




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Average model SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (-0.25, 0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (-0.25, 0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (-0.25, 0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A
 DGM Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0, 0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0, 0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0, 0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A

Method — Complete Case Analysis ··· Full Data Analysis - - - Logistic Regression