




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Relative error versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis — Full Data Analysis — Logistic Regression

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(-0.25, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(-0.25, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(-0.25, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
 DGM Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(0, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(0, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(0, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
 Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(0.25, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(0.25, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(0.25, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A