Bias versus number of auxiliary variables



Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Average model SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis ---- Full Data Analysis - - - Logistic Regression

DGM

- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (−0.25, 0, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (−0.25, 0, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (−0.25, 0, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A