




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Relative error versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (-0.25, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A

DGM Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (-0.25, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (-0.25, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR

Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR

Method Complete Case Analysis Full Data Analysis Logistic Regression