




## Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




### Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



### Relative error versus number of auxiliary variables



### Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



	Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: ( -0.25, 0, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR	Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: ( -0.25, 0, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR	Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: ( -0.25, 0, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
DGM	Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: ( 0, 0, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR	Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: ( 0, 0, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR	Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: ( 0, 0, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
	Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: ( 0.25, 0, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR	Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: ( 0.25, 0, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR	Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: ( 0.25, 0, 0 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A

Method — Complete Case Analysis ---- Full Data Analysis --- Logistic Regression