PLOS Matlab Dema (PD Control) Slides - Porward Invese models empirical 2000 all 1200 and ex Efference copy examples: eye movement through muscles us through finger; can't tickle yourself. Oct 8, 2019 Internal Models (forward & invese), Cerebellum Mt ! Hotal Command at t lapated at 1 hotal x t state / trajectory $n_{t+1} = f(n_t, u_t)$ traj/dynamics egn Aim of controller: issue appropriate ut given n+1 (desired state at ++1) Mt = g(x+1, n+) g not necessarily Such that: (may not even exist, but $x_{t+1}^{2} = \int (x_{t}^{2}, g(x_{t+1}^{2}, x_{t}))$ it closes) But dynamics can change over time & with context use a context signal Ct context at time t. Everything else invol(it) Settled + tu) for = 1++

=)	same state, control faix, but different outcome depending on context.
	depending on context.
	Aim of controller is to learn the control system under different & unknown contexts.
	under different & unknown contexts.
	Wolfest & colleagues: modulae contral systems: a bunch of fud models
N - J-W	af flud models
	1 bloducing some bledictie
	$\hat{\chi}_{+1}$, $\hat{\chi}_{+1}$ $\hat{\chi}_{+1}$ for time $t+1$
	TT 1 7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
h	South madely the Dalling Stephen buil
ncea	Letterting which model but after my she current los
	ith dula Parameters of Junction apploximatos &
	it module Parametels of Junction apploximator & eg: wts of a neural network to model
Ą	fud dynamics.
	desponentially Control Control from inv. model
	Responsibility Signal (relative error)
	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{2(1^2 - 1)^2}{1}$
	Li = e Softmax function
	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left \frac{x_{t} - \hat{x}_{t}^{i}}{2} \right ^{2} = \frac{331776}{2}$
	inv. model of module s.
	The closes x to x , the larger 1
6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	notoni commend is tenoron
	TOWNS CO. WAS AND THE STATE OF

Total Jud model plediction $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{t+1} \stackrel{?}{=} \underbrace{\leq h_t \mathcal{R}_{t+1}^i}_{t+1} \stackrel{?}{=} \underbrace{\leq h_t \mathcal{R}_{t}^i}_{t+1} + (b_t \mathcal{R}_{t}^i)$ Jeaning of Jud models is wild by responsibility: $\Delta w_{t}^{\prime} = \mathcal{E} \lambda_{t} \frac{d\dot{\gamma}}{dw_{t}^{\prime}} \left(\gamma_{t} - \hat{\gamma}_{t}^{\prime} \right) = \mathcal{E} \frac{d\hat{\gamma}_{t}^{\prime}}{dw_{t}^{\prime}} \lambda_{t}^{\prime} \left(\gamma_{t} - \hat{\gamma}_{t}^{\prime} \right)$ they they are - 1+2 x 12+ fud models divides up the system dynamics experienced, reflecting which model best captules the cultent behaviour module desponsibility control signal fem inv. model
signal of module i. $^{2} \leq \lambda_{t} \Psi(\chi_{t}^{i}, \chi_{t}, \chi_{t+1}^{*})$ inv. model of module i. Δλ; ² ελ; dψ. (μ; - μ;)

dα; γ total desired motor command is known