

# Exercise Sheet 4

1

a) Model:  $z_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \underline{z_{t-1}} + a_t$

Lag notation:  $z_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \underline{L} z_t + a_t$

$$\Leftrightarrow z_t - \phi_1 \underline{L} z_t = \phi_0 + a_t$$

b)  $\underbrace{(\mathbf{I} - \phi_1 L)}_{=: \phi(L)} z_t = \phi_0 + a_t$

$$=: \phi(L)$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(L) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 0.75L & 0 \\ -0.25L & 1 - 0.5L \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \overset{\text{adj}}{\phi(L)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 0.5L & 0 \\ 0.25L & 1 - 0.75L \end{pmatrix}$$

adjoint  $\nearrow$   
0

$$c) \begin{pmatrix} 1-0.5L & 0 & 0 \\ 0.25L & 1-0.75L & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1-0.75L & 0 \\ -0.25L & 1-0.5L \end{pmatrix} Z_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1-0.5L & 0 \\ 0.25L & 1-0.75L \end{pmatrix} \cdot (\phi + \epsilon_t)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} (1-0.5L)(1-0.75L) & 0 \\ (0.25L)(1-0.75L) + (1-0.75L) \cdot (-0.25L) & (1-0.75L)(1-0.5L) \end{pmatrix} Z_t$$

$$\stackrel{=}{=} \begin{pmatrix} (1-0.5L) \cdot 1 & 1 \\ 0.25L \cdot 1 + (1-0.75L) \cdot 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} (1-0.5L) \cdot \alpha_{11t} & \alpha_{11t} \\ 0.25L \alpha_{11t} + (1-0.75L) \alpha_{21t} & \alpha_{21t} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \underline{z_{1,t}} - 1.25 \underline{z_{1,t-1}} + 0.375 \underline{z_{1,t-2}} \\ \underline{z_{2,t}} - 1.25 \underline{z_{2,t-1}} + 0.375 \underline{z_{2,t-2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.25 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \underline{a_{1,t}} - 0.5 \underline{a_{1,t-1}} \\ 0 + 0.25 \underline{a_{1,t-1}} + \underline{a_{2,t}} - 0.75 \underline{a_{2,t-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

a) ARMA(2,1)

e) (f, g):  $\rightarrow \mathcal{R}$

h)  $z_{1t}$  is predicted similarly well by both models, but  $z_{2t}$  is predicted much better by the VAR(1).

Reasons:  $z_{1t}$  is a genuine AR(1) process independent of  $q_{2,t}$  whereas  $z_{2t}$  depends on  $q_{2,t}$  and  $a_{1t}$  through  $z_{1t}$ . But a univariate model allows only to estimate the aggregated innovation sequence when the VAR estimates  $k=2$  sequences.

→ R



i) Option 1:

$$a_{1,t} \stackrel{!}{=} a_{2,t} =: \tilde{a}_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_a = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_a^2 & \sigma_a^2 \\ \sigma_a^2 & \sigma_a^2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_a^2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Option 2:

Let  $a_{2,t}$  dominate  $a_{1,t}$  by a larger variance to marginalise  $a_{1,t}$ .

$$\stackrel{\text{example}}{\Rightarrow} \Sigma_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\rightarrow R$

2

a)

$\rightarrow R$

The coefficients coincide pretty well.

b) Trick:  $\text{vec}(ABC) = (C' \otimes A) \text{vec}(B)$

$$\Rightarrow X\beta = X\beta \bar{I}_k \Rightarrow \text{vec}(X\beta) = \text{vec}(X\beta \bar{I}_k) \\ = (\bar{I}_k \otimes X) \text{vec}(\beta)$$

Here:  $Z = X\beta + A$ ,  $\hat{\beta} = \underline{(X'X)^{-1} X'Z}$

and  $\beta$  is a  $(K+1) \times K$  matrix.

