

# Brain structure and function predict different domains of cognitive control in normal aging

Jenny Rieck<sup>1</sup> Giulia Baracchini<sup>2</sup> Cheryl Grady<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Rotman Research Institute, Baycrest Centre, Toronto ON <sup>2</sup> McGill University, Montreal QC <sup>3</sup>University of Toronto, Toronto ON

## Background


- Cognitive control refers to the flexible allocation of mental resources during goal-directed behavior and comprises three core factors: inhibition, shifting, and working memory [1,2]
- Normal aging is characterized by declines in cognitive control, which have been attributed to both structural and functional alterations in prefrontal and parietal cortices [3,4]
- Our goal was to examine both age and individual differences in the relationship between functional activity for each domain of cognitive control and fronto-parietal gray and white matter structure

## Methods

- N = 140 cognitively-normal adults, ages 20-86
- MRI session with: 3 fMRI tasks of cognitive control, T1-weighted imaging, and diffusion weighted imaging
- Partial Least Squares [5] used to examine contributions of age, task performance, and brain structure to patterns of brain activity for each task (with age removed from performance and structure)


## fMRI Measures

#### Inhibition: Go/NoGo




# **Group Level Activity**


#### **Shifting: Letter Judgment Task Switch**



#### **Working Memory: N-Back**




#### **Cognitive Control Functional Activity**




## Structural Measures

## **Cortical Thickness**



FreeSurfer to parcellate subject-specific cortical structure [6] Principal Component Analysis of mean thickness of frontal and parietal parcels to generate thickness composite

### **White Matter Microstructure**




TRACULA to identify subject-specific white matter pathways [7] Principal Component Analysis of mean fractional anisotropy (FA) of fronto-partial pathways to generate FA composite

## Partial Least Squares Results


#### Inhibition: Go/NoGo

- Older age was characterized by overall decreased activity in default regions for NoGo trials and increased activity for Go trials
- After accounting for age, decreased activity for NoGo trials corresponded to greater frontoparietal white matter FA (but did not correlate with FA in a control CST pathway)
- After accounting for age, decreased activity during Go trials was associated with faster speed-accuracy trade off




## **Shifting: Letter Judgment Task Switch**

- During shifting, aging was associated with increased activity for switch trials in frontal, insula, and occipital regions
- After accounting for age, decreased activity in these regions corresponded to increased fronto-parietal cortical thickness (but did not correlate with thickness in a control pericalcarine region)



## **Working Memory: N-Back**

- During 1- and 2-back, older adults showed decreased activity in left temporal and supp motor/middle cingulate
- After accounting for age, decreased activity in these regions supported better task performance
- Individual differences in fronto-parietal structural measures did not contribute to functional activity



# Discussion

- Inhibition, shifting, and working memory generally engage a common set of cognitive control regions in fronto-parietal cortex; however examining the contributions of age and brain structure to functional activity reveals unique relationships for each domain
- Shifting and inhibition showed greater contributions of individual differences in structure to overall decreases in brain activity (selective to fronto-parietal structure), suggesting that intact fronto-parietal gray and white matter microstructure allows for efficient use of functional resources [8]
- Working memory showed no contribution of structure to functional activity, but had the strongest age effect, suggesting that aging processes may have a stronger influence on the neural correlates of this domain than individual differences in structural integrity
- [1] Miyake et al. (2000) Cog Psych
- [2] Miyake & Friedman (2012) Curr Dir Psych Sci
- [3] Raz & Rodrigue (2006) *Neurosci & Biobeh Rev*
- [4] Spreng et al. (2010) Neurosci & Biobeh Rev
- [5] McIntosh et al. (2004) *NeuroImage* [6] Fischl et al. 2004 *Cereb Cortex*
- [7] Yendiki et al. (2011) Front Neuroinform
- [8] Burzynska et al. (2013) *J Neurosci*