




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Average model SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( -0.25, -0.5, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR    Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( -0.25, -0.5, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR    Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( -0.25, -0.5, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A  
 DGM Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0, -0.5, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR    Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0, -0.5, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR    Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0, -0.5, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A  
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0.25, -0.5, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR    Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0.25, -0.5, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR    Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0.25, -0.5, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A

Method — Complete Case Analysis    Full Data Analysis    Logistic Regression