## Problem 24

We will start this problem by proving a useful lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let (X,d) be a metric space. Then, if every sequence in X has a Cauchy subsequence, X is totally bounded.

*Proof.* We will prove the contrapositive. Suppose X is not totally bounded. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and let  $x_0 \in X$ . Since X is not totally bounded,  $B(x_0; \epsilon)$  does not cover X. Thus there exists an  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $x_1 \notin B(x_0; \epsilon)$ . Continuing in this manner, we can choose  $x_n \in X$  by

$$x_n \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} B(x_i; \epsilon)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If for any n we fail to find such an  $x_n$ , this means we have created a finite  $(n-1 \text{ element}) \epsilon$ -net, which contradicts our assumption that X is not totally bounded.

With this, we have created a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  in A such that  $d(x_i, x_j) \geq \epsilon$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which it follows that  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  has no Cauchy subsequence. We have now proven the contrapositive, and our proof is complete.

**Definition 1** (Closed Box). A closed box  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a set that can be written as  $\Pi_{i=1}^n[a_i,b_i]$  where for all  $i \in \{1,...,n\}$  and for some l > 0, we have  $b_i - a_i = l$ . We say this box has width l.

**Lemma 2.** Any closed box A in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be written as the union of  $2^n$  closed boxes of width l/2.

*Proof.* Consider first the one dimensional case, n = 1. Then A = [a, b] for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that b - a = l. Then,  $A = [a, a + l/2] \cup [a + l/2, b]$ , and we have written A as the union of two closed boxes of width l/2.

Now, utilizing what we just showed for the one dimensional case, we can see that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$A = \prod_{i=1}^{n} ([a_i, b_i])$$
  
=  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} ([a_i, a_i + l/2] \cup [a_i + l/2, b_i]).$ 

Let  $X = \{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i : x_i \in \{[a_i, a_i + l/2], [a_i + l/2, b_i]\}\}$ . Clearly, every element of X is a closed box of width l/2. By elementary combinatorics, we can also see that X has  $2^n$  elements. We propose that  $A = \bigcup_{x \in X} x$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \Pi_{i=1}^{n}([a_{i}, a_{i} + l/2] \cup [a_{i} + l/2, b_{i}]) &\iff (\forall i \in \{1, ..., n\})(p_{i}(a) \in ([a_{i}, a_{i} + l/2] \cup [a_{i} + l/2, b_{i}])) \\ &\iff (\forall i \in \{1, ..., n\})(p_{i}(a) \in [a_{i}, a_{i} + l/2] \vee p_{i}(a) \in [a_{i} + l/2, b_{i}]) \\ &\iff (\forall i \in \{1, ..., n\})(\exists x_{i} \in \{[a_{i}, a_{i} + l/2], [a_{i} + l/2, b_{i}]\})(p_{i}(a) \in x_{i}) \\ &\iff a \in \bigcup_{x \in X}, \end{aligned}$$

and our proof is complete.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be bounded. Then A is totally bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in A$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . For two points x, y in a box of width l, we have

$$\begin{split} d(x,y) &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i(x) - p_i(y))^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\{(p_j(x) - p_j(y))^2 : j \in \{1,...,n\}\}\}} \\ &= \sqrt{n} \max\{|(p_j(x) - p_j(y)| : j \in \{1,...,n\}\}\} \\ &\leq l\sqrt{n}. \end{split}$$

Thus, for any points x, y in an n dimensional box of width  $l = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}$ , we have

$$d(x,y) \le \epsilon. \tag{1}$$

October 27, 2021

Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in A. By Lemma 1, it will suffice to show that  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has a Cauchy Subsequence. Since A is bounded, there exists an r > 0 such that  $d(x, x_1) \le r$  for all  $x \in A$ . We have, for all  $x \in A$ ,

