

Winter Term 2019/2020

Dr. Yannick Hoga      Thilo Reinschlüssel

# Multivariate Time Series Analysis

## Solution Exercise Sheet 7

### 1 Exercise 1: The optimal forecast

- a) Show that the stationary VAR(1) process  $z_t = \phi z_{t-1} + a_t$  with  $a_t$  a standard white noise has the following causal representation:

$$z_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi^i a_{t-i}$$

*Solution:*

$$\begin{aligned} z_t &= \phi \cdot \underbrace{z_{t-1}}_{\phi z_{t-2} + a_{t-1}} + a_t \\ &= \phi^2 z_{t-2} + \phi a_{t-1} + a_t \\ &= \phi^3 z_{t-3} + \phi^2 a_{t-2} + \phi a_{t-1} + a_t \\ &\vdots \\ &= \phi^m z_{t-m} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \phi^i a_{t-i} \\ &= 0 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \phi^i a_{t-i} \end{aligned}$$

with  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi^m = 0$  by weak stationarity

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi^i a_{t-i}$$

Using lag notation:

$$z_t = \phi L z_t + a_t$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (1 - \phi L)z_t = a_t$$

$$\Leftrightarrow z_t = (1 - \phi L)^{-1}a_t \text{ and } (1 - \phi L)^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi^i L^i$$

(requires stationarity and invertibility)

- b) Assume the linear forecasting model  $y_T(h) = \psi y_T$  and show that  $\psi = \phi^h$  minimises the MSE of  $y_T(h)$  given that  $y_t$  is a VAR(1) process.

*Solution:*

$$y_T(h) = \arg \min \underbrace{\text{MSE}(y_T(h))}_{\mathbb{E}([y_{T+h} - y_T(h)][y_{T+h} - y_T(h)]')}$$

$$\rightarrow Y_{T+h} = \phi Y_{T+h-1} + a_{T+h}$$

$\vdots$

$$= \phi^h Y_T + \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \phi^i a_{T+h-i}$$

$$\Rightarrow y_{T+h} - Y_T(h) = \phi^h Y_T + \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \phi^i a_{T+h-i} - \psi y_T$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{MSE}(y_T(h)) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \underbrace{\left( \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \phi^i a_{T+h-i} \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \phi^i a_{T+h-i} \right)'}_{\text{depends not on } \psi} + \underbrace{\left( \phi^h - \psi \right) y_T y_T' \left( \phi^h - \psi \right)}_{\text{minimised by } \psi = \phi^h} \right]$$

## 2 Exercise 2: Properties of forecast errors

- a) Show that for a general VAR( $p$ ) process

$$z_{T+h} - z_T(h) = e_T(h) = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \theta_i a_{T+h-i}$$

where  $z_T(h)$  is assumed to be the optimal forecast.

*Solution:*

$$z_T = \phi_0 + \phi_1 z_{T-1} + \dots + \phi_p z_{T-p} + a_t$$

and forecast:  $z_{T-1}(1) = \phi_0 + \phi_1 z_{T-1} + \dots + \phi_p z_{T-p}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow Z_{T+1} - z_T(1) &= a_{T+1} = e_T(1) \\ \Rightarrow z_{T+2} - z_T(2) &= \phi_1 \underbrace{(z_{T+1} - z_T(1))}_{a_{T+1}} + a_{T+2} \\ &= \phi_1 a_{T+1} + a_{T+2} \\ \Rightarrow z_{T+3} - z_T(3) &= \phi_1 \underbrace{(Z_{T+2} - z_T(2))}_{\phi_1 a_{T+1} + a_{T+2}} + \phi_2 \underbrace{(z_{T+1} - Z_T(1))}_{a_{T+2}} + a_{T+3} \\ &= \phi_1 (\phi_1 a_{T+1} + a_{T+2}) + \phi_2 a_{T+2} + a_{T+3} \\ &= \underbrace{(\phi_1^2 + \phi_2)}_{\theta_2} a_{T+1} + \underbrace{\phi_1}_{\theta_1} a_{T+2} + \underbrace{1}_I a_{T+3} \\ &= \theta_2 a_{T+1} + \theta_1 a_{T+2} + \underbrace{\theta_0}_I a_{T+3} \\ \Rightarrow z_{T+h} - z_T(h) &= \theta_{h-1} a_{T+1} + \theta_{h-2} a_{T+2} + \dots + \theta_1 a_{T+h-1} + \underbrace{\theta_0}_I a_{T+h} \end{aligned}$$

b) Assume that  $a_t \sim N(0, \Sigma_a)$ . Derive the distribution of  $e_T(h)$ .

