

# Picard's Existence and Uniqueness Theorem

Presenter: Jeremiah Givens

Professor: Dr. Claudio Morales

Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama in Huntsville

# 1 Preliminaries

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Then,  $x$  is bounded, and  $x$  achieves its maximum.*

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b] \text{ is compact} &\implies x([a, b]) \text{ is compact} && \text{continuous image of compact set} \\ &\implies x([a, b]) \text{ is closed and bounded} && \text{by the Heine-Borel Theorem, since } x([a, b]) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \\ &\implies x \text{ is bounded.} \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to show that  $x$  achieves its maximum. We know from our argument above, that  $x$  has an upper bound. Thus, the least upper bound property of the real numbers tells us that the supremum  $M = \sup\{x(t) : t \in [a, b]\}$  exists. By the definition of a supremum, we can choose a sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $M - \frac{1}{n} \leq x(t_n) \leq M$ . Clearly, the sequence  $\{x(t_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $M$ . Since  $[a, b]$  is compact, and therefore sequentially compact, we have that  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a subsequence  $\{t_{n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  that converges to some  $t \in [a, b]$ . Since  $x$  is continuous,  $\{x(t_{n_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x(t)$ . Since a subsequence of any convergent sequence converges to the same limit, we have that  $\{x(t_{n_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $M$ . Therefore,  $x$  achieves its maximum at  $t$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $C$  equal the set of all continuous real-valued functions on the closed interval  $[a, b]$ . Then,  $C$  forms a metric space with the metric  $d$  defined by*

$$d(x, y) = \max_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t) - y(t)|.$$

*Proof.* Since we know every norm induces a metric, it will suffice to show that  $\|\cdot\| : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\|x\| = \max_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t)|$$

forms a norm on  $C$ . Since the absolute value function is continuous, and the composition of two continuous functions is continuous, we can conclude from Lemma 1 that  $\|x\|$  is well defined for all  $x \in C$ .

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $x \in C$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|sx\| &= \max_{t \in [a, b]} |sx(t)| \\ &= \max_{t \in [a, b]} |s||x(t)| \\ &= |s| \max_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t)| && \text{since } s \text{ has no dependence on } t \\ &= |s|\|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

and we have shown that  $\|\cdot\|$  obeys the absolute homogeneity property of a norm.

Next, we must show that for all  $x \in C$ ,  $\|x\| = 0 \implies x = 0$ . Let  $x \in C$ . Proving the contrapositive, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \neq 0 &\implies (\exists t_0 \in [a, b])(x(t_0) \neq 0) \\ &\implies \max_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t)| \geq |x(t_0)| && \text{by definition of a max} \\ &\implies \max_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t)| > 0 \\ &\implies \|x\| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we must show that the triangle inequality holds for  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $x, y \in C$ . By Lemma 1, we have that  $|x|$ ,  $|y|$ , and  $|x + y|$  achieve their maximums on  $[a, b]$ . Thus, we can define  $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in [a, b]$  to be such that  $|x(t_1)| = \|x\|$ ,  $|y(t_2)| = \|y\|$ , and  $|x(t_3) + y(t_3)| = \|x + y\|$ . With this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\| &= |x(t_3) + y(t_3)| \\ &\leq |x(t_3)| + |y(t_3)| && \text{Since the absolute value function forms a norm on the real numbers} \\ &\leq |x(t_1)| + |y(t_3)| && \text{Since } |x(t_1)| \geq |x(t_3)| \text{ by definition of a max} \\ &\leq |x(t_1)| + |y(t_2)| && \text{Since } |y(t_2)| \geq |y(t_3)| \text{ by definition of a max} \\ &= \|x\| + \|y\|, \end{aligned}$$

and our proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *The metric space  $(C, d)$  from the previous lemma is complete.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $C$ . Define  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t)$ . We need to show that  $f$  is well defined, that  $f$  is continuous, and that  $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ .

To show that  $f$  is well defined, it will suffice to show that for all  $t \in [a, b]$ , the sequence  $\{x_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is Cauchy, since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy, there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x_m\| < \epsilon &\iff \max_{t \in [a, b]} |x_n(t) - x_m(t)| < \epsilon \\ &\implies (\forall t \in [a, b]) (|x_n(t) - x_m(t)| < \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

From this, we can conclude that  $f$  is well defined.

We will now show that  $f$  is continuous. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and let  $p_0 \in [a, b]$ . Since  $\{x_n(p)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $f(p)$  for all  $p \in [a, b]$ , there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f(p) - x_n(p)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Since  $x_n$  is continuous at  $p_0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $p \in [a, b]$

$$|p - p_0| < \delta \implies |x_n(p) - x_n(p_0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

With this, we have that for all  $p \in [a, b]$  with  $|p - p_0| < \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(p) - f(p_0)| &\leq |f(p) - x_n(p)| + |f(p_0) - x_n(p)| && \text{triangle inequality} \\ &\leq |f(p) - x_n(p)| + |f(p_0) - x_n(p_0)| + |x_n(p_0) - x_n(p)| && \text{triangle inequality} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that  $f$  is continuous, and therefore  $f \in C$ .

