




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Relative error versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( -0.25, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR

Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( -0.25, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( -0.25, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR

Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0.25, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR

Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0.25, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0.25, -0.5, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

Method — Complete Case Analysis - - - Full Data Analysis - - - Logistic Regression