




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Relative error versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



— Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (-0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (-0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (-0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

Method — Complete Case Analysis Full Data Analysis - - - - - Logistic Regression