




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Relative error versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



— Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
 — Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
 — Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
 — Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
 — Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
 — Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
 — Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
 — Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR

Method — Complete Case Analysis - - - Full Data Analysis - . - Logistic Regression