Bias vs number of auxiliary variables



EmpSE vs number of auxiliary variables



Relative error vs number of auxiliary variables



Coverage vs number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis ---- Full Data Analysis -·- Logistic Regression

GM

- Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (−0.25, −0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR
- Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (−0.25, −0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
- Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (−0.25, −0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N
- Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, −0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR
- Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, −0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
- Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, −0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
- Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, −0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR
- Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, −0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
- Binary A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, −0.5, −0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/