




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Average model SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis - - - Full Data Analysis - . . Logistic Regression

Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A

DGM Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A

Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A