Math 2710

Sep 23-27

Base b arithmetic

Theorem: Let N > 0 be an integer and let b > 0 be another integer. Then there exists an integer n and exactly one set of integers r_0, \ldots, r_n , with $r_n \neq 0$ and all $0 \leq r_i < b$, so that

$$N = r_n b^n + r_{n-1} b^{n-1} + \ldots + r_0.$$

Proof part I

Proof: One proof of this is given on pages 42-43 of the text. Here is a slightly different one. First we prove that, for every positive integer, there is at least one set r_0, \ldots, r_n such that

$$N = r_n b^n + r_{n-1} b^{n-1} + \ldots + r_0.$$

Then we will show that there is only one such set. Let S be the set of positive integers for which there DO NOT exist an integer n and at least one sequence r_0, \ldots, r_n as in the theorem. We will show S is empty by contradiction. So if S is not empty, by well-ordering it has a smallest element. Call that element M. By the division algorithm, we can write M = Ab + r with $0 \le r < b$. Since A < M, and M is the first number not of the desired form, we can write

$$A = r_m b^m + \dots + r_0$$

But then

$$M = Ab + r = r_m b^{m+1} + \dots + r_0 b + r$$

which IS of the desired form. This contradicts the assertion that S is non-empty so S must be empty.

Proof part II

To show that there is only one sequence that works, suppose we have two such sequences so that

$$N = r_n b^n + r_{n-1} b^{n-1} + \ldots + r_0.$$

and also

$$N = s_n b^n + s_{n-1} b^{n-1} + \ldots + s_0.$$

If the two representations are different, there must be a smallest integer j such that $r_j \neq s_j$. Subtracting the two representations, all of the terms involving b^i for i < j would cancel out, so we would have

$$N - N = 0 = b^{j}(Ab + (r_{i} - s_{i}))$$

and so $Ab + (r_j - s_j) = 0$. Since b divides Ab, we must have b divides $r_j - s_j$, and since both are between 0 and b, this means $r_j - s_j = 0$. This contradicts the assumption that there was a j where r_j and s_j were different so they must all be the same

Prime numbers

Proposition: If p is prime, and p divides a product ab, then p|a or p|b.

Proof: We know that gcd(a, p) = 1 or gcd(a, p) = p. In the second case, p|a. In the first, case, we can apply Proposition 2.28 to see that p|b.

Theorem: Let N > 0 be a positive integer. Then there is one and only one way to write N as a product of primes written in non-decreasing order.

Proof: Assume the result is false and Let N be the smallest integer that has two such representations

$$p_1p_2\cdots p_k=q_1q_2\cdots q_k.$$

Then $p_1|q_1q_2\cdots q_k$. If $p_1=q_1$, we could cancel p_1 from the two representations and get a smaller integer N/p_1 with two representations, so we must have $p_1 \neq q_1$. Therefore $p_1|q_2\cdots q_k$. By the same argument, $p_1 \neq q_2$ so $p_1|q_3\cdots q_k$. Continuing in this way we eventually get $p_1|q_k$. Since $p_1 \neq 1$, we have $p_1=q_k$. This means we can cancel $p_1=q_k$ from the two representations to get a smaller integer with two representations; that's a contradiction since N was the smallest such. Therefore the representation is unique.

Prime factorizations

Definition: Let $\operatorname{ord}_p(n)$ be the power of p that occurs in the prime factorization of n.

Proposition: If m and n are two integers and $\operatorname{ord}_p(n) = \operatorname{ord}_p(m)$ for all primes p, then $n = \pm m$.

Proposition: If d and n are two integers, then d|n if and only if $\operatorname{ord}_p(d) \leq \operatorname{ord}_p(n)$ for all primes p.

Divisors, GCD, LCM and Factorizations

Proposition:

- $\operatorname{ord}_p(\gcd(a,b)) = \min(\operatorname{ord}_p(a), \operatorname{ord}_p(b))$ for all primes p.
- $\operatorname{ord}_p(\gcd(a,b)) = \max(\operatorname{ord}_p(a), \operatorname{ord}_p(b))$ for all primes p.