Problem 1

Theorem 1. Part (a):

The skew symmetric matrices form a subspace of $M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Part (b):

If W denotes the subspace of symmetric matrices, and W_ denotes the subspace of antisymmetric matrices, then $M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{R})=W+W_-$ and $W\cap W_-=\{0\}$.

Solution

Proof. Part (a):

Since each entry of the zero matrix is zero, we have $0_{ij} = -0_{ji}$, and can conclude that the zero matrix is skew symmetric. Now, let $w, x \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ be skew symmetric. Then, for $1 \le i, j \le n$,

$$(w+x)_{ji} = w_{ji} + x_{ji}$$
 Basic property of matrix addition
 $= -w_{ij} - x_{ij}$ Both vectors are skew symmetric
 $= -(w+x)_{ij}$,

and we have shown that w + x is skew symmetric.

Finally, let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ be skew symmetric. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have

$$(ax)_{ji} = a(x_{ji})$$

= $a(-x_{ij})$ x is skew symmetric
= $-(ax)_{ij}$,

and we have proven that ax is skew symmetric. With this, we have proven that the subset of skew symmetric matrices forms a subspace.

Part (b):

Let $v \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$. Define $w, x \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$w_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(v_{ij} - v_{ji}), \text{ and } x_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(v_{ij} + v_{ji})$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then, we have

$$w_{ji} = \frac{1}{2}(v_{ji} - v_{ij})$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2}(v_{ij} - v_{ji})$$
$$= -w_{ij},$$

and we have that $w \in W_{-}$. Similarly,

$$x_{ji} = \frac{1}{2}(v_{ji} + v_{ij})$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(v_{ij} + v_{ji})$$
$$= x_{ii}.$$

and we have shown that $x \in W$.

From the definition of x and w, we have

$$w_{ij} + x_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(v_{ij} - v_{ji}) + \frac{1}{2}(v_{ij} + v_{ji})$$

= v_{ij} ,

and we can conclude that v = w + x. Since v was arbitrary, we have shown any $W + W_{-} = V$. Finally, we have

$$v \in W \cap W_{-} \implies (\forall 1 \le i, j \le n)(v_{ij} = v_{ji} \land v_{ij} = -v_{ji})$$
$$\implies (\forall 1 \le i, j \le n)(v_{ij} = 0)$$
$$\implies v = 0.$$

Thus, we have shown $W \cap W_{-} = \{0\}$, and our proof is complete.

August 19, 2023

Problem 2

Theorem 2. Let T be an invertible linear transformation $T: V \to W$, and let $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ be a basis for V. Then $\{T(v_1), T(v_2), ..., T(v_n)\}$ is a basis for W.

Solution

Proof. For this proof, we will show that $\{T(v_1), T(v_2), ..., T(v_n)\}$ spans V and is linearly independent. Let $w \in W$. Then, since T is invertible, it is surjective, which implies there exists a $v \in V$ such that T(v) = w. Since \mathcal{B} is a basis of V, there exist $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ for $1 \le i \le n$ such that

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i.$$

Then, using the fact that T is linear, we have

$$w = T(v)$$

$$= T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} T(a_i v_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i T(v_i),$$

and we have shown that $\{T(v_1), T(v_2), ..., T(v_n)\}$ spans V.

Finally, let $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, be such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i T(v_i) = 0. (1)$$

Then, we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i T(v_i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} T(a_i v_i)$$
$$= T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i\right).$$

By linearity of T, T(0) = 0. Furthermore, since T is injective (as a result of invertibility), we can conclude

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i = 0.$$

By linear independence of \mathcal{B} , we have $a_i = 0$ for all i. Thus, the only solution to (1) is the trivial one, implying that $\{T(v_1), T(v_2), ..., T(v_n)\}$ is linearly independent. With this, our proof is complete.

August 19, 2023 2