

## Day 18

### Gauss's Lemma

In the proof that  $R[x]$  is a UFD if  $R$  is one, we need the following fact, which is often called “Gauss's Lemma.”

**Theorem:** Let  $R$  be a UFD. Then if a polynomial  $p(x) \in R[x]$  is reducible in  $K(R)[x]$ , it is reducible in  $R[x]$ .

If  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , then what this is saying is that if a polynomial can be factored in a nontrivial way in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  – meaning using polynomial factors whose coefficients have denominators – then it can be factored in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , meaning without denominators. More precisely, if  $p(x) \in R[x]$  and

$$p(x) = A(x)B(x)$$

where  $A(x)$  and  $B(x)$  are in  $K(R)[x]$ , then there are elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $R$  such that  $aA(x)$  and  $bB(x)$  are in  $R[x]$  and  $abA(x)B(x) = p(x)$ .

To see that there is some content to this, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ . Consider the polynomial  $x^2 - x + 1 \in R[x]$ . This polynomial factors in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})[x]$  as

$$x^2 - x + 1 = (x - \rho)(x - \bar{\rho})$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}.$$

So this polynomial factors in  $K(R)[x]$ . But it cannot factor in  $R[x]$  because it's monic and the roots don't lie in  $R[x]$ .

This means  $R$  is not a UFD and in fact the ideal generated by 2 and  $1 + \sqrt{-3}$  is not principal.

However, the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$  is a PID.

**Proof of Gauss's Lemma:** Given  $p(x)$  in  $R[x]$ , where  $R$  is a UFD, assume  $p(x) = a(x)b(x)$  where both factors are in  $K(R)[x]$ . Let  $d$  be a common denominator so  $dp(x) = a_1(x)b_1(x)$  where  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  are in  $R[x]$ . Now, since  $R$  is a UFD, we can factor  $d$  into a product of irreducibles  $\pi_i$ . Formally speaking the proof is on the number of irreducible factors of  $d$ . If  $d$  is a unit, then our factorization is already over  $R[x]$ , so suppose our result is true for  $d$  with at most

$n$  irreducible factors. In other words, if we have  $dp(x) = a(x)b(x)$  with  $d$  having at most  $n$  irreducible factors, then there is an expression  $p(x) = a'(x)b'(x)$  with  $a'$  and  $b'$  in  $R[x]$ . Now suppose we have an expression  $dp(x) = a_1(x)b_1(x)$  where  $d$  has  $n + 1$  factors. Let  $\pi$  be one them.

Since  $R$  is a UFD, the ideal  $\pi R$  is prime and therefore  $(R/\pi R)[x]$  is an integral domain. Since  $a_1(x)b_1(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi R[x]}$ , one of them must be zero; say  $a_1(x)$ . That means all the coefficients of  $a_1(x)$  are divisible by  $\pi$  so we can divide  $a_1(x)$  by  $\pi$  and get  $a_2$  which still has coefficients in  $R[x]$ . Now we have  $(d/\pi)p(x) = (a_2(x))b_1(x)$ . By induction we get the factorization of  $p(x)$  over  $R$ .

**Remark:** A polynomial  $p(x)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is called primitive if its coefficients are relatively prime. This theorem is sometimes expressed over  $\mathbb{Z}$  by saying that the product  $p(x)q(x)$  of two *primitive* polynomials is primitive.

## Eisenstein's Criterion

**Theorem:** Suppose  $R$  is an integral domain. If  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + x^n \in R[x]$  is a monic polynomial and  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  such that  $a_i \in P$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$ , and if  $a_0 \notin P^2$ , then  $f(x)$  is irreducible.

**Proof:** Suppose  $f(x) = a(x)b(x)$ . Then  $f(x) \equiv x^n \pmod{P}$ , This means that the constant terms of  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  have product zero mod  $P$  so must be in  $P$ . But then the constant term of  $f$  would be in  $P^2$ .