## Problem 1

We know that if f is measurable, then for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}^1$ , the set  $f^{-1}(\{a\})$  is measurable. Use the following function f to show that the converse of this result is not true, where  $f : \mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^1$  is defined by

$$f = \begin{cases} e^x & x \in E \\ -e^x & x \in E^c \end{cases}$$

where  $E \in \mathbb{R}^1$  is a nonmeasurable set.

## Solution

*Proof.* We have that  $e^x$  is a positive function. Thus,  $-e^x$  is a negative function. Thus, it follows that

$$\{f > 0\} = E.$$

Since E is nonmeasurable, this tells us that  $\{f > 0\}$  is nonmeasurable. With this, we have shown that f is not measurable.

## Problem 2

**Theorem 1.** Let  $E \in \mathbb{R}^1$  be measurable. Show that if  $f : E \to [-\infty, \infty]$  is increasing, then f is measurable. Proof. Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have

$${f > a} = {x : E|f(x) > a}.$$

Now, suppose there is no lower bound to  $\{f > a\}$ . Then,

$$(\forall x \in E)(\exists x_a \in \{f > a\})(x_a < x) \implies (\forall x \in E)(\exists x_a \in \{f > a\})(f(x_a) \le f(x)) \qquad \text{Since $f$ is increasing}$$
 
$$\implies (\forall x \in E)(f(x) > a)$$
 
$$\implies \{f > a\} = E$$
 
$$\implies \{f > a\} \text{ is measurable.}$$

Suppose then, that  $\{f > a\}$  has a lower bound. Then, by a fundamental property of the real numbers,  $\{f > a\}$  has a greatest lower bound  $l \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose first that  $l \in \{f > a\}$ . Then, since f is increasing, we have

$$(\forall x \ge l)(f(x) > a) \implies [l, \infty) \cap E = \{f > a\}$$
 
$$\implies \{f > a\} \text{ Is measurable}$$

Intersection of two measurable sets.

Similarly, if  $l \notin \{f > a\}$ , then

$$\begin{split} (\forall x>l)(f(x)>a) &\implies (l,\infty)\cap E=\{f>a\}\\ &\implies \{f>a\} \text{ Is measurable} \end{split}$$

Intersection of two measurable sets.

Therefore, we have shown that f is measurable.

## Problem 3

**Theorem 2.** If f is differentiable on [a,b], the f' is measurable.

September 30, 2022