

"Spelling matters": The timecourse of sublexical retrieval in written word production


Jens Roeser Pablo Aros Muñoz Mark Torrance

Nottingham Trent Univeristy

Experimental Psychology Society
jens.roeser@ntu.ac.uk


April 10, 2024

Writing a word involves three general planning stages




Classic model of word writing (Caramazza, [1991](#); Kandel, [2023](#)).

Planning happens in parallel to writing



Difficulty at higher levels delays output; see e.g. Olive ([2014](#)).

Planning happens in parallel to writing



Difficulty at higher levels delays output; see e.g. Olive (2014).

Spelling difficult has knock-on effects for upstream processes

- ▶ Poor spellers produce weaker texts (Feng et al., [2019](#); Kent & Wanzek, [2016](#)).
- ▶ Spelling difficulty affects writing fluency:
 - ▶ Irregular spelling affects keystroke dynamics (Lambert et al., [2011](#); Maggio et al., [2015](#); Suárez-Coalla et al., [2020](#)).
 - ▶ Weak spellers write more slowly (Torrance et al., [2016](#)) and less between pauses (Alves & Limpo, [2015](#); Limpo & Alves, [2017](#)).
- ▶ Word-level difficulty in written production (familiarity, number of lexical alternatives) impacts syntax planning (Roeser et al., [2019](#); Torrance & Nottbusch, [2012](#)).

To what extent does difficulty with word spelling affect our ability to convey ideas in writing. This research aims to ...

- ▶ establish time course of orthographic retrieval in single word production.
- ▶ evaluate how difficult-to-spell words affects planning and execution of adjacent words?

Picture-word interference task



Type the name of the depicted item!

Picture-word interference task



Type the name of the depicted item!

Picture-word interference task

Spell match



Spell mismatch



Baseline




Target word: “plane”

Response time is the result of a mixture of two processes:

1. Picture encoding, naming retrieval, orthography, motor codes does not interrupt the information flow.
2. Difficulty at higher levels delay information flow and therefore the writing onset.


Prediction: Either can in principle happen across all conditions but the latter is more likely in the spelling mismatch condition.

Writing as mixture process (Roeser et al., 2021)




Mixture model distributions (talk to me about details)

Writing as mixture process (Roeser et al., 2021)




Mixture model distributions (talk to me about details)

Writing as mixture process (Roeser et al., 2021)




Mixture model distributions (talk to me about details)

Mixture model results




Example target word: “plane”

Mixture model results



Example target word: "plane"


Mixture model results




Example target word: "plane"

Research question: Part II


To what extent does difficulty with word spelling affect planning and execution of words adjacent to a difficult-to-spell word?




(a) Target initial, spell match



(b) Target initial, spell mismatch




(c) Target final, spell match




(d) Target final, spell mismatch


Target phrase "plane bike", "bike plane"




Keystroke intervals for "bike plane"



Keystroke intervals for "bike plane"




Keystroke intervals for “bike plane”



Keystroke intervals for "plane bike"

Mixture model results: Experiment (1 and) 2




Example target phrase: “plane bike”, “bike plane”

Orthography is planned in parallel to production

- ▶ No evidence that orthography of upcoming word affects the previous word.
- ▶ Whether or not parallel planning occurs depends on the time available for parallel planning (Griffin, [2003](#)).


Mixture model results: Experiment 3



(a) difficult spelling, short word




(b) easy spelling, short word




(c) easy spelling, difficult spelling

Design: Word type (levels: difficult spelling ["ashtray"], easy spelling ["racket"], short ["comb"]) \times order (levels: first word, second word)

Mixture model results: Experiment 3



Mixture model results: Experiment 3



Orthography is planned in parallel to production

- ▶ Spelling difficulty increased pausing probability before and after word onset.
- ▶ Pre-planning of orthography depends – to some extent – on the location of the difficult-to-spell word.
- ▶ Parallel planning of upcoming words is only available if the current word and the next word are easy to spell.
- ▶ Difficulty with word spelling spills over to planning of subsequent words.