*Solution:*

$$\mathbb{E}[z_{T+h} - z_T(h)] = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \theta_i \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[a_{T+h-1}]}_{=0} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}[z_{T+h} - z_T(h)] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \theta_i a_{T+h-i} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{h-1} \theta_j a_{T+h-j} \right)' \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \theta_i \begin{bmatrix} a_{T+h-i} & a'_{T+h-j} & \theta'_i \end{bmatrix} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \theta_i \mathbb{E} \left[ \begin{bmatrix} a_{T+h-i} & a'_{T+h-j} \end{bmatrix} \right] \theta'_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \theta_i \Sigma_a \theta'_i = \Sigma_e(h) \\ &\text{since } \mathbb{E}(a_{T+h-i} a_{T+h-j}) = 0 \text{ if } j \neq i \end{aligned}$$

By using the fact that a sum of i.i.d. normally distributed variables follows are normal distributed:

$$e_T(h) \sim (N, \Sigma_e(h))$$

$$\text{with } \Sigma_e(h) = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \theta_i \Sigma_a \theta_i$$

c) Prove that  $\text{Cov}(e_T(h)) \rightarrow \Gamma_0$  as  $h \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Solution:*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cov}(e_T(h)) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_i a_{T+h-i} \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_i a_{T+h-i} \right)' \right] \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left( z_{T+h} z_{T+h}' \right) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_0^{T+h} = \Gamma_0 \end{aligned}$$

by weak stationary.

### 3 Exercise 3: Forecast intervals

*Solution:*

$$e_T(h) \sim N(0, \text{Cov}(e_T(h)))$$

For each element it holds that:

$$\frac{e_T^{(i)}(h)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(e_T^{(i)}(h))}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{we need } \sqrt{\text{Cov}(e_T(h))}$$

↳ Cholesky decomposition of a positive definite matrix  $A$

$A = UDU'$ , with  $D$  a diagonal matrix and  $U$  a lower triangular matrix

$D$  can be split further into  $D^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot D^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (note that  $D' = D$  and  $D^{\frac{1}{2}'} = D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow A &= U D^{\frac{1}{2}} D^{\frac{1}{2}'} = U D^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( U D^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)' \\ &= LL' \end{aligned}$$

using  $\text{Cov}(e_T(h)) = \text{Cov}(e_T(h))'$  by symmetry

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow e_T(h)' \cdot \text{Cov}(e_T(h))^{-1} \cdot e_T(h) &= e_T(h)' \underbrace{\text{Cov}(e_T(h))^{-\frac{1}{2}}}_{=L} \underbrace{\text{Cov}(e_T(h))^{-\frac{1}{2}}}_{=L'} e_T(h) \\
&= \underbrace{\left( e_T(h) \text{Cov}(e_T(h))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)'}_{\sim N(0,I)} \underbrace{\left( e_T(h) \text{Cov}(e_T(h))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)}_{\sim N(0,I)}
\end{aligned}$$

Both distributions are multivariate with  $K$  variables. Due to the inner product we have a sum of  $K$  squared standard normal variables.

$\Rightarrow$  this follows are  $\chi_K^2$  distribution!

The ellipsoid can then be set up:

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^K : e_T(h)' \text{Cov}(e_T(h))^{-1} e_T(h) \leq \chi_{K,1-\alpha}^2 \right\}$$

#### 4 Exercise 4: Delta Method

For this task, assume both  $y_T$  and  $x_t$  to be  $K \times 1$  vectors and  $x_t \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} [\mu_x, \Sigma_x]$ .

a) Let  $y_t = f(x_t) = \phi_1 x_t$ . Compute the mean and variance of  $y_T$ .