Finally, all that remains is to show that  $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\{x_n(p)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy, there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq N$ , we have  $\|x_n - x_m\| < \epsilon$ . Then, for all  $t \in [a, b]$  and  $m \geq N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - x_m(t)| &= \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t) - x_m(t) \right| && \text{by definition of } f \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n(t) - x_m(t)| && \text{by elementary limit laws} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_m\| && \text{by definition of our norm} \\ &\leq \epsilon. && \text{by definition of } N \text{ above} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we have shown that  $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ , and that  $C$  is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $c \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $\tilde{C}$  be a subspace of the metric space from Lemma 1, consisting of all functions  $x \in C$  such that*

$$d(x, x_0) \leq c.$$

*Then,  $\tilde{C}$  is closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \tilde{C}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \tilde{C} &\iff (\forall \epsilon > 0) (B(f; \epsilon) \cap \tilde{C} \neq \emptyset) \\ &\iff (\forall \epsilon > 0) (\exists x \in \tilde{C}) (d(f, x) < \epsilon) \\ &\iff (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) (\exists x_n \in \tilde{C}) (d(f, x_n) < \frac{1}{n}). \end{aligned}$$

With this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(f, x_0) &\leq d(f, x_n) + d(x_n, x_0) && \text{triangle inequality} \\ &< \frac{1}{n} + d(x_n, x_0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} + c && \text{since } x_n \in \tilde{C} \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $d(f, x_0) \leq c$  which implies  $f \in \tilde{C}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $C$  be a complete metric space. Let  $A \subseteq C$  be closed. Then,  $A$  is complete.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be closed, and let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $A$ . Since  $X$  is complete, we know that the limit converges to some point  $x$  in  $X$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x &\implies (\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})(n \geq N \implies d(x_n, x) \leq \epsilon) \\ &\implies (\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists x_n \in A)(x_n \in B(x; \epsilon)) \\ &\implies (\forall \epsilon > 0)(B(x; \epsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset) \\ &\implies x \in \bar{A} \\ &\implies x \in A. \end{aligned} \quad \text{since } A \text{ is closed}$$

Since this is true for any Cauchy sequence in  $A$ ,  $A$  is complete.  $\square$

## 2 Main Topic

The focus of this paper is on solutions to explicit ordinary differential equations of the form

$$x' = f(t, x) \tag{1}$$

where  $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and the prime denotes differentiation of  $x$  with respect to  $t$ . Specifically, we are interested in initial value problems, where

$$x(t_0) = x_0.$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f$  be continuous on a rectangle*

$$R = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |t - t_0| \leq a \wedge |x - x_0| \leq b\},$$

*and thus bounded on  $R$ , say*

$$|f(t, x)| \leq c, \text{ for all } (t, x) \in R. \tag{2}$$

*Suppose  $f$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition on  $R$  with respect to its second argument, that is, there is a constant  $k$  (Lipschitz constant) such that for  $(t, x), (t, v) \in R$*

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, v)| \leq k|x - v|. \tag{3}$$

*Then the initial value problem (1) has a unique solution. This solution exists on an interval  $[t_0 - \beta, t_0 + \beta]$  where*

$$\beta < \min \left\{ a, \frac{b}{c}, \frac{1}{k} \right\} \tag{4}$$

*Proof.* Let  $C(J)$  be the metric space of all real-valued continuous functions on the interval  $J = [t_0 - \beta, t_0 + \beta]$  with metric  $d$  defined by

$$d(x, y) = \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - y(t)|.$$

By Lemma 2 we know that  $C(J)$  is a metric space, and by Lemma 3 we know that  $C(J)$  is complete. Let  $\tilde{C}$  be a subspace of  $C(J)$  consisting of all functions  $x \in C(J)$  such that

$$|x(t) - x_0| \leq c\beta. \tag{5}$$

By Lemma 4, we have that  $\tilde{C}$  is closed, so by Lemma 5  $\tilde{C}$  is complete.

From the fundamental theorem of calculus, (1) can be written in the form  $x = Tx$ , where  $T : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$  is defined by

$$Tx(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \tag{6}$$

We have  $\tau \in J \implies |\tau - t_0| \leq a$  (by 4), and

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \tilde{C} &\implies |x(\tau) - x_0| \leq c\beta && \text{by (5)} \\ &\implies |x(\tau) - x_0| \leq b && \text{by (4).} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(\tau, x(\tau)) \in R$  and the integral in (6) exists since  $f$  is continuous on  $R$ . To see that  $T$  maps  $\tilde{C}$  into itself,

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx(t) - x_0| &= \left| \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq c|t - t_0| && \text{by (2)} \\ &\leq c\beta && \text{since } t \in [t_0 - \beta, t_0 + \beta]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (5) we have that  $Tx \in \tilde{C}$ .

We will now show that  $T$  is a contraction on  $\tilde{C}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx(t) - Tv(t)| &= \left| \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, x(\tau)) - f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq |t - t_0| \max_{\tau \in J} k|x(\tau) - v(\tau)| && \text{by the Lipschitz condition (3)} \\ &\leq k\beta d(x, v) && \text{by (4) and by definition of the metric } d. \end{aligned}$$

Since the right hand side does not depend on  $t$ , we can take the max on both sides to yield

$$d(Tx, Tv) \leq k\beta d(x, v).$$

By (4), we have that  $k\beta < 1$ . Thus, we have shown that  $T$  is a contraction mapping on  $\tilde{C}$ .

By the Banach Fixed Point Theorem,  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x \in \tilde{C}$ , such that  $Tx = x$ . That is, there exists a unique  $x \in \tilde{C}$  such that

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau. \tag{7}$$

Since  $(\tau, x(\tau)) \in R$  where  $f$  is continuous, we have that both sides of (7) are differentiable, and  $x$  satisfies (1). Since every solution  $x$  to (1) must satisfy (7), we have that  $x$  is unique, and our proof is complete.  $\square$