Bias versus number of auxiliary variables



Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Average model SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis ···· Full Data Analysis - - - Logistic Regression

DGM

- Continuous X, B3_2: −0.02, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
- Continuous X, B3_2: −0.02, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
- Continuous X, B3_2: −0.02, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A
- Continuous X, B3_2: 0, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
- Continuous X, B3_2: 0, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
- Continuous X, B3_2: 0, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A
- Continuous X, B3_2: 0.02, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
- Continuous X, B3_2: 0.02, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
- Continuous X, B3_2: 0.02, % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A