Problem 13

Theorem 1. The function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = \frac{1}{x+2}$ is continuous at x = 1.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\delta_0 > 0$. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - 1| < \delta_0$, we have

$$|f(x) - f(1)| = \left| \frac{1}{x+2} - \frac{1}{1+2} \right|$$
 This is well defined if we impose $\delta_0 \le 3$ because $\delta_0 \le 3 \implies x \ne -2$

$$= \left| \frac{1}{x+2} - \frac{1}{3} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{3-x-2}{3(x+2)} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{1-x}{3(x+2)} \right|$$

$$= \frac{|1-x|}{|3(x+2)|}$$

$$< \frac{\delta_0}{|3(x+2)|}$$

$$\le \frac{\delta_0}{3((1-\delta)+2)}$$
 Now imposing further that $\delta_0 \le 1$

$$= \frac{\delta_0}{9-3\delta_0}.$$

Now what we want to do is choose a δ_0 such that this expression is less than or equal to ϵ :

$$\frac{\delta_0}{9 - 3\delta_0} \le \epsilon$$

$$\delta_0 \le 9\epsilon - 3\epsilon\delta_0$$

$$\delta_0(1 + 3\epsilon) \le 9\epsilon$$

$$\delta_0 \le \frac{9\epsilon}{1 + 3\epsilon}.$$

Assigning $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{9\epsilon}{1+3\epsilon}\}$, we have shown that

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(|x-1| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(1)| < \epsilon).$$

Therefore, since ϵ was arbitrary, we have shown that f is continuous at x = 1.

Problem 14.

Before we jump into this problem, we are going to prove a useful lemma that allows us to describe the product topology in terms of a simple basis (as apposed to the standard subbasis definition).

Lemma 1. Let $\Pi_{k=1}^n X_k$ be a product space. Then, the set $\mathcal{B} = \{\Pi_{k=1}^n U_k : U_k \in \mathcal{T}_k\}$ forms a basis for the product topology.

Proof. By the usual definition we have

$$\Sigma = \{ p_k^{-1}(U_k) : U_k \in \mathcal{T}_k \}$$

generates the product topology. Since any basis is automatically a subbasis, it will suffice to show that every element of \mathcal{B} can be written as a union of finite intersections of elements in Σ (to show that \mathcal{B} generates a coarser topology than Σ does), and that every element of Σ is an element of \mathcal{B} (to show that \mathcal{B} generates a finer topology than Σ does).

Let $p_k^{-1}(U_k)$ be an element of Σ . Written out, this element is

$$p_k^{-1}(U_k) = X_1 \times ... X_{k-1} \times U_k \times X_{k+1} \times ... \times X_n.$$

Thus, since $X_j \in \mathcal{T}_j$ for $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, and $U_k \in \mathcal{T}_k$, we have that $p_k^{-1}(U_k) \in \mathcal{B}$. Now let $\prod_{k=1}^n U_k \in \mathcal{B}$. Then, we have easily that $\prod_{k=1}^n U_k = \bigcap_{k=1}^n p_k^{-1}(U_k)$. We have now shown that $\mathcal{B} = \{\Pi_{k=1}^n U_k : U_k \in \mathcal{T}_k\}$ is a basis for the product topology generated by Σ .

1 September 27, 2021

Theorem 2. Let X,Y be topological spaces and let $f:X\to Y$ be continuous. Show that the mapping $h:X\times Y\to Y\times Y$ defined by h(x,y)=(f(x),y) is continuous.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{U \times V : U, V \in T_Y\}$ be a basis for the product topology on $Y \times Y$. By our continuity facts theorem, it will suffice to show that $h^{-1}(A)$ is open in $X \times X$ for each $A \in B$. Let $U \times V \in \mathcal{B}$. Then

$$h^{-1}(U \times V) = \{(a, b) : a \in X \land b \in V \land f(a) \in U\}$$
$$= f^{-1}(U) \times V.$$

By continuity of f, $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}_X$. By initial assumption, $V \in \mathcal{T}_Y$. Thus, $h^{-1}(U \times V) \in \mathcal{T}_X \times \mathcal{T}_Y$, and is therefore open. From this, we can conclude that h is continuous.

Problem 15

Theorem 3. Let $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be a family of topological spaces. Suppose $\Pi_{k=1}^n X_k$ is second countable. Then, X_k is second countable for some X_k for some $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$.

Proof. Suppose $\Pi_{k=1}^n X_k$ is second countable. Then, there exists a countable basis $\mathcal{B} = \{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $\Pi_{k=1}^n X_k$. We propose that $\{p_j(B_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms a countable basis of X_j for each $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$.

Let $U_j \in \mathcal{T}_j$. Then, there exists an indexing set $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$p_j^{-1}(U_j) = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i.$$

Then, we have

$$U_j = p_j(\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i)$$
$$= \bigcup_{i \in I} p_j(B_i),$$

and our proof is complete.

September 27, 2021 2