# Day 14

### A little more on Euclidean Rings

**Proposition:** (Fermat) A prime number is the sum of two squares if and only if it is 2 or is congruent to 1 mod 4.

**Lemma:** The congruence  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  has a solution modulo a prime p if and only if p = 2 or  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

**Proof:** If p = 2, 1 is a solution. If p is odd, and  $x^2 = -1$  has a solution, then  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$  has an element of order 4, so 4|(p-1). Notice that  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$  has only two elements of order dividing 2, because of  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  then  $p|(x^2-1)$ , so p|(x+1)(x-1), so either  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . If 4|(p-1) then let H be the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ . If H were not cyclic, then there would be too many elements of order 2 in H. So H must be cyclic and therefore there is an element of order 4.

Now suppose that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ . Let u be a solution to  $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod p$ . Consider the ideal  $I = (p, u + i) \subset \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . This is a maximal ideal. If  $\pi = a + bi$  is a generator of this ideal, then  $p = x\pi$ . If x were a unit, then u + i would have to be a multiple of p, which it visibly isn't. Therefore  $N(\pi)$  must be p. But  $N(\pi) = a^2 + b^2$ , so we've found our representation.

**Proposition:** The ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is not a Euclidean ring. In fact, the ideal  $(3,1+\sqrt{-5})$  is not principal. It is a proper ideal, because the quotient of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  by this ideal is  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\pi$  were a generator of this ideal, then  $3 = x\pi$  means that either  $N(\pi) = 3$  or  $N(\pi) = 9$ . Also  $(1+5i) = y\pi$  means that  $N(\pi)$  divides 6. Since  $\pi$  is not a unit,  $N(\pi) = 3$ . But the equation  $x^2 + 5y^2 = 3$  has no integer solutions, so there is no element of norm 3 in this ring.

#### Principal Ideal domains

**Definition:** An integral domain in which every ideal is principal is called a Principal Ideal Domain.

Principal ideal domains satisfy the conclusions of the Euclidean algorithm (but maybe without the algorithm).

That is, given  $a, b \in R$  if R is a PID, then the ideal (a, b) = (d) where d is a greatest common divisor of R, and there are x and y in R such that ax + by = d.

The gcd d is unique up to multiplication by a unit.

**Proposition:** A Euclidean ring is a PID. (DF p. 281 contains a strengthening of this result, proving that an integral domain R is a PID if and only if it has a "Dedekind-Hasse" norm, which is a slightly more general type of norm that isn't necessarily positive)

**Note:** The converse is not true, but the question of existence of Euclidean algorithms is subtle. See Conrad's notes on the euclidean domains for a discussion. DF prove that  $\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-19})/2]$  is a PID but is not Euclidean with respect to any norm (see page 277).

**Proposition:** In a principal ideal domain, every nonzero prime ideal is maximal.

Proof: Suppose (p) is a prime ideal and (m) is an ideal with  $(p) \subset (m)$ . Then p = mx for some  $x \in R$ . Since (p) is prime, either  $m \in P$  or  $x \in P$ . If  $m \in P$ , then (m) = (p). If  $x \in P$ , then x = pr and so p = mpr or p(1 - mr) = 0, meaning mr = 1 and so m is a unit. Then (m) = R. So the only ideals of R containing (p) are (p) and R, and (p) is maximal. (Note: this is the ideal theoretic version of the statement that, if p|xm, then either p|x or p|x

#### Unique factorization

**Key Terminology:** Let R be an integral domain.

- 1. A non-unit element  $x \in R$  is called irreducible if whenever x = ab in R, either a or b is a unit.
- 2. A non-unit element  $x \in R$  is called prime if, whenever p divides ab, either p divides a or p divides b. Equivalently, p is prime if the ideal pR is a prime ideal.
- 3. Two elements a and b are called associates in R if there is a unit in R such that a = bu.

