




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Relative error versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: $(-0.25, -0.5, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: $(-0.25, -0.5, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: $(-0.25, -0.5, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
 DGM Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: $(0, -0.5, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: $(0, -0.5, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: $(0, -0.5, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
 Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: $(0.25, -0.5, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: $(0.25, -0.5, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: $(0.25, -0.5, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A

Method Complete Case Analysis Full Data Analysis Logistic Regression