




### Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




### Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



### Relative error versus number of auxiliary variables



### Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis - - - Full Data Analysis — Logistic Regression

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( -0.25, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( -0.25, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( -0.25, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0.25, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0.25, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0.25, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR