




Bias versus number of auxiliary variables




Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Relative error versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( -0.25, 0, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A    Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0, 0, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A    Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0.25, 0, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A

DGM    Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( -0.25, 0, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR    Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( -0.25, 0, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR    Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0, 0, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR

Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0, 0, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR    Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0.25, 0, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR    Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: ( 0.25, 0, -0.02 ), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR

Method    Complete Case Analysis    Full Data Analysis    Logistic Regression