

# Network Meta-Analysis Workshop Instructions

## 1 Introduction

Welcome to the Network Meta-Analysis (NMA) workshop! In this session, you will learn how to conduct NMA using the **netmeta** package in R. The workshop consists of two hands-on coding sessions:

1. Session 1: Basic Network Meta-Analysis (30 minutes)
2. Session 2: Advanced Topics in Frequentist NMA (20 minutes)

## 2 Session 1: Basic Network Meta-Analysis (30 min)

### 2.1 Step 1: Load Data and Explore the Structure (10 min)

**Dataset Assignment:** Each group will work with one of five different datasets from **netmeta** (Baker2009, Dogliotti2014, Dong2013, Franchini2012, Gurusamy2011). Please visit [https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/netmeta/versions/3.1-1/topics/<your\\_dataset>](https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/netmeta/versions/3.1-1/topics/<your_dataset>) for a description of the data.

```
library(netmeta)
```

```
library(dmetar)
```

```
# Load your assigned dataset
```

```
# Example: data("Baker2009")
```

```
data(your_dataset)
```

```
# Explore dataset
```

```
# Example: str(Baker2009)
```

```
str(your_dataset)
```

```
summary(your_dataset)
```

```
head(your_dataset)
```

```
# Count unique treatments and studies
```

```
# Variables describing study and treatment may be different in your dataset
```

```
length(unique(c(your_dataset$treat1, your_dataset$treat2)))
```

```
length(unique(your_dataset$studlab))
```

#### Discussion Questions:

- How many studies and how many treatments/interventions are in your network?
- What do the key variables represent?
- Draw a graph that represents the available direct evidence in your network. I.e. one node for each treatment and an edge between treatments that are **directly** compared in any study.

## 2.2 Step 2: Transform data to contrast-based format for input into *netmeta()* (5 min)

```
# Transform data from long arm-based format to contrast-based  
# format. Argument 'sm' has to be used for odds ratio as summary  
# measure; by default the risk ratio is used in the metabin  
# function called internally.  
  
# Note: arguments will be different for other datasets  
pw <- pairwise(treatment, exac, total, studlab = paste(study, year),  
               data = Baker2009, sm = "OR")
```

### Discussion Questions:

- What is the format of the dataset before call to *pairwise()* and after?
- Were there any issues or warnings when running *pairwise()*?

## 2.3 Step 3: Conduct Network Meta-Analysis (5 min)

```
nma <- netmeta(pw)  
summary(nma)
```

### Discussion Questions:

- How do treatment effects appear in your dataset?
- How are direct and indirect comparisons combined?
- How can heterogeneity affect results?

## 2.4 Step 4: Network Visualization (5 min)

```
# Plot network for your dataset  
netgraph(nma,  
          number.of.studies = TRUE)
```

**Tasks:** Modify color settings, point sizes, node orientation, etc. See *netgraph.netmeta* at <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/netmeta/netmeta.pdf> for arguments.

## 2.5 Step 5: Treatment Ranking and Forest Plot (5 min)

```
# Rank treatments and generate forest plot  
netrank(nma)  
forest(nma)  
  
# Create netleague plot  
netleague(nma)
```

### Discussion Questions:

- How does your dataset rank treatments?
- How do league tables help in interpreting results?

## 3 Session 2: Advanced Topics in Frequentist NMA (20 min)

### 3.1 Step 1: Checking for Inconsistency (10 min)

```
# Check inconsistency in your dataset
# Run install.packages("dplyr") if you do not have it installed
library(dplyr)

# Forest plot showing direct vs indirect evidence and pooled estimate
netsplit(nma) %>% forest()

# Plot showing which comparisons have inconsistency and to what degree
netheat(nma)
```

#### Discussion Questions:

- Recall: What does inconsistency mean in network meta-analysis?
- How do we interpret the node-splitting results?
- What are possible sources of inconsistency in your dataset?

### 3.2 Step 2: Sensitivity Analysis (10 min)

```
# Remove studies one-by-one and observe the impact
# Study variable and values may be different in your dataset
filtered_data <- your_dataset[your_dataset$studlab != "1", ]
nma_sens <- netmeta(
  TE = filtered_data$TE, seTE = filtered_data$seTE,
  treat1 = filtered_data$treat1, treat2 = filtered_data$treat2,
  studlab = filtered_data$studlab, data = filtered_data, sm = "SMD"
)
summary(nma_sens)
```

#### Discussion Questions:

- How does removing a study impact treatment rankings?
- Are certain studies driving the results?
- How do we decide which studies to exclude in sensitivity analysis?

---

**Final Note:** Experiment with modifying parameters, run additional analyses, and compare results across datasets to develop a deeper understanding of network meta-analysis. Please feel free to reach out with any questions!