j] = 0$

else

$d[i][j] = \max(S[i] + \min(d[i-1, j-1] - S[j], d[i-2, j] - S[i+1])$

$S[j] + \min(d[i-1, j-1] - S[i], d[i, j-2] - S[j-1])$

return $d[n][n]$

Analysis:→ Let's take the assumption that player 1 attempts to maximize the score and player 2 attempts to minimize it. Hence player 1 will choose the first card or the last card and it is same for player 2. Player 1 should opt for max strategy in order to maximize the score.

We observe that $d[i, j]$ relies on values of $d[u, y]$ where $i \leq u \leq y \leq j$. This algorithm computes these values in order of increasing j . It will take $O(n^2)$ time because there are $O(n^2)$ values to compute, each of which takes constant time. We store a matrix $c[i, j]$ which is the value that player should choose. Using this choice matrix, player 1 can play optimally.

6.11 Q5) Longest Common Subsequence (String1, String2)
 $length1 = len(String1)$
 $length2 = len(String2)$

dynamicProg[1 ... length1][1 ... length2] $\rightarrow 0$

```
for index1 in range(1, length1+1)
    for index2 in range(1, length2+1)
        check if String1[index1-1] == String2[index2-1]
            dynamicProg[index1][index2] =
                dynamicProg[index1-1][index2-1] + 1
        else
            dynamicProg[index1][index2] = Max(
                dynamicProg[index1][index2-1],
                dynamicProg[index1-1][index2])
```

return dynamicProg[length1][length2]

Explanation :

→ Initializing the dynamicProg matrix with 0
 → If the text at [index-1] and [index2-1] matches then we store dynamicProg[index1-1][index2-1] + 1

~~then we store~~ else we take maximum of [index1][index2-1] & [index1-1][index2]

Running Time = $O(mn)$