

University of Waterloo **Co-operative Education & Career Services**

Student Performance Evaluation

BUILDING A TALENT TRUST

Wang, Jeremy Yijiang 20382498 Spring 2012 2A Mechatronics Engineering IGNIS Innovation Inc.

Job Title: Software Testing Co-op

Job IGNIS is looking for co-op students to be a part of our latest project - an AMOLED

Description: television. AMOLED (Active-Matrix Organic Light Emitting Diode) displays are a new cutting-edge display technology, for every application from mobile phones to HDTV. They offer superior image quality to AMLCD, lower power, more vibrant colors, and lower manufacturing costs. IGNIS Innovation Inc. is the leading independent supplier of technology for AMOLED displays. We develop the technology that makes AMOLEDs last longer, look better, and cost less. Spun off from the University of Waterloo in 2000, and based on over 10 years of R&D, IGNIS has over 40 patents granted and pending. IGNIS has strong relationships in Asia with leading display manufacturers who are introducing OLEDs into the global marketplace. Find out more on our website at www.ignisinnovation.com. Responsibilities will include some (or all) of the following, depending on the candidate's skill level: *IGNIS develops software that runs on the AMOLED display controller, correcting for image quality issues. The candidate may be responsible for verification of software functionality, and writing test scripts and test functions. *IGNIS also develops software tools to aid in the development of the AMOLED display and our algorithms. The candidate may be responsible for verification of software functionality, writing test scripts, or development of new features. *Support the display software engineering team *Characterizing and documenting software functionality The following skills are required: *Software verification and testing experience The following skills would be an asset: *Design and debugging of embedded software *Working knowledge of LCD displays and/or video Education Currently enrolled in Electrical Engineering, Computer Engineering, Mechatronics Engineering, Software Engineering, Systems Design Engineering, or Computer Science.

Interest				
 High Interest. Very Enthusiastic. Takes pride in doing work well. 	More than average amount of interest and enthusiasm.	Satisfactory amount of interest and enthusiasm.	Little interest or enthusiasm shown.	Not Applicable.
Initiative				
Self-starter.Asks for additional work.	Displays initiative consistently.	Acts voluntarily in routine situations.	Lacks initiative.Must be told frequently what to do.	Not Applicable.
Planning and Orga	nizing			
Does an outstanding job of planning and organizing work and time.	Plans and organizes work and time effectively.	Does average amount of planning and organizing.	Fails to plan and organize work and time effectively.	Not Applicable.
Setting Goals				
Developed goals for the work term early and made excellent progress in working towards them; referred back to goals and evaluated progress at various points during the term.	Developed goals for the work term early and showed some effort in working towards them; did not often revisit goals or evaluate progress during the term.	Showed minimal effort early in the term to set goals; demonstrated no follow-up activity.	No evidence that the student set goals for the term.	Not Applicable/ Not Evaluated.
Ability to Learn Excellent.	☐ Above Average.	Average.	☐ Below Average.	■ Not Applicable.
Quality of Work Excellent: very few errors.	Good: few errors.	Satisfactory: has normal number of errors.	Unsatisfactory: frequent errors.	Not Applicable.

P							
Qu	antity of Work Excellent productivity.	✓	Good productivity.		Satisfactory productivity.	Unsatisfactory productivity.	Not Applicable.
Cro	eativity						
	Continually offers new ideas; extremely imaginative.		Frequently offers new ideas; imaginative.	✓	Has average imagination and a reasonable number of new ideas.	Rarely offers new ideas; limited imagination.	Not Applicable.
Ref	flection and Inte	grat	tion from Prior	Lea	rning		
	Frequently shows evidence of thinking deeply or reflecting in order to link previous learning experiences (from school or work) with current workplace activity.		Sometimes shows evidence of thinking deeply or reflecting in order to link previous learning experiences (from school or work) with current workplace activity.		Rarely shows evidence of thinking deeply or reflecting in order to link previous learning experiences (from schoool or work) with current workplace activity.	Shows no evidence of thinking deeply or reflecting in order to link previous learning experiences (from school or work) with current workplace activity.	Not Applicable/ Not Evaluated.
Jud	lgment						
	Decisions always based on thorough analysis of the situation.		Usually makes good decisions.		Decisions are satisfactory in routine situations.	Decisions often based on inadequate analysis of the situation.	Not Applicable.
Pro	blem Solving Sl	kills					
	Highly adept and innovative.		Adept at solving problems.		Satisfactory problem solving abilities.	Exhibits inadequate problem solving abilities.	Not Applicable.
Del	pendability						
	Reliable in any situation.	✓	Reliable in most situations.		Reliable in routine situations.	Unreliable; requires close supervision.	Not Applicable.

