




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Relative error versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis — Full Data Analysis — Logistic Regression

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A

DGM Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A