




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Average model SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(-0.25, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(-0.25, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(-0.25, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(0, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(0, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(0, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(0.25, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(0.25, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: $(0.25, 0, -0.02)$, % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A

Method — Complete Case Analysis - - - Full Data Analysis - - - Logistic Regression