




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Relative error versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (-0.25, 0, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (-0.25, 0, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (-0.25, 0, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR

Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0, 0, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0, 0, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0, 0, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR

Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0.25, 0, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0.25, 0, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0.25, 0, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR

Method — Complete Case Analysis ···· Full Data Analysis — Logistic Regression