$\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$  want to predict  $z_j$  (column ' $j$ ' in  $Z$ ),  $\rightarrow$  can rewrite the estimator

to:  $\text{vec}(\hat{\beta}) = (\underline{\bar{I}_k} \otimes \underline{(X'X)^{-1} X'}) \text{vec}(\underline{Z})$

I am interested in column 'j' of  $Z$  and  $\hat{\beta}_j$  that means I have to look at the 'j<sup>th</sup>' row of matrices in  $(\bar{I}_k (X'X)^{-1} X')$ . This is done by inspecting  $\bar{I}_k(j,j)$ . The result is just  $(X'X)^{-1} X'$  since  $\bar{I}_k(j,c) = 0$   $\forall c \neq j$ .

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \begin{pmatrix} (X'X)^{-1} X' & \dots & 0_{T-p} \\ 0_{T-p} & (X'X)^{-1} X' & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0_{T-p} \\ 0_{T-p} & \dots & 0_{T-p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_{1,p+1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{1,T} \\ z_{2,p+1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{2,T} \\ \vdots \\ z_{j,p+1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{j,T} \\ z_{j+1,p+1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{K,T} \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix  $(X'X)^{-1} X'$  is highlighted in blue in the original image, and the corresponding row of the  $Z$  matrix is highlighted in green.



$$= \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{0.1} & \phi_{0.2} & \dots & \phi_{0.j} & \dots & \phi_{0.k} \\ \phi_{1.11} & \dots & \dots & \phi_{1.1j} & \dots & \phi_{1.1k} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & & \\ \phi_{1.k1} & \dots & \dots & \phi_{1.kj} & \dots & \phi_{1.kk} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & & \\ \phi_{p.k1} & \dots & \dots & \phi_{p.kj} & \dots & \phi_{p.kk} \end{pmatrix}$$

That's why  $\hat{\beta}_j = (X'X)^{-1}X'Z_j$

3

a) Random Sampling:

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_{t=1}^T f(\epsilon_t | M, \sigma^2)$$



standard  
normal

$$= \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_t - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^2}$$

$$\stackrel{\log(\cdot)}{\Rightarrow} \ell = \sum_{t=1}^T \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_t - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= -\frac{T}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T (\varepsilon_t - \mu)^2$$

$$\text{FOCs: } \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \cdot (-2) \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T (\varepsilon_t - \mu) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t - \sum_{t=1}^T \mu \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \stackrel{!}{=} T \cdot \mu$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{\underline{\mu = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{T}{2} \cdot \cancel{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\cancel{2\pi\sigma^2}} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot (-1) \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{(\varepsilon_t - \mu)^2}{\sigma^4} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\frac{T}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^4} \sum_{t=1}^T (\varepsilon_t - \mu)^2 \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{t=1}^T (\varepsilon_t - \mu)^2 = \frac{2T\sigma^4}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (\varepsilon_t - \mu)^2$$

b) In general, let  $f(x, y, z)$  be a joint density.

$$f(x|y, z) = \frac{f(x, y, z)}{\underbrace{f(y, z)}}$$

$$=: f(x)_{x|y=y, z=z}$$

(in short:  
 $f_{x|y,z}(x)$ )

$$= f_{x|y,z}(x) \cdot f_{y|z}(y) \cdot f_z(z)$$

Here:

$$f_{z_{p+1:T}|z_{1:p}}(z_{p+1}, \dots, z_T) = f_{z_T|z_{1:T-1}}(z_T) \cdot f_{z_{p+1:T-1}|z_{1:p}}(z_{p+1}, \dots, z_{T-1})$$

$$= f_{z_T|z_{1:T-1}}(z_T) \cdot f_{z_{T-1}|z_{1:T-2}}(z_{T-1}) \cdot \dots \cdot f_{z_{p+1}|z_{1:p}}(z_{p+1})$$

$$= \prod_{t=p+1}^T f_{z_t|z_{1:t-1}}(z_t)$$

$$z_{p+1} - F(z_{p+1} | z_p, \dots, z_1)$$