*Solution:*

$$y_t = \phi_1 x_t$$

$$\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}(\phi_1 x_t) = \phi_1 \mathbb{E}(x_t) = \phi_1 \mu_x$$

$$y_t - \mathbb{E}(y_t) = \tilde{y}_t = \phi_1(x_t - \mu_x) = \phi_1 \tilde{x}_t$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Cov}(y_t) &= \text{Cov}(\tilde{y}_t) = \text{Cov}(\phi_1 \tilde{x}_t) \\
&= \phi_1 \mathbb{E}(\tilde{x}_t \tilde{x}_t') \phi_1' = \phi_1 \Sigma_x \phi_1'
\end{aligned}$$

b) Derive the distribution of  $\sqrt{T}(\bar{y}_T - E(y))$  from your results in a).

*Solution:*

$$x_t \text{ is i.i.d. distributed, } \mathbb{E}(x_t) < \infty, \text{Cov}(x_t) < \infty$$

$\Rightarrow$  a CLT applies!

$$\sqrt{T} \left( \bar{Y}_T - \mathbb{E}(y) \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \phi_1 \Sigma_x \phi_1')$$

- c) Now let  $f(\cdot)$  be some function  $f(x) : \mathbb{R}^K \mapsto \mathbb{R}^K$ . Derive the first order Taylor expansion for  $f(x)$  at  $\mu_x$  and write it down in detail.

*Solution:*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_k) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_k) \\ \vdots \\ f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

1st order Tylor expansion:

$$f(x) \approx f(\mu_x) + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_k} \\ \vdots & & \\ \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_k} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \mu_{x_1}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ x_k - \mu_{x_k}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}$$

- d) Based on the expression in c), show that a CLT applies for  $\sqrt{T} \left( f(\bar{X}_T) - f(\mu_x) \right)$ , and derive the distribution.

*Solution:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Use } x = \bar{x}_T \\ &\Rightarrow f(\bar{x}_T) \approx f(\mu_x) + J(\bar{x}_T - \mu_x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(\bar{x}_T) - f(\mu_x) \approx J(\bar{x}_T - \mu_x) \\ &\mathbb{E}(f(\bar{x}_T) - f(\mu_x)) = \mathbb{E}(J \cdot \bar{x}_T - \mu_x) \\ &= J \cdot 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{Cov}(f(\bar{x}_T) - f(\mu_x)) &= \mathbb{E} \left( (f(\bar{x}_T) - f(\mu_x)) (f(\bar{x}_T) - f(\mu_x))' \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( J(\bar{x}_T - \mu_x) (\bar{x}_T - \mu_x)' J' \right) \\ &= J \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{x}_t \right) \left( \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{x}_t \right)' \right) J \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= J \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{T} \left( \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{x}_t \tilde{x}_t'}_{\Sigma_x} + \underbrace{\sum_{t \neq s}^T \tilde{x}_t \tilde{x}_s'}_{E(\cdot)=0} \right) \right) J \cdot \frac{1}{T} \\
&= \frac{1}{T} J \cdot \Sigma_x \cdot J' \\
&\Rightarrow \sqrt{T} (f(\bar{x}_T) - f(\mu_x)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, J \Sigma_x J')
\end{aligned}$$

e) Lastly, assume the variable  $x_t$  to be known (meaning it is not stochastic). We want to predict  $y_t$  using  $y_t = \phi_1 x_t$ . Unfortunately, we only have  $\widehat{\phi}_1$  which is stochastic with  $\sqrt{T} (\widehat{\phi}_1 - \phi_1) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma_\phi)$ . Can we say something about the distribution of the prediction error  $\hat{y}_t - y_t$ ?

*Solution:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{y}_t - y_t &= \underbrace{(\widehat{\phi}_1 - \phi_1)}_{\text{stochastic}} \underbrace{x_t}_{\text{deterministic}} \\
\mathbb{E}(\hat{y}_t - y_t) &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\phi}_1 - \phi_1)}_{=0} x_t = 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Cov}(f(\bar{x}_T) - f(\mu_x)) &= \text{Cov}((\widehat{\phi}_1 - \phi_1) x_t) \\
&= x_t' \text{Cov}(\widehat{\phi}_1 - \phi_1) x_t \\
&= x_t' \Sigma_{\phi_1} x_t
\end{aligned}$$

and since  $\widehat{\phi}_1$  follows a normal distribution:

$$\hat{y}_t - y_t \sim N(0, x_t' \Sigma_{\phi_1} x_t)$$