




Bias vs number of auxiliary variables




EmpSE vs number of auxiliary variables



Relative error vs number of auxiliary variables



Coverage vs number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis - - - Full Data Analysis - - - Logistic Regression

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
 DGM Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
 Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A