




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Average model SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
 DGM Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A

Method — Complete Case Analysis Full Data Analysis Logistic Regression