Bias versus number of auxiliary variables



Empirical SE versus number of auxiliary variables



Relative error versus number of auxiliary variables



Coverage versus number of auxiliary variables



DGM

- Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (−0.25, 0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A
- Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A
- Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: N/A
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (−0.25, 0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (−0.25, 0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
- Continuous X, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

Method

- Complete Case Analysis
- Full Data Analysis
- Logistic Regression