




Bias vs number of auxiliary variables




EmpSE vs number of auxiliary variables



Relative error vs number of auxiliary variables



Coverage vs number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis - - - Full Data Analysis — Logistic Regression

— Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

— Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR

— Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR
 — Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, -0.5, 0.02), % Mis: 0.4, Mech: MCAR