$$r \ge d(x, x_1)$$

$$= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i(x) - p_i(x_1))^2}$$

$$\ge |p_i(x) - p_i(x_1)| \text{ for all } i \in \{1, ..., n\}.$$

Thus, we have  $A \subseteq b_1$ , where  $b_1 \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$  is a box centered at  $x_1$  with width l = r/2. From Lemma 2, we can divide split  $b_1$  into  $2^n$  boxes of width l/2 = r/4. Since  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is infinite, one of these boxes must have an infinite subsequence of  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  inside of it; denote this box  $b_2$ . Following this procedure, we can define a set of boxes  $\{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  where  $b_n$  is a closed box of width  $l = \frac{r}{2^n}$  that results from splitting the previous box into  $2^n$  boxes and choosing one that contains an infinite number of terms in the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . By design,  $b_{n+1} \subseteq b_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\{x_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $x_{n_j} \in b_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Picking an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough that  $\frac{r}{2^n} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}$ , we have from (1) that  $d(x_{n_j}, x_{n_k}) \leq \epsilon$  for all  $j, k \geq N$ . Thus, since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary,  $\{x_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy subsequence of  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , and we can conclude that A is totally bounded.

## Problem 23

**Theorem 2.** Every sequentially compact metric space is separable.

Proof. Let A be a sequentially compact metric space. Define  $B^n_x = B(x; \frac{1}{n})$  for  $x \in A$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $x^n_0 \in A$  be arbitrary for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Choose  $x^n_i \in A$  such that  $x^n_i \in A \setminus (\bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} B^n_{x^n_j})$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose we can choose these for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we'd have a sequence  $\{x^n_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ . By design, we have  $d(x^n_i, x^n_j) \geq \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . However, since A is sequentially compact, this must have a Cauchy subsequence, which is a contradiction. Therefore, there exists some largest  $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x^n_{m_n}$  exists.

Define  $D \subseteq A$  by

$$D = \{x_i^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \land i \in \{1, ..., m_n\}\}.$$

Clearly, since D is a countable union of finite sets, D is countable. We propose that  $\bar{D} = A$ . Since  $\bar{D} \subseteq A$ , it will suffice to show that  $A \subseteq \bar{D}$ .

Let  $x \in A$ , and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that for all  $i \in \{1, ..., m_n\}$  that  $x_i^n \notin B_x^n$ . Then,

$$d(x_i^n, x) \ge \frac{1}{n} \implies x \notin B_{x_i^n}^n$$

$$\implies x \notin \bigcup_{j=0}^{m_n} B_{x_j^n}^n$$

$$\implies x \in A \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{m_n} B_{x_j^n}^n\right)$$

$$\implies x_{m_n+1}^n \text{ is well defined,}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, there exists some  $x_i^n \in B_x^n$ . Since this is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $x \in \overline{D}$ , and we have proven that A is separable.

## Problem 24

**Theorem 3.** Let X be compact and let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be upper semi-continuous. Then f attains its supremum. Proof. Since f is upper semi-continuous,  $f^{-1}((-\infty, \alpha)) \in \mathcal{T}$ , for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then, it follows that

$$f(x) \in (-\infty, f(x) + 1) \implies x \in f^{-1}((-\infty, f(x) + 1))$$

$$\implies (\forall x \in X)(\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R})(x \in f^{-1}((\infty, \alpha)))$$

$$\implies \{f^{-1}((\infty, \alpha)) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\} \text{ forms an open cover of } X.$$

October 27, 2021 2

Since X is compact, there exists a finite subcover  $\{f^{-1}((-\infty,\alpha_i)): i \in \{1,...,n\}\}$  of X. Thus, we have that  $\max\{\alpha_i: i \in \{1,...,n\}\}$  is an upper bound of f(X). By the least upper bound property of the real numbers, the supremum

$$M = \sup\{f(x) : x \in X\}$$

exists.

Now lets suppose, for sake of contradiction, that f never attains its supremum. Then, we have that

$$\{f^{-1}((-\infty, M - \frac{1}{n})) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

forms an open covering of X. By compactness of X, there exists a finite open subcovering

$$\{f^{-1}((-\infty, M-\frac{1}{n_i})): i \in \{1,...,n\}\}$$

of X. Define  $m = \max\{n_i : i \in \{1, ..., n\}\}$ . Then, we have that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) < M - \frac{1}{m}$ . However,  $M - \frac{1}{m} < M$ , so we have just contradicted the fact that M is a supremum. Therefore, f must attain it's supremum.

October 27, 2021 3