




Bias vs number of auxiliary variables




EmpSE vs number of auxiliary variables



Relative error vs number of auxiliary variables



Coverage vs number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis ····· Full Data Analysis - - - - - Logistic Regression

Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, 0.5, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, 0.5, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (-0.25, 0.5, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
 Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0.5, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0.5, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0.5, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
 Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0.5, -0.02), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR