Remember that an open sentence is a sentence that includes variables; when you specify the variables, the open sentence becomes a statement that can be true or false.

Most equations that we want to "solve" are really open sentences. For example,

$$3x = 7$$
$$x^2 + 5x + 6 = 0$$

are open sentences whose truth depends on the choice of x.

Whether or not these equations even *have* solutions depends on what kind of values x is allowed to have.

For example:

- neither of these equations have solutions if x is required to be a natural number.
- ▶ if x is allowed to be an integer, then the second equation has two solutions, but the first one still has none.
- ▶ if x is allowed to be a rational number, then both equations have solutions.

Quantifiers are an element of the logical language that put a scope on the possible values of a variable in an open sentence, and in the process convert the open sentence into a statement.

The are two quantifiers: - "there exists" makes the statement about $some\ x$ in a particular set, - "for all" makes the statement about $all\ x$ in a particular set.

Existential quantifier (there exists)

"There exists $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that 3x = 7"

This statement is true if and only if the subset

$$X = \{x : x \in \mathbb{Q}, 3x = 7\}$$

has at least one element – there is *some* x so that 3x=7 among the $x\in\mathbb{Q}$.

- ▶ "There exists $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that 3x = 7" is True
- ▶ "There exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 3x = 7" is False

More generally, if X is any set, and P(x) is an open sentence, then the statement "There exists $x \in X$ so that P(x)" (in symbols " $\exists x, P(x)$ ") is true exactly when the set

$$Y = \{x : x \in X, P(x)\}$$

has at least one element.

Univeral quantifier (for all)

The statement "For all $x \in \mathbb{N}$, $x^2 > 0$ " is true if and only if

$$X = \{x : x \in \mathbb{N}, x^2 > 0\} = \mathbb{N}.$$

It claims something is true for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$. This is in fact a true statement.

On the other hand, the statement "For all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x^2>0$ " is false since $0^2=0$ and $0\in\mathbb{Z}$.

More generally, the statement "For all $x \in X$, P(x)" (in symbols " $\forall x, P(x)$ ") is true exactly when

$$X = \{x \in X : P(x)\}.$$

This is a statement about all $x \in X$.

A few more examples

- ▶ There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x^2 = 15$.
- ▶ For all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\sin(y)| \le 1$.
- ▶ There exists a subset X of \mathbb{N} which has 5 elements.

Negating quantified statements

The statement "There exists $x \in X$ such that P(x)" is false exactly when "For all $x \in X$, not P(x)" is true.

For example, "There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x^2 < 0$ " is false because "For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $x^2 \ge 0$ " is true.

The statement "For all x, P(x)" is false exactly when "There exists x such that not P(x)" is true.

For example, the statement "For all $x \in \mathbb{N}$, $x^2 > 0$ " is true because "There exists $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x^2 \le 0$." is false.

Existence and "OR", For all and "AND"

There exists $x \in X$ such that P(x) is a kind of "OR" statement.

For all $x \in X$ such that P(x) is a kind of "AND" statement.