Thank you for listening!

email: jens.roeser@ntu.ac.uk

Funded by UKRI ESRC (ES/W011832/1) – Project title: "Can you use it in a sentence?": Establishing how word-production difficulties shape text formation


References I

- Alves, R. A., & Limpo, T. (2015). Progress in written language bursts, pauses, transcription, and written composition across schooling. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 19(5), 374–391.
- Caramazza, A. (1991). Orthographic structure, the graphemic buffer and the spelling process. In *Issues in reading, writing and speaking. neuropsychology and cognition* (pp. 297–311, Vol. 3). Springer.
- Feng, L., Lindner, A., Ji, X. R., & Malatesha Joshi, R. (2019). The roles of handwriting and keyboarding in writing: A meta-analytic review. *Reading and Writing*, 32, 33–63.
- Griffin, Z. M. (2003). A reversed word length effect in coordinating the preparation and articulation of words in speaking. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 10(3), 603–609.
- Kandel, S. (2023). Written production: The APOMI model of word writing: Anticipatory processing of orthographic and motor information. In *Language production* (pp. 209–232). Routledge.
- Kent, S. C., & Wanzek, J. (2016). The relationship between component skills and writing quality and production across developmental levels: A meta-analysis of the last 25 years. *Review of Educational research*, 86(2), 570–601.
- Lachman, R. (1973). Uncertainty effects on time to access the internal lexicon. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 99(2), 199–208.

References II

- Lambert, E., Alamargot, D., Larocque, D., & Caporossi, G. (2011). Dynamics of the spelling process during a copy task: Effects of regularity and frequency. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology/Revue canadienne de psychologie expérimentale*, 65(3), 141–150.
- Limpo, T., & Alves, R. A. (2017). Written language bursts mediate the relationship between transcription skills and writing performance. *Written Communication*, 34(3), 306–332.
- Maggio, S., Chenu, F., de Berc, G. B., Pesci, B., Lété, B., Jisa, H., & Fayol, M. (2015). Producing written noun phrases in French. *Written Language & Literacy*, 18(1), 1–24.
- Olive, T. (2014). Toward a parallel and cascading model of the writing system: A review of research on writing processes coordination. *Journal of Writing Research*, 6(2), 173–194.
- Roeser, J., De Maeyer, S., Leijten, M., & Van Waes, L. (2021). Modelling typing disfluencies as finite mixture process. *Reading and Writing*, 37(2), 359–384.
- Roeser, J., Torrance, M., & Baguley, T. (2019). Advance planning in written and spoken sentence production. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 45(11), 1983–2009.
- Suárez-Coalla, P., Afonso, O., Martínez-García, C., & Cuetos, F. (2020). Dynamics of sentence handwriting in dyslexia: The impact of frequency and consistency. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 319.

- Torrance, M., & Nottbusch, G. (2012). Written production of single words and simple sentences. In V. Berninger (Ed.), *Past, present, and future contributions of cognitive writing research to cognitive psychology* (pp. 403–422). Taylor Francis.
- Torrance, M., Nottbusch, G., Alves, R. A., Arfé, B., Chanquoy, L., Chukharev-Hudilainen, E., Dimakos, I., Fidalgo, R., Hyönä, J., Jóhannesson, Ó. I., et al. (2018). Timed written picture naming in 14 European languages. *Behavior Research Methods*, 50, 744–758.
- Torrance, M., Rønneberg, V., Johansson, C., & Uppstad, P. H. (2016). Adolescent weak decoders writing in a shallow orthography: Process and product. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 20(5), 375–388.



Data from Torrance et al. (2018)

- Spelling diversity data taken from Torrance et al. (2018).

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{p_i}\right)$$

where k is the number of different spellings and p_i is the proportion of ppts producing the i^{th} spelling (Lachman, 1973).

$$\text{rt}_{ij} \sim \theta_{\text{distractor-type}} \cdot \log \mathcal{N}(\beta + \delta + \text{ppt}_i + \text{item}_j, \sigma_{e'}^2) + \\ (1 - \theta_{\text{distractor-type}}) \cdot \log \mathcal{N}(\beta + \text{ppt}_i + \text{item}_j, \sigma_e^2)$$

where:

$$\text{ppt}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\text{ppt}}^2)$$

$$\text{item}_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\text{item}}^2)$$

constraints:

$$\delta, \text{ (and all } \sigma\text{s)} > 0$$

$$\sigma_{e'}^2 > \sigma_e^2$$