1/6/2015	5	St	udent Performance Evaluation - Pri	ntable Form	
Int	erpersonal Beha	viour			
	Always works in harmony with others. An excellent team worker. Contributes to group relationships and effectiveness.	Congenial and helpful. Works well with associates. Seen as an asset in furthering co-operation and group harmony.	Relations with others are harmonious under normal circumstances.	Frequently quarrelsome, causes friction. Uncommunicative and withdrawn to the point of having an adverse effect on group.	Not Applicable.
Ha	ndling Conflict				
	Effectively and consistently handles interpersonal conflict in most situations.	Handles interpersonal conflict adequately when absolutely necessary.	 Rarely handles interpersonal conflict appropriately. 	Shows no evidence of ability to handle interpersonal conflict.	Not Applicable/ Not Evaluated.
Res	sponse to Superv	rision			
	Responds maturely, positively and promptly to suggestions and feedback from supervisor. Very open minded.	Willingly accepts suggestions and feedback from supervisor.	Accepts suggestions and feedback from supervisor in a satisfactory manner.	Often responds negatively to suggestions and feedback from supervisor.	Not Applicable.
Co	mmunication - V	Vritten (including w	ork reports, if applica	able)	
•	Exceptionally clear, well organized and concise.	Clear, organized and concise.	Satisfactory writing skills.	Inadequate writing skills	Not Applicable.
Co	mmunication - O	Pral			
	Exceptional oral communication; clear, well organized and easily understood.	Clear and understandable	Satisfactory oral communication.	Inadequate oral communication.	Not Applicable.

Leadership Qualitic	es	radent chormane Evaluation	Timase Tom			
Excellent ability to motivate and direct others.	■ Very good.	Exhibits average leadership skills.	Presently lacks the ability to motivate and direct others.	Not Applicable.		
Adaptation to Forn	nal Organizations, l	Rules and Policies				
Fully adaptable to recognized organizational structures, rules and policies.	Adapts well to recognized organizational structures, rules and policies.	Satisfactory adaptation to recognized		Not Applicable.		
Areas of Strength		Areas for	r Development			
1. Learns new skills	quickly	1. Can di	splay more initiative to	o related tasks		
2. Comfortable with	software & hardware	re concepts 2. Creativ	vity in problem solving	9		
3. Works well with	others & wants to be	helpful 3. Organi	zing work priorities			
quickly when switch environment. While proposing novel solu	ing between comple limited in experiencutions to existing pro	tely unrelated tasks, a e, Jeremy has room f	I more about work pro and fits well into a sma or growth in creative p g opportunities for sho ds work	all company broblem solving by		
Overall Performan		nce or unique contrib	ution)			
_ ,	eded job requiremen	•	ution)			
			ev areas)			
Very Good (exceeded job requirements in one or more key areas)Good (met all job requirements)						
		identified in one or r	nore key areas)			
• ,	s improvement in ma		,			
• ,	(did not meet job rec					
Did you review the c	completed evaluation No	n form with the stude	nt? (Please ensure the	student has a copy.)		

	Work Term		
Do yo	u wish to have the	e student return	for the next work term?
	Yes	✓ No	Not Applicable
If yes,	have you offered Yes	l to re-employ th	ne student for the next work term? To Be Determined
If yes,	was your offer: Accepted	Declined	
Stude	nt's Comments		

Supervisor: J. Marcel Dionne **Title:** Director of Engineering

Management/Human Resources:

Title: