




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Average model SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis - - - Full Data Analysis - - - Logistic Regression

DGM

Variables: Continuous, Covariance: 0, Betas: $-0.25, 0, -0.02$, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR	Variables: Continuous, Covariance: 0, Betas: $-0.25, 0, -0.02$, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR	Variables: Continuous, Covariance: 0, Betas: $-0.25, 0, -0.02$, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A
Variables: Continuous, Covariance: 0, Betas: $0, 0, -0.02$, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR	Variables: Continuous, Covariance: 0, Betas: $0, 0, -0.02$, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR	Variables: Continuous, Covariance: 0, Betas: $0, 0, -0.02$, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A
Variables: Continuous, Covariance: 0, Betas: $0.25, 0, -0.02$, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR	Variables: Continuous, Covariance: 0, Betas: $0.25, 0, -0.02$, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR	Variables: Continuous, Covariance: 0, Betas: $0.25, 0, -0.02$, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A