**Example:** In a polynomial ring F[x] over a field F, the irreducible elements are the irreducible polynomials. Every irreducible element is prime (by the Euclidean algorithm). In the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  the element 2 is irreducible by not prime, since 2 divides  $(1+\sqrt{-5})(1-\sqrt{-5})=6$  but does not divide either of the factors.

**Lemma:** If R is an integral domain, then every prime is irreducible. If R is a principal ideal domain, then the converse is true.

**Proof:** If p is a prime element, and p = xy, then either p|x or p|y. Assume x = pu. Then p = puy so p(1 - uy) = 0 and therefore uy = 1 so y is a unit and p and x are associates. Similarly if pR is a prime ideal then R/pR is an integral domain, so xy = 0 in R/pR implies either  $x \in pR$  or  $y \in pR$ .

If R is a PID, and q is irreducible, suppose q divides xy. Let d generate the ideal (q, x). If d is a unit then we can write qa + xb = 1 so qay + xby = y and therefore q divides y. If d is not a unit, then q = du and x = dv and since q is

irreducible and d is not a unit, u must be a unit. Then d and q are associated and therefore q divides x.

**Definition:** A unique factorization domain (UFD) is an integral domain such that every nonzero element  $r \in R$  which is not a unit is a product

$$r = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$$

where the  $p_i$  are (not necessarily distinct) irreducible elements of R and, if  $r = q_1q_2\cdots q_k$  is another such factorization, then there is a rearrangement of the  $q_i$  so that  $q_i$  and  $p_i$  are associates.

**Lemma:** in a UFD, p is prime if and only if it is irreducible.

- This follows from uniquess of the factorization.

**Lemma:** A UFD has greatest common divisors (computed using the factorization into primes as in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

There are two features of the UFD property. One is that every nonzero element is a finite product of irreducibles; and the other is that this is unique.

**Theorem:** A principal ideal domain is a UFD.

 Every element of a PID R that is not a unit is a finite product of irreducible elements.

**Proof:** Choose a non-unit x in R. Suppose x does not have a finite factorization into irreducibles. Write  $x = a_1b_1$  where  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  are non-units. Then one of  $a_1$  or  $b_1$  does not have a finite factorization into irreducibles; suppose it's  $a_1$ . Notice that  $xR \subset a_1R$  and the inclusion is strict since b is a non-unit. Repeat this argument to construct an increasing sequence of proper ideals

$$xR \subset a_1R \subset a_2R \subset \cdots$$

Let I be the union of all of these ideals inside R. This ideal must be principal, so I = yR for some y. Now  $y \in a_jR$  for some j, which means that at some point the increasing sequence stabilizes;  $a_kR = yR$  for all  $k \ge j$ . This contradicts the assumption that x did not have a finite factorization.

For the uniqueness, we know that every element of R is a finite product of irreducible elements, and that irreducible elements in R are prime. We proceed by induction on n, the minimal number of irreducible elements needed to write x as a product. Suppose n=1. Then x is irreducible and hence prime. Suppose that whenever x is a product of up to n irreducibles, that expression is unique. Suppose y is a product of n+1 irreducibles and it has two factorizations

$$y = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{n+1} = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$$

where  $s \ge n+1$ . Since  $p_1$  divides the product of the q's, it must equal one of the q's up to a unit, so we can cancel  $p_1$  from both sides of the equation. Now  $y/p_1$  has a shorter expression as a product of irreducibles, so it's expression is unique, and therefore s = n+1 and the q's are a rearrangement of the p's.

## Back to the Gaussian integers

The irreducibles in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  are: - (1+i) -  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$  -  $a \pm bi$  where  $a^2 + b^2 = p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ .

A positive integer is a sum of two squares if and only if it factors

$$n = 2^k p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k} q_1^{f_1} \cdots q_r^{f_r}$$

where the  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod 4$  and the  $q_i \equiv 3 \pmod 4$  and all the  $f_i$  are even.

The proof follows from the question of when is n = N(x) for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .