Catching up with C# Interfaces What you know is probably wrong

Jeremy Clark www.jeremybytes.com @jeremybytes

Default Implementation

```
public interface ILogger
   void Log(LogLevel level, string message);
    void LogException(Exception ex)
        Log(LogLevel.Error, ex.ToString());
```

Default Implementation

```
public interface ILogger
        Log(LogLevel
                      ell, string message);
                     (Exception ex)
             gLevel.Error, ex.ToString());
```

New Features

- Default Implementation
 - Methods, Properties, Events, and Indexers
- Access Modifiers
 - public, private, protected, internal, etc.
- Static Members
 - Methods, Fields, Constructors
- Abstract Members
- Partial Interfaces
- Static Main

Reasons for the Update

- Compatibility with Java (Android) and Swift (iOS)
- Extend existing APIs
- Mix-ins

Before C# 8

- No implementation
- Everything "public"
- No statics
- No fields

```
public interface IRegularPolygon
{
   int NumberOfSides { get; }
   int SideLength { get; set; }

   double GetPerimeter();
   double GetArea();
}
```

Before C# 8

Interface

- May not contain implementation code
- A class may implement any number of interfaces
- Members are always public
- May contain properties, methods, events, and indexers (not fields, constructors or destructors)
- No static members

Abstract Class

- May contain implementation code
- A class may only descend from a single base class
- Members contain access modifiers
- May contain fields, properties, constructors, destructors, methods, events and indexers
- May contain static members

After C# 8

Interface

- May not contain implementation
- A class may implement any number of interfaces
- Mombers are always public
- May centain properties, methods,
 events, and indexers (not fields,
 censtructors or destructors)
- No static manbars

Abstract Class

- May contain implementation code
- A class may only descend from a single base class
- Members contain access modifiers
- May contain fields, properties, constructors, destructors, methods, events and indexers
- May contain static members

Using Default Implementation (and other features)

- .NET Core 3.1
- .NET Standard 2.1
- .NET 5 (out of support)
- .NET 6

- .NET Framework 4.8
- .NET Standard 2.0

New Features

- Default Implementation
 - Methods, Properties, Events, and Indexers
- Access Modifiers
 - public, private, protected, internal, etc.
- Static Members
 - Methods, Fields, Constructors
- Abstract Members
- Partial Interfaces
- Static Main

Default Method Implementation

```
public interface ILogger
   void Log(LogLevel level, string message);
    void LogException(Exception ex)
        Log(LogLevel.Error, ex.ToString());
```

Calling a Default Method Implementation

- Must be called using the interface type
- The class type will not work
- "var" will not work

```
var ex = new InvalidOperationException();
ILogger logger1 = new InitialLogger();
logger1.LogException(ex); // calls default

InitialLogger logger2 = new InitialLogger();
logger2.LogException(ex); // compiler error

var logger3 = new InitialLogger();
logger3.LogException(ex); // compiler error
```

Explicit Implementation

```
public class ExplicitLogger : ILogger
   void ILogger.Log(LogLevel level, string message)
       Console.WriteLine($"Level - {level:F}: {message}");
    void ILogger.LogException(Exception ex)
       Console.WriteLine($"Level - {LogLevel.Error}: {ex.Message}");
```

```
public class ExplicitLogger : ILogger
{
    void ILogger.Log(LogLevel level, string message)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Level - {level:F}: {message}");
    }

    void ILogger.LogException(Exception ex)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Level - {LogLevel.Error}: {ex.Message}");
    }
}
```

Explicit Implementation

```
ILogger logger1 = new ExplicitLogger();
logger1.Log(LogLevel.Info, "Hello"); // calls method

ExplicitLogger logger2 = new ExplicitLogger();
logger2.Log(LogLevel.Info, "Hello"); // compiler error

var logger3 = new ExplicitLogger();
logger3.Log(LogLevel.Info, "Hello"); // compiler error
```

What is an Interface?

- An interface describes a set of capabilities of an object.
- The capabilities might not be directly exposed (example: explicitly implemented interface members).
- An object may only have the capabilities if it is referenced the right way (i.e., by the interface type rather than the class type).
- A default implementation is called the same way as an explicit implementation.

Default implementation is called the same way as explicit implementation

```
var ex = new InvalidOperationException();
ILogger logger1 = new InitialLogger();
logger1.LogException(ex); // calls default
InitialLogger logger2 = new InitialLogger();
logger2.LogException(ex); // compiler error
var logger3 = new InitialLogger();
logger3.LogException(ex); // compiler error
```

Replacing a Default Implementation

• Implementing class can provide its own implementation

```
public class OverrideLogger : ILogger
   public void Log(LogLevel level, string message)
       Console.WriteLine($"Level - {level:F}: {message}");
   public void LogException(Exception ex)
        Console.WriteLine($"Level - {LogLevel.Error}: {ex.Message}");
```

Visual Studio Tooling Quirk

 Visual Studio 2022 does not include interface members with default implementation in the "Implement interface" shortcut.

Calling an Implemented Interface Member

• The most specific method is always called (meaning, the default implementation is ignored if a class has a public implementation).

```
ILogger logger1 = new OverrideLogger();
logger1.Log(LogLevel.Info, "Hello"); // calls class method
OverrideLogger logger2 = new OverrideLogger();
logger2.Log(LogLevel.Info, "Hello"); // calls class method

var logger3 = new OverrideLogger();
logger3.Log(LogLevel.Info, "Hello"); // calls class method
```

Confused Yet?

If a class does *NOT* provide an implementation...

- Using the interface type, the default is called.
- Using the class type, the code does not compile.
- Using "var", the code does not compile.

If the class *DOES* provide an implementation...

- Using the interface type, the class method is called.
- Using the class type, the class method is called.
- Using "var", the class method is called.

Recommendation

When calling interface members, use the interface type.

Unit Testing & Mocks

- Mocking frameworks may have issues with default implementations.
- Mog = works with "CallBase = true"
- Rocks = works (as of version 6.4.1)
- FakeItEasy = has an open issueFakeItEasy: support calling default interface methods (#1633) https://github.com/FakeItEasy/FakeItEasy/issues/1633
- NSubstitute = not supported by default

```
public interface IRegularPolygon
{
   int NumberOfSides { get; }
   int SideLength { get; set; }

   double GetPerimeter() => NumberOfSides * SideLength;
   double GetArea();
}
```

Example: FakeItEasy

```
[Test]
Oreferences
public void FakeItEasy_CheckDefaultImplementation()
{
    var mock = A.Fake<IRegularPolygon>();
    A.CallTo(() => mock.NumberOfSides).Returns(3);
    A.CallTo(() => mock.SideLength).Returns(5);

    double result = mock.GetPerimeter();

Assert.AreEqual(15.0, result);
}
```

 Test Fails: Since "GetPerimeter" is not set up on the mock object, FakeItEasy uses its own default behavior (which is to return "0" for a method returning an integer).

```
public interface IRegularPolygon
{
   int NumberOfSides { get; }
   int SideLength { get; set; }

   double GetPerimeter() => NumberOfSides * SideLength;
   double GetArea();
}
```

Example: Moq

```
[Test]
public void Moq_CheckDefaultImplementation()
{
   var mock = new Mock<IRegularPolygon>();
   mock.CallBase = true;
   mock.SetupGet(m => m.NumberOfSides).Returns(3);
   mock.SetupGet(m => m.SideLength).Returns(5);
   double result = mock.Object.GetPerimeter();
   Assert.AreEqual(15.0, result);
}
```

 Test Succeeds: "CallBase = true" will call the "GetPerimeter" default implementation from the interface.

Be Careful of Assumptions

What's wrong with the following interface?

```
public interface IFileHandler
{
    void Delete(string filename);

    void Rename(string filename, string newfilename) =>
        System.IO.File.Move(filename, newfilename);
}
```

• Answer: It makes assumptions about the IFileHandler implementers (specifically that they use System.IO.File objects).

Recommendation

Default implementations should only reference other interface members.

Be Careful of Assumptions

What's wrong with the following interface?

```
public interface IReader<T>
{
    IReadOnlyCollection<T> GetItems();
    T GetItemAt(int index) => GetItems().ElementAt(index);
}
```

Answer: It assumes that using an iterator is okay. If "GetItems" returns a large collection, this could cause memory pressure. If the "Get" operation is slow (for example a large calculation), then getting the entire list to pull out a single item is inefficient.

Good for calculated properties (getters)

```
public interface IRegularPolygon
{
   int NumberOfSides { get; }
   int SideLength { get; set; }

   double Perimeter { get => NumberOfSides * SideLength; }
```

 Read/Write Properties must have default implementation for both "get" and "set"... *

```
int NotAllowed
{
    get => 20;
    set;
}
```

```
int AlsoNotAllowed
{
    get;
    set { }
}
```

*See caveat on next slide

- Default implementation doesn't really make sense for "set" (there's no way to have a backing field).
- Things like this cause a StackOverflow:

```
int BadMember
{
    get => 1;
    set { BadMember = value; }
}
```

- Default implementation cannot be used to specify an automatic property.
- The following interface properties are normal (abstract) interface members:

```
public interface IRegularPolygon
{
   int NumberOfSides { get; }
   int SideLength { get; set; }
```

Access Modifiers

- All access modifiers are allowed
 - public
 - private
 - protected
 - internal
 - etc.
- Default access modifier is "public"
- "privates" must be implemented

Access Modifiers - Public

- Default access modifier is "public" for interfaces.
- The following interface members are both "public":

```
public interface ICustomerReader
{
    IReadOnlyCollection<Customer> GetCustomers();
    public Customer GetCustomer(int Id);
}
```

Access Modifiers - Private

• "private" members can only be accessed within the interface.

```
public interface ICalendarItem
{
    private CalendarItemType DefaultItemType { get => CalendarItemType.Unspecified; }
    public CalendarItemType ItemType { get => DefaultItemType; }
```

• "private" members must have a default implementation.

```
public interface ICalendarItem
{
    private CalendarItemType DefaultItemType { get; } // ERROR!
    public CalendarItemType ItemType { get => DefaultItemType; }
```

*Note: This sample is a bit contrived to show that "private" members must have implementation https://github.com/jeremybytes/sdd-2022/06-catching-up-with-csharp-interfaces/
AccessModifiers/Private/ICalendarItem.cs

Access Modifiers - Private

- "private" members can be used to break up larger default implementation methods.
- For example, if a "public" method has a complex default implementation, it can be split up into smaller "private" methods inside the interface.
- My Opinion: If code inside an interface is complex enough that it requires this type of factoring, maybe it is not appropriate for it to be part of an interface.

Access Modifiers - Protected

- Behavior of protected members is undefined in the language specification.
- "protected" members are possible, but are a bit strange.
- "protected" members do *not* require a default implementation.

```
public interface IInventoryController
{
    public void PushInventoryItem(InventoryItem item);
    protected InventoryItem PullInventoryItem(int id);
}
```

Access Modifiers - Protected

• "protected" members must be implemented explicitly by the implementing class.

```
public class TestInventoryController : IInventoryController
{
    public void PushInventoryItem(InventoryItem item)
    {
        throw new NotImplementedException();
    }

    InventoryItem IInventoryController.PullInventoryItem(int id)
    {
        throw new NotImplementedException();
    }
}
```

Access Modifiers - Protected

HOWEVER, there is no way to call the "protected" member.

Access Modifiers - Protected

```
public interface IInventoryController
{
    public void PushInventoryItem(InventoryItem item);
    protected InventoryItem PullInventoryItem(int id);
}
```

- "protected" members may be useful in an interface hierarchy (an interface that derives from this one can reference the protected member). However, I have not seen a good use case for this.
- My opinion: Stick with "public" and "private" members.

Static Methods

- Interface "static" methods are just like "static" methods on a class.
- The following are equivalent:

```
public class ReaderFactory
{
    private static IPeopleReader savedReader;
    public static Type readerType =
        typeof(HardCodedPeopleReader);

    public static IPeopleReader GetReader()
    {
        Implementation details
        return savedReader;
    }
}
```

```
public interface IReaderFactory
{
    private static IPeopleReader savedReader;
    public static Type readerType =
        typeof(HardCodedPeopleReader);

    public static IPeopleReader GetReader()
    {
        Implementation details
        return savedReader;
    }
}
```

Static Fields

- "static" fields on an interface are just like "static" fields on a class.
- A static field is a shared value; it is associated with the interface rather than any particular instance.

Static Fields as Parameters

- "static" fields can be used to parameterize a default implementation.
- See Microsoft Docs example: <u>https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/dotnet/csharp/whats-new/tutorials/default-interface-methods-versions#provide-parameterization</u>

Abstract Members

- A member can be marked "abstract" (which is the default).
- The following properties are both abstract:

```
public interface ICustomerReader
{
    IReadOnlyCollection<Customer> GetCustomers();
    abstract Customer GetCustomer(int Id);
}
```

Partial Interfaces

- Interfaces can now be marked "partial" (just like classes).
- This allows them to be extended in a separate file (and at a later time).

```
public partial interface ICustomerReader
{
    IReadOnlyCollection<Customer> GetCustomers();
    Customer GetCustomer(int Id);
}
```

Static Main

"static Main()" is the entry point to an application.

 This is a valid console application (just this file):

```
using System;
¤namespace StaticMain
     public interface IHelloWorld
         static void Main(string[] args)
             if (args?.Length == 0)
                 Console.WriteLine("Hello World!");
             else
                 Console.WriteLine($"Hello {args[0]}!");
```

https://github.com/jeremybytes/sdd-2022/06-catching-up-with-csharp-interfaces/StaticMain/IHelloWorld.cs

Static Main

- A few notes about "static Main()":
 - "static Main()" is the entry point to an application.
 - "static Main()" can be in any class (it doesn't have to be in "Program").
 - There can only be one "static Main()" method per application.*
 - "static Main()" can now be in an interface.

More info: https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/dotnet/csharp/language-reference/compiler-options/advanced

^{*} If you have more than one "static Main()", you *must* use the "-main" compiler directive or the "<StartupObject>" element in the project file to specify the startup object.

Reasons for the Update

- Compatibility with Java (Android) and Swift (iOS)
- Extend existing APIs
- Mix-ins

Compatibility with Android and iOS

- Both Java (Android) and Swift (iOS) offer default implementation.
- For mobile & cross-platform developers, this provides C# with compatibility.
- My Opinion: Compatibility with libraries is a good reason to support default implementation (however, I would like to see the use limited as much as possible).

Extending APIs

 Default implementation allows API maintainers to extend an API after it is released.

- My Opinion:
 - Because default implementations should call existing members, it is difficult to come up with scenarios that are not trivial.
 - Interface inheritance supports a more controlled way of extending an interface.
 - Strays from the Interface Segregation Principle (ISP).

Changing an API

- When we have control over both the interface and the implementers, default implementation can give us a way to change the API without breaking the build.
- Sample Scenario:
 - Add new member (with default implementation) to an existing API.
 - Application continues to build and run.
 - API implementers can add the new member and functionality at their leisure (hopefully quickly).
 - As soon as the implementers are updated, the default implementation can be removed from the interface.

Mix-Ins

- Mix-ins are a cool idea.
- Create interfaces with *only* default implementations.
- Results in a fairly safe way to do multiple inheritance.
- IoT example:
- https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/dotnet/csharp/whatsnew/tutorials/mixins-with-default-interface-methods
- My Opinion: I like the idea of mix-ins, but I wish that it was implemented with a different construct.

New Features

- Default Implementation
 - Methods, Properties, Events, and Indexers
- Access Modifiers
 - public, private, protected, internal, etc.
- Static Members
 - Methods, Fields, Constructors
- Abstract Members
- Partial Interfaces
- Static Main

Resources

Code Samples & Resources

https://github.com/jeremybytes/sdd-2022

Thank You!

Jeremy Clark

- http://www.jeremybytes.com
- jeremy@jeremybytes.com
- @jeremybytes