Bias vs number of auxiliary variables



EmpSE vs number of auxiliary variables



Relative error vs number of auxiliary variables



Coverage vs number of auxiliary variables



Method — Complete Case Analysis ···· Full Data Analysis - - Logistic Regression

DGM

- Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (−0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR
- Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (−0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
- Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (−0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
- Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR
- Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
- Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A
- Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MAR
- Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: MCAR
- Continuous A, Covariance: 0.2, Betas: (0.25, 0, 0), % Mis: 0.2, Mech: N/A