




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Average model SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis — Full Data Analysis — Logistic Regression

DGM Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A  
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A  
 Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, -0.5, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A